



UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

UK courts and EC law

Boch, C.M.C.G.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Boch, C. M. C. G. (2004). *UK courts and EC law*.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (<http://dare.uva.nl>)

Table of Contents

Introductory Chapter

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Introductory Chapter | 3 |
|----------------------|---|

CHAPTER 1

Setting the Scene

| | |
|--|----|
| Setting the scene | 17 |
| 1.1 Union law or Community law? | 17 |
| 1.1.1 The acceleration of history | 17 |
| 1.1.2 The Treaty on European Union | 18 |
| 1.2 Primary sources | 19 |
| 1.2.1 A Europe of bits and pieces | 19 |
| 1.2.2 International agreements. | 19 |
| 1.2.3 The general principles of law | 20 |
| 1.2.3.1 The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights. | 20 |
| 1.2.3.2 The relevance of the general principles of law in litigation | 21 |
| 1.3 Legislation enacted under the Treaty | 22 |
| 1.3.1 Regulations and the devolution dimension | 22 |
| 1.3.2 Directives | 23 |
| 1.3.2.1 Directives lay down different types of obligations | 24 |
| 1.3.2.3 Directives need national law | 24 |
| 1.3.2.3 Implementation in practice | 25 |
| 1.3.2.4 The pathology of non-compliance | 25 |
| 1.3.2.5 Improving the quality of EC Directives | 26 |
| 1.4 Soft law | 27 |
| 1.5 Case law as a source of law | 27 |
| 1.5.1 ECJ decisions relevant and binding on the UK courts | 27 |
| 1.5.2 Reading the case law of the ECJ | 28 |
| 1.6 The notable features of the EC Treaty | 30 |
| 1.6.1 A law of solidarity | 31 |
| 1.6.2 The Autonomy of Community Law | 32 |
| 1.6.3 Other features of Community law | 33 |
| 1.7 The UK courts and the challenge of interpretation | 34 |
| 1.8 Conclusion | 35 |

CHAPTER 2

Are Uk Courts Uk Courts or Community Courts?

| | |
|---|----|
| Are UK Courts UK courts or Community Courts? | 45 |
| 2.1 Community Law as a new and distinct legal order | 45 |
| 2.2 National courts are domestic courts | 48 |
| 2.2.1 No surprise for the UK courts | 48 |
| 2.2.2 The principle of Parliamentary Sovereignty | 49 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 2.3 European Community Law in the UK: The European Communities Act 1972 | 50 |
| 2.3.1 Community law must be given effect to according to its own nature | 50 |
| 2.3.2 The implementation of future Community obligations: section 2 (2) creates more restraints on the Sovereign Parliament. | 51 |
| 2.3.2.1 Problems with section 2 (2) | 52 |
| 2.3.2.2 Arguments based on section 2 (2) | 53 |
| 2.3.3 Primacy just a rule of construction? | 53 |
| 2.3.4 The ECJ as the UK supreme court? | 55 |
| 2.4 Judicial review of primary UK legislation | 57 |
| 2.4.1 Parliament must obey Community law | 57 |
| 2.4.2 The authority to give effect to Community law comes from Community law | 58 |
| 2.4.3 The wider ramifications of <i>Factortame</i> | 58 |
| 2.5 "An irreversible transfer" | 59 |
| 2.5.1 The UK Parliament is no longer the sole legislator | 59 |
| 2.5.2 Identifying the boundaries of Parliamentary sovereignty | 59 |
| 2.6 General Principles of Law and the UK courts | 61 |
| 2.6.1 The reach of Community law. | 61 |
| 2.6.2 The boundaries of judicial authority | 63 |
| 2.6.2.1 When applying the principle of legitimate expectation | 64 |
| 2.6.2.2 When applying the principle of proportionality | 65 |
| 2.6.2.3 When protecting the right to property | 66 |
| 2.7 Conclusion | 67 |

CHAPTER 3

| | |
|--|----|
| Ascertaining the Substance of Community Rights | |
| Ascertaining the substance of Community rights | 75 |
| 3.1 A step in the domestic proceedings | 75 |
| 3.2 The different functions served by Article 234 | 76 |
| 3.2.1 Helping the development of the Community legal order | 76 |
| 3.2.2 Ensuring effective protection of Community based claims | 77 |
| 3.2.3 The private enforcement model is not subordinate to infringement proceedings | 78 |
| 3.2.3.1 Two different remedies | 78 |
| 3.2.3.2 The national court remains free to make a reference | 78 |
| 3.3 What can go wrong | 79 |
| 3.3.1 Some bodies do not have jurisdiction to refer | 79 |
| 3.3.2 Some questions are inadmissible | 81 |
| 3.3.2.1 The viability of the system commands some restraints | 82 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 3.4 The national courts as main players | 83 |
| 3.4.1 Discretionary jurisdiction | 85 |
| 3.4.1.1 A matter for the court hearing the case | 85 |
| 3.4.1.2 Appeal against a decision to refer | 87 |
| 3.4.2 Courts with an obligation to refer | 89 |
| 3.4.2.1 Is <i>Cilfit</i> still good law? | 89 |
| 3.4.2.2 No defiance in the UK courts | 90 |
| 3.4.3 Interpreting and Applying the Court's ruling | 91 |
| 3.5 The practice | 92 |
| 3.5.1 Some figures | 92 |
| 3.5.2 Conflicting views on the success of the procedure in the UK. | 94 |
| 3.6 Conclusion | 94 |
| CHAPTER 4 | |
| Giving Effect to Community Law | |
| Giving effect to Community law | 105 |
| 4.1 Direct effect or the justiciability of Community law | 105 |
| 4.2 National judges as Community judges | 106 |
| 4.2.1 The Direct effect of Treaty Provisions: | 107 |
| 4.2.2 The Direct effect of Regulations and Decisions | 109 |
| 4.2.3 The Direct effect of Directives | 110 |
| 4.2.3.1 Conditions | 111 |
| 4.2.3.2 National courts' duties vary, as do the obligations that directives contain | 111 |
| 4.2.3.3 Enforcing directives against the State or an emanation thereof | 112 |
| 4.2.3.4 Broadening the concept of the State or an emanation thereof | 114 |
| 4.2.3 Giving effect to Directives using alternative therapies | 115 |
| 4.2.3.1 Useful effect through interpretation | 116 |
| 4.2.3.2 Limits to interpretation | 116 |
| 4.2.3.3 Legal basis | 118 |
| 4.2.3.4 Objections | 118 |
| 4.2.3.5 National law may become inapplicable | 119 |
| 4.3 The Practice in the UK courts | 122 |
| 4.3.1 Enforcing directives against an emanation of the State | 122 |
| 4.3.2 Direct effect: an elusive concept | 123 |
| 4.3.3 The duty of uniform interpretation | 123 |
| 4.3.3.1 Enforceable Community rights: a Community or national definition? | 124 |
| 4.3.3.2 The UK courts' focus should be on section 3 (1) of the ECA | 125 |
| 4.3.3.3 When legal certainty and fairness come into play. | 126 |
| 4.4 Conclusion | 126 |

CHAPTER 5

National Remedies for Breach of EC Law

| | |
|---|-----|
| National remedies for breach of EC Law | 135 |
| 5.1 Where do national obstacles come from? The principle of national procedural autonomy | 135 |
| 5.1.1 Going to Court | 136 |
| 5.1.2 Lack of knowledge or awareness of Community law | 136 |
| 5.1.3 Financial considerations | 138 |
| 5.1.4 Finding the appropriate forum and choosing the correct form of action | 139 |
| 5.1.5 The immediacy of the remedy | 142 |
| 5.1.6 Time limits in which to commence proceedings | 143 |
| 5.2 Balancing effectiveness with national procedural autonomy | 143 |
| 5.2.1 Finding an equivalent comparator | 144 |
| 5.2.2 What is an effective remedy? The ECJ case law generates more questions than answers | 145 |
| 5.2.3 More on effectiveness | 147 |
| 5.2.4 Assessing proportionality and dissuasion | 148 |
| 5.2.5 A methodology to help national courts to strike the right balance | 149 |
| 5.3 Specific remedies | 150 |
| 5.3.1 Existence of a judicial remedy | 150 |
| 5.3.2 Title and Interest | 151 |
| 5.3.3 Community law and national evidential rules | 154 |
| 5.3.4 Rules on time-limits | 154 |
| 5.3.5 Interim measures | 156 |
| 5.3.6 Recovery of sums unduly paid | 158 |
| 5.3.7 Restitution of charges and duties levied by the public administration in breach of Community law: | 158 |
| 5.3.8 Raising Community Law points <i>ex proprio motu</i> | 159 |
| 5.3.9 Miscellaneous influences | 161 |
| 5.4 The UK courts and the case law on remedies | 163 |
| 5.4.1 Compensation | 163 |
| 5.4.1.1 Why <i>Marshall II</i> ? | 163 |
| 5.4.1.2 Back in Luxembourg | 165 |
| 5.4.2 Injunction | 166 |
| 5.4.3 Title and interest | 167 |
| 5.5 Conclusion | 168 |

CHAPTER 6

A Right to a Specific Remedy

| | |
|--|-----|
| A right to a specific remedy: State liability for breach of Community law | 177 |
| 6.1 The recognition of the principle of State liability | 177 |
| 6.1.1 What is the fuss about? | 179 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 6.1.2 Securing compliance with obligations willingly undertaken? | 180 |
| 6.2 Clarifying or extending the principle? | 182 |
| 6.2.1 Constitutional objections | 182 |
| 6.2.2 The legal basis | 184 |
| 6.2.3 State Liability and other available remedies | 184 |
| 6.3 Conditions | 185 |
| 6.3.1 Individual rights: | 186 |
| 6.3.2 A sufficiently serious breach | 187 |
| 6.3.2.1. The measure of discretion left by a Community measure | 189 |
| 6.3.2.2 Excusable and inexcusable error of law | 191 |
| 6.3.2.3 The nature of the rule breached | 192 |
| 6.3.3 A direct causal link | 192 |
| 6.4 The extent of the reparation | 193 |
| 6.4.1. The award of exemplary damages | 194 |
| 6.4.2 Mitigation | 194 |
| 6.5 State liability for breach of Community law in the UK courts: finding the right cause of action | 194 |
| 6.6 Conclusion | 195 |

CHAPTER 7

Enforcing Community Law against the Community Institutions

Enforcing Community law against the Community institutions

| | |
|---|-----|
| 7.1 Article 234 as a means of securing a declaration of invalidity of Community legislation | 203 |
| 7.1.1. Assessing the validity of Community legislation, against which norms? | 204 |
| 7.1.2 The relationship between Articles 234 and 230 EC | 205 |
| 7.1.3 An even more inventive use of Article 234 | 206 |
| 7.1.4.1 The preliminary ruling is not a procedure to give advisory opinion | 207 |
| 7.1.4 The relationship between 230 and 234 needs clarified once more | 208 |
| 7.1.5 The need for interim protection | 209 |
| 7.1.6 The principle of interim protection | 211 |
| 7.1.6.1 The conditions for the grant of interim relief: national or Community conditions? | 212 |
| 7.2 Concurrent liability issues | 213 |
| 7.2.1. Why do concurrent liability issues arise? | 215 |
| 7.2.2 The nature of the problem: national and Community institutions are 'accountable under different legal orders' | 215 |
| 7.2.3 The solutions | 216 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 7.2.4 Exhaustion of national remedies. | 218 |
| 7.2.5 A case study: Is any level liable? | 219 |
| 7.3 Conclusion | 221 |

CHAPTER 8

Rules to Enforce the Rules

| | |
|--|-----|
| Rules to enforce the rules: Specific Community rules for the enforcement of Community law | 229 |
| 8.1 The present | 229 |
| 8.2 A case study: the public procurement rules | 231 |
| 8.2.1. The Remedies Directive | 232 |
| 8.3 Why does the Community make rules for the enforcement of Community law? | 233 |
| 8.3.1 From the level playing field paradigm | 233 |
| 8.3.2 To the very credibility of the project | 234 |
| 8.3.3 Making centralised enforcement easier | 234 |
| 8.3.4 Protecting the Community financial interests | 235 |
| 8.3.5 Some successes | 235 |
| 8.4 The Political Dimension | 235 |
| 8.4.1 Legal (un)feasibility | 237 |
| 8.4.2 Will Article 65 EC change matters? | 238 |
| 8.5 The role of the ECJ in facilitating harmonisation | 240 |
| 8.6. The limits of the decentralised enforcement model | 241 |
| 8.6.1 The interests at stake: effectiveness v. national procedural autonomy | 241 |
| 8.6.2 Private litigation is not always an option for all | 242 |
| 8.6.3 Judicial intervention and discrimination | 244 |
| 8.7 Conclusion | 245 |
| Concluding Remarks | 253 |

Annex

