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ABSTRACT
Unsupervised learning of low-dimensional, semantic representations of words and entities has recently gained attention. In this paper we describe the Semantic Entity Retrieval Toolkit (SERT) that provides implementations of our previously published entity representation models. The toolkit provides a unified interface to different representation learning algorithms, fine-grained parsing configuration and can be used transparently with GPUs. In addition, users can easily modify existing models or implement their own models in the framework. After model training, SERT can be used to rank entities according to a textual query and extract the learned entity/word representation for use in downstream algorithms, such as clustering or recommendation.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The unsupervised learning of low-dimensional, semantic representations of words and entities has recently gained attention for the entity-oriented tasks of expert finding [9] and product search [8]. Representations are learned from a document collection and domain-specific associations between documents and entities. Expert finding is the task of finding the right person with the appropriate skills or knowledge [1] and an association indicates document authorship (e.g., academic papers) or involvement in a project (e.g., annual progress reports). In the case of product search, an associated document is a product description or review [8].

In this paper we describe the Semantic Entity Retrieval Toolkit (SERT) that provides implementations of our previously published entity representation models [8, 9]. Beyond a unified interface that combines different models, the toolkit allows for fine-grained parsing configuration and GPU-based training through integration with Theano [3, 6]. Users can easily extend existing models or implement their own models within the unified framework. After model training, SERT can compute matching scores between an entity and a piece of text (e.g., a query). This matching score can then be used for ranking entities, or as a feature in a downstream machine learning system, such as the learning to rank component
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Figure 1: Schematic overview of the different pipeline components of SERT. The collection is parsed, processed and packaged in a numerical format using the prepare (§2.1) utility. Afterwards, the training (§2.2) utility learns representations of entities and words and the query (§2.3) utility is used to compute matching scores between entities and queries.

2 THE TOOLKIT
SERT is organized as a pipeline of utilities as depicted in Fig. 1. First, a collection of documents and entity associations is processed and packaged using a numerical format (§2.1). Low-dimensional representations of words and entities are then learned (§2.2) and afterwards the representations can be used to make inferences (§2.3).

2.1 Collection parsing and preparation
To begin, SERT constructs a vocabulary that will be used to tokenize the document collection. Non-significant words that are too frequent (e.g., stopwords), noisy (e.g., single characters) and rare words are filtered out. Words that do not occur in the dictionary are ignored. Afterwards, word sequences are extracted from the documents and stored together with the associated entities in the numerical format provided by NumPy [7]. Word sequences can be extracted consecutively or a stride can be specified to extract non-consecutive windows. In addition, a hierarchy of word sequence extractors can be applied to extract skip-grams, i.e., word sequences where a number of tokens are skipped after selecting a token [4]. To support short documents, a special-purpose padding token can be used to fill up word sequences that are longer than a particular document.

After word sequence extraction, a weight can be assigned to each word sequence/entity pair that can be used to re-weight the training objective. For example, in the case of expert finding [9], this weight is the reciprocal of the document length of the document where the sequence was extracted from. This avoids a bias in the objective towards long documents. An alternative option that exists within the toolkit is to resample word sequence/entity pairs such that every entity is associated with the same number of word sequences, as used for product search [8].
2.2 Representation learning

After the collection has been processed and packaged in a machine-friendly format, representations of words and entities can be learned. The toolkit includes implementations of state-of-the-art representation learning models that were applied to expert finding [9] and product search [8]. Users of the toolkit can use these implementations to learn representations out-of-the-box or adapt the algorithms to their needs. In addition, users can implement their own models by extending an interface provided by the framework. Code snippet 1 shows an example of a model implemented in the SERT toolkit where users can define a symbolic cost function that will be optimized using Theano [6]. Due to the component-wise organization of the toolkit (Fig. 1), modeling and text processing are separated from each other. Consequently, researchers can focus on modeling and representation learning only. In addition, any improvements to the collection processing (§2.1) collectively benefits all models implemented in SERT.

2.3 Entity ranking & other uses of the representations

Once a model has been trained, SERT can be used to rank entities w.r.t. a textual query. The concrete implementation used to rank entities depends on the model that was trained. In the most generic case, a matching score is computed for every entity and entities are separated from each other. Consequently, researchers can focus on modeling and representation learning only. In addition, any improvements to the collection processing (§2.1) collectively benefits all models implemented in SERT.

Apart from entity ranking, the learned representations and model-specific parameters can be extracted conveniently from the models through the interface\(^ 2\) and used for down-stream tasks such as clustering, recommendation and determining entity importance as shown in [10].

3 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we described the Semantic Entity Retrieval Toolkit, a toolkit that learns latent representations of words and entities. The toolkit contains implementations of state-of-the-art entity representations algorithms [8, 9] and consists of three components: text processing, representation learning and inference. Users of the toolkit can easily make changes to existing model implementations or contribute their own models by extending an interface provided by the SERT framework.

Future work includes integration with Pyndri [11] such that document collections indexed with Indri can transparently be used to train entity representations. In addition, integration with machine learning frameworks besides Theano, such as TensorFlow and PyTorch, will make it easier to integrate existing models into SERT.
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\(^{1}\)https://github.com/usnistgov/trec_eval

\(^{2}\)See get\_representations in Snippet 1.