Structural change in the post-socialist transformation of Central European agriculture: Studies from the Czech and Slovak Republics

Bezemer, D.J.

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.
List of Tables and Figures

Tables

3.1: Much Farm Land Is Still Worked By Non-individual Farm Structures 49

5.1: Czech Survey Statistics and Official Figures 77
5.2: Structural Characteristics: 1998 Survey Sample Compared to 1996 VUZE Sample 81
5.3: Production Conditions in Agricultural Areas 83
5.4: Geographic Distribution of the Czech Individual-Farm Survey 84
5.5: Geographic Distribution of Traditional Farms and Farm Sizes in the Survey 85
5.6: Distribution of Farms and Farm Sizes over Agricultural Areas 86
5.7: Distribution of Districts and Respondents over Agricultural Regions, Agricultural Productivity Areas, and Individual-Farming Areas 87
5.8: Slovak Survey Statistics And Official Figures, 1998 92

6.1: De-Collectivisation Rates Differ over Traditional Farm Sizes, Types, and Regions 110
6.2: Frequency and Extent of Land Restitution by Traditional Farm Category 112
6.3: Restitution Was More Difficult For Successful Individual Farmers 113
6.4: Obtaining Non-land Assets was Important for Success 114
6.5: Access to Financial Resources Was Difficult and Important for Success 115
6.6: Expansion Occurs Through Renting Land, Not Restitution or Purchase 117
6.7: Human Capital Matters to Success in Individual Farming 120

7.1: Private Land Ownership and Individual Farming in the Czech and Slovak Republic 125

9.1: Importance Of Debt Financing Over Farm Types 172
9.2: Individual Farmers Apply Less Often For Loans And Are More Often Rejected 172
9.3: Profitability And Risk Were The Main Reasons For Loan Rejection 174
9.4: Use Of Different Types Of Collateral Differs Over Farm Types 175
9.5: Loan Maturities Do Not Clearly Differ Over Farm Types 176
9.6: Number of Farms in the Survey With Profitability and Access to Credit, 1993-1997 182
9.7: Profitability And Credit Are Related For Traditional, Not Individual Farms 183
9.8: Differences in Profitability Over Farm Types Are Small 189
9.9: Debt Financing Increases in Size of Individual Farms 193
9.10: Allocation of Credit and Subsidies to Individual Farms Is Often Simultaneous 195
9.11: For Individual Farms, Allocation Simultaneity Results Mainly From Exclusion 196
A1: Number of Profit Observations Per Year And Per Time Horizon 200
A2: \( P_j \) Values for Credit Extension and Refusal, by Farm Types And Time Horizons 200
A3: Coincidence of Access to Credit and Subsidies, 1993-1997 201

10.1: Food Price Indices in the European Union-12 and the Czech(o-Slovak) Republic 206
10.2: Governance Structures and Output Levels, Individual and Traditional Farms 211
10.3: Product Mix by Farm Types: Physical Numbers 217
10.5: Individual Farms Showed Less Risk Exposure Than Traditional Farms 224
10.6: Regional Differences in Risk Exposure by Farm Type 225

Figures

4.1. The Process of Institutional Change in the Organisation of Production 62
9.1: Profitability-Based Loan Extension 180
9.2: Profitability Assessments (\( P_j \)) by Time Horizon (s.t) for 4 Farm Types 185