Structures of Fe(II) spin-crossover complexes from synchrotron powder-diffraction data
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Crystal structure determination and analysis have been carried out for the two spin-crossover compounds \([\text{Fe}(\text{tee}X)_6](\text{BF}_4)_2\) (\text{tee}X is haloethyltetrazole; \(X = \text{I}: \text{teei} ; X = \text{Br}: \text{teeb}\)), in both their high-spin (near 300 K) and their low-spin states (\(T = 90\) K), using high-resolution powder-diffraction data collected at the ESRF (Grenoble, France) and SPring8 (Japan) synchrotron radiation facilities. The structures of \text{teei} have been solved using various direct-space structure determination techniques (grid search, genetic algorithm and parallel tempering) and refined with the Rietveld method using geometrical restraints. In the case of \text{teeb}, a structural model was found but a full refinement was not successful because of the presence of a significant amount of an amorphous component. Analysis of the structures (space group \(P2_1/c, Z = 2\)) and diffraction data, and the absence of phase transitions, show the overall structural similarity of these compounds and lead to the conclusion that the gradual spin-crossovers are likely to be accompanied by small structural changes only.

1. Introduction

At the molecular level, transition-metal complexes containing, for example, Fe\(^{II}\) can exist in a high-spin (HS, \(S = 2\)) or a low-spin (LS, \(S = 0\)) state. In some of these compounds, the spin state can be changed by external perturbation, such as a change of temperature or pressure or exposure to electromagnetic radiation (Gültlich \textit{et al}., 1994; Gültlich & Hauser, 1990; Decurtins \textit{et al}., 1984, 1985; Meissner \textit{et al}., 1983), and this property may find future applications in data storage or display devices (Gültlich \textit{et al}., 2000; Kahn & Jay Martinez, 1998; Kröber \textit{et al}., 1993). In the solid state, the magnetic spin-crossover (SCO) behaviour is in general monitored by \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) Mössbauer spectroscopy and magnetic susceptibility measurements. A wide range of SCO behaviour has been encountered: for example, gradual, complete, two-step transitions, transitions with a residual HS species at low temperature and hysteresis loops (Stassen \textit{et al}., 2003; Guionneau \textit{et al}., 1999; Real \textit{et al}., 1997).

Several theoretical models have been developed that explain the observed variation of the SCO transition curves. The regular solution model was proposed by Slichter & Drickamer (1972) and developed further by, among others, Onishi & Sugano (1981) and Spiering \textit{et al}., (1982). In this model and these papers it is claimed that the origin of the intermolecular interactions lies in the elasticity of the medium. A volume change of a few molecules uniformly distributed over the crystal, by replacing atoms of different size or by the spin-state change of spin-crossover molecules, may give rise to lattice strain and this, in turn, to long-range interactions. This
effect may be interpreted as an internal pressure that increases linearly with the concentration of the LS species and affects all molecules in the crystal in the same way (independent of distances). Assuming elastic interactions in the solid state, a continuum model was proposed in order to model the non-ideality of the transition curves (Gütlitch et al., 1994).

In another theoretical model, proposed by Sorai & Seki (1974), the LS and HS molecules are assumed not to be distributed randomly but to form domains with the same spin. These authors suggested that the SCO occurs simultaneously in a group of molecules that form a ‘cooperative’ region. Bolvin & Kahn (1995) explored this possibility with Monte Carlo simulations in the above case. These authors concluded that molecules with the same spin tend to assemble themselves in clusters, a direct result of high cooperativity, and they stated that these clusters should be viewed in terms of a high probability and not of a static distribution.

Recently, SCO transitions have been described quantitatively taking into account nearest-neighbour interactions (specific and non-specific molecular interactions) and ordering, the latter being defined as the possibility of the formation of regular structures with alternating HS and LS structures (Koudriavtsev al., 2003a, b).

Recently, a novel class of SCO FeII complexes was introduced, with halogen-substituted ethyltetrazoles as ligands (Stassen et al., 2002, 2003). Depending on the substituted halogen (F, Cl, Br or I), anion (BF4- and ClO4-) and preparation method, a wide variety of magnetic behaviour was observed. Since single crystals could be obtained for none of these compounds, a project was started to solve their structures from powder-diffraction data. For [Fe(teei)6](BF4)2 [teei is 1-(2-chloroethyl)tetrazole; hereafter teei], which shows a 2-step HS-to-LS transition, both room-temperature (HS; Dova et al., 2001) and low-temperature (LS) crystal structure models have been obtained (Dova, 2003).

In this paper, we report the crystal structures of [Fe(teei)6](BF4)2 (hereafter teei) in the HS state [at room temperature (RT)] and in the LS state (90 K). We also present the general structural features of [Fe(teei)6](BF4)2 (hereafter teeb) in the HS state (300 K) and in the LS state (90 K). According to magnetic susceptibility measurements and 57Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy, both teei and teeb show a (almost) complete gradual SCO with transition temperatures of T1/2 = 205 and 166 K, respectively (Fig. 1: Stassen et al., 2003).

From an analysis of the structures in relation to their SCO behaviour it is concluded that in these compounds the SCO is accompanied by only small structural changes.

2. Experimental

Both teei and teeb are white crystallites, which were synthesized as described by Stassen (2002). Data sets at RT were collected at beamline BM01B at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (Grenoble, France), with ƛ = 0.75003 Å (hereafter ESRF data). Samples were measured in capillaries (0.5 mm diameter) and rotated during the measurement. Data were taken by continuously scanning the interval 3.03 < 2θ < 43.26° and were finally binned at 0.005°-2θ.

Temperature-dependent data-set series were collected at beamline BL02B2 of SPring8 at the Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute (Nishi-Hara, Hyogo, Japan; called hereafter SPring8 data). Using ƛ = 0.999995 Å. A large Debye–Scherrer camera (radius 286.5 mm) was available, together with an N2 gas flow for low-temperature data collection (90–300 K; accuracy: ±1–2 K; Nishibori et al., 2001). An imaging-plate (IP) detector was mounted on the 2θ arm. Selecting an IP pixel size of 50 μm and using a long vertical slit in front of the IP, up to 18 different powder patterns could be collected on a single IP. A 0.4 mm capillary was mounted in the sample holder and rotated with a speed of 6° min⁻¹. After each experiment, the IP was read out by a BAS-2500 machine and a two-dimensional digital frame was created, from which the 2θ versus intensity powder-diffraction patterns (0.01 < 2θ < 76.61°, step 0.01°) were obtained with the program Ip23 (SPring8, local program) by integrating 51 pixels of the image, which correspond to 2.55 mm on the IP.

Short exposures (5 min) of several samples were used to examine the quality of the powder. Almost all samples were rather granular; this situation was difficult to avoid because the compounds were very hard and difficult to grind. Using the best samples, short-scan data (5 min) were taken at 300 K and from 250 to 90 K in steps of 10 K. To avoid temperature overshoot, a temperature-stabilization period of 5 min was applied before starting data collection. Long-term data collection (60 min) was carried out at selected temperatures, including 300 and 90 K, using the same temperature-stabilization period. After the long-term experiments, the colours of the samples had turned to brown (teei) and orange (teeb).

In the SPring8 diffraction patterns of teei, several broad intensity peaks can be observed that are not present in the ESRF data. These peaks are attributed to impurities present in the batch prepared for the experiments at SPring8 (the batch used for the experiments at the ESRF was no longer available), and 2θ ranges corresponding to these peaks have been
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**Figure 1**

Molar magnetic susceptibility (χT) versus temperature (T) of [Fe(teei)6](BF4)2 and [Fe(teeb)6](BF4)2.
excluded in order to improve the unit-cell refinement in the case of the 300 K data; in the case of the 90 K data, this exclusion was not considered to be necessary. Moreover, in the 90 K data set, 2θ regions corresponding to ice peaks were excluded, except for ice peaks very close to reflection peaks. Table 1 lists experimental data while Fig. 2 shows the RT and 90 K patterns of \( \text{Fe}^{	ext{II}} \) spin-crossover complexes.

In the diffraction patterns of \( \text{teeb} \), a significant amorphous component is present, resulting in a decrease of peak intensities and broadening of the peak profiles mainly in the range 12 < 2θ < 18°. Therefore, four regions (not including any reflection position) have been excluded in order to improve the refinement (Table 2 gives experimental data for \( \text{teeb} \)).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal data</th>
<th>ESRF (RT)</th>
<th>SPring8 90 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical formula</td>
<td>(<a href="%5Ctext%7BBF%7D_4">\text{Fe}^{	ext{II}}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_5\text{N}_4\text{I})_6</a>_2])</td>
<td>(<a href="%5Ctext%7BBF%7D_4">\text{Fe}^{	ext{II}}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_5\text{N}_4\text{I})_6</a>_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical formula weight</td>
<td>1573.50</td>
<td>1573.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>(P2_1/c)</td>
<td>(P2_1/c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) (Å)</td>
<td>12.6425 (19)</td>
<td>12.3177 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) (Å)</td>
<td>18.0349 (3)</td>
<td>17.6766 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) (Å)</td>
<td>10.64881 (16)</td>
<td>10.4685 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta) (°)</td>
<td>90.3080 (11)</td>
<td>90.5991 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V) (Å(^3))</td>
<td>2427.95 (7)</td>
<td>2279.25 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.75003</td>
<td>0.999995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_\alpha) (Mg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>2.154</td>
<td>2.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data collection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diffractometer</th>
<th>BM01B at ESRF</th>
<th>BL02B2 at SPring8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2\theta) region (°)</td>
<td>3.03–38.03</td>
<td>3.0–51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.03–25.03</td>
<td>3.0–30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluded regions (°)</td>
<td>12.85–12.95</td>
<td>18.38–18.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of variables</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_p)</td>
<td>0.0522</td>
<td>0.0252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_w)</td>
<td>0.0724</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{wp})</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.0367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\chi^2)</td>
<td>0.1120</td>
<td>0.0327</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Structure solution and refinement methods

#### 3.1. Structure solution

All powder-diffraction patterns were indexed using the program ITO (Visser, 1969). The ESRF data were decomposed with the full-pattern decomposition (FPD) module of the program MRIA (Zlokazov & Chernyshev, 1992) using a split-type pseudo-Voigt profile function (Toraya, 1986) into \(X_{\text{obs}}\) values. \(X_{\text{obs}}\) is the intensity of a resolved reflection or the sum intensity of a cluster of reflections; see Chernyshev & Schenk (1998)]. The background was divided into three segments and each one was fitted with a Legendre polynomial (up to fifth order). The unit cells of the SPring8 patterns were refined with the Pawley refinement as incorporated into the Materials Studio package (MS; Accelrys, 2001). The background was fitted with the default settings (20th-order polynomial). At first, the Pearson-VII profile function was used to fit the patterns. These fitted patterns were used in all cases in the first run of the structure solution procedure. Later, the Tomandl pseudo-Voigt profile function was used, offering a more satisfactory fitting, and this profile function was used for additional structure solution runs (if any) and for all Rietveld refinement runs. In some cases, an asymmetry correction was applied according to the Bérrar–Baldinozzi function (Bérrar & Baldinozzi, 1993). All unit cells were established to be monoclinic and the space group assigned in all cases was \(P2_1/c\).
The first line for the 2\textsuperscript{D} region, \(R_p\) and \(R_w\) list the settings and results of the G Renault refinement. The second line for the 2\textsuperscript{D} region, GoF, \(R_p\) and \(R_w\) list the settings and results of the FPD procedure.

Taking into account the space group (\(P2_1/c\)) and volume requirements (\(Z = 2\)), the molecule was assumed to be centrosymmetric and, with the metal kept fixed in a special position \((0, 0, 0)\) was used, but only half of the molecule was required as a search model; the model thus comprises two fragments: the (\(\text{teei}\)) moiety and the \(\text{BF}_4^-\) ion. This structural model is characterized by 18 degrees of freedom (DOF): three rotational parameters and nine torsion angles for the (\(\text{teei}\)) moiety, and six parameters (three translational and three rotational) for the \(\text{BF}_4^-\) ion (Fig. 3). The initial search model for all the applied techniques was constructed from the structure of \(\text{teei}\) (Dova et al., 2001), with \(\text{Cl}\) replaced by \(\text{I}\) and \(\text{Br}\) for the \(\text{teei}\) and \(\text{teeb}\) complexes, respectively.

The structure of \(\text{teei}\) at RT was solved from ESRF data by dividing the total grid-search problem into several smaller subsearches (Dova et al., 2001; Dova, 2003): (a) a rotational search to position the (\(\text{teei}\)) moiety (three DOF), (b) a torsion-angle search to establish the orientation of the tetrazole rings and the iodoethyl groups (Fig. 3; \(t_1\)–\(t_9\); nine DOF), and (c) a translational and rotational search (six DOF) to locate the \(\text{BF}_4^-\) ion (see Table 3). The search order followed was (a), (b), (c), (a).

Torsion-angle searches exploiting 90 \(X_{\text{obs}}\) values were made in order to determine more accurately the position of the rings and the iodoethyl side chains. Initially, the torsion angles were arranged in three groups, \(t_1\)–\(t_3\), \(t_4\)–\(t_6\) and \(t_7\)–\(t_9\), which were handled independently, and searches in steps of 10° in the whole torsion-angular range were applied. Two structural models were found, with almost the same \(R(X)\). In order to distinguish between the two models, additional torsion-angle searches using 100, 120 and 160 \(X_{\text{obs}}\) values were applied. After inspection of the results, it was concluded that the above-mentioned structural models were better distinguished [in terms of \(R(X)\) values] in the search with 120 \(X_{\text{obs}}\) values and therefore it was decided to continue the grid search using 120 \(X_{\text{obs}}\) values.

Structures of \(\text{teei}\) and \(\text{teeb}\) at 300 and 90 K (SPRING8 data) have been solved with parallel tempering, as incorporated into Powder Solve (Engel et al., 1999) of MS, using default settings unless stated otherwise. The (\(\text{teei}\)) moiety and the \(\text{BF}_4^-\) ion were searched for simultaneously in the first run. At 90 K, the Fe–N bonds of the initial model of \(\text{teei}\) were shortened to

**Figure 3**

Definition of torsion angles (\(t_1\)–\(t_9\)) in [\(\text{Fe(teei)}\)]\(\text{BF}_4\)\(_2\) and [\(\text{Fe(teeb)}\)]\(\text{BF}_4\)\(_2\).
2.2 Å before carrying out the parallel tempering runs. The search was performed using the interval 3–25° 2θ unless stated otherwise.

3.2. Structure refinement

Rietveld refinement (RR) of the ESRF data model of teei was carried out using the program MRIA. The background parameters were refined (fifth-order Legendre polynomial), a split-type pseudo-Voigt profile (Toraya, 1986) was assigned to the reflections and texture correction was applied using the symmetrized-harmonics expansion method (Ahtee et al., 1989; Järvinen, 1993). Zero-point correction and cell-parameter refinement were also carried out. In order to avoid distortion of the fragments, a distance-restrained refinement was applied to intramolecular distances (< 6 Å) with σ = 1% of the ideal distance.

A limited RR of the SPring8 300 and 90 K models of teei and teeb was carried out with the MS package. The Tomandl pseudo-Voigt profile function was used and, in some cases, an asymmetry correction was applied according to the Béar–Baldinozzi function. A texture correction was applied using the March–Dollase method. The initial values of the parameters a*, b*, c* and r were found by simulated annealing (as incorporated into the MS package) and then refined with RR. The Fe(teeiX)62+ moiety and BF4– ion were refined initially as rigid bodies; the torsion angles (see Fig. 3) were also refined. Attempts to relax the rigid-body restraints and to refine individual atomic coordinates were not successful and resulted in a distortion of the model. In view of these results, it was decided to continue the RR with the program GSAS (Larson & Von Dreele, 1994) using the interface EXPGUI (Toby, 2001). A special type of pseudo-Voigt function (profile function type 3 in GSAS) was used to model reflection asymmetry. Texture was corrected for using a spherical harmonics function up to sixth order. Zero-point correction and cell-parameter refinement were also applied. Bond-distance, bond-angle and planar restraints were applied in order to avoid extreme distortion of the structural model.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Indexing and analysis of scan versus long-term data

The ESRF patterns and all the long-term SPRing8 patterns of teei and teeb could be indexed as monoclinic, and on the basis of the expected similarity with the teec complexes, as suggested by the similarity of the diffraction patterns, P21/c was assumed to be the most likely space group, with Z = 2 in view of the available cell volume (Tables 1 and 2). The diffraction patterns of the scan measurements of teei and teeb as a function of decreasing temperature (300 → 90 K) showed only slight and gradual changes of the intensities and peak positions, the latter indicating a gradual lattice contraction. Comparison of the scan and long-term measurements at the same temperature revealed hardly any difference, except for the somewhat broader peaks in the scan patterns of teei. This broadening has been attributed to the extensive grinding of the sample used for the scan measurements. Thus, it is concluded that no significant crystallographic phase transition has taken place and that, under the time scales used (scan 5 min, long-term 60 min), different types of unit cells do not occur, in contrast to the cases of teec and [Fe(teec)6][ClO4]2 (Dova, 2003).

4.2. Structure solution and refinement of teei

The grid-search procedure for teei at RT, summarized in Table 3, finally led to a model \( R(X) = 0.26 \) that could subsequently be refined using RR in MRIA (see Table 1).

For the SPRing8 data, after the first parallel tempering run (18 DOF), the structural model found was already well located, with \( R_{wp} \) values of 0.0758 and 0.0578 at 90 and 300 K, respectively.

A comparison of the structural models of teei resulting from the grid search, parallel tempering (at 300 K) and a genetic algorithm implementation in MRIA (data not shown) revealed them to be largely the same, except for the position of the C atom bonded to the I atom in all three ligands (Fig. 4). Therefore, a local grid search was performed (90 \( X_{obs} \) values) around the position of the structural model delivered by parallel tempering. This local grid search comprised a variation of all torsion angles within a range of ±20° in steps initially of 4° and finally of 1°, a search of the BF4– ion with final translation steps of 0.01, 0.02 and 0.01 Å within ranges of ±0.63, ±0.90 and ±0.53 Å along the \( a, b \) and \( c \) axes, respectively, and a rotation in steps of 1° within ±4° around \( \psi \), \( \psi \) and \( \kappa \). The final model \( [R(X) = 0.249] \) after this local grid search was processed with RR in MRIA and turned out to be very similar to the solution found by parallel tempering. It is judged, though, that the differences between all those models can become insignificant after RR.
The results of the RR of all models are summarized in Table 1. Results obtained with each of the programs will be discussed below.

**MRIA ESRF data.** In order to avoid distortion of the fragments, a distance-restrained refinement was carried out, using restraints for all intramolecular distances (< 6 Å) with σ = 1% of the ideal distance. The ideal C—I distance was set to 2.16 Å and all other distance restraints involving the I atom were removed. The weight cw, which weighs the residual distance restraints function, Sres, versus the Rietveld residual function, Srv, was gradually reduced from 317.4 to 2.96. Attempts to lower cw any further resulted in a distortion of the model. The atomic displacements of the I, C and N atoms were refined isotropically and constrained to be equal within each of the following three groups: I atoms, C and N atoms of all three tetrazole rings, and C atoms of every ethyl group separately. Atomic displacement parameters of Fe, B and F were fixed to 0.025, 0.05 and 0.10 Å, respectively. The final plot of the observed, calculated and difference patterns is shown in Fig. 2(a).

**GSAS 90 K (SPring8 data).** As discussed, the final RR of the 90 K model was carried out with GSAS. The hexagonal (P63/mmc) phase of ice, with a = b = 4.523 Å and c = 7.367 Å (Megaw, 1934), was introduced as a second phase into the RR. After fitting the ice phase, using the same profile function as for the main phase, all of the parameters were kept constant and only the parameters of teei were refined. Two regions were excluded (12.85–12.95 and 18.38–18.54° 2θ) for reasons discussed in §2. Bond-distance, bond-angle and planar restraints were applied, and every group of restraints contributed to the final χ², as shown in Table 4. Atomic displacement parameters of the Fe and I atoms were refined isotropically, the atomic displacement parameters of the I atoms being constrained to be equal. Atomic displacement parameters of the other non-H atoms could not be refined and were kept constant at 0.025 Å². Spherical harmonics coefficients up to 12th order were refined, leading to a final texture index J = 1.483, implying a moderate preferred orientation. The final plot of the observed, calculated and difference patterns is shown in Fig. 2(b). The larger differences in the RR plot of the structure at 90 K are concentrated mainly at the ice peaks and at the peaks attributed to impurities (either excluded or not).

### 4.3. Structure solution and refinement of teeb

It was difficult to obtain convincing structural models of teeb suitable for refinement. A large amorphous contribution in all diffraction patterns of teeb is considered to be the main reason for this difficulty. In the case of the ESRF data all attempts to find suitable models failed, but for the 300 and 90 K SPring8 data some models were found with parallel tempering, although with difficulty. At 300 K, two parallel tempering runs were carried out because the solution of the first run gave interatomic contacts that were too short. The second run solution was processed in the RR of M1, in spite of the relatively high Rwp value (0.159). The structural model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Terms in the least-squares minimization of the Rietveld refinement (GSAS) and the final values of the global weighting factors (fσ, fβ, fp) of [Fe(teei)],[BF4]2 at 90 K and [Fe(teeb)],[BF4]2 at 300 K (M1 and M2) and 90 K.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>[Fe(teei)],[BF4]2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>816.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>7894.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

49 609 (5010 obs.) 25 195 520 (4980 obs.) 262 140 (4980 obs.) 268 180 (6292 obs.)

As discussed above, the models at 300 and 90 K were refined with GSAS. Because of the difficulties in obtaining convincing structural models and in view of the expected isomorphism of teeb to the structures of teei (Dova, 2003) and teeb, as suggested by the similarity of the diffraction patterns, two structural models were processed with the RR in GSAS: the (300 K) M1 model, as obtained from the RR with M5 and model M2, taken from Dova et al. (2001) with the Cl atoms replaced by Br atoms In the refinements of M1 and M2 the same procedure was followed. The structural models before and after RR are plotted in Fig. 5. The results of RR with GSAS are listed in Table 2. The final values of the global weighting factors and every group of restraints contributed to the final χ², as shown in Table 4. The criteria-of-fit (Table 2) and the difference patterns (Figs. 6a and 6b) suggested M1 to be the best structural model. For this reason and because the structural model at 90 K found by parallel tempering was similar to M1 (Fig. 5), M1 was taken as the starting model for the refinement at 90 K, applying the same procedure as at 300 K (Tables 2 and 4).

The final plots of the observed, calculated and difference patterns (Fig. 6c) show a relatively poor agreement between the calculated and experimental patterns in the range 12 < 2θ < 18°, where the contribution of the amorphous component is significant and the intensities of the phase under investigation are low with broad profile shapes. This poor agreement is considered to be the main reason for the high values of the texture index, implying that not only the texture but also other effects are modelled. Although the refinements of teeb cannot be considered completely satisfactory, the general structural features are similar to those of teei. A more crystalline sample would be required for a more satisfactory structure refinement.
Table 5
Selected bond distances and angles of [Fe(teei)₆](BF₄)₂ at RT (ESRF data) and 90 K (SPRING8 data) and of [Fe(teeb)₆](BF₄)₂ at 300 and 90 K (SPRING8 data).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><a href="BF%E2%82%84">Fe(teei)₆</a>₂</th>
<th><a href="BF%E2%82%84">Fe(teeb)₆</a>₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>90 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe—N₄a</td>
<td>2.200 (15)</td>
<td>2.046 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe—N₄b</td>
<td>2.219 (15)</td>
<td>1.970 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe—N₄c</td>
<td>2.215 (16)</td>
<td>1.873 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₄a—Fe—N₄b</td>
<td>90.3 (6)</td>
<td>91.2 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₄a—Fe—N₄c</td>
<td>90.7 (6)</td>
<td>91.3 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₄b—Fe—N₄c</td>
<td>90.2 (6)</td>
<td>90.7 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5
The structural models (a) M1 and (b) M2 of [Fe(teeb)₆](BF₄)₂ before (light grey) and after (dark grey) RR with GSAS.

Figure 6
Rietveld refinement (GSAS) plots of the [Fe(teeb)₆](BF₄)₂ structures (a) at 300 K (M1), (b) at 300 K (M2) and (c) at 90 K: experimental diffraction pattern (upper), the pattern as calculated from the refined crystal structure (middle), the difference between these patterns (lower) and reflection positions (vertical lines).
4.4. Crystal structure analysis

4.4.1. Teei. As in teec, the FeII atom in teei is at a special position and is octahedrally coordinated by the neighbouring N atoms at distances of 2.200 (15)–2.219 (15) Å at RT and 1.873 (17)–2.046 (18) Å at 90 K; these are typical Fe–N distances for HS and LS states, respectively (König, 1987).

The mean bond distance of the Fe moiety (excluding the Fe–N bonds) is 1.31 Å at RT and 1.28 Å at 90 K, corresponding to a shrinkage of 2.1% due to temperature. When only Fe–N bond distances are considered (mean Fe–N bond contraction of 0.25 Å), the decrease is 11.2%. From the above it is concluded that the prominent shortening of the Fe–N bond distances as the temperature decreases, in contrast to the much smaller shortening of the other bond distances, can be attributed to the HS → LS transition. [Distances and angles given in the text containing s.u. values have been calculated with the program PLATON (Spek, 2001); those not containing s.u values have been calculated with DIAMOND (Pennington, 1999; Cordier, 1999) or PLUVA (Driessen et al., 1998); selected bonds and angles of teei at RT and 90 K are given in Table 5.] Because a restrained RR was applied in all cases, bond distances and angles values should be considered as approximate and not absolute.

Fig. 7(a) shows a superposition of the refined structures of teei at 300 and 90 K. The molecular structure, with the numbering of the non-H atoms in the asymmetric unit, is shown in Fig. 7(b). From the superposition of the structures it is concluded that, apart from the shortening of the Fe–N bond distances, the tetrazole rings remain almost parallel to their initial orientation. In addition, the I atoms remain almost in their initial positions.

Like teec, teei is packed in layers along the unit-cell axes (Figs. 8 and 9). The differences between the structures at 300 and 90 K are much smaller than the corresponding differences in the teec complex (Dova, 2003). The distance between the structural layers is ~2 Å at RT and slightly shorter at 90 K, and atoms involved in the closest contacts between the layers are I and H atoms. Two interlayer I–H–C hydrogen bonds, almost parallel to the a axis, are suggested by PLATON: one at RT and another at 90 K (Table 6). The I1–H3c2–C3c hydrogen bond seems to become weaker at 90 K, while the I3–H3b1–C3b interaction becomes stronger. Possible intermolecular N–H–C hydrogen bonds are also listed in Table 6. From the N–H distances it can be concluded that more hydrogen-bond stabilization exists at RT than at 90 K.

4.4.2. Teeb. In view of the quality of the crystal structure determination of teeb, only the main structural features will be discussed. As in all previous complexes, the Fe atom in the centrosymmetric teeb complex is at a special position and is octahedrally coordinated by the neighbouring N atoms. The Fe–N bond distances and angles at both temperatures are listed in Table 5. The average Fe–N bond shrinkage is 8%, which is comparable to the shrinkage observed in [Fe(teec)6](ClO4)2 (Dova, 2003) and smaller than that...
observed for \textit{teei}. The Fe—N distances at 300 K are typical of the HS state, while the Fe—N4a and Fe—N4c at 90 K are slightly higher than the typical distances of the LS state.

In Fig. 10(a), the refined structures of \textit{teeb} at 300 and 90 K are superimposed. The molecular structure, with the numbering of the non-H atoms of the asymmetric unit, is shown in Fig. 10(b). Like \textit{teec} and \textit{teei}, \textit{teeb} is arranged in layers along the $b$ and $c$ axes, almost perpendicular to the $a$ axis. The interlayer distance is approximately 2 Å (taking into account only the Br-atom positions). The C—Br bonds are arranged almost parallel to the $bc$ plane. The BF$_4$ moieties are arranged in layers, with the $x$ coordinate of B close to 0.

\subsubsection*{4.4.3. Spin-crossover behaviour}

In both \textit{teei} and \textit{teeb}, the SCO is gradual and complete. From the superposition of the

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{structure.png}
\caption{The structure of [Fe(teei)$_3$(BF$_4$)$_2$] at (a), (b) RT and (c), (d) 90 K showing the layers formed along the $b$ and $c$ axis [(a), (c) and (b), (d), respectively], perpendicular to the $a$ axis.}
\end{figure}
structures at RT and 90 K it is concluded that, apart from the Fe—N bond contraction, the orientations of the tetrazole rings have hardly changed, being almost parallel at both temperatures. These gradual, smooth and small structural changes can also be inferred from the diffraction patterns of the scan measurements, in which no obvious appearance of new peaks or fading of existing peaks could be detected. Comparing the scan and the long-term measurements of teei at the same temperature, the only clear difference was an overall lower sharpness of the intensity peaks in the scan measurements; this difference may have been caused by the extensive grinding of that particular sample. From the above, it seems that, at least at the time scale of the performed experiments, the formation of distinct domains with similar spins, large enough to give a distinguishable diffraction pattern, does not occur in teei and teeb; their SCO behaviour seems to be more consistent with the regular solution theory, according to which both the electronic and the structural changes evolve gradually and smoothly.

SCO behaviour (gradual, complete single-step) and structural characteristics (P2_1/c) similar to that of teei and teeb have been found in, amongst others, Fe(PM-AzA)_2(NCS)_2 [AzA is 4-(phenylazo)aniline and PM is N-2'-pyridylmethylene; Guionneau et al., 1999]. This compound also exhibits a lattice contraction when the temperature is lowered, the Fe—N bond contraction ranging from Fe—N(CS) = 0.11 Å to Fe—N(phenyl) = 0.28 Å (mean 0.19 Å). In contrast to the situation in teei and teeb, the Fe^{II} atom is at a general position and the

---

**Figure 9**
A view of the structure of [Fe(teei)_6](BF_4)_2 at (a) RT and (b) 90 K along the a axis; within these layers the Fe and B atoms exhibit a pseudo-trigonal symmetry.

**Figure 10**
(a) The refined structures at 300 (dark grey) and 90 K (light grey) superimposed. (b) The molecular crystal structure of [Fe(teeb)_6](BF_4)_2 at 300 K showing the numbering scheme.
Fe–N sixfold coordination is more asymmetric, but these differences do not seem decisive for a change in SCO be-
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