



UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Perspectives on stopping behavior : process analyses of stop-signal inhibition

van den Wildenberg, W.P.M.

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

van den Wildenberg, W. P. M. (2003). Perspectives on stopping behavior : process analyses of stop-signal inhibition.

#### General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

#### Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (<http://dare.uva.nl>)

# Table of Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Defining response inhibition as stopping behavior.....	5
1.2	Investigating stopping with the stop-signal paradigm .....	6
1.3	The horse-race model and its assumptions .....	6
1.4	Estimating stop-signal reaction time (SSRT) .....	7
1.5	A selective review of stop-signal studies.....	9
1.6	On the nature of stopping .....	11
1.7	Remaining questions and the outline of this thesis.....	12
<b>2</b>	<b>Reduced response readiness delays stop-signal inhibition.....</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	Introduction.....	15
2.2	Method .....	17
2.2.1	Participants .....	17
2.2.2	Apparatus and signals.....	17
2.2.3	Task and design .....	17
2.2.4	Procedure .....	19
2.2.5	Stop-latency estimation .....	19
2.3	Results .....	19
2.3.1	Analysis of performance on no-signal trials.....	20
2.3.2	Analysis of stop-signal inhibition.....	21
2.4	Discussion.....	22
2-A	Appendix - Use of a bad clock does not enhance the detection of differences in SSRT .....	25
<b>3</b>	<b>Stop latency varies with response force.....</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1	Introduction.....	29
3.2	Method .....	32
3.2.1	Participants .....	32
3.2.2	Apparatus and signals.....	32
3.2.3	Tasks and design.....	32
3.2.4	Procedure .....	33
3.2.5	Response-force recordings.....	33
3.2.6	Data reduction and analysis .....	33
3.3	Results .....	34
3.3.1	Reaction times .....	34
3.3.2	Force measures .....	36
3.4	Discussion.....	38

<b>4</b>	<b>Developmental fractionation of the ability to inhibit : Differential rates of age-related change in simple and selective inhibitory control.....</b>	<b>41</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	41
4.2	Method .....	44
4.2.1	Participants .....	44
4.2.2	Apparatus and signals .....	45
4.2.3	Experimental tasks.....	45
4.2.4	Procedure .....	46
4.2.5	Data analysis.....	46
4.3	Results .....	47
4.3.1	Choice task .....	47
4.3.2	Simple stop task.....	48
4.3.3	Selective stop task .....	50
4.3.4	Shared versus unique effects of age group on stopping.....	52
4.4	Discussion.....	53
<b>5</b>	<b>Stages of inhibitory processing:</b>	
	<b>The additive factor method on stop-signal inhibition.....</b>	<b>59</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	59
5.1.1	Stopping as extreme cognitive control .....	59
5.1.2	Studies with the stop-signal paradigm .....	60
5.1.3	The nature of stopping .....	60
5.1.4	The additive factor method (AFM).....	62
5.1.5	The present study.....	63
5.2	Experiment I .....	64
5.2.1	Method.....	64
5.2.2	Results and discussion .....	67
5.3	Experiment II .....	73
5.3.1	Method.....	73
5.3.2	Results and discussion .....	73
5.4	General discussion .....	78
<b>6</b>	<b>Stopping responses in the Simon paradigm:</b>	
	<b>Examining the nature of simple and selective response inhibition.....</b>	<b>81</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	81
6.1.1	The stop-signal paradigm .....	82
6.1.2	A selective review of stop-signal studies.....	82
6.1.3	Extending the simple stop task: The selective stop response .....	83
6.1.4	The conflict paradigm and the dual-process model .....	84
6.1.5	Combining stop and conflict paradigms .....	86
6.1.6	The present study.....	88
6.2	Method .....	89
6.2.1	Participants .....	89
6.2.2	Apparatus and signals.....	89
6.2.3	Tasks and design.....	89
6.2.4	Procedure .....	90

6.2.5	Data processing.....	91
6.3	Results .....	92
6.3.1	Simon tasks.....	92
6.3.2	Stop-signal tasks .....	94
6.4	Discussion.....	97
6.4.1	Simon tasks.....	97
6.4.2	Stop-signal tasks .....	98
6.4.3	Stop-signal processing vis-à-vis go-signal processing .....	98
<b>7</b>	<b>Neuro-stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus, but not the thalamus, facilitates response inhibition in patients with Parkinson's disease ....</b>	<b>101</b>
7.1	Introduction.....	101
7.1.1	Anticipatory behavior .....	101
7.1.2	The stop-signal paradigm .....	102
7.1.3	Review of stop-signal studies .....	102
7.1.4	The nature of stopping.....	103
7.1.5	Functional overview of basal ganglia connections .....	105
7.1.6	Parkinson's disease .....	107
7.1.7	DBS in Parkinson's disease and essential tremor .....	109
7.1.8	The present study.....	110
7.2	Method .....	110
7.2.1	Participants .....	110
7.2.2	Apparatus and signals .....	111
7.2.3	Experimental tasks.....	111
7.2.4	Procedure .....	112
7.2.5	Data reduction and analysis .....	112
7.3	Results .....	113
7.3.1	Go/nogo task.....	113
7.3.2	Stop-signal task.....	113
7.4	Discussion.....	116
7.4.1	Validation of the horse-race model.....	116
7.4.2	Response execution .....	117
7.4.3	Response inhibition .....	118
7.4.4	Mechanisms of DBS.....	118
7.4.5	Neural mechanisms of stopping.....	119
<b>8</b>	<b>Summary and conclusion.....</b>	<b>123</b>
8.1	Summary.....	123
8.1.1	Interaction patterns of stopping and reactive inhibition .....	123
8.1.2	Relating stop latency to response force: A physiological perspective..	124
8.1.3	Simple versus selective stopping: A developmental perspective .....	124
8.1.4	Stopping is a response: An information-processing perspective .....	125
8.1.5	The basal ganglia and stopping: A neurophysiological perspective.....	127
8.2	Conclusion .....	127
	<b>Samenvatting.....</b>	<b>131</b>
	<b>References.....</b>	<b>139</b>

