



UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Perspectives on stopping behavior : process analyses of stop-signal inhibition

van den Wildenberg, W.P.M.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van den Wildenberg, W. P. M. (2003). *Perspectives on stopping behavior : process analyses of stop-signal inhibition*.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (<http://dare.uva.nl>)

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.1	Defining response inhibition as stopping behavior.....	5
1.2	Investigating stopping with the stop-signal paradigm	6
1.3	The horse-race model and its assumptions	6
1.4	Estimating stop-signal reaction time (SSRT)	7
1.5	A selective review of stop-signal studies.....	9
1.6	On the nature of stopping	11
1.7	Remaining questions and the outline of this thesis.....	12
2	Reduced response readiness delays stop-signal inhibition.....	15
2.1	Introduction.....	15
2.2	Method	17
2.2.1	Participants	17
2.2.2	Apparatus and signals.....	17
2.2.3	Task and design	17
2.2.4	Procedure.....	19
2.2.5	Stop-latency estimation	19
2.3	Results	19
2.3.1	Analysis of performance on no-signal trials.....	20
2.3.2	Analysis of stop-signal inhibition.....	21
2.4	Discussion.....	22
2-A	Appendix - Use of a bad clock does not enhance the detection of differences in SSRT	25
3	Stop latency varies with response force.....	29
3.1	Introduction.....	29
3.2	Method	32
3.2.1	Participants	32
3.2.2	Apparatus and signals.....	32
3.2.3	Tasks and design.....	32
3.2.4	Procedure.....	33
3.2.5	Response-force recordings.....	33
3.2.6	Data reduction and analysis	33
3.3	Results	34
3.3.1	Reaction times	34
3.3.2	Force measures	36
3.4	Discussion.....	38

4	Developmental fractionation of the ability to inhibit : Differential rates of age-related change in simple and selective inhibitory control.....	41
4.1	Introduction	41
4.2	Method	44
4.2.1	Participants	44
4.2.2	Apparatus and signals	45
4.2.3	Experimental tasks.....	45
4.2.4	Procedure	46
4.2.5	Data analysis.....	46
4.3	Results	47
4.3.1	Choice task	47
4.3.2	Simple stop task.....	48
4.3.3	Selective stop task	50
4.3.4	Shared versus unique effects of age group on stopping.....	52
4.4	Discussion.....	53
5	Stages of inhibitory processing:	
	The additive factor method on stop-signal inhibition.....	59
5.1	Introduction	59
5.1.1	Stopping as extreme cognitive control	59
5.1.2	Studies with the stop-signal paradigm.....	60
5.1.3	The nature of stopping.....	60
5.1.4	The additive factor method (AFM).....	62
5.1.5	The present study.....	63
5.2	Experiment I	64
5.2.1	Method.....	64
5.2.2	Results and discussion	67
5.3	Experiment II.....	73
5.3.1	Method.....	73
5.3.2	Results and discussion	73
5.4	General discussion	78
6	Stopping responses in the Simon paradigm:	
	Examining the nature of simple and selective response inhibition.....	81
6.1	Introduction	81
6.1.1	The stop-signal paradigm	82
6.1.2	A selective review of stop-signal studies.....	82
6.1.3	Extending the simple stop task: The selective stop response	83
6.1.4	The conflict paradigm and the dual-process model.....	84
6.1.5	Combining stop and conflict paradigms	86
6.1.6	The present study.....	88
6.2	Method	89
6.2.1	Participants	89
6.2.2	Apparatus and signals.....	89
6.2.3	Tasks and design.....	89
6.2.4	Procedure	90

6.2.5	Data processing.....	91
6.3	Results	92
6.3.1	Simon tasks.....	92
6.3.2	Stop-signal tasks	94
6.4	Discussion.....	97
6.4.1	Simon tasks.....	97
6.4.2	Stop-signal tasks	98
6.4.3	Stop-signal processing vis-à-vis go-signal processing	98
7	Neuro-stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus, but not the thalamus, facilitates response inhibition in patients with Parkinson's disease	101
7.1	Introduction.....	101
7.1.1	Anticipatory behavior	101
7.1.2	The stop-signal paradigm	102
7.1.3	Review of stop-signal studies	102
7.1.4	The nature of stopping.....	103
7.1.5	Functional overview of basal ganglia connections	105
7.1.6	Parkinson's disease	107
7.1.7	DBS in Parkinson's disease and essential tremor	109
7.1.8	The present study.....	110
7.2	Method	110
7.2.1	Participants	110
7.2.2	Apparatus and signals	111
7.2.3	Experimental tasks.....	111
7.2.4	Procedure	112
7.2.5	Data reduction and analysis	112
7.3	Results	113
7.3.1	Go/nogo task.....	113
7.3.2	Stop-signal task.....	113
7.4	Discussion.....	116
7.4.1	Validation of the horse-race model.....	116
7.4.2	Response execution	117
7.4.3	Response inhibition	118
7.4.4	Mechanisms of DBS.....	118
7.4.5	Neural mechanisms of stopping.....	119
8	Summary and conclusion.....	123
8.1	Summary.....	123
8.1.1	Interaction patterns of stopping and reactive inhibition	123
8.1.2	Relating stop latency to response force: A physiological perspective..	124
8.1.3	Simple versus selective stopping: A developmental perspective	124
8.1.4	Stopping is a response: An information-processing perspective	125
8.1.5	The basal ganglia and stopping: A neurophysiological perspective.....	127
8.2	Conclusion	127
	Samenvatting.....	131
	References.....	139

