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ABSTRACT

There is an increasing amount of structure on the Web as a result of modern Web languages, user tagging and annotation, and emerging robust NLP tools. These meaningful, semantic, annotations hold the promise to significantly enhance information access, by enhancing the depth of analysis of today’s systems. Currently, we have only started exploring the possibilities and only begin to understand how these valuable semantic cues can be put to fruitful use. Unleashing the potential of semantic annotations requires us to think outside the box, by combining the insights of natural language processing (NLP) to go beyond bags of words, the insights of databases (DB) to use structure efficiently even when aggregating over millions of records, the insights of information retrieval (IR) in effective goal-directed search and evaluation, and the insights of knowledge management (KM) to get grips on the greater whole.

This workshop aims to bring together researchers from these different disciplines and work together on one of the greatest challenges in the years to come. The desired result of the workshop will be to gain concrete insight into the potential of semantic annotations, and in concrete steps to take this research forward; to synchronize related research happening in NLP, DB, IR, and KM, in ways that combine the strengths of each discipline; and to have a lively, interactive workshop where every participant contributes actively and which inspires attendees to think freely and creatively, working towards a common goal.

Categories and Subject Descriptors: H.3.3 [Information Storage and Retrieval]: Information Search and Retrieval—Search process, Selection process

General Terms: Algorithms, Experimentation, Theory

Keywords: Semantic Annotation

1. THEME AND TOPICS

There are many forms of annotations and a growing array of techniques that identify or extract information automatically from texts: geo-positional markers; named entities; temporal information; semantic roles; opinion, sentiment, and attitude; certainty and hedging to name a few directions of more abstract information found in text. Furthermore, the number of collections which explicitly identify entities is growing fast with Web 2.0 and Semantic Web initiatives. In some cases semantic technologies are being deployed in active tasks, but there is no common direction to research initiatives nor in general technologies for exploitation of non-immediate textual information, in spite of a clear family resemblance both with respect to theoretical starting points and methodology. We believe further research is needed before we can unleash the potential of annotations!

The previous ESAIR workshops, and in particular the third ESAIR at CIKM 2010 [5], made concrete progress in clarifying the exact role of semantic annotations in support complex search tasks: both as a means to construct more powerful queries that articulate far more than a typical Web-style, shallow, navigational information need, and in terms of making sense of the retrieved results on very various levels of abstraction, even non-textual data, providing narratives and paths through an intractable information space.

One of the pronouncements of the third ESAIR was to view semantic annotation as (1) a linking procedure, connecting (2) an analysis of information objects with (3) a semantic model of some sort. This linking is in some way intended to work towards an effective contribution to (4) some gainful task of interest to end users. All of these four facets of semantic annotation are of interest to the fourth workshop—the aim of this workshop is not the technologies for semantic annotation itself, but rather the applications and contributions of semantic annotation to information access tasks on various levels of abstraction such as ad-hoc retrieval, classification, browsing, textual mining, summarization, question answering, etc.

2. CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

The first two workshops were exploratory workshops to discuss the research space around the topic. The third workshop took great strides in formulating a common framework for discussing family likeness, evaluation, and application of semantic technologies. This fourth workshop is intended to formulate and propose future directions for the benefit of the field as a whole. Specifically, we will bring together a varied group of researchers covering NLP, IR, DB, and KM, and to work together towards identifying the barriers to success and to work on ways of addressing them.

The list of themes for the workshop include:

Application/Use Case What are use cases that make obvious the need for semantic annotation of information? What tasks cannot be solved by document retrieval using the traditional bag-of-words? What are the prerequisites of successful application? How can the expressive power of semantic annotation best be put to use? What is keeping searchers from exploring these powerful search request?

Annotation and analysis What types of annotation are available? Are there crucial differences between author-, software-, user-, and machine-generated annotations? Named entities, temporal expressions on the one hand and sentiment and hedging on the other are examples of analyses beyond topic that have moved to profitable application. Are there other types of an-
notations that are within our grasp? What semantic theories do we need to formulate further annotation schemes?

**Data Curation** Annotations may live inside documents, or be stored externally (e.g., annotated by uncontrolled authors or tools) or both (e.g., annotation with linked data). How to keep data and metadata together? Does the annotation stop somewhere, or is all social or linked data of potential use for searching or navigating. How important is source of the annotations? Are there issues with credibility or trust that need to be taken into account?

**Result Aggregation** Whereas IR focuses almost exclusively at finding individual chunks of information, DB naturally focuses on results that combine information and produce aggregated results (think of OLAP queries), and KM naturally deal with the whole information space. How can we fruitfully combine these strengths?

The Workshop will conclude with a final session addressing the best way forward to unleash the potential of semantic annotation.

3. **ACCEPTED PAPERS**

We requested the submission of short, 2 page papers to be presented as boaster and poster. We accepted a total of 13 papers out of 15 submissions.

Damljanović et al. [2] discuss virtual documents as a way to unify data driven approach in IR, and knowledge driven approaches in DB and KM.


Kamps [4] constructs a model of interaction for complex tasks, and the different information flow and success criterion of each phase, framing the role of annotation throughout a search episode.

Karlgren [6] discusses three interconnected challenges and research questions in exploiting and modelling affective and emotive aspects of information access: how to model the mood or affectual state of the user, the emotive content of an information object, and the utility of doing so. Marshall [7] studies the completeness and relative value of image tags and how this impacts image similarity evaluation.

Murakami and Ura [8] propose a decimal classification system for people on the Web, leading to capture semantic labels and hierarchical relations.

Narita et al. [9] apply NLP approach to annotate entities, persons, and events in tweets, improving access through normalization and taxonomic relations.

Ng [10] discusses the annotation of word senses and argues that renewed analysis will increase of understanding when it works and why.

Pareti [11] focuses on identifying the source of a statement and the relation between the source and the message, and how this attribution helps retrieval and interpretation.

Rój [12] discuss how the discovery and retrieval of application program interfaces (APIs) can benefit from rich semantic annotation.

Sapkota et al. [13] extract models from regulatory texts (containing regulations, policies, mandates and guidelines for organizations) from different sources using semantic annotation.

Trandabat [14] proposes semantic role labeling as a means to encode context of and relations between entities occurring in texts.

Tsatsaronis [15] studies sources of lexical ambiguity: syntactic ambiguity across syntactic categories and semantic ambiguity due to polysemy or homonymy, and their relative effect on information retrieval effectiveness.

In addition to these papers, there will be two invited keynotes.

4. **FORMAT**

We will start the day with a short introduction of the goals and schedule, and a “feature rally” in which each participant introduces her- or himself, and stated their particular interest in this area.

Next, we will present two invited keynotes to help frame some common ground in understanding the challenges we are addressing. We will continue with a boaster/poster session, where the papers from Section 3 are presented. The poster session will continue over lunch.

After lunch, we will organise break-out sessions in parallel to focus on specific aspects or problems related to the four themes, running through afternoon coffee, followed with a final discussion on what we will have achieved during the day and how to take our discussion forward.

The workshop will — as in previous years – continue with a more informal discussion session over drinks and dinner with all attendees of the workshop.
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