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Abstract

Deep neural networks have become a primary tool for solving problems in many fields. They are also used for addressing information retrieval problems and show strong performance in several tasks. Training these models requires large, representative datasets and for most IR tasks, such data contains sensitive information from users. Privacy and confidentiality concerns prevent many data owners from sharing the data, thus today the research community can only benefit from research on large-scale datasets in a limited manner.

In this paper, we discuss privacy preserving mimic learning, i.e., using predictions from a privacy preserving trained model instead of labels from the original sensitive training data as a supervision signal. We present the results of preliminary experiments in which we apply the idea of mimic learning and privacy preserving mimic learning for the task of document re-ranking as one of the core IR tasks. This research is a step toward laying the ground for enabling researchers from data-rich environments to share knowledge learned from actual users’ data, which should facilitate research collaborations.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Deep neural networks demonstrate undeniable success in several fields and employing them is taking off for information retrieval problems [10, 11]. It has been shown that supervised neural network models perform better as the training dataset grows bigger and becomes more diverse [17]. Information retrieval is an experimental and empirical discipline, thus, having access to large-scale real datasets is essential for designing effective IR systems. However, in many information retrieval tasks, due to the sensitivity of the data from users and privacy issues, not all researchers have access to large-scale datasets for training their models.

Much research has been done on the general problem of preserving the privacy of sensitive data in IR applications, where the question is how should we design effective IR systems without damaging users’ privacy? One of the solutions so far is to anonymize the data and try to hide the identity of users [4, 20]. As an example, Zhang et al. [20] use a differential privacy approach for query log anonymization. However, there is no guarantee that the anonymized data will be as effective as the original data.

Using machine learning-based approaches, sharing the trained model instead of the original data has turned out to be an option for transferring knowledge [1, 12, 15]. The idea of mimic learning is to use a model that is trained based on the signals from the original training data to annotate a large set of unlabeled data and use these labels as training signals for training a new model. It has been shown, for many tasks in computer vision and natural language processing, that we can transfer knowledge this way and the newly trained models perform as well as the model trained on the original training data [2, 3, 8, 14].

However, trained models can expose the private information from the dataset they have been trained on [15]. Hence, the problem of preserving the privacy of the data is changed into the problem preserving the privacy of the model. Modeling privacy in machine learning is a challenging problem and there has been much research in this area. Preserving the privacy of deep learning models is even more challenging, as there are more parameters to be safeguarded [13]. Some work has studied the vulnerability of deep neural network as a service, where the interaction with the model is only via an input-output black box [7, 16, 19]. Others have proposed approaches to protect privacy against an adversary with a full knowledge of the training mechanism and access to the model’s parameters. For instance, Abadi et al. [1] propose a privacy preserving stochastic gradient descent algorithm offering a trade-off between utility and privacy. More recently, Papernot et al. [12] propose a semi-supervised method for transferring the knowledge for deep learning from private training data. They propose a setup for learning privacy-preserving student models by transferring knowledge from an ensemble of teachers trained on disjoint subsets of the data for which privacy guarantees are provided.

We investigate the possibility of mimic learning for document ranking and study techniques aimed at preserving privacy in mimic learning for this task. Generally, we address two research questions:

RQ1 Can we use mimic learning to train a neural ranker?

RQ2 Are privacy preserving mimic learning methods effective for training a neural ranker?
Below, we first assess the general possibility of exploiting mimic learning for document ranking task regardless of the privacy concerns. Then we examine the model by Papernot et al. [12] as a privacy preserving technique for mimic learning.

2 TRAINING A NEURAL RANKER WITH MIMIC LEARNING

In this section, we address our first research question: "Can we use mimic learning to train a neural ranker?"

The motivation for mimic learning comes from a well-known property of neural networks, namely that they are universal approximators, i.e., given enough training data, and a deep enough neural net with large enough hidden layers, they can approximate any function to an arbitrary precision [3]. The general idea is to train a very deep and wide network on the original training data which leads to a big model that is able to express the structure from the data very well; such a model is called a teacher model. Then the teacher model is used to annotate a large unlabeled dataset. This annotated set is then used to train a neural network which is called a student network. For many applications, it has been shown that the student model makes predictions similar to the teacher model with nearly the same or even better performance [8, 14]. This idea is mostly employed for compressing complex neural models or ensembles of neural models to a small deployable neural model [2, 3].

We have performed a set of preliminary experiments to examine the idea of mimic learning for the task of document ranking. The question is: Can we use a trained neural ranker on a set of training data to annotate unlabeled data and train a new model (another ranker) on the newly generated training data that works nearly as good as the original model?

In our experiments, as the neural ranker, we have employed Rank model proposed by Dehghani et al. [5]. The general scheme of this model is illustrated in (1). In this model, the goal is to learn a scoring function $S(q, d; \theta)$ for a given pair of query $q$ and document $d$ with the set of model parameters $\theta$. This model uses a pair-wise ranking scenario during training in which there are two point-wise networks that share parameters and their parameters get updated to minimize a pair-wise loss. Each training instance has five elements $\tau = (q, d_1, d_2, s_q, d_1, s_q, d_2)$, where $s_q, d_i$ indicates the relevance score of $d_i$ with respect to $q$ from the ground-truth. During inference, the trained model is treated as a point-wise scoring function to score query-document pairs.

In this model, the input query and documents are passed through a representation learning layer, which is a function $i$ that learns the representation of the input data instances, i.e. $(q, d^+, d^-)$, and consists of three components: (1) an embedding function $e : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ (where $\mathcal{V}$ denotes the vocabulary and $m$ is the number of embedding dimensions), (2) a weighting function $\omega : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and (3) a compositionality function $\circ : (\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. More formally, the function $i$ is defined as:

$$i(q, d^+, d^-) = \left[ \bigcirc_{i=1}^{\left|q\right|} (e(t^q_i), \omega(t^q_i)) \right] \bigcirc_{i=1}^{\left|d^+\right|} (e(t_i^{d^+}), \omega(t_i^{d^+})) \bigcirc_{i=1}^{\left|d^-\right|} (e(t_i^{d^-}), \omega(t_i^{d^-}))$$

where $t^q_i$ and $t^d_i$ denote the $i$-th term in query $q$ and document $d$, respectively. The weighting function $\omega$ assigns a weight to each term in the vocabulary. It has been shown that $\omega$ simulates the effect of inverse document frequency (IDF), which is an important feature in information retrieval [5]. The compositionality function $\circ$ projects a set of $n$ embedding-weighting pairs to an $m$-dimensional representation, independent of the value of $n$ by taking the element-wise weighted sum over the terms’ embedding vectors. We initialize the embedding function $e$ with word2vec embeddings [9] pre-trained on Google News, and the weighting function $\omega$ with IDF.

The representation learning layer is followed by a simple feed-forward neural network that is composed of $l - 1$ hidden layers with ReLU as the activation function, and output layer $z_l$. The output layer $z_l$ is a fully-connected layer with a single continuous output with tanh as the activation function. The model is optimized using the hinge loss (max-margin loss function) on batches of training instances and it is defined as follows:

$$L(b; \theta) = \frac{1}{|b|} \sum_{i=1}^{\left|b\right|} \max \left\{ 0, 1 - \text{sign}(s(q, d_i), -s(q, d_i)) \right\}$$

(2)

This model is implemented using TensorFlow [6, 18]. The configuration of teacher and student networks is presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Teacher and student neural networks configurations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Student</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of hidden layers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of hidden layers</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial learning rate</td>
<td>1E-3</td>
<td>1E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropout</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedding size</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batch size</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As our test collection, we use Robust04 with a set of 250 queries (TREC topics 301–450 and 601–700) with judgments, which has been used in the TREC Robust Track 2004. We follow the knowledge...
In the previous section, we examined using the idea of mimic learning to train a neural ranker regardless of the privacy risks. In this section, we address our second research question: "Are privacy preserving mimic learning methods effective for training a neural ranker?"

It has been shown that there is a risk of privacy problems, both where the adversary is just able to query the model, and where the model parameters are exposed to the adversaries inspection. For instance, Fredrikson et al. [7] show that only by observing the prediction of the machine learning models they can approximately reconstruct part of the training data (model-inversion attack). Shokri et al. [16] also demonstrate that it is possible to infer whether a specific training point is included in the model’s training data by observing only the predictions of the model (membership inference attack).

We apply the idea of knowledge transfer for deep neural networks from private training data, proposed by Papernot et al. [12]. The authors propose a private aggregation of teacher ensembles based on the teacher-student paradigm to preserve the privacy of training data. First, the sensitive training data is divided into $n$ partitions. Then, on each partition, an independent neural network model is trained as a teacher. Once the teachers are trained, an aggregation step is done using majority voting to generate a single global prediction. Laplacian noise is injected into the output of the prediction of each teacher before aggregation. The introduction of this noise is what protects privacy because it obfuscates the vulnerable cases, where teachers disagree.

The aggregated teacher can be considered as a deferentially private API to which we can submit the input and it then returns the privacy preserving label. There are some circumstances where due to efficiency reasons the model is needed to be deployed to the user device [1]. To be able to generate a shareable model where the privacy of the training data is preserved, Papernot et al. [12] train an additional model called the student model. The student model has access to unlabeled public data during training. The unlabeled public data is annotated using the aggregated teacher to transfer knowledge from teachers to student model in a privacy preserving fashion. This way, if the adversary tries to recover the training data by inspecting the parameters of the student model, in the worst case, the public training instances with privacy preserving labels from the aggregated teacher are going to be revealed. The privacy guarantee of this approach is formally proved using differential privacy framework.

We apply the same idea to our task. We use a weak supervision...
With the recent success of deep learning in many fields, IR is also moving from traditional statistical approaches to neural network based approaches. Supervised neural networks are data hungry and training an effective model requires a huge amount of labeled samples. However, for many IR tasks, there are not big enough datasets. For many tasks such as the ad-hoc retrieval task, companies and commercial search engines have access to large amounts of data. However, sharing these datasets with the research community raises concerns such as violating the privacy of users. In this paper, we acknowledge this problem and propose an approach to overcome it. Our suggestion is based on the recent success on mimic learning in computer vision and NLP tasks. Our first research question was: Can we use mimic learning to train a neural ranker?

To answer this question, we used the idea of mimic learning. Instead of sharing the original training data, we propose to train a model on the data and share the model. The trained model can then be used in a knowledge transfer fashion to label a huge amount of unlabeled data and create big datasets. We showed that a student ranker model trained on a dataset labeled based on predictions of a teacher model, can perform almost as well as the teacher model. This shows the potential of mimic learning for the ranking task which can overcome the problem of lack of large datasets for ad-hoc IR task and open-up the future research in this direction.

As shown in the literature, even sharing the trained model on sensitive training data instead of the original data cannot guarantee the privacy. Our second research question was: Are privacy preserving mimic learning methods effective for training a neural ranker? To guarantee the privacy of users, we proposed to use the idea of privacy preserving mimic learning. We showed that using this approach, not only the privacy of users is guaranteed, but also we can achieve an acceptable performance. In this paper, we aim to lay the groundwork for the idea of sharing a privacy preserving model instead of sensitive data in IR applications. This suggests researchers from industry share the knowledge learned from actual users’ data with the academic community that leads to a better collaboration of all researchers in the field.

As a future direction of this research, we aim to establish formal statements regarding the level of privacy that this would entail using privacy preserving mimic learning and strengthen this angel in the experimental evaluation. Besides, we can investigate that which kind of neural network structure is more suitable for mimic learning for the ranking task.
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