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Table 2-1. The maximum absolute differences (DIFF) and root mean square (RMS) deviations between the invariant (invar) and interpolated (interp) dose-volume histograms compared to the calculated dose-volume histograms for different displacement errors (DISP). Two types of dose-volume histograms are shown: the differential dose-volume histograms (dDVHs), and the integral dose-volume histograms (iDVHs). All values are given in percent of relative dose (% DOSE) or relative volume (% VOL), depending on the histogram. The differences converge to zero at $\delta=0$ cm for all histograms. Under the interp columns, zeros are found at the displacement errors corresponding to the reference input dDVHs used for the interpolation (at $\delta=\pm 3.6$, $\pm 1.5$, $\pm 0.6$, and 0 cm).
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Table 3-2. Summary statistics for the volumes of interest. Numbers in the table are sample averages (%) with standard deviations (±). The $p$-values for the pair-wise comparisons are given in the last three columns. (BODY=partial body, dDVH=differential DVH, IMC=internal mammary chain, NA=not applicable, NTCP=normal tissue complication probability, V=versus, OBL=oblique electron technique, IMRT=IMRT tangent technique, WIDE=wide split tangent technique, SD=standard deviation, $V_{D95}$=DVH volume point at $X$% dose, $V_{D95-107}$= $V_{D95}$ minus $V_{D107}$, VOI=volume of interest)
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