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In large parts of European academic and public discourse, it is apparently taken for granted that one lingua franca must dominate and that it will be English, apart from the problematic features of any form of linguistic hegemony (no matter which language dominates), the changing geopolitics of the twenty-first century makes it not necessarily favourable to consider this scenario – including the further expansion of global English. The hegemonic position of the English language proceeds from the expanding influence of English-speaking countries in the twentieth century (Phillipson, 2009). In the twenty-first century, however, this political and economic dominance is expected to decrease, and it is not obvious that the role of English will remain unchallenged.

What does research tell us?

The twentieth century has favoured the political and commercial expansion of English-speaking countries. Commentators have argued that the position of English will be challenged due to the fact that the global domination of the US has passed its peak in the beginning of the twenty-first century (Maurais and Morris, 2003; Ostler, 2010). Ostler (2010) also suggests that the development of language technologies, in particular automatic translation, will erode the relevance of any form of linguistic hegemony (no matter which language dominates), the changing geopolitics of the twenty-first century (Phillipson, 2009).

A number of languages of wider communication already compete with global English for the status of (regional) lingua franca. In former parts of the Soviet Union, Russian is still being used as a lingua franca. A country like Kazakhstan, within the former Soviet and current Russian orbit has adopted an official trilingual language policy promoting equally prominent status for Kazakh, English and Russian. The two official languages of the Shanghai Cooperation Organisation covering the territory of the eastern part of the Eurasian continent are Russian and Mandarin Chinese. With almost 900 million, Mandarin Chinese has the most mother tongue speakers by far (roughly three times as much as English at present). French remains the main lingua franca across most former French colonial states on the African continent, with demographic projections placing the number of speakers of French between 370 and 770 million by 2060, and Spanish as a lingua franca plays a vital communicative role across the American continent, including as a result of migration and demolinguistic evolution, in the United States. Some other important languages of wider communication, like Hindi, Malay and Portuguese might also be added to the list of regional competitors of global English.

Illustration and evidence

In sum, the global geopolitical developments should lead us to anticipate the use of several different regional or global lingua francas, including English, but also a number of other languages, including Mandarin Chinese, Spanish, Arabic, Hindi, Russian, Bengali, Malay, Portuguese, Urdu, Japanese, French, German, Persian, and Javanese. In the table above, these languages are arranged by total number of speakers, it being clear that the number of “lingua franca speakers”, and hence of “total speakers”, are very rough estimates.

Apart from the numbers of the different categories of speakers, the geographical distribution and concentration of competing languages also matters, as does their prestige and status. The complex interplay of political, economic and demographic factors that shape language dynamics suggests that a wide range of languages should be taken into account in a forward-looking language policy for Europe. EU member states could make more space for the languages referred to above in school curricula, taking care in particular not to narrow down their language education policy to the teaching of a mother tongue plus English only. This more open orientation ties in with the recommendation made in COM (2008 / 566 / F), that the teaching of languages of wider communication is relevant to boost competitiveness and to improve opportunities in global business spheres.
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