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ABSTRACT: This work reports a new approach for the synthesis of a zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIF-8) composite. It employs the direct growth of the crystalline ZIF-8 on a mixed-metal oxide support TiO2–SiO2 (TSO), which mimics the porous structure of Populus nigra. Using the natural leaf as a template, the TSO support was prepared using a sol–gel method. The growth of the ZIF-8 layer on the TSO support was carried out by the seeds and second growth method. This method facilitates the homogeneous dispersion of ZIF-8 crystals at the surface of the TSO composite. The ZIF-8@TSO composite adsorbs methanol selectively, mainly due to the hierarchical porous structure of the mixed oxide support. As compared with the as-synthesized ZIF-8, a 50% methanol uptake is achieved in the ZIF-8@TSO composite, with only 25 wt % ZIF-8 loading. IAST simulations show that the ZIF-8@TSO composite has a preferential adsorption toward methanol when using an equimolar methanol–ethanol mixture. An opposite behavior is observed for the as-synthesized ZIF-8. The results show that combining MOFs and mixed-oxide supports with bioinspired structures opens opportunities for synthesizing new materials with unique and enhanced adsorption and separation properties.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Developing porous materials with selective molecular adsorption properties is highly relevant for molecular storage and separation.1–3 Such materials enable more efficient industrial processes4,5 and facilitate the production of clean energy.6,7 They are also used in environmental protection8 and air quality monitoring.9 Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are a specific class of porous materials, consisting of metal ions linked together by polydentate organic linkers.10 These materials are foreseen as ideal candidates for molecular storage and separation because of their large internal surface area and tunable porous structure.5,11–18 MOFs are commonly synthesized using conventional hydrothermal or solvothermal synthetic methods, which often yield powders of tiny crystals.15 Such powders cannot be directly used because they have limited packing densities and high diffusion barriers. This limits the practical applications of MOFs due to increased risk of contamination or even blocking of industrial pipelines.15 Some studies indicate that these downsides can be overcome by shaping the MOF powders as granules, pellets, or monoliths10–18 or by dispersing them within thin films, creating membranes.19–21 The main drawback associated with such shaping methods is the loss of crystallinity due to the applied pressure, leading to the inactivation of the material.15 As for the MOFs-based membrane, there is usually a pressure drop of the mixture stream over the membrane after its permeation, leading to lower fluxes.22 Therefore, finding the most appropriate processing method of MOFs is still a big challenge.

To tackle the above-mentioned challenges, we turned our attention to Mother Nature. Plant leaves have attracted our interest due to their natural hierarchical porous system which consists of many fibers and vessels of different sizes. Hierarchically porous materials often present decreased diffusion barriers and enhanced adsorption performance compared to uniformly sized porous materials.1 Therefore, we aimed at designing a new MOF-based composite which has a hierarchical porous structure, replicated from a natural leaf. We hypothesized that growing crystalline MOFs at the surface of an artificial leaf support would yield a material that combines the macropores and mesopores of the artificial leaf with the micropores of MOFs in a hierarchical porous structure. Furthermore, the fine-tuning of the interactions
between the MOF crystals and the surface of the support may prevent the agglomeration of the former.

*Populus nigra*, a tree that grows throughout northern Europe, was used as a template to prepare a porous mixed-oxide support. It is known that Ti^{4+} ions substitute the Mg^{2+} ions within the porphyrins of the chlorophyll molecules of the plants and that TiO_{2} is used to make porous ultrafiltration membranes for dye-water separations.\(^{24}\) Therefore, we selected TiO_{2} as the most appropriate material to replicate the vein structure of the natural *Populus nigra* leaves. To prevent the collapse of the primary vein structure of the leaf and to compensate the partial loss of the delicate original nature leaf's structure during the replication process, we added SiO_{2} as a structure stabilizer and Pluronic P123 as a mesoporous template.\(^{25}\)

The natural leaf vein system evolved for transporting aqueous liquids. Therefore, we focused our study on liquid adsorption and separation. Bioethanol is an environmentally benign and renewable source of energy which is directly produced from agricultural feedstocks. The raw product contains water and other organic alcohols as byproducts,\(^{26}\) and therefore, bioethanol must be purified before it can be used as fuel.\(^{27}\) A few MOFs, including MIL-53 (Cr),\(^{28}\) JUC-110,\(^{29}\) Ce(BTB)(H_{2}O),\(^{30}\) and Cu(mtpm)Cl_{2}\(^{31}\) were studied as adsorbents for water—alcohol and alcohol—alcohol adsorption and separation processes. However, these materials also have a low stability in the presence of water. Our study focused on the zeolitic imidazolate framework 8 (ZIF-8), which is known as one of the most chemically and thermally stable MOFs with a very good stability in water.\(^{32}\) ZIF-8 has different adsorption properties in the presence of water, methanol, and ethanol as a result of its hydrophobic structure.\(^{33}\) Therefore, we use it to prepare a composite material by growing ZIF-8 crystals at the surface of a TiO_{2—SiO_{2}} support which replicates the natural leaf structure. The adsorption properties of this new MOF composite toward ethanol, methanol, and water were measured experimentally. The ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) method was used to predict the water—ethanol and methanol—ethanol separation properties of the composite material. There are a few MOF mixed matrix membranes demonstrating the efficient separation of water—alcohol mixtures.\(^{33–35}\) However, these studies also show that the separation performance is influenced significantly by the mass swelling degree of the membranes.\(^{36–39}\) To the best of our knowledge, this is the first MOF-based composite applied in water—alcohol separation using a robust mixed-oxide structure as support.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials. Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (≥99% purity), 2-methylimidazolate (Hmim, 99% purity), dipotassium phosphate (≥98% purity), 1-methylimidazole (96% purity), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (≥99% purity), glutaraldehyde solution (25% in H_{2}O), titanium(IV) isopropoxide (TIPO, ≥97% purity), tetraethyl-lanthosilicate (TEOS, 98% purity), Pluronic P123, and poly(styrenesulfonate) sodium salt (PSS) were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. Unless noted otherwise, all chemicals were used without further purification.

2.2. Physical Methods. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements were carried out on a Rigaku Miniflex X-ray diffractometer. PXRD measurements were carried out in the 5—50° range using a Cu—Kα source. Infrared spectra (IR, 4000—400 cm^{-1}, resol. 0.5 cm^{-1}) were recorded on a Varian 660 FTIR spectrometer equipped with a Gladi ATR device using KBr pellets. Raman spectra were recorded using an Olympus BX51 M upright microscope with excitation at 632.8 nm (Thorlabs HNL 120-1 HeNe laser) via a 50× magnification objective with 10 mW at sample. Raman scattering was collected and delivered to a Shamrock163 spectrograph via a round to line fiber bundle and detected with an iDus-416 CCD detector. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were carried out on a NETZSCH STA 449 F3 Jupiter Instrument. The morphology of the samples with sputtered gold was studied by using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM, FEI Verios 460 scanning electron microscope) operated at 5 kV, and the affiliated SEM-EDS was used to analyze the composition of the samples. Morphological analysis was performed by using Transmission Electron Microscopy and High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM/HRTEM; JEM 2010 HT). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were done using an AXIS Ultra instrument with monochromatized Al Kα excitation. Electromagnetic paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra were recorded at 77 K on a Bruker EMX X-band spectrometer equipped with a helium cryostat and using a center field at 3000.00 G, a modulation amplitude of 4000 G, a microwave frequency of 9.392 GHz, and a power of 0.6325 mW. Samples were measured under nitrogen. Nitrogen adsorption isotherms were measured on a Thermo Scientific Surfer instrument at 77 K. Water, methanol, and ethanol adsorption isotherms were measured on a microcalorimeter (Calvet C80, Setaram) which can operate isothermally, and it is connected to a home-built manometric apparatus at 303 K.\(^{40}\) Before each adsorption measurement, samples were outgassed overnight at 473 K under vacuum (<10^{-4} Torr).

2.3. Synthesis of ZIF-8. Crystalline ZIF-8 samples were prepared using a modification of a published procedure.\(^{31}\) First, 734.4 mg (2.5 mmol) of Zn(NO_{3})_{2}·6H_{2}O was dissolved in 50 mL of methanol. In a second flask, 810.6 mg (10 mmol) of Hmim and 810.6 mg (10 mmol) of 1-methylimidazole were dissolved in 50 mL of methanol. The latter solution was poured slowly into the former clear solution under vigorous stirring. After 24 h aging without stirring, the white precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with fresh methanol, and dried overnight under ambient conditions.

2.4. Synthesis of the Artificial Leaf Support TSO (T, S, and O Refer to Titanium, Silicon, and Oxygen, Respectively). The TSO support was synthesized via a modified published procedure.\(^{26,41}\) Fresh *Populus nigra* leaves were treated overnight with a 2.5% glutaraldehyde-phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH = 7.2) solution at 277 K. This step is required for the fixation of the plant's tissues. The prefixed leaves were rinsed in the buffer solution with a solution having the same concentration that was used in the previous step and then underwent a graded dehydration process, in which the leaves were immersed stepwise into ethanol solutions with concentrations of 10%, 20%, 30%, 50%, and 80% for 20 min, respectively. Then, the leaves were immersed in a 5 wt % diluted HCl solution for 3 h to remove the Mg^{2+}, K^{+}, and Ca^{2+} ions.

The TSO precursor solution was prepared by dissolving 1.5 g of P123, which works as a mesoporous template, in 1.8 g of concentrated HCl. The resulting mixture was added to 40 mL of preheated (40 °C) ethanol and stirred for 3 h. Then, 2.34 g (8 mmol) of TIPO and 0.43 g (2 mmol) of TEOs were added, and the resulting mixture was stirred vigorously for another 4 h. The precipitated leaves were then soaked in this precursor solution overnight and then washed with deionized water (3 × 20 mL). The infiltrated leaves were dried at 353 K for 6 h and then calcined in air at 873 K for 4 h to remove the organic materials and promote the crystallization of the mixed TiO_{2—SiO_{2}} oxide.

2.5. Synthesis of the ZIF-8@TSO Composite Material. A well-dispersed ZIF-8 methanol suspension (0.2 wt %) was first prepared by sonicating ZIF-8 in 50 mL of methanol. Separately, the presynthesized TSO was dispersed in 50 mL of aqueous PSS (15.0 wt % solution) at room temperature for 2 h. The TSO sample was then washed with distilled water (3 × 20 mL) and then added to the ZIF-8 suspension. This mixture was aged overnight at room temperature, filtered, and then dried in air under ambient conditions, giving a TSO coated with a layer of ZIF-8 seeds. The solid material obtained was then added into a second ZIF-8 solution to grow the ZIF-8 layer. The second
ZIF-8 solution was prepared from 1 g (12 mmol) of 2-methylimidazole and 0.055 g (0.2 mmol) of Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O dissolved in 20 mL of methanol. The coated TSO was added to this solution and then aged in an oven at 333 K for 6 h, forming the second layer of ZIF-8. Finally, the resulting composite material, designated ZIF-8@TSO, was washed with 10 mL of methanol and dried in air under ambient conditions.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Materials Synthesis and Characterization. Scheme 1 summarizes the synthetic procedure for the synthesis of the ZIF-8@TSO composite. The mixed-oxide support was synthesized using a modified reported procedure and functionalized with PSS to achieve a negatively charged surface that facilitates the binding of Zn$^{2+}$ at the surface of the support. Then, the ZIF-8 crystals were grown at the surface of TSO using a seeding and second growth method. Combining a microporous ZIF-8 layer with the meso/macroporous features of the TSO support enables obtaining a composite material, ZIF-8@TSO, with a hierarchical pore structure. Due to the presence of the hydrophobic ZIF-8 layer on the surface of the ZIF-8@TSO composite, we hypothesized that the composite will selectively adsorb methanol and ethanol from water–alcohol mixtures (see Scheme 2). Before studying the adsorption properties of the ZIF-8@TSO composite, all materials were characterized using a combination of spectroscopic and surface characterization techniques. The TSO support was characterized using several techniques, including Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD), High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM), Scanning Electronic Microscopy (SEM), EDS elemental analysis, and X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS). Figure 1a reveals that the TiO$_2$ phase within the TSO crystallizes in the tetragonal anatase structure. Based on the HRTEM (see Figure 1b), the lattice spacing of 0.35 nm is assigned to the (101) plane of anatase TiO$_2$. This is in excellent agreement with the PXRD data (see Figure 1a). The SiO$_2$ phase within TSO support is present in amorphous form and encircles the randomly distributed crystalline TiO$_2$ particles (see Figure S1 in the SI). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed to shed light on the morphology of the TSO support. Figure 1c clearly shows the macroscale channels on the cross section of the TSO support, indicating that the vein structure of the natural leaf is replicated. As shown in Figure 1d, the replicated channels run along the entire artificial leaf without breaking. The windows on the channels of the TSO support are also observed (see Scheme 1 and Figure S2 in the SI).

Further analysis of the TSO support by EDS elemental mapping confirms the presence of Ti, Si, and O (see Figure 1e and Figure S3). In addition, the XPS spectra were recorded to characterize the elemental state of the TSO surface. As shown in Figure 2a, the Ti 2p XPS spectrum shows four components with binding energies at 458.7 and 464.5 eV corresponding to the Ti$^{4+}$ ions and at 457.5 and 463.1 eV assigned to Ti$^{3+}$ ions. The O 1s XPS spectrum has three components at binding
energies of 529.9, 531.3, and 532.2 eV corresponding to the bonds of Ti–O, Si–O–Ti, and Si–O, respectively (Figure 2b). Similar to the Ti 2p spectrum, the Si 2p XPS spectrum has two components (Figure 2c). The bands at 103.5 and 102.5 eV can be assigned to Si4+ and Si3+ ions, respectively. The XPS analysis indicates the presence of Ti3+ and Si3+ on the surface of the TSO to form TiO2−x and SiO1−x, thus revealing that the surface of TSO is not stoichiometric and has some oxygen vacancies. Furthermore, the XPS results also indicate the formation of the TiO2–SiO2 mixed-oxide at the surface, in agreement with the HRTEM studies (see Figure S1 in the SI).

Both the existence of oxygen vacancies and Ti3+ ions in the TSO artificial leaf was further confirmed by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) studies. A sharp signal at g = 2.00 is observed, indicating the presence of oxygen vacancies (see Figure 2d). The broad and anisotropic signal with a g value of 1.98 is due to the presence of paramagnetic Ti3+ ions (see Figure 2d). Similar spectroscopic features are also observed for the ZIF-8@TSO composite (see Figure 2d).

The ZIF-8@TSO composite was characterized by PXRD, FTIR, Raman, SEM, EPR and EDS elemental analysis. The IR spectrum of TSO modified with PPS (see Figure S4 in the SI)
presents the characteristic peaks of PSS chains, indicating that PSS was indeed grafted on the TSO surface. Figure 1a shows that the PXRD pattern of the ZIF-8@TSO composite contains the characteristic peaks of both crystalline ZIF-8 and TSO support, confirming the presence of both ZIF-8 and TSO. Figure 3a shows the FTIR spectra of the TSO support, as-synthesized ZIF-8, and ZIF-8@TSO composite. Compared to TSO, the IR spectrum of ZIF-8@TSO presents not only the Ti–O–Ti, Ti–O–Si, and Si–O–Si stretching vibrations (at 500, 970, and 1100 cm$^{-1}$) but also the additional vibration bands which are characteristic to the ZIF-8. For instance, the band at 421 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned to the Zn–N stretching, while the bands in the range 500–1350 cm$^{-1}$ and 1350–1500 cm$^{-1}$ ranges are assigned to the in- and out-of-plane bending and stretching of the C–H bonds of the imidazole ring, respectively. The C≡N (aromatic) stretching vibrations at around 1548 cm$^{-1}$ and the aliphatic C–H stretching vibrations at 2929 and 3135 cm$^{-1}$ are all characteristic to the ZIF-8 material.

The morphology of the ZIF-8@TSO composite was further characterized by SEM (Figure 4). The as-synthesized ZIF-8 crystals have a dodecahedral shape and a narrow size distribution (ca. 400 nm, see Figure 4a), facilitated by the modulating ligand 1-methylimidazole. Figure 4b displays a top view of the ZIF-8@TSO composite indicating the replicated channels. The cross section of ZIF-8@TSO channels which are larger than 3 μm is shown in Figure 4c. Figure 4c also shows that the ZIF-8 crystals are almost homogeneously dispersed on the surface of the TSO support. As discussed above, PSS acts as linker enabling the growth of ZIF-8 crystals on TSO surface. Moreover, the functionalization of the TSO surface with PSS enables the homogeneous dispersion of the ZIF-8 crystals. Using nonfunctionalized TSO leads to only several ZIF-8 crystals randomly dispersed on the TSO surface (see Figure S5 in the SI). EDS analysis (Figure 4d) reveals that the surface elementary composition of the ZIF-8@TSO is similar to that of as-synthesized ZIF-8 (see also Figure S6 in the SI), with Ti and Si from the TSO also present. Consequently, the combined FTIR, Raman, SEM, and EDS elemental analysis demonstrate that the ZIF-8 crystals were successfully and homogeneously grown on the TSO artificial leaf surface.

Figure 5 displays the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) data, showing that all the organic matter derived from the natural leaf is completely removed above 873 K. The decomposition of the ZIF-8@TSO composite occurs above 673 K, which is much higher than the decomposition temperature of the Hmim ligand yet similar to that of bulk crystalline ZIF-8. This indicates that the first mass loss is due to the decomposition of the ZIF-8 crystal layer. The first weight loss observed in the TGA of the ZIF-8@TSO composite corresponds to a loading of 25 wt % of ZIF-8 on the TSO surface.
respectively. The determined BET and Langmuir surface areas and Langmuir surface areas are about 180 and 190 m²/g, respectively (see Table 1). The surface area of TSO support is much higher than that of the traditional TiO₂ materials, e.g., P25 with a surface area of 50 m²/g.55

As compared with the TSO support, the ZIF-8 crystals and the ZIF-8@TSO composite, although their surface areas are much lower than that of the ZIF-8 (see Figure 7a). This is due to the presence of the surface bridging oxygen atoms of the TiO₂ phase as well as the silanol (Si–OH) groups of the SiO₂ phase of the TSO. Both are effective adsorption sites that can form hydrogen bonds with the water molecules.58,59

In agreement with earlier studies,60 the ethanol and methanol uptakes are much higher than the water uptake in the case of ZIF-8. The isotherms are of S-shaped type V, indicating the presence of weakly interacting adsorbates in a microporous adsorbent. Figure 7b shows that the methanol adsorption is weak at low pressures due to the hydrophobic 2-methylimidazolate linkers in the ZIF-8 framework.57 A higher water uptake is observed for the TSO support and the ZIF-8@TSO composite, although their surface areas are much lower than that of the ZIF-8 (see Figure 7a). This is due to the presence of the surface bridging oxygen atoms of the TiO₂ phase as well as the silanol (Si–OH) groups of the SiO₂ phase of the TSO. Both are effective adsorption sites that can form hydrogen bonds with the water molecules.58,59

The water, methanol, and ethanol adsorption properties of ZIF-8, TSO support, and ZIF-8@TSO composite were studied separately at 303 K (see Figure 7). ZIF-8 has a type III isotherm with a very low water uptake (<0.1 mmol/g) even at the saturation pressure. The type III isotherm reveals a low interaction between the adsorbate and the adsorbent, which is in agreement with the presence of the hydrophobic 2-methylimidazolate linkers in the ZIF-8 framework.57 A higher water uptake is observed for the TSO support and the ZIF-8@TSO composite, although their surface areas are much lower than that of the ZIF-8 (see Figure 7a). This is due to the presence of the surface bridging oxygen atoms of the TiO₂ phase as well as the silanol (Si–OH) groups of the SiO₂ phase of the TSO. Both are effective adsorption sites that can form hydrogen bonds with the water molecules.58,59

In agreement with earlier studies,60 the ethanol and methanol uptakes are much higher than the water uptake in the case of ZIF-8. The isotherms are of S-shaped type V, indicating the presence of weakly interacting adsorbates in a microporous adsorbent. Figure 7b shows that the methanol adsorption is weak at low pressures due to the hydrophobic surface of the ZIF-8. Above P/P⁰ ≈ 0.2, however, the adsorption increases sharply as a consequence of continuous pore filling. Initially, a few adsorbed methanol molecules form small clusters, which exert attractions for the following adsorbed methanol molecules and then grow into a three-dimensional network filling the pores. This is the so-called cluster-formation and cage-filling process.61 A continuous pore filling is also observed in the adsorption of ethanol. However, a shorter initial adsorption plateau is observed at low pressures, indicating that in ZIF-8 the ethanol adsorption is higher than that of methanol at low pressures (see Figure 7c). This is because the hydrophobic ZIF-8 has a stronger affinity for the less polar ethanol molecules (relative polarity 0.654) as compared with methanol (relative polarity 0.762). The molecular adsorption is mainly controlled by entropic factors at high pressures. Thus, a higher saturation capacity is observed for methanol (kinetic diameter is 3.6 Å) than ethanol (kinetic diameter is 4.3 Å).62

As compared with ZIF-8, the TSO support has a very different methanol adsorption behavior (see Figure 7b). Based...
on the characterization studies above, the TSO support contains two phases: the anatase TiO$_2$ with oxygen point defects and amorphous SiO$_2$. The silanol groups on the silica surface can form hydrogen bonds with the methanol and ethanol molecules. However, the dissociative adsorption of methanol is favored over molecular adsorption at low pressure.

Figure 4. (a) SEM image of the as-synthesized rhombic dodecahedra ZIF-8 crystals. (b) Top view of the ZIF-8@TSO composite, with the black arrow indicating the channels of the artificial leaf. (c) Cross section view of the ZIF-8@TSO composite, with the red arrow indicating the opening gate of the artificial leaf channel. (d) EDS spectrum of the ZIF-8@TSO composite corresponding to the red circle in part (c).

Figure 5. TGA (solid lines) and DSC (dashed lines) curves of the ZIF-8@TSO composite (blue), the as-synthesized ZIF-8 (red), the dehydrated natural leaf (black), and the Hmim ligands (dark green) measured under air at a heating rate of 5 °C/min.

Figure 6. N$_2$ adsorption isotherms measured at 77 K of the as-synthesized ZIF-8 (red), the TSO artificial leaf (black), and the ZIF-8@TSO composite (blue).
on anatase TiO$_2$. Methoxy and methyl groups are first formed by breaking of the O−H and C−O bonds, respectively. Then, the methoxy groups are adsorbed at both Ti$^{4+}$ ions and oxygen vacancy sites. Simultaneously, the methyl groups are adsorbed at the bridging oxygen atoms. The dissociative methanol adsorption results in a very low methanol uptake for $P/P_0$ ranging from 0 to 0.45. Above $P/P_0 \approx 0.45$, the molecular adsorption of methanol occurs at both Ti$^{4+}$ ions and the surface bridging oxygen atoms via coordination and hydrogen bonding, respectively. Hereafter, the adsorbed methanol molecules attract more methanol molecules through hydrogen bonds, leading to a capillary condensation within the mesopores. This causes the increasing uptake observed in the methanol adsorption isotherm. For ethanol, similarly, a dissociative adsorption is favored over molecular adsorption in the low pressure range, and a small capillary condensation occurs at high pressures (see Figure 7c). A typical type IV adsorption isotherm with a low ethanol uptake is observed for TSO, indicating the presence of mesopores.

Interestingly, the ZIF-8@TSO composite displays a methanol adsorption isotherm with two steps, indicating it has a hierarchical porous structure (see Figure 7b). In the initial pressure range (up to $P/P_0 = 0.2$), the methanol uptake is negligible, mainly due to the presence of the hydrophobic ZIF-8 layer. Then, the methanol uptake increases until it reaches the first equilibrium stage ($P/P_0 = 0.2−0.45$), corresponding to the micropores filling of the ZIF-8. A second methanol adsorption step occurs above $P/P_0 \approx 0.45$, indicating that the methanol molecules start to fill in the mesopores of the TSO support as well as the interstitial voids between the ZIF-8 layer and the TSO support, ultimately leading to capillary condensation. Because the methanol molecular adsorption is more favorable than the dissociative adsorption on TSO above $P/P_0 \approx 0.45$, the mesopores filling plays a key role in the pressure range $P/P_0 = 0.45$ to 0.99. The methanol uptake for the composite is about 5.2 mmol/g at $P/P_0 \approx 0.99$, which is 50% as compared to ZIF-8 but using only 25% wt of ZIF-8.

The shape of the ethanol adsorption isotherm of the ZIF-8@TSO composite is similar to that of ZIF-8 but with a wider initial adsorption plateau (see Figure 7c). This is caused by the synergistic effect between the hydrophobic ZIF-8 layer and the TSO support, the latter facilitating a dissociative ethanol adsorption. The ethanol uptake in the ZIF8@TSO composite is only 25% of the uptake observed for ZIF-8. It indicates that the 25% wt ZIF-8 in composite plays a leading role in the ethanol adsorption, while the TSO support shows almost no ethanol adsorption across the whole pressure range.

As discussed above, the ZIF-8@TSO composite presents different adsorption properties in the presence of water, methanol, and ethanol. To gain more insight into these properties, we simulated the separation factors between ethanol and two types of contaminants, e.g., water and methanol, using the ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) method. Using an equimolar mixture of methanol−ethanol at 303 K, the ZIF-8@TSO composite adsorbs selectively methanol over ethanol, and the adsorption selectivity is in the range of 1.5−3.8 (see Figure 8). The increasing adsorption selectivity in the low pressure range (0−2 KPa) is caused by the methanol uptake of the ZIF-8@TSO composite which

---

Table 1. Physical Properties of the as-Synthesised ZIF-8, TSO, and ZIF-8@TSO Composite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>$S_{BET}$ (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>$S_{Langmuir}$ (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>$V_{total}$ (cm$^3$/g)</th>
<th>$D_{pore}$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSO</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.3/3−20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIF-8</td>
<td>1720</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.2/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIF-8@TSO</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.2/4−10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ $V_{total}$ (Gurvich method) was measured at $P/P_0 = 0.99$. $^b$ Micropore characteristics were calculated using the Satio and Foley method, while those for mesopores were calculated using the Barrett−Joyner−Halenda (BJH) method.

---

Figure 7. (a) Water, (b) methanol, and (c) ethanol adsorption isotherms for as-synthesized ZIF-8 (red), TSO support (black), and ZIF-8@TSO composite (blue) at 303 K, respectively. $P_0$ is the saturated pressure of the adsorbates at 303 K, which is 4 KPa, 10 KPa, and 21 KPa for water, ethanol, and methanol, respectively.
increases faster than the ethanol uptake. For an equimolar mixture of ethanol–water at 303 K, the ZIF-8@TSO composite adsorbs selectively water over ethanol in the pressure range of 0–2 kPa, while the ethanol is adsorbed selectively over water in the pressure range of 2–10 kPa (see Figure 8). This is also supported by the S-shape of the ethanol adsorption isotherm of the ZIF-8@TSO composite which indicates that the ethanol uptake is lower than the water uptake in the low pressure range and vice versa in the high pressure range.66

The separation factors of both ZIF-8 and the TSO support were also calculated by IAST simulation (see Figure S17 in the SI). Compared with the TSO support, the ZIF-8@TSO composite shows better performance for methanol–ethanol and ethanol–water mixtures. We attribute this behavior to the hierarchical porous structure of the composites and its higher surface area. Separating ethanol–water mixtures using the ZIF-8@TSO composite is less efficient as compared with ZIF-8. This is likely due to the higher surface area of ZIF-8 as compared with the ZIF-8@TSO. Moreover, ZIF-8 is much more hydrophobic than the ZIF-8@TSO composite and therefore has a lower water uptake. For an equimolar mixture of ethanol–methanol, the ZIF-8@TSO composite shows a reversed adsorption, preferring methanol over ethanol, opposite to the behavior observed for ZIF-8. The difference between methanol and ethanol uptake when using the ZIF-8@TSO composite is larger than that of the ZIF-8, likely due to the hierarchical porous structure of the ZIF-8@TSO composite.

4. CONCLUSIONS
A mixed-metal oxide material (TSO) with a hierarchical porous structure was synthesized using a sol–gel method in which natural Populus nigra leaves were used as a template. This mixed-oxide artificial leaf was then used as a support for growing a homogeneously dispersed ZIF-8 layer. The resulting ZIF-8@TSO composite has a hierarchical porous structure which facilitates a high methanol uptake. Using IAST simulations and an equimolar ethanol–methanol mixture, we demonstrated that the ZIF-8@TSO composite adsorbs methanol highly selectively in the low pressure range. Moreover, the ZIF-8@TSO composite is also effective in separating water–ethanol mixtures. In this case, ethanol is adsorbed selectively in the low pressure range, while water is adsorbed selectively at high pressures. This work highlights that supporting MOFs on hierarchical porous substrates not only provides a new methodology for processing MOF but also leads to unique adsorption properties of the designed materials.
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