



UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### UK courts and EC law

Boch, C.M.C.G.

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Boch, C. M. C. G. (2004). UK courts and EC law.

#### General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

#### Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (<http://dare.uva.nl>)

## Table of Contents

### Introductory Chapter

Introductory Chapter	3
----------------------	---

#### CHAPTER 1

### Setting the Scene

Setting the scene	17
1.1 Union law or Community law?	17
1.1.1 The acceleration of history	17
1.1.2 The Treaty on European Union	18
1.2 Primary sources	19
1.2.1 A Europe of bits and pieces	19
1.2.2 International agreements.	19
1.2.3 The general principles of law	20
1.2.3.1 The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights.	20
1.2.3.2 The relevance of the general principles of law in litigation	21
1.3 Legislation enacted under the Treaty	22
1.3.1 Regulations and the devolution dimension	22
1.3.2 Directives	23
1.3.2.1 Directives lay down different types of obligations	24
1.3.2.3 Directives need national law	24
1.3.2.3 Implementation in practice	25
1.3.2.4 The pathology of non-compliance	25
1.3.2.5 Improving the quality of EC Directives	26
1.4 Soft law	27
1.5 Case law as a source of law	27
1.5.1 ECJ decisions relevant and binding on the UK courts	27
1.5.2 Reading the case law of the ECJ	28
1.6 The notable features of the EC Treaty	30
1.6.1 A law of solidarity	31
1.6.2 The Autonomy of Community Law	32
1.6.3 Other features of Community law	33
1.7 The UK courts and the challenge of interpretation	34
1.8 Conclusion	35

#### CHAPTER 2

### Are Uk Courts Uk Courts or Community Courts?

Are UK Courts UK courts or Community Courts?	45
2.1 Community Law as a new and distinct legal order	45
2.2 National courts are domestic courts	48
2.2.1 No surprise for the UK courts	48
2.2.2 The principle of Parliamentary Sovereignty	49

2.3 European Community Law in the UK: The European Communities Act 1972	50
2.3.1 Community law must be given effect to according to its own nature	50
2.3.2 The implementation of future Community obligations: section 2 (2) creates more restraints on the Sovereign Parliament.	51
2.3.2.1 Problems with section 2 (2)	52
2.3.2.2 Arguments based on section 2 (2)	53
2.3.3 Primacy just a rule of construction?	53
2.3.4 The ECJ as the UK supreme court?	55
2.4 Judicial review of primary UK legislation	57
2.4.1 Parliament must obey Community law	57
2.4.2 The authority to give effect to Community law comes from Community law	58
2.4.3 The wider ramifications of <i>Factortame</i>	58
2.5 "An irreversible transfer"	59
2.5.1 The UK Parliament is no longer the sole legislator	59
2.5.2 Identifying the boundaries of Parliamentary sovereignty	59
2.6 General Principles of Law and the UK courts	61
2.6.1 The reach of Community law.	61
2.6.2 The boundaries of judicial authority	63
2.6.2.1 When applying the principle of legitimate expectation	64
2.6.2.2 When applying the principle of proportionality	65
2.6.2.3 When protecting the right to property	66
2.7 Conclusion	67

## CHAPTER 3

<b>Ascertaining the Substance of Community Rights</b>	
Ascertaining the substance of Community rights	75
3.1 A step in the domestic proceedings	75
3.2 The different functions served by Article 234	76
3.2.1 Helping the development of the Community legal order	76
3.2.2 Ensuring effective protection of Community based claims	77
3.2.3 The private enforcement model is not subordinate to infringement proceedings	78
3.2.3.1 Two different remedies	78
3.2.3.2 The national court remains free to make a reference	78
3.3 What can go wrong	79
3.3.1 Some bodies do not have jurisdiction to refer	79
3.3.2 Some questions are inadmissible	81
3.3.2.1 The viability of the system commands some restraints	82

3.4 The national courts as main players	83
3.4.1 Discretionary jurisdiction	85
3.4.1.1 A matter for the court hearing the case	85
3.4.1.2 Appeal against a decision to refer	87
3.4.2 Courts with an obligation to refer	89
3.4.2.1 Is <i>Cilfit</i> still good law?	89
3.4.2.2 No defiance in the UK courts	90
3.4.3 Interpreting and Applying the Court's ruling	91
3.5 The practice	92
3.5.1 Some figures	92
3.5.2 Conflicting views on the success of the procedure in the UK.	94
3.6 Conclusion	94
 CHAPTER 4	
<b>Giving Effect to Community Law</b>	
<b>Giving effect to Community law</b>	105
4.1 Direct effect or the justiciability of Community law	105
4.2 National judges as Community judges	106
4.2.1 The Direct effect of Treaty Provisions:	107
4.2.2 The Direct effect of Regulations and Decisions	109
4.2.3 The Direct effect of Directives	110
4.2.3.1 Conditions	111
4.2.3.2 National courts' duties vary, as do the obligations that directives contain	111
4.2.3.3 Enforcing directives against the State or an emanation thereof	112
4.2.3.4 Broadening the concept of the State or an emanation thereof	114
4.2.3 Giving effect to Directives using alternative therapies	115
4.2.3.1 Useful effect through interpretation	116
4.2.3.2 Limits to interpretation	116
4.2.3.3 Legal basis	118
4.2.3.4 Objections	118
4.2.3.5 National law may become inapplicable	119
4.3 The Practice in the UK courts	122
4.3.1 Enforcing directives against an emanation of the State	122
4.3.2 Direct effect: an elusive concept	123
4.3.3 The duty of uniform interpretation	123
4.3.3.1 Enforceable Community rights: a Community or national definition?	124
4.3.3.2 The UK courts' focus should be on section 3 (1) of the ECA	125
4.3.3.3 When legal certainty and fairness come into play.	126
4.4 Conclusion	126

## CHAPTER 5

**National Remedies for Breach of EC Law**

<b>National remedies for breach of EC Law</b>	135
5.1 Where do national obstacles come from? The principle of national procedural autonomy	135
5.1.1 Going to Court	136
5.1.2 Lack of knowledge or awareness of Community law	136
5.1.3 Financial considerations	138
5.1.4 Finding the appropriate forum and choosing the correct form of action	139
5.1.5 The immediacy of the remedy	142
5.1.6 Time limits in which to commence proceedings	143
5.2 Balancing effectiveness with national procedural autonomy	143
5.2.1 Finding an equivalent comparator	144
5.2.2 What is an effective remedy? The ECJ case law generates more questions than answers	145
5.2.3 More on effectiveness	147
5.2.4 Assessing proportionality and dissuasion	148
5.2.5 A methodology to help national courts to strike the right balance	149
5.3 Specific remedies	150
5.3.1 Existence of a judicial remedy	150
5.3.2 Title and Interest	151
5.3.3 Community law and national evidential rules	154
5.3.4 Rules on time-limits	154
5.3.5 Interim measures	156
5.3.6 Recovery of sums unduly paid	158
5.3.7 Restitution of charges and duties levied by the public administration in breach of Community law:	158
5.3.8 Raising Community Law points <i>ex proprio motu</i>	159
5.3.9 Miscellaneous influences	161
5.4 The UK courts and the case law on remedies	163
5.4.1 Compensation	163
5.4.1.1 Why <i>Marshall II</i> ?	163
5.4.1.2 Back in Luxembourg	165
5.4.2 Injunction	166
5.4.3 Title and interest	167
5.5 Conclusion	168

## CHAPTER 6

**A Right to a Specific Remedy****A right to a specific remedy: State liability for breach of Community law**

6.1 The recognition of the principle of State liability	177
6.1.1 What is the fuss about?	179

6.1.2 Securing compliance with obligations willingly undertaken?	180
6.2 Clarifying or extending the principle?	182
6.2.1 Constitutional objections	182
6.2.2 The legal basis	184
6.2.3 State Liability and other available remedies	184
6.3 Conditions	185
6.3.1 Individual rights:	186
6.3.2 A sufficiently serious breach	187
6.3.2.1. The measure of discretion left by a Community measure	189
6.3.2.2 Excusable and inexcusable error of law	191
6.3.2.3 The nature of the rule breached	192
6.3.3 A direct causal link	192
6.4 The extent of the reparation	193
6.4.1. The award of exemplary damages	194
6.4.2 Mitigation	194
6.5 State liability for breach of Community law in the UK courts: finding the right cause of action	194
6.6 Conclusion	195

## CHAPTER 7

### **Enforcing Community Law against the Community Institutions**

#### **Enforcing Community law against the Community institutions**

7.1 Article 234 as a means of securing a declaration of invalidity of Community legislation	203
7.1.1. Assessing the validity of Community legislation, against which norms?	204
7.1.2 The relationship between Articles 234 and 230 EC	205
7.1.3 An even more inventive use of Article 234	206
7.1.4.1 The preliminary ruling is not a procedure to give advisory opinion	207
7.1.4 The relationship between 230 and 234 needs clarified once more	208
7.1.5 The need for interim protection	209
7.1.6 The principle of interim protection	211
7.1.6.1 The conditions for the grant of interim relief: national or Community conditions?	212
7.2 Concurrent liability issues	213
7.2.1. Why do concurrent liability issues arise?	215
7.2.2 The nature of the problem: national and Community institutions are 'accountable under different legal orders'	215
7.2.3 The solutions	216

7.2.4 Exhaustion of national remedies.	218
7.2.5 A case study: Is any level liable?	219
7.3 Conclusion	221

## CHAPTER 8

**Rules to Enforce the Rules**

<b>Rules to enforce the rules: Specific Community rules for the enforcement of Community law</b>	229
8.1 The present	229
8.2 A case study: the public procurement rules	231
8.2.1. The Remedies Directive	232
8.3 Why does the Community make rules for the enforcement of Community law?	233
8.3.1 From the level playing field paradigm	233
8.3.2 To the very credibility of the project	234
8.3.3 Making centralised enforcement easier	234
8.3.4 Protecting the Community financial interests	235
8.3.5 Some successes	235
8.4 The Political Dimension	235
8.4.1 Legal (un)feasibility	237
8.4.2 Will Article 65 EC change matters?	238
8.5 The role of the ECJ in facilitating harmonisation	240
8.6. The limits of the decentralised enforcement model	241
8.6.1 The interests at stake: effectiveness v. national procedural autonomy	241
8.6.2 Private litigation is not always an option for all	242
8.6.3 Judicial intervention and discrimination	244
8.7 Conclusion	245
 <b>Concluding Remarks</b>	 253

**Annex**

