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We investigate collective oscillations of nondegenerate clouds of 87Rb atoms as a function of density in an elongated magnetic trap. For the low-lying M=0 monopole-quadrupole shape oscillation we measure the oscillation frequencies and damping rates. At the highest densities the mean free path is smaller than the axial dimension of the sample, which corresponds to collisionally hydrodynamic conditions. This allows us to cover the crossover from the collisionless to the hydrodynamic regime. The experimental results show good agreement with theory. We also analyze the influence of trap anharmonicities on the oscillations in relation to observed temperature dependencies of the dipole and quadrupole oscillation frequencies. We present convenient expressions to quantify these effects.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Collisional hydrodynamics has gradually become an important issue for the understanding of experiments with dilute quantum gases. When the atomic mean free path is smaller than the characteristic dimensions of typical elongated atomic clouds, the gas properties depend on the local density field and exhibit collisional hydrodynamics rather than the collisionless dynamics of a nearly ideal gas [1,2]. For Bose gases and Bose-Fermi mixtures it is difficult to penetrate deeply into this collisional hydrodynamic regime as three-body molecule formation will give rise to the fast decay of the samples [1]. Therefore, the transition region between collisionless and hydrodynamic conditions is also of substantial practical importance.

The hydrodynamic flow of classical fluids was described as early as 1755 by the equation of motion of Euler [3]. The opposite limit of collisionless flow is equally well understood since the work of Maxwell and Boltzmann and the investigation of rarefied gas dynamics around the turn of the last century [2]. The transition regime between collisionless and hydrodynamic conditions deserves special attention as the crossover behavior is often nonintuitive as was already noted by Knudsen in 1908 [4]. With the availability of trapped ultracold gases there is a renewed interest in the collisional hydrodynamics. For nondegenerate quantum gases in harmonic traps the absence of the familiar wall-boundary condition of zero hydrodynamic flow at the sample edges gives rise to a very close phenomenological similarity with the superfluid hydrodynamics of Bose-Einstein condensates [1,5–7]. Collisional hydrodynamics also has to be considered in two-component Fermi gases near intercomponent Feshbach resonances, where the intercomponent scattering length is tuned to large values in order to optimize thermalization [8–11].

The onset of collisional hydrodynamics in a quantum gas, to the best of our knowledge, was first observed at MIT in measurements of the damping and frequency shifts of the low-lying M=0 quadrupole shape oscillation of cigar-shaped samples of the 23Na quantum gas, just above the Bose-Einstein transition temperature Tc [12]. Similar results were obtained at the ENS-Paris with clouds of metastable triplet helium (He*) [13]. A demonstration of the collisional crossover was given at JILA by measuring, for varying density, the damping of the center of mass oscillations of two distinguishable clouds of 40K, passing in antiphase [14,15]. At AMOLF we showed how hydrodynamic conditions affect the BEC-formation process in elongated samples and can give rise to substantial shape oscillations of the condensates being formed [16,17]. Further, hydrodynamic conditions were shown to give rise to an anisotropic expansion of thermal Bose gases after switching off the confining field, which has important consequences for time-of-flight thermometry [18,19]. Hydrodynamic effects were observed more pronouncedly in the expansions of two-component Fermi gases tuned near an intercomponent Feshbach resonance [8–10]. Also the investigation of the macroscopic dynamics of two-component Fermi gases in the BCS-BEC transition region requires detailed understanding of the hydrodynamics [20–23].

In this paper we study the crossover from collisionless to hydrodynamic conditions in nondegenerate clouds of 87Rb by measuring both the frequency shift and the damping of the low-lying M=0 quadrupole shape oscillation as a function of density. In accordance with theory [5–7], the frequency shifts down from 2ωz in the collisionless regime to 1.55ωz for collisionally hydrodynamic clouds, with ωz the axial frequency of our trap. Most of the shift occurs over a narrow range of densities around the crossover density, where the mean free path becomes comparable to the axial size of the sample. At this density also the strongest damping is observed. All our data were taken for temperatures T>2Tc to avoid precursor phenomena close to the BEC tran-
sition [24]. Hence, although the collisions are quantum (i.e. s wave), the gas is statistically classical. As we observed a temperature dependence of the oscillation frequencies, we derive theoretical expressions to include the influence of trap anharmonicities, which cause this effect. These expressions allow a numerical evaluation for regular potentials. Further, they allow us to derive convenient analytic approximations that apply to any elongated Ioffe-Pritchard trap.

II. BACKGROUND

For quantum gases well above the degeneracy temperature, all oscillatory modes are solutions to the classical Boltzmann equation [1,25]

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_v f + \frac{F}{m} \cdot \nabla_x f = I_{\text{coll}}[f],
\]

where \( f = f(t, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) \) is the phase-space distribution function with \( \mathbf{r} = (r, z) = (x, y, z) \) and \( \mathbf{v} = (v) \) the position and momentum vectors, \( m \) is the atomic mass, and \( F(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla U(\mathbf{r}) \) the force of the trapping potential \( U(\mathbf{r}) \); \( I_{\text{coll}}[f] \) is the classical collisional integral. For the case of s-wave collisions with an energy-independent elastic-scattering cross section \( \sigma \) the collision integral takes the form

\[
I_{\text{coll}}[f] = \frac{\sigma}{4\pi} \int d\mathbf{v}_1 d\Omega v |v_1 - v||v'_1 f' - f_1 f|
\]

and describes, for a given position and time, the effect of elastic collisions between a pair of atoms with initial velocities \( \mathbf{v} \) and \( \mathbf{v}_1 \) and final velocities \( \mathbf{v}' \) and \( \mathbf{v}'_1 \). The solid angle \( \Omega \) gives the direction of the final relative velocity with respect to the initial one.

For isotropic harmonic traps the normal modes are multipoles of order \( L,M \) [6]. Oscillations in the dipole mode \( L=1 \) are commonly used for measuring trap frequencies by observing the motion of the center of mass of trapped clouds as a function of time. In harmonic traps these oscillations are undamped, since for any pair of atoms also their center of mass oscillates at the trap frequency \( \omega \). As noted in Refs. [6,7], Boltzmann obtained in 1897 the surprising result that for isotropic harmonic traps also the monopole (or “breathing”) mode \( (L=0) \) is undamped, oscillating at frequency \( 2\omega \), independent of the density. The next normal mode solutions are shape oscillations \((L \neq 2)\). In the hydrodynamic limit they are (like the dipole mode) both irrotational and divergence free [6,7], and therefore also undamped. They oscillate at frequency \( L\omega \) [26]. In the collisionless regime they are again undamped but oscillate at frequency \( L\omega \). This difference in frequency results in damping in the transition regime [27] due to the collisional relaxation towards equilibrium.

For elongated harmonic traps, with axial direction \( z \) and radial coordinate \( \rho = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2} \), we distinguish three \((L=1; M=0, \pm 1)\) dipole modes, oscillating uncoupled and undamped at frequencies \( \omega_0 \) and \( \omega_\rho \). In the hydrodynamic limit, the monopole mode is coupled to the \((L=2; M=0)\) quadrupole mode. Decoupling in terms of irrotational solutions yields [5–7]

\[
\omega^2 = \frac{1}{3}[5\omega^2_0 + 4\omega^2_\rho \pm \sqrt{(25\omega^2_0 + 16\omega^2_\rho - 32\omega^2_\rho^2 \omega^2_\rho^2)}].
\]

In the experiment described in this paper, we study the low-lying \( M=0 \) coupled monopole-quadrupole mode, corresponding to the minus sign in Eq. (3). In this mode the radial size oscillates in antiphase with the axial size. For shortness we will refer to it as the “quadrupole” mode with frequency \( \omega_Q \) in all regimes, although in the collisionless limit the axial and radial motion decouple and the overall behavior is to be considered as a superposition of “one-dimensional (1D) breathing modes”, showing dephasing behavior. This dephasing can be avoided by exciting a pure axial oscillation. As follows directly from Eq. (3), in the limit of very elongated clouds \( (\omega_\rho \gg \omega_0) \) we have \( \omega_Q = \sqrt{12/5}\omega_0 = 1.55\omega_0 \).

The transition regime is less obvious. Describing the oscillation phenomenologically by \( e^{-i\omega t} \) the crossover takes the form [27]

\[
\omega^2 = \omega^2_0 + \omega^2_\rho / 1 - i\omega_\rho \cdot \omega_0,
\]

where \( \omega = \omega_0 + i\omega_\rho \) is the complex quadrupole frequency for a given thermal relaxation time \( \tau \). \( \omega_0 \) and \( \omega_\rho \) are the (real) frequencies of this mode in the hydrodynamic \((\omega_0 / \tau \ll 1)\) and collisionless \((\omega_\rho / \tau \ll 1)\) limits, respectively.

To have an intuitive picture of the solutions of Eq. (4) one can separate the real and imaginary parts of \( \omega \) and make the identification \((\omega_0 = \omega_0', \Gamma = -\omega_\rho)\). For \( \Gamma / \omega_0 \ll 1 \) we can approximate the imaginary part of the solution by the convenient form

\[
\Gamma = \frac{7}{2} \frac{\omega^2_0 - \omega^2_\rho}{1 + \omega^2_0 / \omega^2_\rho},
\]

which underestimates the maximum damping by 23%. The solution for the real part of Eq. (4) can be heuristically, but fairly accurately \((\pm 0.3\%) \) described by

\[
\omega_0 = \omega_0 + (\omega_\rho - \omega_0)(2/\pi) \arctan(\omega_0^2 / \omega_\rho^2).
\]

We define the “crossover point” as the point where maximum damping occurs and the frequency is at the intermediate value \( \omega_\rho = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_0 + \omega_\rho) \). From Eqs. (5) and (6) this is seen to occur at the relaxation time \( \tau = \tau_0 \), where \( 2\omega_0 \tau_0 = 1 \).

Equation (4) can be obtained from the Boltzmann equation in the relaxation time approximation [28,29], where the collisional integral is replaced by

\[
I_{\text{coll}}[f] = - (f - f_{eq}) / \tau.
\]

Here \( \tau \) is the relaxation time and \( f_{eq} = f_{eq}(t, x, v) \) the local thermal distribution, which has an isotropic momentum distribution [30]. For harmonic traps one has [28]

\[
\tau^{-1} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{5} \tau_0^{-1}, \quad \text{where} \quad \tau_0^{-1} = \sqrt{2} \omega_0 \bar{v}_\theta \sigma_0.
\]

is the elastic collision rate at the trap center [25], with \( \bar{v}_\theta = (8k_B T / m m)^{1/2} \) the mean thermal velocity at temperature \( T \), \( m_0 \) the central density.

To arrive at Eq. (4) the relaxation time has to be renormalized by a factor that depends both on the cloud shape and
the mode considered. For the $M=0$ quadrupole mode in very elongated harmonic traps one finds $\tilde{\tau} = 6/5 \tau$ [28,29].

### III. Experiment

In our experiment we typically load $10^{10}$ atoms from the $^{87}$Rb source described in Ref. [31] into a magneto-optical trap. After an optical molasses stage we optically pump the atoms into the fully stretched (5S$_{1/2}$, $F=2$, $m_F=2$) hyperfine state and transfer the cloud into a Ioffe-Pritchard trap with frequencies $\omega_{z}/2\pi=7$ Hz and $\omega_{p}/2\pi=8$ Hz and central field $B_0=37$ G. Any remaining population in the $m_F=1$ magnetic sublevel is removed by the gravitational sag. Then, we radially compress the cloud, changing the trap parameters to $\omega_{z}/2\pi=19$ Hz at $B_0=8$ G. After a thermalization time of 100 ms we add, in a linear ramp over 0.5 ms, a magnetic field $B_m=487$ mG, rotating at a frequency of $\nu_m=7$ kHz orthogonally to the trap axis, using the approach described in Ref. [32]. This gives rise to a time-averaged-potential (TAP) field with offset $B_{0,m}=(B_m^2+B_0^2)^{1/2}$ and frequencies

\[
\omega_{p,m} = \omega_p \left( \frac{1 + 0.5b^2}{1 + b^2} \right)^{1/2},
\]

\[
\omega_{z,m} = \omega_z \left( \frac{1 + b^2}{1 + 0.5b^2} \right)^{1/4},
\]

where $b=B_m/B_0$ is the modulation depth [32].

We continue the compression to $\omega_{z,m}/2\pi=16.8$ Hz, $\omega_{p,m}/2\pi=474$ Hz and $B_{0,m}=634$ mG ($B_0=406$ mG, $b=1.2$). Then, we cool the sample by forced rf evaporation to the final temperature of a few microkelvin. After reducing the density to the desired level by laser depletion [33], the sample is thermalized during plain evaporation periods of up to 2.5 s, which is sufficiently long even for our lowest densities. We then raise the rf-shield intensity by a factor of 7 to avoid evaporation losses during the measurements.

It is important to note here that the harmonic range $\rho_{0,m}$ where Eqs. (9) and (10) hold, is proportional to the amplitude of the rotating field: $\rho_{0,m}=B_m/\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is the radial gradient of the Ioffe quadrupole field. For regions outside the harmonic range the frequencies revert to the unmodulated ones [34]. This implies a minimum required value for $B_m$ to assure that the harmonic radius of the TAP field exceeds the thermal size of the sample.

### A. Excitation of the quadrupole mode

To excite the quadrupole mode we remove the modulation field $B_m$ and observe the oscillation in a static potential. The advantage of the TAP approach is the rapid switching between trap frequencies, which is possible because both $B_m$ and $B_0$ are generated by trim coils. The main currents of the Ioffe-Pritchard trap remain untouched. Further, this approach offers definite knowledge of phase and amplitude. After transfer into the static potential the cloud starts to oscillate inwards as a cosine function with zero phase offset as can be seen in Fig. 1.

As we remove the modulation, we simultaneously increase the central field to $B_0=900$ mG in order to keep $\omega_p$ constant. The procedure is done with a linear ramp of duration $\tau_{sw}=230$ µs. This is slow enough to avoid switch-off depolarization and still much faster than the axial oscillation time $\omega_z^{-1}<\tau_{sw}$ $<\omega_{Larmor}$. Thus, after switching off $\omega_z$, the gas finds itself diabatically in an axially tighter potential. The axial trap frequency has increased to $\omega_{z}/2\pi=21.1$ Hz, which changes the aspect ratio to $\omega_p/\omega_z=23$ and puts us well into the elongated trap limit of Eq. (3).

In this way we excite a pure axial oscillation, at least in the collisionless limit. In the hydrodynamic limit, in principle, both the low-lying and the high-lying monopole-quadrupole modes could be excited. However, since even at our highest densities, radially we remain in the collisionless regime, the high-lying mode cannot be excited due to the lack of coupling.

If the extent of the cloud prepared in the TAP-modulated magnetic field reaches significantly beyond $\rho_{0,m}$, its density profile deviates from a Gaussian. Transferring that distribution in the described way into the static magnetic field leads to excitation of higher modes. If additionally the thermal size of the cloud also exceeds the harmonic range of the static potential (which is not related to $\rho_{0,m}$), both anharmonicities will add to the excitation of higher modes. However, these modes oscillate at much higher frequencies and damp accordingly faster than the quadrupole mode under investigation.

For our highest-density samples, together with the condition $T \approx 2T_c$, we have $\rho_{0,m} \approx 10$ µm, which implies a required TAP amplitude $B_m > 350$ mG. The value of $B_m=487$ mG, used in the experiment, represents our technical limit, and corresponds to the harmonic $1/e$ size of a thermal cloud at a temperature of 9 µK. To assure that fitted values for frequency and damping are unaffected by higher
modes, we neglect the first two cycles of oscillation traces acquired at temperatures above 7 μK, and the first cycle for traces acquired above 4 μK. For lower temperatures also the first cycle is analyzed. Note that the precise reproducibility of the starting phase of our oscillations allows this procedure without degrading the quality of the fits.

B. Description of the trapping field

During the observation of the quadrupole oscillation the cloud resides in a potential given by \( U(r) = \mu_B g B_0 (r) - B_0 \) + mg\( y \) for the chosen Zeeman level in this experiment. Here \( \mu_B \) is the Bohr magneton and \( g \) the gravity acceleration along the vertical direction (\( y \) direction). For elongated Ioffe-Pritchard traps in the trapping field \( B(r) \) is accurately described by [35,36]

\[
B(x,y,z) = \sqrt{(B_0 + \beta z^2)^2 + \alpha^2 (x^2 + y^2)} + 4 \alpha \beta x y z, \tag{11}
\]
where \( B_0 = 0.9 \) G and \( \alpha = 353 \) G/cm are defined above and \( 2 \beta = 274 \) G/cm\(^2\) is the axial curvature. To our knowledge \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) were constant throughout the measurements to within 0.1%; \( B_0 \) was monitored to be constant to within 1%. Expanding Eq. (11) around the trap center and keeping the leading nonlinearities \[36\], the potential can be written as

\[
U(\rho,z) = \frac{1}{2} m \left[ \omega_z^2 \rho^2 (1 - \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 / \rho_0^2) + \omega_x^2 \rho^2 (1 - \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 / \rho_0^2) \right] + \cdots, \tag{12}
\]
where \( m \omega_z^2 = 2 \mu_B \beta \), \( m \omega_x^2 = \mu_B c^2 / B_0 \) and \( \rho_0 = B_0 / \alpha = 25 \) μm the harmonic radius \[37\].

C. Detection procedure

Two imaging methods are used to observe the oscillations. For our highest-density samples we use phase-contrast imaging with red-detuned light. For densities \( n_0 > 5 \times 10^{13} \) cm\(^{-3}\) a proper contrast is obtained at a detuning of \( -3 \) GHz, where the detection is essentially nondestructive \[38\]. This allows us to register the oscillations in a sequence of 31 images at 5 ms intervals, taking advantage of the fast “kinetics” imaging mode of our camera \[39\]. For lower densities the phase contrast method cannot be used because, at the (smaller) detunings required to maintain adequate phase contrast, photoassociation losses disturb the measurements \[40\].

For densities \( n_0 < 5 \times 10^{13} \) cm\(^{-3}\), we used repetitive absorption imaging on the \( (5S_{1/2}, F = 2) \leftrightarrow (5P_{3/2}, F = 3) \) transition \( (D_2\text{-line}) \) \[42\], varying the holding time of the cloud after excitation of the oscillation. The images were taken \textit{in situ}, just before releasing the cloud from the trap \[43\]. We apply the usual method of background subtraction and level normalization to process the images \[45,46\]. To retrieve the column density profile \( n_z(y,z) \) and the axial and radial Gaussian 1/e sizes \( L_z \) and \( R_e \), we fit a two-dimensional Gaussian expression to the optical thickness distribution of our images. The central density follows with \( n_0 = n_2(0,0) / \pi \) and, with Eq. (8), the relaxation time can be expressed as

\[
\frac{\omega \tau}{2} = \frac{3 \omega}{2 \omega_p n_2(0,0) \sigma}. \tag{13}
\]

Note that this expression does not depend explicitly on the gas temperature. The collision cross section is \( \sigma = 8 \pi a^2 \) in the zero temperature limit and is calculated with the value \( a = 98.98(4)a_0 \) for the s-wave scattering length \[47\].

To acquire sufficient statistics, at least 30 images are taken to retrieve one oscillation trace for a given density and each trace is acquired at least three times. Because the cross-over happens over a narrow range of densities, great care was taken to reproduce the initial conditions from shot to shot. This is done by adjusting the density using laser depletion in a feedback loop with the experimental result of the previous shot \[33\]. Although this procedure increases the shot to shot fluctuations, long-term drift is virtually eliminated. With this procedure the atom number could be long-term stabilized within a standard deviation of better than 1%. By fitting the expression for an exponentially damped cosine function to the trace (see Fig. 1), we retrieve the experimental values for the frequency \( \omega_0 \) and damping rate \( \Gamma \) of the quadrupole mode for the selected density.

D. Accuracy of density and temperature determination

The absolute accuracy of \( n_2(0,0) \) is estimated to be \( \sim 30\% \) \[48\]. The phase contrast images are calibrated against absorption images of expanded clouds taken 15 ms after release from the trap at zero detuning. This procedure preserves the conservation of atom number during the expansion.

In our analysis we account to leading order for the corrections associated with trap anharmonicities. For temperatures much lower than the harmonic temperature \( T_0 = \mu_B B_0 / k_B = 60 \) μK, Eq. (12) becomes sufficiently accurate to describe the cloud shape. In this limit the column density on the trap axis (to leading order in the \( x \) integration) can be expressed as \( \varepsilon^2 \approx 2 k_B T / m \omega_z^2 \) as

\[
n_2(0,0) \approx n_2(0,0) \exp \left( - \frac{m \omega_z^2 \varepsilon^2}{2 k_B T} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} T / T_0 \right) \right), \tag{14}
\]
where \( T / T_0 = \langle x^2 \rangle / \rho_0^2 = k_B T / \mu_B B_0 \) with \( \langle x^2 \rangle = k_B T / m \omega_x^2 \) the variance of the thermal distribution of the cloud along the \( x \) axis in the harmonic limit.

From Eq. (14) we estimate the \( 1/e \)-axial-size \( L_z \) that will be obtained by fitting a Gaussian to the axial column density profile of the cloud, \( L_z = L / \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} T / T_0 \right) \) with \( L \) defined by \( L^2 = 2 k_B T / m \omega_x^2 \) \[49\]. The temperature follows with the expression

\[
-k_B T = \frac{1}{2} m \omega_z^2 L_z^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} T / T_0 \right). \tag{15}
\]

Hence, for a temperature of 6 μK the harmonic approximation overestimates the temperature by \( \sim 5\% \). The correction in the central column density is smaller. Numerically we established that the fit of a 2D Gaussian underestimates the central column density by \( \sim 1.4\% \) at \( T / T_0 = 0.1 \). As these corrections are small, there is no need to go beyond the leading order of anharmonic correction to retrieve these quanti-
ties. For measuring oscillation frequencies the situation is
different because these can be measured to high precision.

Mean-field broadening of the distribution is small
[50]. Calculating the variance \(\langle z^2 \rangle = 1/2 L^2\) using the recursive
expression for the density to first order in mean field
\(U_{mf}(r) = 2v_0n(r)\), leads for \(T \ll T_0\) to
\[
\frac{1}{2} m\omega_z^2 L^2 = k_B T + E_{mf},
\]
where \(E_{mf} = v_0 \int n(r) d\mathbf{r} / \int n(r) d\mathbf{r} = v_0 \rho_0 / \sqrt{8}\) is the trap
averaged interaction energy with \(\rho_0 = (4\pi \hbar^2 / m) a\) the interaction
 coupling constant [1]. Equivalently, treating the mean field as an
effective potential we may write
\[
k_B T = \frac{1}{2} m\omega_z^2 L^2 (1 - \xi),
\]
where \(\xi = E_{mf} / (k_B T + E_{mf})\) is the mean field correction
constant. For the data point with the highest mean field
\((n_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}, \ T = 2 \mu \text{K})\) we calculate \(\xi = 0.007.\)
Therefore, mean-field corrections are at least one order of
magnitude smaller that the anharmonic corrections and are
discarded in this paper.

IV. ANHARMONIC FREQUENCY SHIFTS

As we operate at temperatures well above \(T_c\), we pay
special attention to the issue of trap anharmonicities. We fol-
low the path of argumentation as presented in [28,30] to
derive expressions for the anharmonic shifts. These are both
temperature and mode dependent and can also depend on the
density. The expressions are suitable for numerical evalua-
tion provided the first and second spatial derivatives of the
trapping potential are known.

To describe the dynamical evolution of an observable
\(\chi = \chi(r, \mathbf{v})\) it is multiplied by Eq. (1) and averaged over the
phase space
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \chi \rangle - \langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \chi \rangle - \frac{1}{m} \langle \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla \chi \rangle = - \frac{\langle \chi \rangle - \langle \chi \rangle_i}{\tau} \tag{18},
\]
where
\[
\langle \chi \rangle_i = \frac{1}{N} \int \chi(r, \mathbf{v}) f(t, r, \mathbf{v}) d^3 r d^3 \mathbf{v}, \tag{19}
\]
with \(N\) the number of atoms. By choosing the correct set of
observables, it is possible to obtain a closed set of equations
that describes the dynamics of these observables.

A. Dipole mode (\(L = 1\))

To investigate the effect of trap anharmonicities on the
dipole mode oscillation, we make the Ansatz
\[
f(t, r, \mathbf{v}) = f_0 (r_i - a_i, v_i - \dot{a}_i), \tag{20}
\]
where
\[
f_0 (r, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{C} \exp \left( \left[ -m v_i^2 / 2 + U(r) \right] / k_B T \right)
\]
is the equilibrium distribution function with \(\mathcal{C}\) the normalization
factor and \(a_i = a_i(t)\). We choose \(\chi = v_i\) and obtain the following set of
equations:
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle v_i \rangle - \frac{1}{m} \langle F_i (r) \rangle = 0, \tag{21}
\]
where
\[
\langle F_i (r) \rangle = \frac{1}{m} \int F_i (r, \mathbf{v}) f(t, r, \mathbf{v}) d^3 r d^3 \mathbf{v}.
\]

FIG. 2. Scaled frequencies \(\bar{\omega}_D / \omega_0\) for the axial dipole mode
versus temperature. The gray line corresponds to the evaluation
of Eq. (24). The leading slope (dashed line) is given by Eq. (25). Open
circles: phase contrast measurements; closed circles: absorption
imaging measurements, both acquired with thermal clouds. Diamonds:
measurements with Bose-Einstein condensates, using absorption
imaging. Open squares: results acquired at ENS-Paris [51].

\[
\langle v_i \rangle = \dot{a}_i \text{ and } \langle F(r) \rangle = \langle F(r + a) \rangle_0. \tag{22}
\]

Analogously to Eq. (19) we denote with \(\langle \chi \rangle_0\) the average
on the phase space using the equilibrium distribution \(f_0(r, \mathbf{v})\).
Expanding up to first order around the equilibrium position
\(a_i = 0\), we obtain
\[
\dot{a}_i + \sum_j \langle U''_{ij} \rangle a_j = 0, \tag{23}
\]
where \(U''_{ij} = \partial^2 U / \partial r_i \partial r_j\). Restricting ourselves to potentials
with \(\langle U''_{ij} \rangle_0 = 0\) for \(i \neq j\), we obtain for the effective
frequencies of the dipole modes
\[
\bar{\omega}^2_D = \frac{1}{m} \langle U'' \rangle_0. \tag{24}
\]

Substituting Eq. (12) for the potential into Eq. (24) we obtain
for the leading anharmonic shift in the \(z\) direction
\[
\bar{\omega}_D = \omega_0 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} T / T_0 \right). \tag{25}
\]

This expression is shown as a dashed line in Fig. 2. The integral
in Eq. (24) is readily evaluated numerically using
Eq. (11) and requires as input parameters only the values for
\(\alpha, \beta, \text{ and } b_0\). The resulting curve is shown as the solid line in
Fig. 2. The curve follows the trend of our measurements of
center-of-mass oscillations as well as data obtained in Paris
[51].

The zero temperature limit of \(\bar{\omega}_D\) is largely fixed by
measurements with Bose-Einstein condensates, which repro-
duced within 1% over a period of one year. Its value is used to
calibrate \(\omega_0\) and the related \(\beta\) coefficient. We have no
explanation for the remaining deviations for the points taken
with thermal samples at higher temperatures [52]. We cannot
trace them back to insignificant mechanical or electronic sta-
bility of our trap. Nonexponential contributions to the damp-
ing may account for a systematic error in the frequency, but
should be less than 1%. We speculate that possibly the tem-
perature determinations of the phase contrast measurements
could be affected by a molecular contribution to the phase
contrast, which tends to narrow down the distribution and
results in an underestimated value for the temperature. This results from the distribution of pairs, that can photoassociate, which is proportional to the square of the atomic density.

**B. Surface modes ($L=0$, $L=2$)**

In order to calculate the anharmonic shifts for the breathing and the two quadrupole modes we make the Ansatz

\[ f(r, r, v) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j} b_j! \theta_j!} f_0 \left( \frac{r_i}{b_i} \left[ v_i - (b_i b_j) r_j \right] \right), \]

(26)

where $b_i$ and $\theta_i$ are time-dependent variables. The parameters $b_i$ take into account shape deformation of the density cloud whereas the parameters $\theta_i$ allow an anisotropic momentum distribution which is crucial to calculate the correct frequencies. We choose $\chi = v_i r_i$ and obtain the following set of equations:

\[ \bar{b}_i (v_i^2) = \frac{\theta_i}{b_i} (v_i^2) - \frac{1}{m} (F_i (b_j r_j) r_i) = 0. \]

(27)

We impose the stationary solution and find the relation

\[ \langle v_i^2 \rangle_0 = - \frac{1}{m} (F_i (r_j r_i) r_i)_0. \]

(28)

Then choosing $\chi = v_i - (b_i b_j) r_j$ yields

\[ \dot{\theta}_i + 2 \frac{\dot{b}_i}{b_i} \theta_i = - \frac{\theta_i - \bar{\theta}}{\tau}, \]

(29)

where $\bar{\theta} = (\sum \theta_i)/3$.

Let us now focus our attention on two extreme regimes.

1. **Collisionless limit**

In the collisionless limit ($\tau \to \infty$) we obtain the relation

\[ \theta_i = \frac{1}{b_i^2}, \]

(30)

and finally,

\[ \bar{b}_i + \frac{1}{b_i^2} \frac{\langle F_i (r_j) r_i \rangle_0}{m (r_i^2)_0} - \frac{\langle F_i (b_j r_j) r_i \rangle_0}{m (r_i^2)_0} = 0. \]

(31)

Linearizing these equations and looking for solution of the form $e^{-i \omega t}$ we obtain the three frequencies. In order to do this explicitly we define the quantities

\[ A_{ij} = \frac{3}{m} \frac{(r_i U_j')_0}{(r_i^2)_0}, \]

(32)

where $U_i' = \partial U_i/\partial r_i$, $A_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and

\[ B_{ij} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{(r_i r_j U'_j)_0}{(r_i^2)_0}, \]

(33)

where $U''_j = \partial^2 U_j/\partial r_i \partial r_j$; note that, in general, $B_{ij} \neq B_{ji}$. We have to solve

\[ |A + B - \omega^2 I| = 0, \]

(34)

where $I$ is the identity matrix, in order to obtain the frequencies. For the quadrupole modes with $M = \pm 2$ we find

\[ \bar{\omega}_{Q_{2\pm}}^2 = A_{xx} - A_{xy} + B_{xx} - B_{xy}, \]

(35)

whereas for the modes with $M = 0$ we have

\[ \bar{\omega}_{Q_{0\pm}}^2 = \mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - \Delta}, \]

(36)

\[ \bar{\omega}_{Q_{0}}^2 = \mu - \sqrt{\mu^2 - \Delta}, \]

(37)

where

\[ \mu = \frac{(A_{xx} + A_{xy} + A_{zz} + B_{xx} + B_{xy} + B_{zz})}{2}, \]

(38)

\[ \Delta = (A_{xx} + A_{xy} + B_{xx} + B_{xy})(A_{zz} + B_{zz}) - 2(A_{xx} + B_{xx})(A_{xx} + B_{zz}). \]

(39)

Here we used $\langle U''_j \rangle_0 = 0$ for $i \neq j$. An analytic approximation for the leading anharmonic shift of the $M = 0$ quadrupole mode is obtained by substituting Eq. (12) for the trap potential,

\[ \bar{\omega}_{Q} \approx \omega_0 (1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{T}{T_0}), \]

(40)

where $\omega_0$ is the frequency in the harmonic limit. Note that at this level of the approximation the relative shift coincides with that of the dipole mode. The result of the numerical averages based on Eq. (11) is shown as the lower solid line in Fig. 3. The lower dashed line corresponds to the leading shift given by Eq. (40).

Comparison with the experimental points in Fig. 3 shows agreement as far as the trend of the shift is concerned but a systematic deviation for the slope. This discrepancy can be eliminated by presuming that our axial trap frequency $\omega_x$ is underestimated by 1.5%. However, such a correction cannot be justified on the basis of the limited set of data for the dipole mode [52].
2. Hydrodynamic limit

In the hydrodynamic regime (\(\tau \to 0\)) the local equilibrium is always maintained, which implies that \(\theta = \bar{\theta}\). In this case we obtain the relation

\[ \bar{\theta} = \theta = \frac{1}{\prod_j \bar{b}_j^{2/3}}, \]  

and therefore

\[ \bar{b}_j + \frac{1}{\bar{b}_j \prod_j \bar{b}_j^{2/3}} \frac{\langle F_j(r_j) r_j \rangle_0}{m \langle r_j^2 \rangle_0} - \frac{\langle F_j(r_j) r_j \rangle_0}{m \langle r_j^2 \rangle_0} = 0. \]  

By linearizing around the equilibrium we find the frequencies for the \(M=\pm2\) modes and the two \(M=0\) monopole-quadrupole modes. In this case we have to define the \(A_{ij}\) matrix as

\[ A_{ij} = \frac{5}{3m} \langle r_j U_i^j \rangle_0 \]  

and

\[ A_{ij} = \frac{2}{3} A_{ii}, \]  

Note that \(A_{ij}\) does not depend on \(j\). The matrix \(B_{ij}\) is the same as in the collisionless case. Solving the determinant Eq. (34) leads again to Eqs. (35)-(37) for the frequencies and Eqs. (38) and (39) for \(\mu\) and \(\Delta\). Only the expressions for the matrix elements \(A_{ij}\) have changed. Substituting Eq. (12) for the trap potential we find for the leading anharmonic shift of the hydrodynamic \(M=0\) quadrupole mode

\[ \bar{\omega}_Q = \omega_Q (1 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{T}{T_0}), \]

which has a slightly weaker slope than in the collisionless case. The result of the numerical averages based on Eq. (11) are shown as the upper solid line in Fig. 3. The upper dashed line corresponds to the leading shift given by Eq. (44).

A comparison with experiment requires densities \(n_0 > 4 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) at a temperature \(T = 4 \mu\text{K}\), to have \(2\omega_c \bar{\tau} < 0.1\), which is about three times our maximum density. At our highest density of \(n_0 = 1.3 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}\), we calculate a three-body decay rate of \(N/N = 2 \sqrt{3} L n_0^2 \approx 1 \text{ s}^{-1}\), with \(L = 1.8(5) \times 10^{29} \text{ cm}^6 \text{s}^{-1}\) the three-body rate constant in the Bose-condensed state [53]. At a three times higher density, the decay rate renders the acquisition of data at approximately constant density impossible for \(^{87}\text{Rb}\).

3. Crossover regime

In the crossover region, the same approach can be used, but after linearizing, one has to look for solutions of the form \(e^{-i\omega t}\) with a complex \(\omega\). For the \(M=0\) modes this leads to the equation

\[ \left( C[\omega] - \frac{i}{\bar{\tau}} D[\omega] \right) \left( E[\omega] - \frac{i}{\bar{\tau}} F[\omega] \right) = 0, \]

where \(C[\omega] = \omega (\omega^2 - \omega_{\text{clQ}}^2)(\omega^2 - \omega_{\text{clB}}^2), D[\omega] = (\omega^2 - \omega_{\text{clB}}^2)(\omega^2 - \omega_{\text{clQ}}^2), E[\omega] = (\omega^2 - \omega_{\text{clB}}^2), \) and \(F[\omega] = (\omega^2 - \omega_{\text{clQ}}^2)\). Each term represents two equations since they contain real and imaginary parts. For an elongated cigar-shape trap it is possible to write the frequencies in the form of Eq. (6) with rescaled relaxation time \(\bar{\tau} = (\omega_{\text{clB}}^2/\omega_{\text{clQ}}^2) \bar{\tau}\), which reaches the value \(\bar{\tau} = 6/5 \bar{\tau}\) in the harmonic limit. The numerically calculated results of temperature induced shifts, based on Eq. (11) in the crossover regime, is represented by the gray sector in Fig. 3.

A comparison with experiment is beyond the scope of this paper because, after scaling to \(\omega_Q\), the two limiting cases are spaced by only \(\sim 1\%). Therefore, not only \(\bar{\omega}_Q\) has to be determined to an accuracy much better than 1%, but also the scaling parameter \(\omega_Q\). In the limiting cases the latter is fully determined by the trap frequency (i.e. \(\omega_{\text{clB}} = 2\omega\), \(\omega_{\text{hd}} = 1.55\omega\)). However, in the crossover region knowledge of \(\bar{\tau}\) to much better than 1% is required to calculate \(\omega_Q\) from Eq. (6) to adequate precision.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We took all our data with the same trap parameters and the same excitation procedure, but at various temperatures. Starting the evaporation with a large atom number and using 8- “tight” trapping parameters we could reach high densities and thus study the full crossover. However, this choice for a tight trap made us sensitive for anharmonic shifts as discussed in Sec. IV [54]. Therefore, we extrapolate all frequency data to the zero-temperature limit \((\bar{\omega}_Q - \omega_Q)\) using the dotted curve in Fig. 3. This yields the best estimate for the value in the harmonic limit of our potential. The correction curve is based on the temperature dependence observed for our data in the collisionless regime (2\(\omega_c \bar{\tau} > 10\), see Fig. 5), where we may presume \(\omega_{\text{clQ}} = 2\omega_c\). In this way systematic deviations of our results from the curves in Figs. 4 and 5(b) were substantially reduced.

In Fig. 4 we plot the observed, scaled damping rates \(\Gamma/\omega_c\) versus the extrapolated quadrupole frequencies normalized to the axial trap frequency, \(\omega_{\text{clQ}}/\omega_c\). The drawn curve corresponds to the crossover expression, Eq. (4) with \(\omega_{\text{clB}} = 2\omega_c\) and \(\omega_{\text{hd}} = 1.55\omega_c\). Plots of the same experimental data and the exact solutions of Eq. (4) separately against \(2\omega_c \bar{\tau}\) are given.
These effects. Roughly, one may argue that for a given anharmonic spread $\delta \omega_Q$ in frequencies the dephasing time $\tau$ will be given by $\delta \tau = 2\pi / \delta \omega_Q$. Hence, the dephasing related damping rate is $\delta \Gamma = 2\pi / \delta \omega_Q$. The cluster of data points at $2\omega_c \tau = 30$ in Fig. 5(a) best illustrates the significance of the correction as they were taken at the highest temperature (9 $\mu$K). For these points the anharmonic frequency shift $\delta \omega_Q$ is $\sim 3.5\%$ (see Fig. 3). With $\delta \Gamma / \omega_c = \delta \omega_Q / \omega_c = 0.035$ this suggests that the anomalously high damping rates observed for these data points [Fig. 5(a)] may be entirely attributed to dephasing effects. Near the crossover point the collisional damping is much faster and dephasing corrections may be neglected $\delta \Gamma / \Gamma = (1/2)(\delta \omega_Q / \Gamma)^2 \approx 10^{-3}$.

We also verified that our shot-to-shot variations in the density have a negligible effect on the measured damping rate. The frequency shifts fastest at the density of the crossover point, where $\delta \omega_Q / \omega_c = (2/\pi)(\omega_{z1} - \omega_{z0}) / \omega_c = 0.3$ as follows directly by taking the first derivative of Eq. (6) with respect to $\tau$. As $\tau$ scales inversely proportional to the central density, a $1\%$ variation in atom numbers results (at constant temperature) in a $0.3\%$ variation of the frequency, which is much smaller than the one considered above and therefore also negligible.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

With Figs. 4 and 5 we obtain good agreement between experiment and the crossover theory. The frequency shifts down from $2\omega_c$ in the collisionless regime to 1.55$\omega_c$ for collisionally hydrodynamic clouds, with $\omega_c$ the axial frequency of our trap. Most of the shift occurs over a narrow range of densities around the crossover point. The damping rate peaks over the same range of densities. The determinations of the crossover point from the frequency and the damping behavior agree within $10\%$, $2\omega_c \tau_0 = 1.0(1)$. The agreement with the theory is limited by a $30\%$ absolute uncertainty in density. Further, we present a theory and experimental evidence for anharmonic frequency shifts. The theory allows numerical evaluation for potentials with known first and second spatial derivatives. We show that for elongated Loffe-Pritchard traps knowledge of the central field $B_0$ suffices to calculate the leading anharmonic shifts with simple analytic expressions.
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SHAPE OSCILLATIONS IN NONDEGENERATE BOSE-
[26] Because the flow is irrotational shear viscosity plays no role and viscous damping is absent. Because the flow is divergence-free the temperature is constant.
[33] The depletion is done with our detection laser. For absorption imaging we typically use 5% of the full detection intensity (2.4 mW) for typically 10 ms at a detuning of 32 MHz red to the (5S1/2,F=2)→(5P3/2,F=3) transition (D2 line). For phase-contrast imaging we use >80% of the full detection intensity at a detuning of ~3 GHz for a duration of up to 100 ms.
[34] For a full derivation, see Ch. Buggle, Ph.D. thesis, University of Amsterdam.
[37] The gravitational force $mg$ is small as compared to the gradient of the radial trapping field, $mg/\mu_B\alpha=0.04$. Therefore gravity corrections may be neglected to lowest order in the expansion.
[38] The lasers for phase-contrast and absorption imaging in our experiment are separate devices, the first operating in a region of ±3 GHz (no online control), the second from -32 to +15 MHz (online control) with respect to the D2 line. It was not possible to use both detection methods during the same experimental run.
[40] We found that phase contrast imaging in the region from ~2.8 GHz up to resonance is destructive with frequency dependent levels of particle losses per imaging flash. We attribute this to bound states in the molecular interaction asymptotes of the $(5S_{1/2}→5P_{3/2})$ transition [41] and assume that atoms are photoassociated during detection. These lines are hard to avoid in view the linewidth of our phase contrast imaging laser (~2 MHz FWHM) and the density of lines close to the dissociation limit. Using blue detuning we observe a similar loss mechanism. We attribute this to the continuum states of the repulsive branch of the molecular interaction potentials.
[42] Detection is done with a 40 µs flash of linearly polarized light and for absorption imaging detunings chosen to keep the peak optical density at ~2. The polarization and propagation vectors are both orthogonal to the trap axis. The intensity is 2 mW/cm². The effects of saturation and optical pumping arise only at small detunings and are corrected for to first order where necessary.
[43] Our optical resolution is 3.3 µm 1/e half-width (measured with a positive 1951 USAF resolution target), which is a factor of ≥3 times smaller than the radial 1/e half-width of our clouds in the trap. We also calculate, for the detuning and the highest density used for absorption imaging, the maximum angle of the propagation direction of the light wave phase front.
from the forward vector after passing the cloud. We find an angle of 6.8°, whereas the numerical aperture of our imaging system (NA=0.15) corresponds to a maximum collection angle of 8.6°. In view of the quality of the imaging achromats, we therefore assume, that “lensing” is absent in all regimes [44].


[48] This accuracy is limited by our knowledge of the effective absorption cross section for the (5S_{1/2},F=2)→(5P_{3/2},F=3) transition. We measured a linewidth 30% larger than the literature value (see H. J. Metcalf and P. van der Straten, Laser Cooling and Trapping (Springer Verlag, New York, 1999).

[49] We note that $L_\nu$ is obtained from the column density and does not coincide with the effective length of the cloud. In contrast to harmonic traps, in anharmonic traps the value obtained for the Gaussian length of a thermal cloud by fitting a 2D Gaussian to the column density differs in general from the value obtained by fitting a 3D Gaussian to the full density distribution of the same cloud.


[51] J. Léonard, Ph.D. thesis, ENS-Paris. Note, that in the experiment described therein a different element (¹He), a different trap (QUIC-trap), and different values for $B_0$ were used.

[52] Further investigation of this anharmonicity or reacquisition of the quadrupole oscillation data was not possible, since the experimental apparatus was disassembled prior to the completion of data analysis.


[54] In the collisionless limit frequency shifts as small as 1% are significant (see Fig. 4). For our trap anharmonic shifts of that size are expected for $T\approx 2 \mu$K.

[55] The quoted error corresponds to a conservative (factor of 2) uncertainty in the slope of the correction curve.