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ABSTRACT: Photoswitchable materials are important in broad applications. Recently appeared inorganic photoswitchable upconversion nanoparticles (PUCNPs) become a competitive candidate to surmount the widespread issue of the organic counterparts—photobleaching. However, current PUCNPs follow solely Yb3+/Nd3+ cosensitizing mode, which results in complex multilayer doping patterns and imperfection of switching in UV–blue region. In this work, we have adopted a new strategy to construct Nd3+ free PUCNPs—NaErF4@NaYF4@NaYbF4:0.5%Tm@NaYF4. These PUCNPs demonstrate the superior property of photoswitching. A prominent UV–blue emission from Tm3+ is turned on upon 980 nm excitation, which can be completely turned off by 800 nm light. The quasi-monochromatic red upconversion emission upon 800 nm excitation—a distinct feature of undoping NaErF4 upconversion system—endows the PUCNPs with promising image-guided photoinduced “off–on” therapy in biomedicine. As a proof-of-concept we have demonstrated the imaging-guided photodynamic therapy (PDT) of cancer, where 800 nm excitation turns off the UV–blue emission and leaves the emission at 660 nm for imaging. Once the tumor site is targeted, excitation switching to 980 nm results in UV–blue emission and the red emission. The former is used to induce PDT, whereas the latter is to monitor the therapeutic process. Our study implies that this upconversion photoswitching material is suitable for real-time imaging and image-guided therapy under temporal and spatial control.
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However, limited by the doping elements of suitable spectroscopic properties, all the reported upconversion photo-swiches until now are restricted to Nd$^{3+}$ and Yb$^{3+}$ cosensitizing materials,$^{22}$ which are complex in structure since it requests different doping patterns in different layers (see Figure 3b), and accompanied by a leakage in switch function—certain emission region cannot be completely closed (Figure S2).$^{10}$ These restrictions can be lifted with recent advances in doping approaches of UCNPs. Last year, Almutairi et al.,$^{23}$ Liu et al.,$^{24}$ and our team$^{25}$ have independently reported that an effective upconversion luminescence can be realized in undoping NaErF$_4$@NaYF$_4$ (or NaLuF$_4$, NaGdF$_4$ shell etc.) nanostructures. Compared with conventional sensitizer/activator codoping systems, this type of upconversion material system possesses very interesting UC luminescence properties, e.g., prominent quasi-monochromatic red upconversion emission of Er$^{3+}$ and much weaker emission in UV-Visible region, owing to the ladder-like energy levels of Er$^{3+}$, the robust Er$^{3+}$-Er$^{3+}$ cross relaxation and the suppression of concentration quenching effect by shell protection, which shed light on developing high quality and less complex photoswitchable upconversion nanostructures.

In this work, we have successfully realized a complete photoswitching in UV−blue region with the structure: NaErF$_4$@NaYF$_4$@NaYbF$_4$:0.5%Tm@NaYF$_4$, in which the monochromatic red emission occurs upon ∼800 nm excitation, whereas strong UV and blue emissions, besides the red emission, appear upon ∼980 nm excitation (Figure 1 and 3a). Compared with the reported photoswitching UCNPs, our design is (1) Nd$^{3+}$-free, which has only one doping layer avoiding complex doping pattern in multiple layers and simplifies the synthesis, and (2) a complete photoswitching of Er$^{3+}$ in UV−blue region (e.g., ∼380 nm, ∼410 nm) due to the robust Er$^{3+}$-Er$^{3+}$ cross relaxation in the undoped NaErF$_4$ core, which ensures the UV−blue emission coming solely from the UC emission of Tm$^{3+}$. Given by this, the PUCNPs offer an alternative strategy for imaging-guided “off−on” phototherapy. More importantly, the nanoplatforms based on the PUCNPs could in principle circumvent the harmful effect of photodamage caused by the “always ON” UV−blue light during diagnosis, which highlights the bioapplications of lanthanide-based UC nanomaterials.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The general core–multishell nanostructure of PUCNPs is a prerequisite to ensure the realization of such photoswitching function. The as-designed NaErF₄@NaYF₄@NaYbF₄:0.5%Tm@NaYF₄ (C−S1−S2−S3, C = core, S = shell) nanostructure was synthesized by successive deposition of epitaxial multi shells. Figure 2a and b present the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the PUCNPs, ensuring the uniform size and morphology of the nanoparticles with an average diameter of ~40 nm. Furthermore, the high-angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) images shown in Figure 2c confirm the core–multishell structure of PUCNPs as the heavier lanthanide elements (Er and Yb, brighter parts) and the lighter ones (Y, darker parts) of the nanoparticle are distinguishable here. The sizes (or thickness) of the core and each shell are around 15 nm, 4.5 nm, 3.5 nm, 4.5 nm, respectively (as shown in Figure 2d). HAADF-STEM-EDS mapping (Figure 2e) and electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS, Figure 2f) reaffirm the lanthanide ions distributions in such PUCNPs, in agreement with the result of Figure 2c. The hexagonal phase of the obtained PUCNPs is confirmed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern (Supporting Information, Figure S1).

The corresponding photoswitching properties of the PUCNPs are displayed in Figure 3a. Upon excitation at 800 nm, only the monochromatic red emission (~660 nm) appears in the UV–visible region originated from the NaErF₄@NaYF₄ core-S1 part. Interestingly, upon 980 nm excitation, the UC red emission from the core-S1 part remained and the UV and blue emissions resulting from the S2−S3 part (NaYbF₄:0.5%Tm@NaYF₄) appear—a typical photoswitching process. As shown in Figure 3b, compared with the previously reported photoswitch structures, the PUCNPs not only simplify the structure by avoiding the Nd³⁺-doped layer and complex doping patterns in different layers, but also offer a better spectral regulation in the UV–blue region. All these advantages are attributed to the introduction of the Er³⁺-based self-sensitized UC system (NaErF₄), which makes it possible to build an efficient 800 nm triggered UCNPs-based photoswitch without Nd³⁺-sensitized layer. If we go over the conventional UC switches based on Yb³⁺-Er³⁺ or Nd³⁺/Yb³⁺-Er³⁺ combination, the non-negligible UV–blue UC emission of Er³⁺ (e.g., ~410 and ~380 nm, see Figure S2 in SI) is always a negative factor (vide supra). This defect can be overcome in our structure where the UV–blue emissions (even the green emission) of Er³⁺ are sufficiently quenched due to the robust Er³⁺-Er³⁺ cross-relaxation in the NaErF₄ core. As shown in Figure S2, compared with the traditional Nd³⁺/Yb³⁺ sensitized UC structure, the NaErF₄-based structure reduces the UV–blue emission by at least 1 order of magnitude, while the red emission remains effective. Therefore, our design guarantees a better control of UV–blue emission, which is in favor of photoswitching involved applications (e.g., real-time UCL imaging and imaging-guided treatment).

Next, we optimize the structure of the PUCNPs. As far as the luminescence is concerned, the structure can be divided into two parts, namely, the NaErF₄@NaYF₄ core-S1 part and NaYbF₄:0.5%Tm@NaYF₄ S2−S3 part. For the first part, the S1 shell thickness is important. As shown in Figure 3c, the UC luminescence of this part is significantly increased when the NaYF₄ shell gets thicker, until ~4.5 nm, which is in line with our previous report.²⁵ Besides, thicker S1 layer blocks better the energy transfer between the luminescent core (NaErF₄) and S2 layer (NaYbF₄:0.5%Tm), in favor of strong UC luminescence.
As shown in Figure S3, if S1 layer is not thick enough, say less than ∼4.5 nm in our case, the components of the two parts will be diffused to a certain extent to the S1 layer which is unavoidable during the high temperature synthesis, and the diffused ions may interact with each other in the S1 layer which shall significantly reduce the UC emission of S2 layer (the UC emission of Tm$^{3+}$ can be well quenched by the diffused Er$^{3+}$). This argument is further supported by the quenching effect of Nd$^{3+}$ since Nd$^{3+}$ is known to play the role of energy trap for the UC emission of Er$^{3+}$. Thus, we have designed NaErF$_4$@NaYF$_4$@NaYF$_4$:80%Nd sandwich nanostructure (see Figure S4 for details). It is found out that only when the barrier layer is thicker than ∼4.5 nm, the quenching effect of Nd$^{3+}$ on the NaErF$_4$ core could be completely ignored, in agreement with results of Figure S3. Therefore, in the structure studied, the S1 layer thickness is set as ∼4.5 nm.

As to the second part, high doping concentration of Yb$^{3+}$ is used to achieve a robust UV−blue emission, which is rationalized by a simple sandwich structure: NaYF$_4@NaYF$_4$\_x$ %Yb$0.5%$Tm$@NaYF$_4$ (x: 20−99.5). With the similar sizes (38 ± 3 nm) and morphologies of as-synthesized UCNPs (confirmed by the SEM images in Figure S5), the UC emission is enhanced significantly with the increase of Yb$^{3+}$ concentration, as shown in Figure 3d. Compared to the most popular doping concentration (i.e., 20%), Yb$^{3+}$ concentration of 99.5% results in 40 times enhancement (emission intensity integrated range from 250 to 500 nm). This effect is ascribed to "size confinement effect" in the specific inert core−active shell−inner shell nanostructure. On the basis of the above results and discussion, the PUCNP is optimized as NaErF$_4$(∼15 nm)@NaYF$_4$(∼4.5 nm)@NaYF$_4$:0.5%Tm(∼3.5 nm)@NaYF$_4$(∼4.5 nm).

These PUCNPs are feasible for the application in theranostics. We demonstrate here, as a proof-of-concept, the features of separately controlling the upconversion luminescence (UCL) imaging (diagnosis) and photodynamic therapy (PDT) of cancer using PUCNPs@TiO$_2$ nanophotosensitizers (NanoPS). Considering that the photocatalyst-titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) can only absorb UV light to catalyze the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), the PUCNPs@TiO$_2$ NanoPS can induce PDT only by 980 nm excitation (as shown in Figure 4c). The synthesis and photoswitching function of NanoPS are illustrated in Figure 4a. 800 nm laser excitation only generates quasi-monochromatic red emission for UCL imaging as diagnosis. Once the tumor site is targeted, the excitation shall switch to 980 nm to induce additional UV emission for PDT. Therefore, the photoswitching manner of the designed NanoPS guarantees the safety of imaging and the precision of therapy. The TEM image in Figure 4b reveals that some TiO$_2$ nanoparticles are decorated on the surface of PUCNPs as antennas, indicating the successful preparation of the PUCNPs@TiO$_2$ platform. Furthermore, the selective energy transfer efficiency between PUCNPs and TiO$_2$ is identified to 63%, as calculated from the dropped UV/red emission ratio subject to the TiO$_2$ modification (Figure 4c).

Before the application of PUCNPs@TiO$_2$ in vivo, its dark cytotoxicity was evaluated in vitro using A549 cells (Human lung adenocarcinoma epithelial). As shown in Figure S6, cell viability is higher than 85% even with a relatively high concentration (800 μg/mL) of PUCNPs@TiO$_2$. To study the cell imaging profiles, A549 cells were incubated with the PUCNPs@TiO$_2$ at 200 μg/mL overnight and the cell nuclei were stained by DAPI, giving the blue emission as shown in Figure 5a. According to the overlapped channels, the UCL of PUCNPs@TiO$_2$ appears around the nucleus, indicating the...
cellular internalization of the nanoplatform. Comparison between the UCL blue and red channels under different laser excitations (980 and 800 nm, respectively) comes out that only 980 nm excitation induces a bright blue UCL, whereas the red UCL can be generated by both 980 and 800 nm excitation.

Subsequently, the ROS generation of PUCNPs@TiO$_2$ nanoplatform was studied, where fluoresceinyl cypridina luciferin analogue (FCLA) was used as a specific chemiluminescence probe of 1O$_2$ and O$_2^-$.

As shown in Figure S7, 980 nm excitation of the nanoplatform results in a cumulative chemiluminescence—a symbol of the increase of ROS generation. On the contrary, 800 nm light irradiation has no such effect. The corresponding standard curves are given as well to reconfirm it. Importantly, the intracellular ROS generation was also confirmed by the nonfluorescent DCFDA probe, which could be deacetylated and further oxidized by ROS to the fluorescent DCF$^2$. In Figure S8, compared to the control group and 800 nm laser treated group, the 980 nm laser treated group is proved to have effective generation of ROS, showing the strong fluorescence. The results above confirm the mechanism of ROS-mediated photoswitchable PDT.

Following the confirmation of ROS generation, the PDT effect of PUCNPs@TiO$_2$ against A549 cancer cells was evaluated by MTT assay. Dark toxicity, as a control, was also evaluated. After 24 h cell incubation, the difference in cell viability is clear when switching the wavelengths of irradiation. Upon 980 nm laser irradiation at 1 W/cm$^2$, the cell viability (as shown in Figure 5b) decreases significantly, which is more profound with higher drug dosage. For example, at 400 μg/mL concentration, cell viability is down to 15%, indicating a robust
therapeutic effect. But there is no treatment effect under 800 nm laser excitation with the same light dosage.

On the basis of the in vitro results, the in vivo UCL imaging and photoswitchable PDT were then carried out. For UCL imaging, intravenous (IV) injection was conducted on tumor-bearing mice with 100 μL of PBS or PBS containing PUCNPs@TiO2 (10 mg/mL). At 2 and 24 h after the injection, the tumor-bearing mice were exposed to the nontherapeutic 800 nm laser, as shown in Figure 5c. Compared to the control group, after 2 h the group of mice injected with the as-designed nanoplatform has already exhibited strong UCL in the tumor sites. Twenty-four hours later, the UCL intensity is observed to increase in the same area. Our results suggest the ability of PUCNPs@TiO2 to accumulate in tumor site with the EPR effect. Then all the tumors and major organs of these mice were taken out for the ex vivo UCL imaging (Figure 5d). The tumor of the mice treated with PUCNPs@TiO2 shows a strong luminescence signal. The signals are also observed in liver, spleen and kidney, while negligible signals are observable in other organs such as heart, lung (not provided). The results can qualitatively reflect the distribution of PUCNPs@TiO2 in the body after IV injection.

For photoswitchable PDT, Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) tumor-bearing C57BL/6 mice were intravenously injected with PUCNPs@TiO2, and the tumor part was irradiated by 980 and 800 nm laser with the same light dosage at 0.5 W/cm² for 15 min irradiation (3 min irradiation each time with 3 min interval), respectively. In addition, the group injected with UCNPs@TiO2 without laser irradiation, the group only irradiated by 980 or 800 nm laser, as well as the group without any processing, were set as control groups. As shown in Figure 5e, the excised tumors from these groups have confirmed the inhibition effect—the tumor size under 980 nm triggered PDT treatment is the smallest, whereas there is no significant difference among the other groups, even for the 800 nm triggered PDT treatment group with the same light dosage, which features the advantage of the as-designed photoswitchable PUCNPs@TiO2 NanoPS in separating diagnosis (800 nm laser excitation) and photodynamic therapy (980 nm laser excitation).

To further confirm the therapeutic effect, the histological changes of corresponding excised tumors were evaluated by using hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining in Figure 5f. There are more significant damages in tumor cells with the injection of PUCNPs-TiO2 (with 980 nm irradiation) than those in control groups. The histological analysis was also performed in heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney (as shown in Figure 5g) and no pathological changes were observed between the untreated group and 980 nm triggered PDT treatment group, indicating the harmlessness of the PUCNPs-TiO2 NanoPS to normal organs. According to the overall therapeutic effect as shown in Figure 5g, only the group received the injection of PUCNPs@TiO2, and 980 nm irradiation exhibits a significant PDT effect as evidenced by the lowest tumor growth rate. By contrast, the tumors of the other control groups grow continuously under the same conditions. Thus, the as-designed PUCNPs can be a candidate for NIR light-triggered photoswitchable nanoplatform with potential application in precise medicine.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, a Nd3+ free photoswitchable upconversion nanomaterial, i.e., NaErF4@NaYF4@NaYbF4:0.5%Tm@NaYF4, is realized. This structure succeeds in a complete photoswitching in UV—blue region, compared to the current Nd3+ photoswitching materials. The features of this nanostructure are (1) the core is dopant free, and thus a prominent quasimonochromatic red upconversion emission of Er3+ is realized, (2) the intermediate layer thickness is set to 4.5 nm to avoid diffusion effect, and (3) the doping concentration of Yb3+ ions is raised to 99.5% to simplify the sandwich structure and achieve the robust UV—blue upconversion emission. As a proof of concept, we have constructed PUCNPs@TiO2 as photoswitchable nanophotosensitizers to demonstrate its ability of imaging-guided “off—on” therapy. Our results indicate that this photoswitchable upconversion nanostructure can be expected to have promising applications in biomedicine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents. RECl3·6H2O (Re: Y, Yb, Er, Nd, Tm > 99%), oleic acid (OA, 90%), 1-octadecene (ODE, 90%), oleylamine (70%), CF3COONa, CF3COO)3Y, CF3COO)3Tm, CF3COONa, TmF4 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. RE2O3 (Re: Tm, Yb) was purchased from Aladdin. NaOH, NH4F, methanol, ethanol and cyclohexane were purchased from GPS Chemical. Fluoresceinyl cypridina luciferin analogue (FCLA) was obtained from Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Tokyo, Japan. All the chemicals were of analytical grade and there was no further purification. The cell lines used in this study were obtained from Basic Medical Sciences, Jilin University.

Preparation of PUCNPs. In order to synthesis the multishelled NaErF4@NaYF4@NaYbF4:0.5%Tm@NaYF4 nanoparticle, an epilalex layer-by-layer growth Ostwald ripening method was employed.26 The precursors of each shell were prepared in advance. The synthesis of bare core (NaErF4) was following a previously reported procedure with some modification.26,28

Synthesis of Bare Core NaErF4 Nanoparticles. In a typical experiment, 1 mmol ErCl3 was added in a three-neck flask with 6 mL OA and 15 mL ODE. The mixture was stirred at 160 °C for 30 min under an argon atmosphere until a clear light-pink solution was obtained. Then it was cooled down to room temperature. After being added with 5 mL methanol solution containing 2.5 mmol NaOH and 4 mmol NH4F, the clear solution turned into opacity and was heated to 70 °C for 20 min to remove methanol molecules. With argon gas protection, the solution was rapidly heated to 300 °C and maintained for another 90 min with stirring. The resulting nanoparticles were first washed with acetone and then washed with ethanol twice. Then they were dispersed in 4 mL cyclohexane.

NaF4 Precursor. Typically, 2 mmol CF3COONa and 2 mmol (CF3COO)3Y were added into a 100 mL flask with 6 mL OA, 10 mL ODE and 6 mL oleylamine. The mixture was robustly stirred at 160 °C for 30 min to dissolve the reagents. Then the solution was directly heated to 290 °C for 1 h and cooled down to room temperature. The obtained precursor was precipitated by adding excess ethanol. Then after centrifugation, the products were finally redispersed in 4 mL ODE.

NaYb(x)F4:Tm (0.5 mol %) Precursor. A set of NaYb(x)F4·0.5%Tm precursor was prepared with increasing concentrations of Yb3+ sensitzers (20%, 40%, 60%, 80% and 99.5%). Briefly, TmF4 (1 mmol) was dissolved in 50% aqueous trifluoroacetic acid (20 mL), refluxed overnight at 95 °C, and dried overnight at 70 °C remaining ~2 mmol Thulium trifluoroacetate as white powder. Similarly, the Ytterbium trifluoroacetate was obtained. The Tm3+ concentrations were fixed at 0.5% to ensure a uniform Tm3+ content in these samples. Taking NaYbF3·0.5%Tm precursor as an example, 1.99 mmol (CF3COO)3Yb, 0.01 mmol (CF3COO)3Tm, 2 mmol CF3COONa were added into a 100 mL flask with 6 mL OA, 10 mL ODE and 6 mL...
oleylamine. The following preparation was conducted in a similar procedure.

**General Procedure for the Synthesis of Core–Shell–Shell Nanoparticles (NaYF₄@NaYbF₄@NaErF₄)** The synthesis of NaYF₄@NaYbF₄ core nanoparticles were mixed in the 100 mL three-neck flask with 6 mL OA, 15 mL ODE. Protected by argon gas, the solution was rapidly heated to 300 °C. When the solution temperature reached 300 °C, 1 mmol NaYb(y)F₄(x)F₆@0.5%Tm@NaYF₄. The as-obtained NaYF₄ core nanoparticles were dissolved in 11 mL cyclohexane solution for further use. Briefly, 2 mL cyclohexane solution containing 0.5 mmol NaYF₄ bare core nanoparticles were mixed in the 100 mL three-neck flask with 6 mL OA, 15 mL ODE. Protected by argon gas, the solution was rapidly heated to 300 °C. When the solution temperature reached 300 °C, 1 mmol NaYb(y)F₄(x)F₆@0.5%Tm precursor and 2 mmol NaYF₄ precursor were injected into the solution in sequence, each with a reaction time of 30 min to form corresponding epitaxial shells. After the entire reaction, the mixture was cooled down and the resultant products were collected by centrifugation with acetone once and ethanol twice.

**Synthesis of Multishelled Nanoparticles (NaErF₄@NaYF₄@NaYbF₄@NaYF₄:0.5%Tm@NaYF₄)** The procedure is similar to core–shell–shell nanoparticles and just take the core–shell NaErF₄@NaYF₄ as bare core. The PUCNPs with two-way of excitation were optimized by varying the doping content to increase shell thickness. According to the results above, NaErF₄@NaYF₄@NaYbF₄@0.5%Tm@NaYF₄ with various shell thicknesses were synthesized.

**Synthesis of NaErF₄@NaYF₄@NaYbF₄:Tm@NaYF₄ Nanoparticles.** The procedure is similar to the above protocol with different thickness of NaYF₄ layer.

**Preparation of PUCNPs@TiO₂ Nanoplatform for PDT.** The PUCNPs@TiO₂ nanoplatform was prepared according to the reported method. First, the ligand-free of PUCNPs was obtained by removing the surface ligand (oleic acid, OA) on the nanoparticles. Typically, 4 mL 0.1 M HCl solution was added to the 4 mL of PUCNPS-OA cyclohexane solution. And the mixture was stirred vigorously until the PUCNPs were transferred to the water phase, monitored by the 980 nm laser. The PUCNPs were separated from the below solution and centrifuged at 12 000 rpm for 15 min. After that, the supernatant was discarded and the ligand-free nanoparticles were purified by washing with diwater for three times and finally dispersed for further use. The concentration of PUCNPs was determined by weighing out the dried nanoparticles in solution. Next, 500 mg PVP was dissolved in 4 mL of diwater containing 16 mg of ligand-free PUCNPs. After stirring at RT overnight, 20 mL of absolute ethanol was added to the above solution, then 4 mL of TiF₄ solution (3 mg/mL) was added dropwise to the solution. Finally, the solution was transferred to the 50 mL of autoclave and kept at 180 °C for 8 h. After the autoclave cooled to RT, the as-prepared PUCNPs@TiO₂ was collected by centrifugation and washed with ethanol and diwater several times, respectively, and then dissolved in water for further use.

**Detection of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS).** ROS was detected by FCLA according to a previously published method. First of all, to confirm the photoswitch manner of ROS generation, the time-dependent ROS production at the same concentration of PUCNPs@TiO₂ was studied. Briefly, 1 mL of the reaction mixture contained PUCNPs@TiO₂ (400 μg/mL), 0.06 μg/mL of FCLA (prepared just before use) and 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) in a standard quartz cuvette (1 × 1 × 4 cm). Then the mixture was irradiated by 800 and 980 nm lasers (for 0, 5, 10, 15 min) at the same photodose of 0.5 W/cm². After separated from the PUCNPs@TiO₂ nanoparticles by centrifugation, the supernatant was immediately measured by fluorescence spectrometer, respectively. Then, the concentration-dependent ROS production was also studied. Similar experimental methods were performed. The concentration of ROS produced by PUCNPs@TiO₂ (a serial of concentrations) under 980 or 800 nm excitation at 0.5 W/cm². To guarantee that there is enough dissolved oxygen, we only irradiated for 5 min with stirring.

The generation of ROS was also confirmed by the intracellular experiment using DCIH-DA probe. Typically, A549 cells were seeded on the glass bottom of cell-culture plates at a density of 1.5 × 10⁴ cells/well. After that, the cells were incubated with PUCNPs@TiO₂ (200 μg/mL) at 37 °C for 12 h and then treated with DCIH-DA (10 μM) at 37 °C for 20 min. The culture medium was discharged and the cells were rinsed with medium. Finally, the cells were treated with 800 and 980 nm laser at 0.5 W/cm² power density for 10 min, respectively. The fluorescence intensity of the cells was evaluated by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) (Ex = 488 nm and Em = 500–540 nm).

**800 nm for Real-Time Cellular Imaging and 980 nm for PDT with Photoswitchable Smart PUCNPs Nanoplatform In Vitro.** On the basis of the smart multifunctional two-way of NIR photoswitch PUCNPs. As a proof of concept, we performed the real-time image-guided PDT in A549 cells. Briefly, the cellular uptake profiles and irradiation wavelength dependent cytotoxicity were evaluated.

For cellular imaging, A549 cells were seeded on the cell-culture plates (1.5 × 10⁴ cells/well) for 24 h. Then the medium was replaced by the fresh medium containing PUCNPs@TiO₂ nanoparticles, followed by another 12 h incubation. Afterward, the cells were rinsed with PBS, fixed with immunol staining fix solution and stained with DAPI for 5 min. Then it was examined by a modified CLSM with an upconversion system (Nikon microscope equipped with 980 and 800 nm lasers) upon different excitation wavelengths.

For PDT study, A549 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 1 × 10⁴ cells/well. After confluent growth for 24 h, the culture medium was discharged and the cells were incubated with fresh medium containing various concentration of PUCNPs@TiO₂. A standard MTT method was explored to examine the cytotoxicity of as-prepared PUCNPs@TiO₂ after another 24 h incubation. On the basis of dark cytotoxicity results, the in vitro PDT was carried out. The same concentration of PUCNPs@TiO₂ and the same irradiation power densities (1 W/cm²) under 800 and 980 nm light were exposed to the determined concentration of cell wells for 15 min, respectively. Similarly, the cell viability was evaluated by MTT assay.

**Photoswitching PDT and Imaging In Vivo.** All the animal studies were approved in accordance with the animal management protocols. The tumor-bearing mice were successfully obtained by the subcutaneous injection of nude Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) cells into C57BL/6 mice. When the tumors were grown to a size of around 50 mm³, the tumor-bearing mice were randomly assigned into six different groups: (i) untreated control, (ii) control (800 nm NIR-light alone), (iii) control (800 nm NIR-light alone) (iv) PUCNPs@TiO₂ alone, (v) PUCNPs@TiO₂ with 800 nm laser, and (vi) PUCNPs@TiO₂ with 980 nm PDT, and each group contained of 5 mice. Except for the control groups, all the other groups were intravenously injected with 100 μL of PUCNPs@TiO₂ (10 mg/mL) but with different treatment strategies. Tumor size was measured after treated for 2 weeks. The calculation of tumor volume was conducted by applying the following formula: Volume = (a/b²)/2, where a and b are tumor dimensions in 2 orthogonal directions. All the animals were sacrificed at day 14. Xenografts were dissected free of surrounding tissue and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. In vivo UCL imaging was performed with an IVIS spectrum, modified with the equipment of an 800 nm CW laser as the excitation source.
(E) SEM images of NaYF$_4$@NaYF$_{3}$:x%Yb, 0.5%Tm@NaYF$_3$ (x = 20 to 99.5%); (F) Cell viabilities of A549 cells treated with PUCNPs@TiO$_2$; (G) Consumption of FCLA resulted by PUCNPs@TiO$_2$; (H) Laser-induced ROS generation of the PUCNPs@TiO$_2$ in A549 cells using DCFH-DA probe; (I) H&E staining of various organ tissues (PDF).
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