



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Essays in financial economics

Golec, P.M.

Publication date

2019

Document Version

Other version

License

Other

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Golec, P. M. (2019). *Essays in financial economics*. Tinbergen Institute.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.



Pascal Golec

Essays in Financial Economics

This dissertation contains three essays in financial economics. The first essay investigates why firms become less dynamic in the subsequent years after going public. The second essay is inspired by the financial crisis of 2008. It shows that there is not enough information production about the underlying risks of innovative financial products in the run-up to financial crises, leading to overinvestment. The third essay is a survey about the emerging literature on safe assets, which play a crucial role in shaping credit expansion and risk taking in the financial sector.

Pascal Golec (1990) obtained a Bachelor in Economics and Business at the University of Zürich. After completing an MPhil in Finance at the Tinbergen Institute in 2014, he continued to pursue a PhD at the University of Amsterdam.

Essays in Financial Economics
Pascal Golec



Essays in Financial Economics

Pascal Golec

ISBN 978 90 361 0566 8

Cover design: Crasborn Graphic Designers bno, Valkenburg a.d. Geul

This book is no. 745 of the Tinbergen Institute Research Series, established through cooperation between Rozenberg Publishers and the Tinbergen Institute. A list of books which already appeared in the series can be found in the back.

The research of this doctoral thesis received financial assistance from the Tinbergen Institute (TI), the Dutch National Bank (DNB) and the Amsterdam Center of Excellence in Risk and Macro Finance (ACRM).

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

Essays in Financial Economics

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor
aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus
prof. dr. ir. K.I.J. Maex

ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde commissie,
in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Aula der Universiteit
op woensdag 18 september 2019, te 11.00 uur
door Pascal Marek Golec
geboren te Zurich

Promotiecommissie:

Promotor:	Prof. Dr. E.C. Perotti	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Copromotor:	Dr. S.R. Arping	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Overige leden:	Prof. Dr. T. Foucault	HEC Paris
	Prof. Dr. A.W.A. Boot	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	Prof. Dr. A.M. Paccès	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	Dr. R. Perez Ribas	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	Dr. T. Ladika	Universiteit van Amsterdam

Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde

Contents

Contents	8
Acknowledgements	9
Introduction	15
1 Why do firms become less dynamic after they go public? Evidence from structural estimation	17
1.1 Introduction	17
1.2 Stylized facts	20
1.2.1 Data and summary statistics	20
1.2.2 Declining investment	21
1.3 The model	22
1.3.1 Cash flows	22
1.3.2 Initialization	23
1.3.3 Beliefs	24
1.3.4 Discussion	24
1.4 Estimation and identification	27
1.4.1 Estimation	27
1.4.2 Model identification	27
1.4.3 Goodness of fit	31
1.4.4 Results	33
1.5 What explains firm's post-IPO dynamics?	34
1.6 Robustness	39
1.6.1 Founding age vs years since IPO	39
1.6.2 Identification	40
1.6.3 Returns to scale parameter	41
1.7 Conclusion	41
A Appendix to chapter 1	43
A.1 Model	43
A.1.1 Dynamic problem	43
A.1.2 Choice of initial capital	44
A.1.3 Numerical solution	44
A.2 SMM procedure	44
A.2.1 Normalisation of initial cost of capital	44
A.2.2 Global optimization routine	45
A.2.3 Weight matrix and standard errors	45

A.3	Additional tables	46
A.4	Additional figures	56
2	Inefficient securitization booms	59
2.1	Introduction	59
2.2	The model	63
2.2.1	Investment	63
2.2.2	Securities	63
2.2.3	Information acquisition and trading	64
2.2.4	Discussion	65
2.3	Equilibrium and social optimum	65
2.3.1	Trading strategies and investment decisions	65
2.3.2	Trading profits	67
2.3.3	Information acquisition in equilibrium	68
2.3.4	Primary market underpricing	69
2.3.5	The constrained planner's problem	70
2.3.6	Competitive equilibrium	73
2.4	The inefficiency	75
2.5	Conclusion	76
B	Appendix to chapter 2	77
B.1	Proofs	77
B.1.1	Proof of Lemma 1	77
B.1.2	Proof of Proposition 1	77
B.1.3	Proof of Proposition 2	79
B.1.4	Proof of Proposition 3	82
3	Safe assets: a review	83
3.1	Introduction	83
3.1.1	A global demand for safety	84
3.2	What are safe assets?	85
3.3	Demand and supply for safe assets	87
3.3.1	Evidence on safety and liquidity premia in safe assets	87
3.3.2	Supply of (quasi-) safe assets	89
3.4	Origins of safe asset demand	90
3.4.1	Models of demand for absolute safety	91
3.4.2	Demand for safe assets driven by liquidity needs	92
3.5	Safe asset creation and instability	92
3.5.1	Safety through short-term debt	93
3.5.2	Safety through secured debt	94
3.6	Policy implications	95
3.6.1	Macroeconomic effects of a decline in safe assets	95
3.6.2	Preventive policy	95
3.7	Conclusion	97
	Bibliography	99
	Samenvatting (summary in dutch)	109

Acknowledgements

First I want to thank my supervisor, Enrico Perotti. He took me under his wing and genuinely wanted me to succeed. He taught me how to structure my ideas, write well, develop models step by step, and explore ideas without an end goal in mind. From him I also received complete freedom of which ideas to pursue. Once I got interested in topics outside of his domain, he got me in touch with the right people. We had interesting, deep discussions about safe assets while we worked together on the third essay of the book. I also spent a few months at the Dutch National Bank working on that project, for which I also want to thank Gabriele Galati, who invited me and supervised me there.

Thierry Foucault was important in shaping my research career at HEC. It is a place where I learned how to tightly link theory and empirics, and demand a high research standard from myself. A lot of this I learned from Thierry. He also got me interested in structural estimation, an important method that I would apply in the final essay. I also want to thank the other faculty for their valuable input. I especially enjoyed talking to Denis Gromb, Johan Hombert and Guillaume Vuilleme. Thanks Pekka for showing me Paris and letting me sleep at his place during my visits at HEC.

In Michigan I learned how to apply the structural estimation method for my last essay. Thanks Florian for getting me in touch with Martin Schmalz, and him for inviting me and supervising me there. I also want to thank Jay for all the conversations we had, I learned a lot of the important details from him. Jason is a great friend I made during that period, who also shaped my experience there. We had a lot of great discussions, and I thank him for showing me his side of the USA.

Back in Amsterdam, I wrapped up the final essay. During that period I had some really good discussions with Bo Hu. Kamal kept me motivated. A handful of people really brightened my days at the office. Chiara, Dorinth, Felipe, Jort, Magda, Mario, Merve, Oscar, Rob, Robin and Ruobing, thank you!

A few people were with me all the way. The "TI for life" group, Hjalmar, Kamal, Robin and Sandor. Thanks a lot to Stefano for being a great friend and forcing me to get my mind off work in difficult times. Thanks to the Saturday squash group, Melle, Peter, Marloes and Boris for keeping me fit and being worthy adversaries!

Most importantly, I want to thank my family, Caroline, Robert, Nathalie and Catherine. They always believed in me, encouraged me in difficult times, gave me valuable strategic advice, and had a lot of patience with me. I could not have done it without them.

List of Tables

1.1	Summary Statistics	20
1.2	Parameters estimated with SMM.	33
A.31	Mapping the model to the data	46
A.32	Investment regressions	47
A.33	Empirical Policy Function (EPF)	48
A.34	Fitted moments	49
A.35	Jacobian matrix	50
A.36	Counterfactuals	51
A.37	Time since IPO vs. founding	52
A.38	Robustness of identification - parameter estimates.	53
A.39	Robustness of identification - moments	54
A.310	Robustness of α - parameter estimates	55
A.311	Robustness of α - percentage contribution to conditional investment . .	55

List of Figures

1.1	Investment decline after IPO.	21
1.2	Comparative statics of critical parameters at the SMM estimates.	30
1.3	Model fit.	32
1.4	Conditional investment - individual sources.	35
1.5	Conditional investment - main mechanisms.	36
1.6	Unconditional investment.	36
1.7	Unconditional Q.	37
1.8	Conditional Q.	38
1.9	Profitability.	38
1.10	Sensitivity of investment to profitability.	39
A.41	Observations across fiscal year.	56
A.42	How skewness produces positive average net investment.	56
A.43	Exit rates depending on time since IPO.	57
2.31	Socially optimal security.	72
2.32	Security issued in the competitive equilibrium.	74
2.41	The inefficiency.	76
3.21	Asset safety and liquidity under our definition.	86