



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Task demands and test expectations. Theory and empirical research on students' preparation for a teacher-made test

Broekkamp, H.

**Publication date**  
2003

[Link to publication](#)

#### **Citation for published version (APA):**

Broekkamp, H. (2003). *Task demands and test expectations. Theory and empirical research on students' preparation for a teacher-made test*. [Thesis, fully internal, Instituut voor de Lerarenopleiding, UvA]. PrintPartners Ipskamp BV.

#### **General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

#### **Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, P.O. Box 19185, 1000 GD Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

## REFERENCES

- Airasian, P. W. (1996). Classroom assessment. In E. De Corte & F. E. Weinert (Eds.), *International encyclopedia of developmental and instructional psychology* (pp. 809–813). Elsevier Science: Oxford.
- Alexander, P. A., & Jetton, T. L. (1996). The role of importance and interest in processing of text. *Educational Psychology Review*, 8, 89–121.
- Alexander, P. A., & Jetton, T. L. (2000). Learning from text: A multidimensional and developmental perspective. In R. Barr (Ed.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 3, pp. 285–310). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Alexander, P. A., Jetton, T. L. & Kulikowich, J. M. (1995). Interrelationship of knowledge, interest, and recall: Assessing a model of domain learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 87, 559–575.
- Alexander, P. A., Jetton, T. L., Kulikowich, J. M., & Woehler, C. A. (1994). Contrasting instructional and structural importance: The seductive effect of teacher questions. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, 26, 19–47.
- Alvermann, D. E., & Moore, D. W. (1991). Secondary school reading. In R. Barr, M. L. Kamil, & P. Mosenthal, (Eds.) *Handbook of reading research*. (Vol. 2, pp. 951–983). New York: Longman.
- Anderman, L. H., & Anderman, E. M. (2000). Considering contexts in educational psychology: Introduction to the special issue. *Educational Psychologist*, 35, 67–68.
- Anderson, R. C. (1982). Allocation of attention during reading. In A. Flammer & W. Kintsch (Eds.), *Discourse processing* (pp. 292–305). New York: North Holland Publishing Company.
- Anderson, R. C., & Biddle, W. B. (1975). On asking people questions about what they are reading. *Psychology of learning and motivation* (Vol. 9, pp. 89–132). New York: Academic Press.
- Anderson, T. H., & Armbruster, B. B. (1984). Studying. In P. D. Pearson (Ed.), *Handbook of Reading Research* (pp. 657–679). New York: Longman.
- Aulls, M. W. (1998). Contributions of classroom discourse to what content students learn during curriculum enactment. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 90, 56–69.
- Ausubel, D. P. (1963). *Educational psychology: A cognitive view*. New York: Rinehart & Winston.
- Ball, D. W., Doss, A. R., & Dewalt, M. W. (1986). Level of teacher objectives and their classroom tests: Match or mismatch? *Journal of Social Studies Research*, 10, 27–31.
- Balzer, W. K., Doherty, M. E., & O'Connor, R. (1989). Effects of cognitive feedback on performance. *Psychological Bulletin*, 106, 410–433.
- Barr, R. (1987). Classroom interaction and curricular content. In D. Bloome (Ed.), *Literacy and Schooling* (pp.15-168). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Bean, T. W. (1985). Classroom questioning strategies: Directions for applied research. In A.C. Graesser & J. B. Black (Eds.), *The psychology of questions* (pp. 335–358). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bean, T. W. (2000). Reading in the content areas: Social constructivist dimensions. In R. Barr (Ed.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 3., pp. 629–644). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bloom, B. S. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives, Handbook I, Cognitive domain*. New York: McKay.
- Bol, L., & Strage, A. (1996). The contradiction between teachers' instructional goals and their assessment practices in high school biology courses. *Science Education*, 80, 145–164.
- Bol, L., Stephenson, P. L., Numery, J. A., & O'Connell, A. A. (1998). Influence of experience, grade level, and subject area on teachers' assessment practices. *Journal of Educational Research*, 91, 323–330.
- Bolhuis, S., & Voeten, M. J. M. (2001). Toward self-directed learning in secondary schools: What do teachers do? *Teacher and Teacher Education*, 17, 837–855.
- Braet, A., Moret, L., & Schoonen, R. & Sjoer, E. (1993). Zo haal je een hoog cijfer voor je examenopstel: Adviezen van en aan leerlingen. De perceptie van de doelstellingen van het opstelonderwijs in de bovenbouw van havo/vwo. [This is how you you get a high grade for your examination paper: Suggestions for and by students. The perception of attainment targets in the upper grades of Dutch writing education.] *Tijdschrift voor Taalbeheersing*, 15, 173–192.
- Broekkamp, H., & Van den Bergh, H. (1996). Attention strategies in revising a foreign language text. In G. Rijlaardam, H. Van den Bergh, M. Couzijn (Eds.), *Theories, models and writing research* (pp. 170–181). Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.

- Broekkamp, H., Schellings, G. L. M., & Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. (1996, September). *Influences of task demands on selecting relevant information in instructional texts: A process oriented study*. Poster presented at the European Conference of Educational Research, Sevilla, Spain.
- Broekkamp, H., Van den Bergh, H., Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M., & Rijlaarsdam, G. (2002). Will that be on the test? Perceived task demands and test performance in a classroom context. *European Journal of Psychology of Education, 17*, 75–92.
- Broekkamp, H., Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M., Van den Bergh, H., & Rijlaarsdam, G. (submitted). *Attuning to the upcoming test: A comparison between students' test expectations, teachers' intended processing demands and the actual test questions*.
- Broekkamp, H., Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M., Rijlaarsdam, G., & Van den Berg, H. (2002). Importance in instructional text: Teachers' and students' perceptions of task demands. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 94*, 260–271.
- Broekkamp, H., Van den Bergh, H., Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M., & Rijlaarsdam, G. (submitted). *Teachers' task demands, students' test expectations and actual test content*.
- Brookhart, S. M. (1997). A theoretical framework for the role of classroom assessment in motivating student effort and achievement. *Applied Measurement in Education, 10*, 161–180.
- Brookhart, S. M. (1999). *The art and science of classroom assessment: The missing part of pedagogy*. Washington, DC: ASHE-ERIC Higher education reports.
- Brown, A. L., Bransford, J. D., Ferrara, R. A., & Campione, J. C. (1983). Learning, remembering and understanding. In P. H. Mussen (Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology* (Vol. 3, pp. 77–166). New York: Wiley.
- Brown, A. L., & Smiley, S. S. (1977). Rating the importance of structural units of prose passages: A problem of metacognitive development. *Child Development, 48*, 1–8.
- Bryk, S., & Raudenbush, S. W. (1992). *Hierarchical linear models: Applications and data analysis method*. Sage Publications: Newbury Park.
- Butler, D. L. (1998a). Metacognition and learning disabilities. In B. Y. L. Wong (Ed.), *Learning about learning disabilities* (2nd ed.). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Butler, D. L. (1998b). The Strategic Content Learning approach to promoting self-regulated learning: A report of three studies. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 90*, 4, 682–697.
- Butler, D. L. (1999, April). *Identifying and remediating students' inefficient approaches to tasks*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Montreal.
- Butler, D. L., & Winne, P. H. (1995). Feedback and self-regulated learning – A theoretical synthesis. *Review of Educational Research, 65*, 245–281.
- Calderhead, J. (1996). Teachers' beliefs and knowledge. In D. C. Berliner & R. C. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of Educational psychology* (pp. 622–670). MacMillan: New York.
- Canady, R. L., & Hotchkiss, P. R. (1989). It's a good score! Just a bad grade. *Phi Delta Kappan, 71*, 68–71.
- Carrier, C. A., & Fautsch-Partridge, T. (1981). Levels of questions: A framework for the exploration of processing activities. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 6*, 365–382.
- Christopoulos, J. P., Rohwer, W. D., & Thomas, J. W. (1987). Grade level differences in students' study activities as a function of course characteristics. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 12*, 303–323.
- Clark, C. M., & Peterson, P. C. (1986). Teachers' thought processes. In M. C. Wittrock (Ed.), *Handbook of research on teaching* (3rd ed., pp. 255–296). New York: MacMillan.
- Cohen, J. C. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Crooks, T. J. (1988). The impact of classroom evaluation practices on students. *Review of Educational Research, 58*, 438–481.
- Cuban, L. (1992). Curriculum stability and change. In P. W. Jackson (Ed.), *Handbook of research on curriculum* (pp. 212–247). New York: MacMillan.
- De Corte, E. (1996). Instructional psychology: Overview. In E. De Corte & F. E. Weinert (Eds.), *International encyclopedia of developmental and instructional psychology* (pp. 33–43). Elsevier Science: Oxford.
- De Rooij, P. (2001). *Verleden, heden en toekomst*. Advies van de Commissie Historische en Maatschappelijke Vorming aan de staatssecretaris van Onderwijs, Cultuur en Wetenschappen. Enschede: SLO. [Past, Present, Future. Advice of the Commission on Historical and Societal Pedagogy to the minister of Education, Culture and Science.]
- Dochy, F. J. R. C., & Moerkerke, G. (1997). Assessment as a major influence on learning and instruction. *International Journal of Educational Research, 5*, 415–431.

- Dolmans, D. H. J. M., Gijsselaers, W. H., & Schmidt, H. G. (1993). Course content coverage as a measure of instructional quality. *Evaluation & The Health Professions, 16*, 448-471.
- Doyle, W. (1983). Academic work. *Review of Educational Research, 53*, 159-199.
- Doyle, W. (1992). Curriculum and pedagogy. In P. W. Jackson (Ed.), *Handbook of research on curriculum* (pp. 486-515). New York: MacMillan.
- Doyle, W. (1997). Heard any really good stories lately? A critique of the critics of narrative in educational research. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 13*, 93-99.
- Dreher, M. J., & Guthrie, J. T. (1990). Cognitive processes in textbook chapter search tasks. *Reading Research Quarterly, 25*, 323-339.
- Duckworth, K., Fielding, G., & Shaughnessy, J. (1986). *The relationship of high school teachers' class testing practices to students' feelings of efficacy and efforts to study*. Eugene, OR: Center for Educational Policy and Management, Oregon University.
- Eley, M. G. (1992). Differential adoption of study approaches within individual students. *Higher Education, 23*, 231-254.
- Elshout-Mohr, M., & Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. & Broekkamp, H. (1999). Mapping situations in classroom and research: Eight types of instructional-learning episodes. *Learning and Instruction, 9*, 57-75.
- Entwistle, N. J. (1989). Approaches to studying and course perceptions: The case of the disappearing relationship. *Studies in Higher Education, 14*, 155-156.
- Entwistle, N. J. (1987). A model of the teaching and learning process. In J. T. E. Richardson, M. W. Eysenck, & D. Warren Piper (Eds.), *Student learning: Research in education and cognitive psychology* (pp. 13-28). London: Open University Press.
- Entwistle, N. J., & Entwistle, A. (1991). Contrasting forms of understanding for degree examinations: The student experience and its implications. *Higher Education, 22*, 205-227.
- Entwistle, N. J., & Kozeki, B. (1985). Relationships between school motivation, approaches to studying, and attainment, among British and Hungarian adolescents. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 55*, 124-137.
- Entwistle, N. J., & Ramsden, P. (1983). *Understanding student learning*. Nichols Publishing Company: New York.
- Entwistle, N., & Tait, H. (1990). Approaches to learning, evaluations of teaching and preferences for contrasting academic environments. *Higher Education, 19*, 169-194.
- Erickson, F., & Shultz, J. (1992). Students' experience of the curriculum. In P. W. Jackson (Ed.), *Handbook of research on curriculum* (pp. 465-485). New York: MacMillan.
- Ericsson, K. A., & Simon, H. A. (1984). *Protocol analysis: Verbal reports as data*. Cambridge: The MIT Press.
- Feldt, R. C. (1990). Test expectancy and performance on factual and higher-level questions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 15*, 212-223.
- Flavell, J. H. (1987). Speculations about the nature and development of metacognition. In F. E. Weinert & R. E. Kluwe (Eds.), *Metacognition, motivation, and understanding* (pp. 21-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Fleming, K., Ross, M., Tollefson, N., & Green, S. B. (1998). Teachers' choices of test-item formats for classes with diverse achievement levels. *Journal of Educational Research, 91*, 222-228.
- Fleming, M. & Chambers, B. (1983). Teacher-made tests: Windows on the classroom. In W. E. Hathaway (Ed.), *New directions for testing and measurement: Vol. 19. Testing in the schools* (pp. 29-38). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Fredrickson, N. (1984). The real test bias: Influences of testing on teaching and learning. *American Psychologist, 39*, 193-202.
- Gamer, R. (1990). When children and adults do not use learning strategies: Toward a theory of settings. *Review of Educational Research, 60*, 517-529.
- Gamer, R., Gillingham, M. G., & White, C. S. (1989). Effects of "seductive details" on macroprocessing and microprocessing in adults and children. *Cognition and Instruction, 6*, 41-57.
- Gehrke, N. J., Knapp, M. S., & Sirotnik, K. A. (1992). In search of the school curriculum. *Review of Research in Education, 18*, 51-110.
- Goldman, S. R. (1997). Learning from text: Reflections on the past and suggestions for the future. *Discourse Processes, 23*, 357-398.
- Goldstein, H. (1995). *Multilevel statistical models* (2nd ed.). London: Edward Arnold.
- Green, J. L., & Weade, R. (1987). In search of meaning: A sociolinguistic perspective on lesson construction and reading. In D. Bloome (Ed.), *Literacy and Schooling* (pp. 3-34). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.

- Greene, S. (1994). The problems of learning to think like a historian – Writing history in the culture of the classroom. *Educational Psychologist*, 29, 89–96.
- Grossman, P. L., & Stodolsky, S. S. (1994). Considerations of content and the circumstances of secondary school teaching. *Review of Research in Education*, 20, 179–222.
- Guthrie, J. T., & Cox, K. E. (2001). Classroom conditions for motivation and engagement in reading. *Educational Psychology Review*, 13, 283–302.
- Hacker, D. J., Bol, L., Horgan, D. D., & Rakow, E. A. (2000). Test prediction and performance in a classroom context. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 92, 160–170.
- Hadwin, A. F., Winne, P. H., Stockley, D. B., Nesbit, J. C., & Woszczyzna, C. (2001). Context moderates students' self-reports about how they study. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 93, 477–487.
- Hakstian, R. (1971). The effects of type of examination anticipated on test preparation and performance. *Journal of Educational Research*, 64, 319–324.
- Hamaker, C. (1986). The effects of adjunct questions on prose learning. *Review of Educational Research*, 56, 212–242.
- Harp, S. H., & Mayer, R. E. (1998). How seductive details do their damage: A theory of cognitive interest in science learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 90, 3, 414–434.
- Hayes, J. R., Flower, L., Schriver, L., Stratman, J., & Carey, L. (1985). *Cognitive processes in revision*. (Technical report). ERIC document, ED 267 396.
- Hidi, S. E. (1995). A reexamination of the role of attention in learning from text. *Educational Psychology Review*, 7, 323–350.
- Hodgson, V. (1997). Lectures and the experience of relevance. In F. Marton, D. Hounsell, & N. Entwistle (Eds.), *The experience of learning. Implications for teaching and studying in higher education* (2nd ed., pp. 159–171). Edinburgh: Scottish Academic Press.
- Holt, D., Scott, A., & Ewings, P. (1980). Chi-squared tests with survey data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society (Series A)*, 143, 303–320.
- Jenkins, J. J. (1979). Four points to remember: A tetrahedral model of memory experiments. In L. S. Cermak & F. I. M. Craik (Eds.), *Levels of processing in human memory* (pp. 429–446). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Jetton, T. L., & Alexander, P. A. (1997). Instructional importance: What teachers value and what students learn. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 32, 290–309.
- Kish, L. H. (1967). *Survey sampling*. New York: Wiley & Sons.
- Knott, G. (1987). Literacy instruction in secondary school classroom contexts. In D. Bloome (Ed.), *Literacy and Schooling* (pp. 354–371). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Lawless, C. J., & Richardson, J. T. E. (2002). Approaches to studying and perceptions of academic quality in distance education. *Higher Education*, 44, 257–282.
- Lemos, M. S. (1996). Students' and teachers' goals in the classroom. *Learning and Instruction*, 6, 151–172.
- Lizzio, A., Wilson, K., & Simons, R. (2002). University students' perceptions of the learning environment and academic outcomes: Implications for theory and practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 27, 27–52.
- Lonka, K., & Lindblom-Ylänne, S. (1996). Epistemologies, conceptions of learning, and study practices in medicine and psychology. *Higher Education*, 31, 5–24.
- Lorch, R. F., Jr., Lorch, E. P., & Klusewitz, M. A. (1993). College students' conditional knowledge about reading. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85, 239–252.
- Lundeberg, M. A., & Fox, P. W. (1991). Do laboratory findings on test expectancy generalize to classroom outcomes? *Review of Educational Research*, 61, 94–106.
- Luyten L., Lowyck, J., & Tuerlinckx, F. (2001). Task perception as a mediating variable: A contribution to the validation of instructional knowledge. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 71, 203–223.
- Maki, R. H. (1998). Test predictions over text material. In D. J. Hacker, J. Dunlosky, & A. C. Graesser (Eds.), *Metacognition in educational theory and practice* (pp. 117–144). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Marker, G., & Mehrlinger, H. (1992). Social Studies. In P. W. Jackson (Ed.), *Handbook of research on curriculum* (pp. 830–851). New York: MacMillan.
- Marton, F., & Säljö, R. (1976). On qualitative differences in learning II: Outcome as a function of the learner's conception of the task. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 46, 115–127.
- Mayer, R. E. (1987). Instructional variables that influence cognitive processing during reading. In B. K. Britton & S. M. Glynn (Eds.), *Executive control processes in reading* (pp. 201–216). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

- McConkie, G. W. (1977). Learning from text. In L. Shulman (Ed.), *Review of research in education* (Vol. 5, pp. 3–48). Itasca, IL: Peacock.
- Meyer, G. (1934). An experimental study of the old and new types of examinations: 1. The effect of the examinations set on memory. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 25, 64–661.
- Meyer, J. H. F., & Parsons, P. (1989). Approaches to studying and course perceptions using the Lancaster Inventory: A comparative study. *Studies in Higher Education*, 14, 137–153.
- Miller, C. M. L., & Parlett, M. (1974). *Up to the mark: A study of the examination game*. London: Society for Research into Higher Education.
- Morris, C. D., Bransford, J. D., & Franks, J. J. (1977). Levels of processing versus transfer appropriate processing. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behaviour*, 16, 519–533.
- Multi Level Models Project (1999). *ML-wiN, Beta version 1.10.0005*. [Computer software]. Available from <http://www.ioe.ac.uk>.
- Natriello, G., & Dornbusch, S. M. (1984). *Teacher evaluative standards and student effort*. New York: Longman.
- Newmann, F. M., Marks, H. M., & Gamoran, A. (1996). Authentic pedagogy and student performance. *American Journal of Education*, 104, 280–312.
- Nist, S. L., & Simpson, M. L. (2000). College studying. In R. Barr (Ed.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 3, pp. 645–666). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Nist, S. L., Simpson, M.L., & Olejnik, S. (1991). The relation between self-selected study processes and test performance. *American Educational Research Journal*, 28, 849–874.
- Nolen, S. B., & Haladyna, T. (1990). Personal and environmental influences on students' beliefs about effective study strategies. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 15, 116–130.
- Nuy, H. J. P. (1991). Interactions of study orientation and students' appreciation of structure in their educational environment. *Higher Education*, 22, 267–274.
- Pressley, M., Yokoi, L., Van Meter, P., Van Etten, S., & Freebern, G. (1997). Some of the reasons why preparing for exams is so hard: What can be done to make it easier? *Educational Psychology Review*, 9, 1–38.
- Ramsden, P. (1988). Context and strategy – Situational influences on learning. R. R. Schmeck (Ed.), *Learning strategies and learning styles. Perspectives on individual learning differences* (pp. 159–184). New York: Plenum Press.
- Ramsden, P. (1992). *Learning to teach in higher education*. London: Routledge.
- Reynolds, R. E. (1992). Selective attention and prose learning: Theoretical and empirical research. *Educational Psychology Review*, 4, 345–391.
- Rijlaarsdam, G., & Janssen, T. (1996). How do we evaluate the literature curriculum? About a social frame of reference. In E. Marum (Ed.), *Children and books in the modern world: Contemporary perspectives on literacy* (pp. 75–98). Washington, DC: The Falmer Press.
- Rijlaarsdam, G., & Couzijn, M. (1996). Leren leren bij Nederlands in de basisvorming: De dubbele bodem van het onderwijs. [Learning to learn in Dutch mother tongue education in grades 7–9]. *Levende Talen*, 510, 301–306.
- Rohwer, W. D. (1984). An invitation to a developmental psychology of studying. In F. J. Morrison, C. A. Lord, & D. P. Keating (Eds.), *Advances in applied developmental psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 1–57). Academic Press: New York.
- Ruiz-Primo, M. A., & Shavelson, R. J. (1996). Rhetoric and reality in science performance assessment: An update. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 33, 1045–1063.
- Ryan, A. M. (2000). Peer groups as a context for the socialization of adolescents' motivation, engagement, and achievement in school. *Educational Psychologist*, 35, 101–111.
- Ryan, A. M., Gheen, M. H., & Midgley, C. (1998). Why do some students avoid asking for help? An examination of the interplay among students' academic efficacy, teachers' social-emotional role, and the classroom goal structure. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 90, 528–535.
- Schellings, G. L. M. (1995). *An educational approach to selecting main points in texts: Motives, methods, tasks and individual differences*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of Amsterdam.
- Schellings, G. L. M., & Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. (1994). Selection of main points in texts by students: Three methods compared. In F. P. C. M. De Jong & B. H. A. M. Van Hout-Wolters (Eds.), *Process-oriented instruction and learning from text* (pp. 113–125). Amsterdam: Free University Press.
- Schellings, G. L. M., & Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. (1995). Main points in an instructional text, as identified by students and by their teachers. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 30, 742–757.

- Schellings, G. L. M., Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M., & Vermunt, J. D. (1996a). Individual differences in adapting to three different tasks of selecting information from texts. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 21*, 423-446.
- Schellings, G. L. M., Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M., & Vermunt, J. D. (1996b). Selection of main points in instructional texts: Influences of task demands. *Journal of Literacy Research, 28*, 355-378.
- Schommer, M. (1994). An emerging conceptualization of epistemological beliefs and their role in learning. In R. Garner & P. A. Alexander (Eds.), *Beliefs about text and about instruction with text* (pp. 25-40). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Schraw, G. (1998). Processing and recall differences among seductive details. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 90*, 3-12.
- Schraw, G., Flowerday, T., & Lehman, S. (2001). Increasing situational interest in the classroom. *Educational Psychology Review, 13*, 211-224.
- Schraw, G., Wade, S. E., & Kardash, C. A. M. (1993). Interactive effects of text-based and task-based importance on learning from text. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 85*, 652-661.
- Shavelson, R. J., & Stern, P. (1981). Research on teacher's pedagogical thoughts, judgements, decisions, and behavior. *Review of Educational Research, 51*, 455-498.
- Shuell, T. J. (1988). The role of the student in learning from instruction. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 13*, 276-295.
- Shuell, T. J. (1996). Teaching and learning in a classroom context. In D. C. Berliner & R. C. Calfee, (Eds.), *Handbook of Educational psychology* (pp. 726-766). MacMillan: New York.
- Simpson, M. L., & Nist, S. L. (1997). Perspectives on learning history: A case study. *Journal of Literacy Research, 29*, 363-395.
- Simpson, M. L., & Nist, S. L. (2000). An update on strategic learning: It's more than textbook reading strategies. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 43*, 528-541.
- Smagorinsky, P. (1998). Thinking and speech and protocol analysis. *Mind, Culture and Activity, 5*, 157-186.
- Smith, E. R., & Feathers, K. M. (1983a). Teacher and student perceptions of content reading. *Journal of Reading, 26*, 348-354.
- Smith, E. R., & Feathers, K. M. (1983b). The role of reading in context classrooms: Assumption vs. reality. *Journal of Reading, 27*, 262-267.
- Snyder, B. R. (1971). *The hidden curriculum*. Cambridge, MA: M.I.T. Press.
- Stark, J. S. (2000). Planning introductory college courses: Content, context and form. *Instructional Science, 28*, 413-438.
- Stiggins, R. J., & Conklin, N. F., & Bridgeford, N. J. (1986). Classroom assessment: A key to effective education. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice, 5*, 5-17.
- Stodolsky, S. S. (1989). Is teaching really by the book? In P. W. Jackson & S. Haroutunian-Gordon (Eds.), *From Socrates to software: The teacher as text and the text as teacher. Eighty-Ninth Yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education. Part 1* (pp. 159-184). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Stodolsky, S. S., & Grossman, P. L. (1995). The impact of subject matter on curricular activity: An analysis of five academic subjects. *American Educational Research Journal, 32*, 227-249.
- Strage, A., Tyler, A. B., Rohwer, W. D., & Thomas, J. W. (1987). An analytic framework for assessing distinctive course features within and across grade levels. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 12*, 280-302.
- Thomas, J. W. (1988). Proficiency at academic studying. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 13*, 265-275.
- Thomas, J. W., & Rohwer, W. D. (1986). Academic studying: The role of study strategies. *Educational Psychologist, 21*, 19-41.
- Thomas, J. W., & Rohwer, W. D. (1987). Grade-level and course-specific differences in academic studying: Summary. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 12*, 381-385.
- Thomas, J. W., & Rohwer, W. D. (1993a). Proficient autonomous learning: Problems and prospects. In M. Rabinovitz (Ed.), *Cognitive science foundations of instruction* (pp. 1-32). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Thomas, J. W., & Rohwer, W. D. (1993b). Studying across the life span. In S. R. Yussen & M. C. Smith (Eds.), *Reading across the life span* (pp. 241-272). Springer Verlag: New York.
- Thomas, J. W., Bol, L., & Warkentin, R. W. (1991). Antecedents of college students' study deficiencies: The relationship between course features and students' study activities. *Higher Education, 22*, 275-296.

- Thomas, J. W., Bol, L., Warkentin, R. W., Wilson, M., Strage, A., & Rohwer, W. D. (1993). Interrelationships among students study activities, self-concept of academic ability, and achievement as a function of characteristics of high-school biology courses. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 7, 499–532.
- Thomas, P. R., & Bain, J. D. (1984). Contextual dependence of learning approaches: The effects of assessments. *Human Learning*, 3, 327–340.
- Tittle, C. K. (1994). Toward an educational psychology of assessment for teaching and learning – Theories, contexts, and validation arguments. *Educational Psychologist*, 29, 149–162.
- Trigwell, K., & Prosser, M. (1991). Improving the quality of student learning: The influence of learning context and student approaches to learning on learning outcomes. *Higher Education*, 22, 251–266.
- Trigwell, K., Prosser, M., & Waterhouse, F. (1999). Relations between teachers' approaches to teaching and students' approaches to learning. *Higher Education*, 37, 57–70.
- Van Dijk, T. A. (1979). Relevance assignment in discourse comprehension. *Discourse Processes*, 2, 113–126.
- Van Etten, S., Freebern, G., & Pressley, M. (1997). College students' beliefs about exam preparation. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 22, 192–112.
- Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. (1986). *Markeren van kerngedeelten in studieteksten: Een proces-product benadering* [Cueing of key phrases in instructional texts: A process-product approach]. Doctoral dissertation. Lisse (the Netherlands): Swets & Zeitlinger.
- Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. (1990a). Selecting and cueing key phrases in instructional texts. In H. Mandl, E. De Corte, S. N. Bennet, & H. F. Friedrich (Eds.), *Learning and Instruction – European research in an international context* (Volume 2.2., pp. 181–198). Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. (1990b, November). *Teachers' selection of key phrases in instructional texts*. Paper presented at the 40th Annual Meeting of the National Reading Conference, Miami, USA.
- Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. (1992). *Cognitieve strategieën als onderwijsdoel* [Cognitive strategies as instructional objective]. Inaugural address spoken at the University of Amsterdam. Groningen: Wolters-Noordhof.
- Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. (1997). Teachers' selection of key phrases in instructional texts. *The School Field*, 6, 51–62.
- Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. (2000). Assessing active self-directed learning. In R. Simons, J. van der Linden, & T. Duffy (Eds.), *New Learning* (pp. 19–33). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M., Simons, R., & Volet, S. (2000). Active learning: Self-directed learning and independent work. In R. Simons, J. van der Linden, & T. Duffy (Eds.), *New Learning* (pp. 73–89). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Van Meter, P., Yokoi, L., & Pressley, M. (1994). College students' theory of note-taking derived from their perceptions of note-taking. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 86, 323–338.
- VanderStoep, S. W., Pintrich, P. R., & Fagerlin, A. (1996). Disciplinary differences in self-regulated learning in college students. *Contemporary Educational Psychology* 21, 345–362.
- Veenman, M. V. J., & Beishuizen, J. J. (submitted). *Intellectual and metacognitive skills of novices while studying texts under conditions of text difficulty and time constraint*.
- Veenman, M. V. J. (submitted). *The assessment of metacognitive skills: What can be learned from multi-method designs?*
- Venezky, R. L. (1992). Textbooks in school and society. In P. W. Jackson (Ed.), *Handbook of research on curriculum* (pp. 436–461). New York: MacMillan.
- Vermetten, Y. (1999). *Consistency and variability of student learning in higher education*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Tilburg University, the Netherlands.
- Vermetten, Y. J., Lodewijks, H. G., & Vermunt, J. D. (2001). The role of personality traits and goal orientations in strategy use. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 26, 149–170.
- Vermetten, Y., Lodewijks, H., & Vermunt, J. (1997). A longitudinal perspective on learning strategies in higher education – Different viewpoints towards development. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 69, 221–242.
- Vermetten, Y., Lodewijks, J., & Vermunt, J. (1999). Consistency and variability of learning strategies in different university courses. *Higher Education*, 37, 1–21.
- Vermetten, Y., Vermunt, J. D., & Lodewijks, H. G. (2002). Powerful learning environments? How university students differ in their response to instructional measures. *Learning and Instruction*, 12, 263–284.
- Vermunt, J. D., & Verloop, N. (1999). Congruence and friction between learning and teaching. *Learning and Instruction*, 9, 257–280.

- Wade, S. E., & Moje, E. B. (2000). The role of text in classroom learning. In R. Barr (Ed.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 3, pp. 609–627). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Weinstein, C. E., & Mayer, R. E. (1986). The teaching of learning strategies. In M. C. Wittrock (Ed.), *Handbook of research on teaching* (3rd ed., pp. 241–258). New York: MacMillan.
- Wilson, S. M., & Wineburg S. S. (1993). Wrinkles in time and place – Using performance assessments to understand the knowledge of history teachers. *American Educational Research Journal*, 30, 729–769.
- Wilson, S. M., & Wineburg, S. S. (1988). Peering at history through different lenses: The role of disciplinary perspectives in teaching history. *Teachers College Record*, 89, 525–539.
- Wineburg, S. S. (1997). Beyond “breadth and depth”: Subject matter knowledge and assessment. *Theory into Practice*, 36, 255–261.
- Wineburg, S. S. (1996). The Psychology of learning and teaching history. In D. C. Berliner & R. C. Calfee, (Eds.), *Handbook of Educational psychology* (pp. 423–438). New York: MacMillan.
- Winne, P. H., & Hadwin, A. F. (1998). Studying as self-regulated learning. In D. J. Hacker, J. Dunlosky, & A. C. Graesser (Eds.), *Metacognition in educational theory and practice* (pp. 277–304). Mahwah, NJ Erlbaum.
- Winne, P. H., & Marx, R. W. (1977). Reconceptualizing research on teaching. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 69, 668–678.
- Winne, P. H., & Marx, R. W. (1982). Students’ and teachers’ views of thinking processes for classroom learning. *Elementary School Journal*, 82, 493–518.
- Winne, P. H., & Perry, N. E. (2000). Measuring self-regulation. In M. Boekaerts, P. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), *Handbook of self-regulation* (pp. 531–566). Academic Press: London.
- Wong, B. Y. L., Wong, R., & LeMare, L. (1982). The effects of knowledge on criterion task on comprehension and recall in normally achieving and disabled children. *Journal of Educational Research*, 76, 119–126.
- Wubbels, T., & Brekelmans, M. (1998). The teacher factor in the social climate of the classroom. In B. J. Fraser, & K. G. Tobin (Eds.), *International Handbook of Science Education* (pp. 565–580). Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (1998). Academic studying and the development of personal skill: A self-regulated perspective. *Educational Psychologist*, 33, 73–86.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Attaining self-regulation: A social cognitive perspective. In M. Boekaerts, P. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), *Handbook of self-regulation* (pp. 13–40). Academic Press: London.