



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Monetary Valuation of Environmental Goods: Alternatives to Contingent Valuation

Baarsma, B.E.

Publication date
2000

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Baarsma, B. E. (2000). *Monetary Valuation of Environmental Goods: Alternatives to Contingent Valuation*. Thela Thesis.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Contents

Preface		vii
Chapter 1	Introduction	1
1.1	A précis of the plot	1
1.2	A critique of monetising the environment	4
1.3	An overview	7
Part I	Theory	
Chapter 2	Monetary Valuation and Economic Theory	11
2.1	Neoclassical welfare economics	11
	2.1.1 <i>Neoclassical basis of monetary valuation methods</i>	14
	2.1.2 <i>Problems with neoclassical assumptions about behaviour</i>	20
	2.1.2.a <i>Assumptions of choice</i>	21
	2.1.2.b <i>Rationality assumption</i>	23
	2.1.2.c <i>Strict convexity of indifference curves</i>	26
	2.1.2.d <i>Assumption of local non-satiation</i>	28
	2.1.2.e <i>Consumer sovereignty</i>	30
	2.1.2.f <i>Well-structured preferences</i>	31
	2.1.2.g <i>Ceteris paribus, time dimensions and complete certainty</i>	34
	2.1.2.h <i>Conclusion</i>	35
2.2	Other economic approaches to monetary valuation	36
	2.2.1 <i>Institutional economics</i>	37
	2.2.2 <i>Ecological economics</i>	45

Chapter 3	The Contingent Valuation Method	53
3.1	A classification of valuation methods	53
3.2	Introduction to the contingent valuation method	56
3.3	Use and popularity of the contingent valuation method	60
3.4	A critique of the contingent valuation method	65
	3.4.1 <i>Embedding effects</i>	66
	3.4.2 <i>Endowment effects</i>	71
	3.4.3 <i>Overestimation</i>	77
	3.4.4 <i>Aggregation issues</i>	82
3.5	Concluding remarks	85
Chapter 4	Alternatives to Contingent Valuation	91
4.1	Introduction	91
4.2	Conjoint measurement	93
	4.2.1 <i>Conjoint measurement as used in this thesis</i>	97
	4.2.2 <i>Advantages of conjoint measurement over the CVM</i>	101
4.3	Welfare evaluation method	104
4.4	Well-being evaluation method	108
4.5	Closure	112
Part II	Empirical studies	
Chapter 5	The IJburg Experiment: CVM versus Conjoint Measurement	117
5.1	Introduction	118
5.2	Hypotheses	119
5.3	Referendum IJburg	121
5.4	Construction of the questionnaire	124
	5.4.1 <i>Construction of the contingent valuation question</i>	124
	5.4.2 <i>Construction of the conjoint measurement question</i>	125
5.5	Response	128
5.6	Representativeness of the sample	131
5.7	Differences between CM and CVM subsamples	132
5.8	Order effects	134
5.9	Results of the contingent valuation question	138
	5.9.1 <i>Mean and total willingness to pay</i>	140
	5.9.2 <i>Determinants of the willingness to pay</i>	142
	5.9.3 <i>Starting point bias</i>	144

5.10	Results of the conjoint measurement question	145
	5.10.1 <i>The analysis based on rank orderings</i>	146
	5.10.2 <i>The analysis based on report marks</i>	148
	5.10.3 <i>The analysis based on acceptability</i>	150
	5.10.4 <i>Comparison of the three analyses</i>	151
	5.10.5 <i>Relating report marks to personal characteristics</i>	153
5.11	Comparison of the CM and CVM results	156
	5.11.1 <i>Comparison of CM and CVM valuation results</i>	156
	5.11.2 <i>Comparison of CM and CVM response behaviour</i>	157
	5.11.3 <i>CVM-vignette in conjoint measurement study</i>	159
5.12	Conclusions	161
Chapter 6	The Schiphol Experiment: Well-Being Evaluation and Conjoint Measurement	167
6.1	Introduction	167
6.2	The measurement of aircraft noise	168
6.3	The development of a compensation scheme	171
6.4	Other valuation studies of aircraft noise nuisance	174
6.5	The response data for the Schiphol survey	181
	6.5.1 <i>Response data per question</i>	185
6.6	Results of the well-being evaluation method	189
	6.6.1 <i>Explanation of W (Well-being)</i>	191
	6.6.2 <i>Compensations and the value of insulation differentiated for income positions</i>	197
	6.6.3 <i>Compensations and the value of insulation differentiated for housing expenses</i>	201
	6.6.4 <i>Compensations and the value of insulation for the average household</i>	204
	6.6.5 <i>The costs of compensation to society</i>	205
6.7	Results of the conjoint measurement question	207
	6.7.1 <i>The analysis based on report marks</i>	210
	6.7.2 <i>Relating report marks to personal characteristics</i>	214
6.8	Conclusions	216
Appendix A	Results of the welfare evaluation method	220
Appendix B	Specification of the unreliability of the Ku values lower than 20	223
Appendix C	Total number of residences in the Schiphol region and Ku values	230

Chapter 7	Conclusions and Summary	233
7.1	Monetary valuation of the environment	233
7.2	Debate regarding the pros and cons of the CVM	235
7.3	Alternatives to the CVM	240
7.4	Empirical studies	243
Appendix I	The IJburg Questionnaire	251
Appendix II	The Schiphol Questionnaire	259
Bibliography		275
Nederlandse Samenvatting		295