Aortic pressure-area relation in Marfan patients with and without beta blocking agents: a new non-invasive approach
Nollen, G.J.; Westerhof, B.E.; Groenink, M.; Osnabrugge, A.; van der Wall, E.E.; Mulder, B.J.M.

Published in:
Heart

DOI:
10.1136/hrt.2003.010702

Citation for published version (APA):
Aortic pressure–area relation in Marfan patients with and without β blocking agents: a new non-invasive approach

G J Nollen, B E Westerhof, M Groenink, A Osnabrugge, E E van der Wall and B J M Mulder

Heart 2004;90:314-318
doi:10.1136/hrt.2003.010702

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://heart.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/90/3/314

These include:

References
This article cites 32 articles, 13 of which can be accessed free at:
http://heart.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/90/3/314#BIBL

Rapid responses
You can respond to this article at:
http://heart.bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/90/3/314

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

Topic collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

- Other Cardiovascular Medicine (2059 articles)
- Genetics (3952 articles)

Notes

To order reprints of this article go to:
http://www.bmjjournals.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to Heart go to:
http://www.bmjjournals.com/subscriptions/
Aortic pressure–area relation in Marfan patients with and without β blocking agents: a new non-invasive approach

G J Nollen, B E Westerhof, M Groenink, A Osnabrugge, E E van der Wall, B J M Mulder

Objective: To investigate the heterogeneous response to β blockade in patients with Marfan syndrome by non-invasive assessment of the aortic pressure–area curve.

Design and patients: 25 patients with the Marfan syndrome who used β blocking agents (mean (SD) age, 29 (10) years; 20 men, five women), seven without β blockade (34 (14) years; five men, two women), and 10 controls (29 (5) years; seven men, three women) underwent magnetic resonance imaging and non-invasive continuous blood pressure measurement. Pressure–area curves were constructed at the level of the descending thoracic aorta. A transition point was defined as the pressure at which the pressure–area relation deviated from its elastic (linear) to the collagen (exponential) course.

Setting: Tertiary referral centre for adult congenital heart disease.

Results: In six patients (five with and one without β blockade), a transition point in the pressure–area curve was observed, indicating that the load bearing component was not only elastin but also collagen. In the remaining 26 Marfan patients and in the control subjects a linear pressure–area relation was observed. Five patients on β blockade showed a transition point in the pressure–area curve which could play a crucial role in the heterogeneous response to β blocker treatment in Marfan patients. Patients with a transition at low blood pressures may not benefit from β blocking agents.

METHODS

Study population

We studied 34 consecutive patients with Marfan syndrome, in the age range 19 to 54 years. The diagnosis of Marfan syndrome had been established according to the Ghent criteria.15 All subjects underwent MRI immediately followed by finger arterial blood pressure recordings in the supine position with the Finometer. Two patients were excluded because of poor MRI quality. Of the 32 remaining patients, 22 (mean (SD) age, 33 (11) years; 16 men, six women) had previously undergone aortic root replacement. A group of 10 age and sex matched healthy subjects (aged 29 (5) years; seven men, three women) served as a reference population. The characteristics of the study group are shown in table 1.

The study was approved by the local ethics committee, and individual oral and written informed consent was obtained in each patient.

Pressure recording

Finger arterial blood pressure was recorded with a Finometer, the most recent device in the series of finger arterial blood pressure monitors such as Finapres and Portapres developed by TNO Biomedical Instrumentation (Finapres Medical Systems, Arnhem, Netherlands). The Finometer displays the beat to beat reconstruction of brachial pressure and uses an upper arm cuff for the calibration of this signal.16,17 Signals are digitised using a sampling rate of 200 Hz and internally stored. The reconstructed brachial pressure recordings were filtered off-line to derive near-aortic pressures using a generalised filter.18–20 Pressure drop because of frictional losses is usually negligible in the pressure transfer over the brachial artery and therefore mean aortic pressure is equal to mean brachial pressure. Pulse shapes, however, are substantially different and this is largely accounted for by filtering. From the reconstructed aortic pressure a beat was selected taking care to match the beat to the heart rate and blood
pressure values measured during MRI with a Dinamap oscillometric blood pressure monitor.

MRI measurements
Imaging was done on a Siemens Magnetom Vision 1.5 Tesla magnetic resonance system (Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany). Image acquisition was triggered on the ECG. The entire aorta was imaged in the transverse and oblique sagittal planes using a standard spin echo pulse sequence. Next, a high resolution gradient echo pulse sequence with a velocity encoding gradient (cross sectional fast low angle shot (FLASH): time of repetition (TR) 24 ms, time of echo (TE) 5 ms, flip angle 20°, matrix size 256×256) was applied perpendicular to the aorta. This resulted in multiphase image pairs of modulus and velocity encoded images with a temporal resolution of 24 ms through the cardiac cycle and a spatial resolution of approximately 1 pixel/mm.

During the flow measurement, the blood pressure and the heart rate were measured using a Dinamap oscillometric blood pressure monitor. A workstation (Sparc Ultra; Sun Microsystems; Mountain View, California, USA) and the FLOW image analysis software (Medis, Leiden, Netherlands) were used for image analysis. Aortic contours were drawn manually on the modulus images of all cardiac phases, and aortic contours were calculated.

Pressure–area curve construction
For each subject, reconstructed aortic blood pressure and area waveforms were assessed at the descending thoracic aorta at the level of the pulmonary bifurcation (fig 1). This level of the pulmonary bifurcation was chosen for the following reasons. First, the filter applied on Finometer recordings produces descending thoracic aortic pressures; second, by choosing this level Marfan patients with an aortic root replacement could also be included.

Calculations
Transition point (TP, mm Hg) was defined as the pressure at which the pressure–area relation deviated from its initial linear behaviour (fig 2A). In this report, E is used for elastance and not for the Young’s modulus of the wall material.

Elastance of elastin (E_e, mm Hg/mm²) was calculated as the slope of the first (linear) part of the pressure–area curve.

Elastance of collagen (E_c, mm Hg/mm²) was calculated as the slope of the exponential part of the pressure–area curve after the transition point.

Distensibility (D, mm Hg⁻¹) was calculated by means of the equation:

\[ D = \frac{\Delta A}{A_{\text{min}} \times \Delta P} \]

in which \( \Delta A \) = area change (mm²), \( A_{\text{min}} \) = minimum area (mm²), and \( \Delta P \) = pressure change (mm Hg).

Statistics
Data are given as mean (SD). Differences between the groups were assessed by the unpaired Student t test. Logistic regression analysis was done to assess independent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the 42 subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marfan patients (n = 32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β Blocker use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body surface area (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD) or n.

Figure 1 Aortic site at which the pressure–area curve was constructed. The arterial pressure waveform is usually almost identical to the aortic area waveforms. The area waveforms assessed by magnetic resonance imaging were then matched in time with the reconstructed aortic pressure waveform.

Figure 2 Pressure–area curves (solid lines) of (A) six Marfan patients with a transition point and (B) 26 without a transition point. Dotted line: elastance of elastin (E_e). Striped line: elastance of collagen. E, elastance; TP, transition point.
predictors of the occurrence a transition point. Statistical analysis was undertaken using the SPSS statistical package (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA). The level of significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

RESULTS

Pressure recording

Reconstructed brachial systolic pressures were close to the Dinamap oscillometric systolic pressures, at 114 (10) \( \times \) 115 (10) mm Hg, respectively (NS). Reconstructed brachial diastolic pressures differed significantly from the Dinamap oscillometric diastolic pressures (73 (8) and 61 (9) mm Hg; \( p < 0.01 \)). Reconstructed aortic heart rate was similar to the heart rate during MRI, at 63 (11) \( \times \) 64 (11) beats/min (NS).

Pressure–area curves and transition point

Pressure–area curves were successfully recorded in all 42 subjects. In the group of 10 control subjects no transition point in the pressure–area curves was observed. However, in six of the 32 patients with the Marfan syndrome (19%), a transition point in the pressure–area curve was demonstrated (table 2). We found no significant difference in the occurrence of a transition point in the pressure–area curve between Marfan patients with \( \beta \) blocker treatment and those without (table 2). There was no difference in the slope of the linear part of the pressure–area curve (\( E_p \)) between control subjects, patients without a transition point, and patients with a transition point (table 3). There was a trend towards decreased distensibility in patients with a transition point in the pressure–area curve compared with those without (table 3).

Aortic dimensions and distensibility

Distensibility was decreased in all Marfan patients compared with the control subjects, at 3.3 (1.4) \( \times \) 4.6 (0.9) \( \times \) \( 10^{-3} \) mm Hg \(^{-1} \), respectively (\( p < 0.02 \)). Descending aortic diameters were increased in the Marfan patients, at 24 (2) mm \( \times \) 20 (3) mm in the controls (\( p < 0.01 \)). The difference in aortic dimensions or distensibility between Marfan patients with and without \( \beta \) blocker treatment was not significant (table 2).

DISCUSSION

In this study we describe a new non-invasive method to evaluate aortic pressure–area relations in individuals with Marfan syndrome, with and without \( \beta \) blocker treatment, and in normal subjects. In six Marfan patients (five with and one without \( \beta \) blockade) a transition point in the pressure–area curve was observed, indicating that the load bearing component contained collagen as well as elastin. In the resting blood pressure range, we found no significant differences between Marfan patients with and without \( \beta \) blocker treatment in aortic distensibility, the slope of the linear part of the pressure–area curve, blood pressure, or aortic dimensions.

Pressure recording

Aortic blood pressures can only be recorded invasively, and reconstruction techniques are helpful tools to estimate more central pressures from non-invasive recordings. As it is not yet possible to use the Finomter front end in the MRI scanner, pressure recording was done immediately after imaging. Both measurements were assessed under similar circumstances, confirmed by the similarity of heart rate and systolic blood pressure in the two measurements. There was a significant difference between Finometer brachial diastolic pressures and Dinamap oscillometric diastolic pressures. It is well known from published reports that Dinamap oscillometric readings are usually below intra-arterial brachial pressure, especially diastolic pressure.

Pressure–area curves and transition point

Several studies have reported aortic pressure–area curves with invasive local intravascular measurements, while others used brachial pressure measured by a sphygmomonometer as a surrogate for aortic pressure. MRI combined with non-invasive continuous measured blood pressure—such as by the Finapres method—allows non-invasive assessment of aortic pressure–area curves. Because the relation between pressure and area is not linear, the pressure–area curve gives additional information on the load bearing component. Over a century ago Roy recognised that the more an artery is stretched the harder it becomes to stretch it further. This phenomenon occurs because at lower pressures elastin is the major load bearing component. Collagen fibres, which are far stronger but more difficult to stretch, are recruited progressively as the load increases. In the pressure–area curve this process can be seen as a transition from the elastic (linear) course to a stiff (exponential) course. The blood pressure at which this transition occurs is defined as the transition point. Bader studied the pressure–area relation in elderly people and showed that with increasing age, the great arteries became more dilated and the elastin determined (linear) part of the pressure–area relation shifted to lower pressures. As changes in the aortic tunica media in the Marfan syndrome do not differ essentially from degenerative alterations, it seems reasonable to assume a similar causative mechanism. In subjects without a transition point in the resting blood pressure range (normal subjects and the majority of Marfan patients), the load bearing component is elastin. In the six Marfan patients with a transition point, the far stiffer collagen fibres are recruited. A correlation has been shown between a transition point in the pressure–area curve and both susceptibility to permanent dilatation and breaking stress of the thoracic aorta.

Heterogeneous response to \( \beta \) blocking agents

It is generally accepted that \( \beta \) blockade protects the Marfan aorta from both dilatation and dissection. Shores et al found a heterogeneous response, with some patients deriving greater

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Aortic diameters and aortic elasticity in Marfan patients with and without ( \beta ) blocking agents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marfan patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of descending thoracic aorta (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distensibility ( (10^{-3} \text{ mm Hg}^{-1}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).
Aortic pressure–area relation in Marfan syndrome

The presence of a transition point in the pressure–area relation may play a crucial role in the heterogeneous response to β-blockade in Marfan patients. In view of the pressure–area relation of the great arteries, a decrease in mean blood pressure following β-blockade could result in increased distensibility when systolic aortic area shifts from the collagen determined (exponential) part of the pressure–area curve to the elastin (linear) determined part (fig 3). When β-blockade causes a decrease in blood pressure in the linear part of the curve, distensibility is not expected to increase because the area change remains similar. We found that in the majority of patients with Marfan syndrome and in all our controls, the pressure–area curve did not show a transition point. Because the slope of the linear part of the pressure–area curve was similar to the slope of normal subjects, it is reasonable to assume that the transition point in these subjects was at a higher aortic pressure than their resting blood pressure. Only in six patients with Marfan syndrome was a transition point in the pressure–area curve observed, indicating that the load bearing component in the resting blood pressure range involved collagen as well as elastin. In these patients the transition point was shifted to much lower pressures, which could indicate more pronounced degeneration of the medial layer of the aortic wall than in patients without a transition point. This suggests that the presence of a transition point in the pressure–area curve could play a role in the heterogeneous response to β blocker treatment in relation to the elastic properties and dilatation rate. The transition point in the pressure–area curve in Marfan patients may vary as a result of different degrees of aortic media degeneration. Patients with a transition point at lower blood pressures are not expected to benefit from β blocker treatment.

Limitations of the study

The use of β blocking agents was not equally distributed between the two groups—there were considerably more patients in the group with β blocking agents than in the group without (27 v 7 patients). The lack of a difference observed between patients with and without β blocker treatment may reflect the small sample size.

Furthermore, blood pressure and area should ideally be measured simultaneously and at the same level in the aorta for reliable assessment of pressure–area curves. MRI and Finometer blood pressure recordings were done separately, because it is not yet possible to use the Finometer front end in the strong magnetic field of the magnetic resonance scanner. However, as Marfan patients regularly undergo MRI for monitoring aortic dimensions, physical and emotional stress during the procedure is limited. This was confirmed by similar blood pressure and heart rates during both MRI and Finometer recording, indicating comparable conditions. Although the Finometer blood pressure recordings were not at the site of the area measurement, the filter developed on the basis of unpublished data corresponds well with other pulse wave filters from brachial artery to aorta described in published reports.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marfan patients</th>
<th>Controls (n = 10)</th>
<th>Without TP (n = 26)</th>
<th>With TP (n = 6)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ee (mm Hg/mm²)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.10)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter descending thoracic aorta (mm)</td>
<td>20 (3)</td>
<td>24 (2)</td>
<td>24 (2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distensibility (10⁻³ mm Hg⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.6 (0.9)</td>
<td>3.6 (1.5)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>86 (7)</td>
<td>87 (8)</td>
<td>86 (5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD). Ee, elastance of elastin; TP, transition point.
Future developments
Adaptation of the Finometer device to ensure reliable function in the strong magnetic field of the magnetic resonance scanner—combined with new developments in MRI as “real time,” or techniques with a smaller temporal resolution—will further improve the non-invasive assessment of pressure–area curves in the near future. Until the Finometer is adapted for use in the magnetic resonance scanner, the method can be validated by means of transoesophageal echocardiography combined with non-invasive or invasive aortic pressure measurement. Further prospective randomised research on the effect of β blocker treatment on the aortic pressure–area relation in Marfan patients is required.

Conclusions
Our new non-invasive method to derive aortic pressure–area curves showed that the great majority of patients with Marfan syndrome on β blocking agents had pressure–area curves similar to control subjects with comparable blood pressures. The variable position of the transition point in the aortic pressure–area curve in individual patients could play a crucial role in the heterogeneous response to β blockade in Marfan patients. We hypothesise that patients with a transition at low blood pressures will not benefit from β blocking agents.
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