Tax Competition and EU Law
Pinto, C.

Citation for published version (APA):
Pinto, C. (2002). Tax Competition and EU Law

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.
# Table of Contents

## Chapter 1 - The Issue of (Harmful) Tax Competition

1. **What is Tax Competition?**
   - 1.1 Basic Concept of Tax Competition
   - 1.2 Main Categorisations of Tax Competition
   - 1.3 Main Forms and Features of Tax Incentives
   - 1.4 Concept of Tax Competition in an International Context

2. **Upside and Downside of Tax Competition: 'Harmful' Tax Competition**
   - 2.1 Introduction
   - 2.2 'Good' Tax Competition
   - 2.3 'Harmful' Tax Competition
   - 2.4 More on Harmful Tax Competition: Foreign Direct Investment vs. Portfolio (Passive) Investment
   - 2.5 'Active' versus 'Passive' Tax Competition and the Prisoner's Dilemma
   - 2.6 Where is the Borderline?

3. **(Harmful) Tax Competition: Theory, Evidence, and Methodological Issues**
   - 3.1 Overview
   - 3.2 Theories and Evidence on Interjurisdictional Tax Competition in the US Experience
   - 3.3 Significance of US Studies and Experience for the EU
   - 3.4 Methodologies to Study Tax Competition in an International (and EU) Context

4. **Economic Evidence of (Harmful) Tax Competition in the European Union**
   - 4.1 Introduction
   - 4.2 (Harmful) Tax Competition and the Ruding Report
     - 4.2.1 The Ruding Report (1992) and the Commission Reaction
     - 4.2.2 Main differences in Member States’ tax systems
     - 4.2.3 Survey on the Impact of Taxation on the Firms’ Locational Decisions
   - 4.3 The Monti Memorandum, the Commission Report and (Harmful) Tax Competition: the ‘Monti Cross’
   - 4.4 Further Economic Evidence of Tax Competition in Europe
   - 4.5 The 2001 Commission Study on Company Taxation in the EU
     - 4.5.1 Overview
     - 4.5.2 Relationship with the Ruding Report; key developments in the EU
     - 4.5.3 Comparison of Member States’ ‘benchmark’ tax systems (Qualitative analysis)
     - 4.5.4 Comparison of Effective Tax Burdens in the Member States (Quantitative analysis)
     - 4.5.5 Comparison with the Ruding Report and the Baker & McKenzie studies
     - 4.5.6 Synthesis

5. **Main Conclusions**

## Chapter 2 - EU Legal Framework of (Harmful) Tax Competition

1. **Introduction**

2. **Fundamental Treaty Provisions and Tax Competition**

---

**Note:** The page numbers provided are placeholders and do not reflect the actual pagination of the document. The table is designed to provide a clear and organized overview of the document's structure and content.
4.2.2 The Code of Conduct: Legal Nature, Scope, and Criteria to Identify Harmful Tax Regimes 160
4.2.3 Consequences of the Application of the Code of Conduct and Implementation Rules 163
4.2.4 Evaluation of the Code 164

4.3 Follow-up to the Code of Conduct: The “Primarolo” Report and the “2000 Guidelines” 166
4.3.1 Establishment of the “Code of Conduct Group” and its Work 166
4.3.2 The “Primarolo Report” and the “2000 Guidelines” 167
4.3.3 Financial Services and Royalty Income 168
4.3.4 Insurance Activities 170
4.3.5 ‘Headquarter Company’ Regimes: the ‘Centres’ and Intra-Group Services 170
4.3.6 Holding and Offshore Company Regimes 171
4.3.7 The Member States’ Reactions to the Primarolo Report 173
4.3.8 Comments on the Primarolo Report and the 2000 Guidelines 174

4.4 Financial Services Regimes 176
4.4.1 Overview 176
4.4.2 Financial Services Performed to Third Parties: the Irish IFSC and the Madeira ZFM 176
4.4.3 Intra-Group Financial Services: the Luxembourg and Netherlands ‘Finance Company’ Regimes 179
4.4.4 The Netherlands ‘CFM’ Regime 184
4.4.5 ‘Finance Branch’ Regimes and the 2000 Guidelines 187

4.5 ‘Headquarter Company’ Regimes and Intra-Group Activities Performed by ‘Centres’ 190
4.5.1 Overview 190
4.5.2 The Belgium Regimes for Coordination Centres and Service Centres 191
4.5.3 Distribution Centre Regimes 193

4.6 ‘Holding Company’ Regimes and the Participation Exemption 196
4.6.1 Use of Holding Companies and Criteria to Assess the Harmfulness of Their Tax Treatment 196
4.6.2 The Participation Exemption: the Netherlands Example and the 2000 Guidelines 197
4.6.3 The Danish Participation Exemption and its Recent Changes 203
4.6.4 Special Holding Companies Regimes: the Luxembourg “1929 Holding Company” (and the SOPARFI) Regimes 206
4.6.5 Spain: the ETV 207
4.6.6 Recent Trends in the EU and the Future of the Participation Exemption and Holding Companies 210

4.7 Tax Authorities’ Discretion: The Old and New Netherlands Ruling Practices 212

4.8 The OECD Effort to Counter Harmful Tax Competition 215
4.8.1 Introduction 215
4.8.2 The 1998 OECD Report: Scope and Criteria to Identify Harmful Tax Competition 216
4.8.3 Countermeasures Recommended by the 1998 Report and the “Forum on Harmful Tax Practices” 219
4.8.5 Reactions to the 2000 Report (and the MOU) and Follow-up 223
4.8.6 The 2001 Progress Report and the Milder Approach of the OECD 225