Evaluation of a novel monoclonal enzyme immunoassay for detection of Helicobacter pylori antigen in stool from children


DOI
10.1136/gut.52.6.804

Publication date
2003

Published in
Gut

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)
Evaluation of a novel monoclonal enzyme immunoassay for detection of Helicobacter pylori antigen in stool from children

S Koletzko, N Konstantopoulos, D Bosman, A Feydt-Schmidt, A van der Ende, N Kalach, J Raymond and H Rüssmann

_Gut_ 2003;52:804-806
doi:10.1136/gut.52.6.804

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://gut.bmjournals.com/cgi/content/full/52/6/804

These include:

**References**
This article cites 18 articles, 5 of which can be accessed free at:
http://gut.bmjournals.com/cgi/content/full/52/6/804#BIBL

6 online articles that cite this article can be accessed at:
http://gut.bmjournals.com/cgi/content/full/52/6/804#otherarticles

**Email alerting service**
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

**Topic collections**
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Drugs: immunological products and vaccines (423 articles)
Helicobacter Pylori (396 articles)
Children (1775 articles)

**Notes**
Evaluation of a novel monoclonal enzyme immunoassay for detection of *Helicobacter pylori* antigen in stool from children

S Koletzko, N Konstantopoulos, D Bosman, A Feydt-Schmidt, A van der Ende, N Kalach, J Raymond, H Rüssmann

**Background:** Reliable non-invasive methods for detection of *Helicobacter pylori* infection are required to investigate the incidence, transmission, and clearance of infection in childhood.

**Aim:** To evaluate a new monoclonal enzyme immunoassay (EIA) (FemtoLab *H pylori Cnx*) for detection of *H pylori* antigen in stool in a large cohort of children compared with invasive diagnostic methods and the 13C urea breath test.

**Patients and methods:** A total of 302 symptomatic previously untreated children (aged 0.5–18.7 years; 148 girls) were recruited at three centres. *H pylori* status was defined by results of culture, histology, the rapid urease test, and the 13C urea breath test. Stool samples were investigated locally by the EIA using two different production lots. According to the manufacturer’s recommendations, an optical density (OD) of 0.150 was used as a cut off value.

**Results:** OD values clearly differentiated between the 92 *H pylori* infected and the 210 non-infected children (median (5th–95th percentiles) 2.729 (0.232–4.000) v 0.021 (0.009–0.075)). Only two false positive and two false negative results occurred, giving a sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of 98%, 99%, 98%, and 99%, respectively. No significant relation was found between age and OD values in infected or non-infected children.

**Conclusions:** The monoclonal stool antigen EIA was excellent in diagnosing *H pylori* infection in symptomatic children. Accuracy was independent of the laboratory, production lot used, or the child’s age. Because only 18/116 children ≤6 years of age were infected with *H pylori*, further validation of the test is needed in young infected children.
Giemsa, and viewed for the presence of *H pylori* by a local pathologist who was blinded to the results of the other tests (*n*=302). One antral specimen each was obtained for the rapid urease test (*n*=222) and for bacterial culture (*n*= 309). Biopsies were transported to the local microbiological laboratory in transport media and processed within four hours. UBT (urease test) and for bacterial culture. Biopsies were transported to the local microbiological laboratory in transport media and processed within four hours. UBT (urease test) and for bacterial culture were obtained in 222 children and 309 children, respectively. Biopsies were transported to the local microbiological laboratory in transport media and processed within four hours.

*H pylori* status was defined as positive if culture and/or at least two of the other methods (histology, rapid urease test, UBT) gave positive results. A negative *H pylori* status was considered if all tests gave concordant negative results.

**Stool antigen test**

Parents of children scheduled for endoscopy were asked to bring a stool sample of their child at the time of the procedure or to send it in by mail within three days after endoscopy before any therapy was initiated. Samples were stored at −20°C until analysed.

The stool antigen test (FemtoLab *H pylori* Cnx (Martinried, Germany), identical to HpSTAR (DakoCytomation GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) and Ridascreen FemtoLab (R-Biopharm AG, Darmstadt, Germany) was performed according to the manufacturer's recommendations at the three local microbiological laboratories using two different production lots. Those performing and reading the test were unaware of the *H pylori* status of the children tested. The stool antigen test is an EIA which uses monoclonal mouse anti-*H pylori* antibodies adsorbed to microwells as capture antibody. Firstly, 50 µl of supernatant of the diluted stool sample (0.1 g stool in 0.5 ml sample diluent) and thereafter 50 µl conjugated monoclonal antibody solution were added to the wells and incubated for one hour at room temperature on a shaker. Unbound material was removed by washing four times with a washing buffer. After washing, 100 µl of a substrate solution were added and incubated for 10 minutes. After addition of 100 µl of a stopping solution, the results were read by spectrophotometry (450/630 nm double wavelength).

According to the manufacturer's guidelines, an optical density (OD) <0.150 was defined as a negative and an OD ≥0.150 as a positive test result.

**Statistics**

Sensitivity and specificity with confidence intervals, and positive and negative predictive values of the stool test were calculated against the defined *H pylori* status as gold standard. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 9.1; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Correlation between age and OD values was analysed by the Spearman-Rho test. The likelihood ratios for a positive and negative test result were determined.

**RESULTS**

According to the predefined criteria, *92 (30.5%) of 302 patients were *H pylori* positive; in 88/92 children, culture was positive for *H pylori*. The remaining 210 (69.5%) children had negative results in all diagnostic tests performed and were therefore considered *H pylori* negative.

Age of the children ranged from 0.5 to 18.7 years. A total of 116 patients were <6 years of age (18 were positive and 98 negative for *H pylori*), 106 were ≥6–<12 years (42 positive, 64 negative), and the remaining 80 children were ≥12 years of age (32 positive, 48 negative) (table 1). The proportions of infected to non-infected children in the three centres were as follows: in Munich, 55 to 118; in Amsterdam, 27 to 70; and in Paris, 10 to 22. The geographical background of the children's families was Northern or Western Europe in 188 cases, three from Eastern Europe, 45 from Turkey, nine from Asia, 27 from Africa, and seven from America.

OD values in the EIA clearly differentiated between *H pylori* infected (median 2.729 (5th–95th percentiles 0.232–>4.000)) and non-infected children (0.021 (0.009–0.076)). No significant correlation was seen between age of the patient and OD values in the *H pylori* positive (*r*=−0.077, *p*=0.265) or negative (*r*=−0.024, *p*=0.821) groups (figs 1, 2).

Four children were misclassified by the stool antigen test. Two patients from Munich had false positive results; one was infected by *Campylobacter jejuni* at the time of endoscopy. The two patients with false negative results came from Amsterdam; both had positive bacterial cultures. One of the four false

**Table 1** Performances of the monoclonal stool antigen test according to age group, and for all children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>n (H+ or –)</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>116 (18)</td>
<td>94.4 (22.7–99.9)</td>
<td>98.0 (92.8–99.8)</td>
<td>97.4 (92.6–99.9)</td>
<td>89.5 (66.9–98.7)</td>
<td>99.0 (94.4–99.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6–&lt;12</td>
<td>106 (42)</td>
<td>97.6 (87.4–99.9)</td>
<td>100 (94.1–100)</td>
<td>99.1 (94.9–99.9)</td>
<td>100 (91.4–100)</td>
<td>98.5 (91.7–99.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥12–&lt;18</td>
<td>80 (32)</td>
<td>100 (89.1–100)</td>
<td>100 (92.6–100)</td>
<td>100 (95.5–100)</td>
<td>100 (89.1–100)</td>
<td>100 (92.6–100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>302 (92)</td>
<td>97.8 (92.4–99.7)</td>
<td>99.0 (96.6–99.9)</td>
<td>98.7 (96.6–99.9)</td>
<td>97.8 (92.4–99.9)</td>
<td>99.0 (96.6–99.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value.

**Figure 1** Optical density (OD) values in relation to age in 92 children with a positive *Helicobacter pylori* status. The cut off value was set at an OD of 0.150.

**Figure 2** Optical density (OD) values on a log scale in relation to age in 210 children with a negative *Helicobacter pylori* status. The cut off value was set at an OD of 0.150.
results had an OD value that was close to the cut off value (fig 1). Sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and positive and negative predictive values (with 95% confidence intervals) are presented in table 1 according to the three different age groups and globally for the total cohort. The likelihood ratio for a positive test result was calculated as 103.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first prospective multicentre based study of a novel monoclonal EIA stool test used to establish a diagnosis of \textit{H pylori} infection in children. For every child, \textit{H pylori} status was assessed using three different tests. In fact, culture, which is considered to be 100% specific, was successful in 88/92 children with a positive \textit{H pylori} status. The monoclonal EIA on stool samples correctly classified 298 of 302 children, giving an accuracy of 98%.

To date, only one study has been published using this monoclonal EIA in children prior to treatment. Makristathis \textit{et al} used a developmental kit provided by the manufacturer at a cost. The test yielded a sensitivity of 98% and a specificity of 97%, which is similar to our results of 98% and 99%, respectively. In our study, these excellent results were obtained in spite of the fact that the test was performed in three different laboratories using two different production lots. In contrast, the HpSA, which is of polyclonal origin, seems to have problems with lot to lot variability. For every child, we did not find any relation between OD values and age and only four were less than three years of age. This is in line with specific age ranges, and the authors pointed out that the test was not performed in children younger than six years of age, as 98% of the non-infected children in our study belonged to this age group, and 47 of those were less than three years of age. In contrast, only 18 \textit{H pylori} infected children were less than six years of age and only four were less than three years of age. This is reflected by the larger 95% confidence intervals for sensitivity compared with specificity in this age group. Therefore, for final conclusions regarding sensitivity, more \textit{H pylori} infected infants and toddlers need to be studied with the monoclonal antigen test.

We cannot judge how the test will perform in developing countries with a high prevalence of diarrhoea in young children due to gastrointestinal infections. It is noteworthy that one of the two children with a false positive test result suffered from \textit{Campylobacter jejuni} infection at the time of testing, indicating that there might be some cross reactivity between the two bacterial species. Also, we need to establish how acid suppressive drugs or recent intake of different antibiotics influence the test results. We excluded all patients who had taken any of these medications during the four weeks prior to testing to obtain a well defined \textit{H pylori} status, as both types of substances may suppress growth of \textit{H pylori} and cause false negative results.

In conclusion, the monoclonal EIA stool test is easy to perform and provides excellent differentiation between positive and negative test results. In symptomatic children the test is well suited for evaluation of \textit{H pylori} status. The high accuracy seems to be independent of the laboratory, production lots, and age of the child. An age specific cut off value is not required, even in young children. Therefore, if further studies in children confirm our results, this test may become an excellent tool to study the incidence, spontaneous clearance of \textit{H pylori} infection, and effect of preventive measures such as vaccination.
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