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Randomised trial of systemic methotrexate versus laparoscopic salpingostomy in tubal pregnancy

P J Hajenius, S Engelsbel, B W J Mol, F Van der Veen, W M Ankum, P M M Bossuyt, D J Hemrika, F B Lammes

Summary

Background Laparoscopic salpingostomy is a well-established treatment for patients with tubal pregnancy who desire to retain fertility. Another approach that preserves the fallopian tube is medical treatment. We compared systemic methotrexate and laparoscopic salpingostomy in the treatment of tubal pregnancy. Outcome measures were treatment success, tubal preservation, and homolateral tubal patency.

Methods Between January, 1994, and September, 1996, haemodynamically stable patients with laparoscopically confirmed unruptured tubal pregnancy and no signs of active bleeding were randomly assigned systemic methotrexate (four 1·0 mg/kg doses of intramuscular methotrexate alternated with 0·1 mg/kg oral folic acid) or laparoscopic salpingostomy. Treatment success was defined as complete elimination of the tubal pregnancy (serum human chorionic gonadotropin <2 IU/L) and preservation of the tube. Homolateral tubal patency was assessed by hysterosalpingography. Analysis was by intention to treat.

Findings 100 patients were included in the trial. Of 51 patients allocated systemic methotrexate, 42 (82%) were successfully treated with one course; two (4%) patients needed a second course for persistent trophoblast. Surgical intervention was needed in seven (14%) patients; salpingectomy was necessary in five of these patients for tubal rupture. Of the 49 patients allocated laparoscopic salpingostomy, 35 (72%) were successfully treated by laparoscopic salpingostomy alone; salpingectomy was needed in four (8%) patients, and ten (20%) needed methotrexate for persistent trophoblast. The tube was preserved in 46 (90%) patients in the methotrexate group versus 45 (92%) in the salpingostomy group (rate ratio 0·98 [95% CI 0·87–1·1]). Homolateral tubal patency could be assessed in 81 patients: the tube was patent in 23 (55%) of 42 patients in the methotrexate group and in 23 (59%) of 39 patients in the salpingostomy group (rate ratio 0·93 [0·64–1·4]).

Interpretation In haemodynamically stable patients with unruptured tubal pregnancy, systemic methotrexate and laparoscopic salpingostomy were successful in treating the majority of cases. We found no significant difference between the treatments in the homolateral patency rate. Subsequent fertility outcome has to be awaited to show which treatment yields better fertility prospects.
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Introduction

Laparoscopic salpingostomy is a well-established treatment in patients with tubal pregnancy who desire to retain fertility.1 This procedure preserves the fallopian tube, thereby maintaining reproductive capacity, but, in comparison with salpingectomy, carries an increased risk of persistent trophoblast and, possibly, of repeat ectopic pregnancy in the operated tube.2,3

Another approach that preserves tubal integrity is medical treatment, in particular the systemic administration of methotrexate. Since laparoscopy is no longer essential for diagnosis in patients with suspected ectopic pregnancy, methotrexate offers the option of completely non-surgical management.4,5 Reviews summarising uncontrolled studies have reported outcomes of systemic methotrexate treatment similar to those of laparoscopic salpingostomy with respect to success rate, homolateral tubal patency, and reproductive outcome.4,6,7 No reports of randomised clinical trials have been published as yet.

We initiated a randomised clinical trial comparing systemic methotrexate and laparoscopic salpingostomy in the treatment of tubal pregnancy. Outcome measures were treatment success, tubal preservation, and homolateral tubal patency. Our hypothesis was that systemic methotrexate, because it is non-invasive, would offer better fertility prospects.

Methods

The trial took place between Jan 1, 1994 and Sept 1, 1996 in six Dutch hospitals: the Academic Medical Centre, the Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis, and the University Hospital Free University in Amsterdam, and the University Hospitals of Groningen, Nijmegen, and Utrecht. The study was approved by the ethics committees of all the centres.

Patients with a diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy were invited to participate in the trial. Ectopic pregnancy was diagnosed on the basis of a non-invasive strategy combining transvaginal sonography and measurement of serum human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG).8 Expectant management was used for patients with self-limiting forms of ectopic pregnancy (ie, serum HCG concentrations <1500 IU/L and declining—trophoblast in regression).9,10
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Laparoscopic was done under general anaesthesia with a 10
mm laparoscope introduced through the umbilicus and a 5 mm
suprapubic trocar. Reasons for exclusion at this stage were:
tubal rupture, active bleeding, non-tubal pregnancy, and
impossibility of laparoscopic salpingostomy. Although
randomisation at laparoscopy could have overcome these
secondary exclusions, the ethics committees judged a design in
which patients did not know the randomisation outcome before
surgery to be unethical. The secondary exclusion criteria were
assessed by a surgeon unaware of the randomisation outcome
so that adequate concealment of the treatment allocation could
be achieved, thereby preventing potential selection bias.
All patients with laparoscopically confirmed unruptured tubal
pregnancy and no active bleeding were included in the trial.
Since folic acid might negatively influence the effect of systemic
methotrexate, all patients were instructed to discontinue any
antenatal vitamins.

In patients allocated systemic methotrexate, treatment was
started immediately after laparoscopy and completed on an
outpatient basis. One full therapeutic course consisted of four
doses of methotrexate given intramuscularly (1-0 mg/kg, on
days 0, 2, 4, 6; Ledertrexate, Lederle Pharmaceutical Division,
Cyanamid, Etten-Leur, Netherlands), and four doses of folic
acid administered orally (0·1 mg/kg, days 1, 3, 5, and 7,
hospital preparation; calcium folinate 5H2O, Bupha Chemie,
Utrecht, Netherlands), followed by 7 days without medication.
During the methotrexate course patients were instructed not to
use alcohol or aspirin, to refrain from sexual intercourse, to
avoid exposure to sunlight, to drink at least 1·5 L fluid daily,
and to use 0·9% saline mouthwashes or, in case of stomatitis,
chlorhexidine 0·12% mouthwashes.

In patients allocated laparoscopic salpingostomy, the
intervention immediately followed laparoscopy. The 5 mm
suprapubic trocar was replaced with a 10 mm disposable
trocar, and one or two additional 5 mm ports were inserted in
the right and left hypochondrium for introduction of grasping
forces, a microdisathermy needle, and a suction/irrigation unit.
A monopolar linear incision was made over the bulging
antimesenteric portion of the tube. The ectopic mass was
removed by use of an irrigation probe for hydrodissection and
grasping forces. After haemostasis had been achieved the
tubal incision was left open to allow secondary healing. The
pelvis was then irrigated. Surgery was done by trained
laparoscopic surgeons or by other consultants and senior
registrars under supervision of the experienced surgeons. All
patients were discharged, if possible, on the following day.

Serial serum HCG measurements (by microparticle enzyme
immunoassay; IMX analyser, Abbott kit β-total, Abbott
Diagnostics Division, Chicago, IL, USA) were made to assess
treatment response. The variation within and between assays
was less than 5%. Results were expressed in IU/L according to
the WHO Third International Standard 75/537. An initial
value for each patient was read from a sample taken on day 0.
Serum HCG concentrations were measured until the hormone
was undetectable (<2 IU/L).

In patients treated with systemic methotrexate, persistent
trophoblast was defined as a serum HCG concentration above
40% of the initial value on day 14 and was treated with a
second course of methotrexate. In patients treated by
salpingostomy, persistent trophoblast was defined as rising
or stable HCG concentrations postoperatively and was treated
with a course of systemic methotrexate.

Transvaginal sonography (Hitachi EUB 415/515, Hitachi
Medical Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was done in both
treatment groups routinely within 1 week after the start of
treatment or whenever complications were suspected. Patients

Figure 1: Trial profile
AMC=Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam; OLVG=Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis, Amsterdam.

Exclusion criteria were unstable vital signs, fetal cardiac
activity, sonographically detected interstitial, cervical, ovarian,
or heterotopic pregnancy, contraindications to systemic
methotrexate (leucopenia, thrombocytopenia, or high
activity, sonographically detected interstitial, cervical, ovarian,
pathology and initial serum HCG concentration. Pre-existing
randomisation, and with stratification for pre-existing tubal

Baseline characteristics of final treatment groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Methotrexate (n=51)</th>
<th>Salpingostomy (n=49)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment success</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubal preservation</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubal patency</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed trial;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age in years</td>
<td>31·3 (5.9)</td>
<td>31.8 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range) parity</td>
<td>0 (0–6)</td>
<td>1 (0–6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) duration of gestation in days</td>
<td>46·6 (18·5)</td>
<td>46·7 (10·7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical symptoms*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain only</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal bleeding only</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain and vaginal bleeding</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existing tubal pathology*</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insemination</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVF-ET</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range) perioperative serum HCG (IU/L)</td>
<td>1950 (110–19500)</td>
<td>2100 (228–18400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) preoperative haemoglobin (mmol/L)</td>
<td>7·9 (0.8)</td>
<td>7·9 (0·5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localisation of tubal pregnancy*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isthmic</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampullary</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fimbrial</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) diameter tubal pregnancy (mm)</td>
<td>23 (9·6)</td>
<td>20 (7·9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range) haemoperitoneum (mL)</td>
<td>50 (0–800)</td>
<td>30 (0–200)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of patients. To convert to mg/dL, multiply by 6·02.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of final treatment groups
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who received systemic methotrexate were followed up until resolution of the ectopic mass was completed.

Side-effects were recorded. Postoperatively, complete blood counts were done, and liver and renal function were monitored to detect methotrexate toxicity and anaesthesia effects.

Hysterosalpingography was done 3 months after completion of treatment to assess tubal patency. The hysterosalpingograms were assessed by four observers who were unaware of the site of the tubal pregnancy and of the treatment allocation. During follow-up, information about desire for pregnancy and the occurrence of any subsequent pregnancies was obtained.

Analysis was by intention to treat; all randomised patients were taken into account, except for those secondarily excluded. Systemic methotrexate and laparoscopic salpingostomy were compared in their ability to eliminate the tubal pregnancy and to preserve the tube. Treatment success was defined as complete elimination of the tubal pregnancy (serum HCG <2 IU/L). We calculated success rates after primary treatment (ie, one systemic methotrexate course or salpingostomy alone). We also calculated tubal preservation rates on follow-up, information about desire for pregnancy and the occurrence of any subsequent pregnancies was obtained.

In addition, homolateral tubal patency rates on hysterosalpingography were compared. Overall homolateral tubal patency rates were calculated by including those patients who underwent salpingectomy in the denominator. These overall tubal patency rates were also compared with adjustment for pre-existing tubal pathology and initial serum HCG concentration by logistic regression analysis. All comparisons were made by calculation of rate ratios and the corresponding 95% CI.

The median number of days for undetectable serum HCG concentrations to be reached (serum HCG clearance time) was calculated in each treatment group and compared by Wilcoxon statistics. Serum HCG clearance curves were constructed for both primary treatments.

We expected an 80% tubal patency rate after laparoscopic salpingostomy. A sample size of 100 patients would allow us to detect a difference in tubal patency rate, in favour of systemic methotrexate, of 18%, with a two-sided \( p \) value of 0.05 and with a power of 80%.

### Results

The progress of the patients invited to participate is shown in figure 1. 100 patients were included in the trial, 86 from the Academic Medical Centre and Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis and 14 from the four other participating hospitals. In the first two centres the exact number of exclusions was known because the two trial investigators (PJH, SE) were present continuously. Of 267 patients with the diagnosis ectopic pregnancy, 104 (39%) were primarily excluded, 43 (16%) refused to give informed consent, and 34 (13%) were excluded at laparoscopy. Only 86 patients (32%) were eligible for inclusion in the trial. In the other four hospitals, six of 20 patients (30%) were excluded at laparoscopy. Baseline characteristics of the final treatment groups are shown in table 1. There were slightly more patients with pre-existing tubal pathology and slightly fewer patients with pregnancy resulting from in-vitro fertilisation and embryo transfer (IVF-ET) in the systematic methotrexate group. Of the 51 patients assigned and treated with systemic methotrexate, one course was successful in 42 (82%). Two (4%) were successfully treated but needed a second course for persistent trophoblast. Seven (14%) patients needed surgical intervention (table 2). Surgical intervention was necessary during or after the first methotrexate course in six of these patients, and after a second course in the other patient. Conservative surgery was possible in two patients. Patient 1 had laparoscopic salpingostomy for active bleeding, and patient 2 underwent nettoyage by laparotomy for tubal rupture. In the remaining five patients salpingectomy was necessary for tubal rupture, either by laparoscopy (patients 4 and 5) or by laparotomy. Patient 4, treated first by laparoscopic salpingostomy, of 18%, with a two-sided \( p \) value of 0.05 and with a power of 80%.
The serum HCG clearance curves of all patients successfully treated by one course of methotrexate or by laparoscopic salpingostomy alone are shown in figure 2. Median serum HCG clearance time was 19 days (range 2–53) after systemic methotrexate treatment and 14 days (2–50) after laparoscopic salpingostomy (p=0.64).

In the systemic methotrexate group transvaginal sonography was normal in all patients after a median of 34 days (1–191).

In the systemic methotrexate group, hysterosalpingography was not done in the five patients who underwent secondary salpingectomy. Of the remaining 46 patients eligible for hysterosalpingography, four became pregnant while awaiting this diagnostic procedure. Five patients refused to give informed consent or were lost to follow-up. Thus 37 patients underwent hysterosalpingography; a mean 122 days (SD 36) after completion of treatment. Homolateral tubal patency was found in 23 (62%). Overall homolateral tubal patency, including the five patients who underwent salpingectomy, was 55% (23/42).

In the salpingostomy group, hysterosalpingography was not done in the four patients who needed salpingectomy. Of the remaining 45 patients eligible for hysterosalpingography, three became pregnant while awaiting this diagnostic procedure. Seven patients refused to give informed consent or were lost to follow-up. Thus 35 patients underwent hysterosalpingography; a mean 114 days (43) after completion of treatment. Homolateral tubal patency was found in 23 (66%). Overall homolateral tubal patency, including the four patients who underwent salpingectomy, was 59% (23/39).

The results with the two treatment modalities are summarised in table 4. Adjustment for pre-existing tubal pathology and initial serum HCG concentration in logistic regression analysis also showed a lower, but not significant, overall homolateral tubal patency rate in the systemic methotrexate group (odds ratio 0.74 [95% CI 0.27–2.1]).

At completion of the study in September, 1996, nine patients in the systemic methotrexate group had become pregnant: seven were intrauterine pregnancies (one by IVF-ET), one was a repeat ectopic pregnancy, and one patient had trophoblastic regression. In the salpingostomy group, 12 patients had become pregnant: ten were intrauterine pregnancies (one by IVF-ET), and two were repeat ectopic pregnancies.

**Discussion**

In this multicentre randomised clinical trial of systemic methotrexate versus laparoscopic salpingostomy in patients with laparoscopically confirmed unruptured tubal pregnancy without active bleeding, both treatment modalities were successful in treating the majority of cases. Persistent trophoblast occurred more commonly in the salpingostomy group, whereas more surgical reinterventions were needed in the systemic methotrexate group.

**Table 4: Outcome measures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Methotrexate</th>
<th>Salpingostomy</th>
<th>Rate ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary treatment success</td>
<td>42/51 (82%)</td>
<td>35/49 (72%)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.93–1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubal preservation</td>
<td>46/51 (90%)</td>
<td>45/49 (92%)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.87–1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homolateral tubal patency on hysterosalpingogram</td>
<td>23/37 (62%)</td>
<td>23/35 (66%)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.67–1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall homolateral tubal patency</td>
<td>23/42 (55%)</td>
<td>23/39 (59%)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.64–1.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2: Serum HCG clearance curves of all patients successfully treated with one course of systemic methotrexate or laparoscopic salpingostomy alone**

laparoscopic salpingostomy, needed a reintervention by laparotomy for secondary haemorrhage.

Of the 49 patients allocated laparoscopic salpingostomy, 35 (72%) were successfully treated by this intervention alone. Conservative surgery failed in four patients (8%). In these patients, persistent bleeding (despite extensive coagulation after salpingostomy) necessitated salpingectomy, in one case by laparotomy. Ten patients (20%) were successfully treated by laparoscopic salpingostomy and additional methotrexate for persistent trophoblast. No surgical reinterventions were necessary.

Side-effects of systemic methotrexate therapy and complications in both treatment groups are shown in table 3. Only 20 (39%) patients underwent systemic methotrexate therapy without any side-effects or complications, compared with 38 (78%) patients in the salpingostomy group. Abdominal pain was the most common symptom in both treatment groups. In the systemic methotrexate group, two patients received antibiotic therapy for cystitis. Two other patients experienced major complications. One was admitted to hospital with high fever, abdominal pain, and bloody diarrhoea. After 2 weeks, although cultures from blood and faeces were negative, antibiotic therapy was started for persistent fever with good results. Sigmodoscopy for persistent bloody diarrhoea showed colitis, probably as a result of methotrexate or antibiotic therapy, or both.

The other patient was admitted to the hospital with widespread intraoral blisters and genital erosions. The clinical diagnosis of erythema exudativum multiforme (Stevens-Johnson syndrome) was made. She was treated with 15 mg folic acid orally every 6 h for 24 h. Chlorhexidine 0-12% was sprayed intraorally every 4 h for as long as the blisters were present. Both patients recovered completely. In the salpingostomy group, virtually all complications occurred in patients treated with systemic methotrexate for persistent trophoblast.
methotrexate group. Additional interventions after primary treatment, however, had no impact on the preservation of the tube in either treatment group. Although the advantage of systemic medical therapy over surgical treatment is the avoidance of surgical trauma to the tube, we did not find a significantly improved homolateral tubal patency rate after systemic methotrexate. The absence of such an improvement could not be attributed to a higher number of treatment failures necessitating salpingectomy, nor by selective dropouts before hysterosalpingography.

Five published randomised clinical trials have compared conservative laparoscopic surgery with medical treatment. Laparoscopic salpingostomy has been compared with methotrexate injected laparoscopically,\textsuperscript{1,14} with methotrexate injected transvaginally,\textsuperscript{11} and with hyperosmolar glucose injected laparoscopically.\textsuperscript{15} However, the comparison of a complete non-surgical and non-invasive treatment by the systemic administration of methotrexate with laparoscopic salpingostomy was lacking until our randomised clinical trial.

Only a minority of patients presenting with ectopic pregnancy (32%) could be included in our trial because of the rigorous selection criteria that were used in the study protocol. These selection criteria were based on uncontrolled studies updated until 1992, the year in which the study protocol was written and approved by the ethics committee of the Academic Medical Centre in Amsterdam. Selection criteria for methotrexate treatment varied in these studies. Although all studies limited recruitment to haemodynamically stable patients with unruptured ectopic pregnancy, in some series large ectopic pregnancies (>3.5 cm), fetal cardiac activity, and serum HCG concentrations above 10,000 IU/L were classified as contraindications to systemic methotrexate. The absence of such an improvement might be due to our methotrexate regimen (four doses). Single-dose regimens have been introduced since our trial was designed, with success rates of 71–94%.\textsuperscript{16–21} Although the first such study reported no significant side-effects,\textsuperscript{17} the latest reported side-effects in 41% of patients,\textsuperscript{22} Future studies should focus on varying methotrexate dose in view of potential toxic effects and potentially adverse long-term reproductive effects to improve compliance. To investigate whether these concerns are shared by patients with ectopic pregnancy, assessment of their health-related quality of life during different treatment modalities is necessary. Moreover, perhaps patients are willing to trade an increased burden of treatment against the benefits of a completely non-surgical management of ectopic pregnancy.

Our study does not show whether systemic methotrexate or laparoscopic salpingostomy is the treatment of choice in the conservative management of tubal pregnancy. Subsequent fertility outcome still has to be awaited to show which treatment yields better fertility prospects. Meta-analysis, pooling our results with those of future trials, may ultimately give more precise estimates of the treatment results in counselling of patients and decision analysis. Moreover, the choice between the two treatment modalities will also be determined by the impact on patients' health-related quality of life, patients' preferences, and costs.
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