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Differential effects of leflunomide and methotrexate on cytokine production in rheumatoid arthritis

M C Kraan, T J M Smeets, M J van Loon, F C Breedveld, B A C Dijkmans, P P Tak

Background: T cells have a pivotal role in RA. Leflunomide inhibits pyrimidine biosynthesis, to which T cells are especially susceptible, and therefore may have a different cytokine profile than methotrexate.

Materials and methods: Serum samples of 100 patients with RA, treated with leflunomide (n = 50) or methotrexate (n = 50), were collected at baseline, after 16 weeks and after 1 year’s treatment. Serum levels of interleukin 6 (IL6), and interferon (IFN) γ were determined by ELISA. Additionally, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) of five healthy volunteers and three patients with RA were isolated and the effects of the active metabolite of leflunomide (A77-1726, 0–200 mmol/l) on cell proliferation and on IL6 and IFNγ production were determined by ELISA. In peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL) and monocytes (PBM) from two healthy volunteers the effects of A77-1726 on IL6 production were measured by ELISA and PCR.

Results: Mean (SEM) serum levels of IFNγ were significantly reduced after leflunomide treatment (baseline 43 (10) pg/ml; 1 year 29 (7) (p = 0.015), but there was no change in IL6 levels (baseline 158 (41), 1 year 151 (48)). Both IFNγ and IL6 levels were significantly reduced after methotrexate treatment. This observation was supported by in vitro experiments. The production of IFNγ by PBL was inhibited by A77-1726, but IL6 production by PBM was not inhibited.

Conclusion: The differential effect on IFNγ and IL6 production supports the hypothesis that activated T cells are preferentially inhibited by leflunomide. An explanation may be either inhibition of uridine synthesis or effects on signal transduction pathways.

Leflunomide inhibits both synovial inflammation and joint destruction in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). In vivo, leflunomide acts as a pro-drug and is quickly metabolised into the active metabolite A77-1726 in the gut wall and liver. Most of the in vitro pharmacodynamic studies have, therefore, been conducted with the active metabolite A77-1726 rather than with leflunomide.

The mechanism of action has been described in three excellent reviews. In summary, at least two modes of action of leflunomide have been documented: inhibition of dihydro-orotate dehydrogenase (DHODH), by which leflunomide influences the de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis, and interaction with primary and secondary signalling events.

The main target of leflunomide seems to be pyrimidine biosynthesis, because leflunomide shows high affinity binding to DHODH, and, even at low concentrations, inhibits the enzyme. DHODH is essential for the de novo synthesis of uridine monophosphate (UMP), a precursor of pyrimidine nucleotides. Resting lymphocytes have low levels of DHODH and mainly use a salvage pathway for UMP to sustain survival. Activation of lymphocytes gives a seven- to eightfold increased demand for UMP, which makes these cells susceptible to DHODH inhibition by leflunomide in the absence of a salvage pathway. DHODH inhibition decreases UMP levels, decreases DNA and RNA synthesis and, consequently, inhibits cell proliferation and G1 phase cell cycle arrest. Other cells are less affected by DHODH because of the use of a salvage pathway. Another argument supporting the proposed inhibitory effects of leflunomide on T cells by DHODH inhibition is the reversal of the observed effects by exogenous uridine in vitro. Further support is found in the observation that the inhibition of de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis by leflunomide is 100-fold stronger than its effects on tyrosine kinases.

Leflunomide also affects signal transduction, interferes with cell-cell contact, and inhibits tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα) induced activation of NF-kB. Moreover, studies of leflunomide have shown that it affects neutrophil chemotaxis, which cannot directly be explained by effects on purine nucleotides. Therefore, it has been suggested that the effects on pyrimidine biosynthesis are associated with low doses of leflunomide, whereas other mechanisms might be operative at higher concentrations. In registration studies on the treatment of active RA the comparator drug for leflunomide was often methotrexate. The mechanism of action of methotrexate in RA is currently not completely understood but seems to be more than an effect on purine biosynthesis, and appears to be not cell type specific. Whereas the effects of methotrexate on interleukin (IL)6 and interferon γ (IFNγ) levels have previously been demonstrated, there are no data on leflunomide. To provide insight into the beneficial effects of leflunomide in patients with RA we examined the effects of leflunomide on cytokine production by mononuclear cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In vivo study

A total of 100 (50 treated with leflunomide and 50 treated with methotrexate) patients were selected from 999 patients with RA who participated in a prospective, double blind, randomised clinical trial comparing leflunomide and methotrexate. Firstly, sites with a large number of patients participated in a prospective, double blind, randomised clinical trial comparing leflunomide and methotrexate. Firstly, sites with a large number of patients participated in a prospective, double blind, randomised clinical trial comparing leflunomide and methotrexate. Firstly, sites with a large number of patients participated in a prospective, double blind, randomised clinical trial comparing leflunomide and methotrexate. Firstly, sites with a large number of patients participated in a prospective, double blind, randomised clinical trial comparing leflunomide and methotrexate.

Abbreviations: CRP, C reactive protein; DAS, disease activity score; DHODH, dihydro-orotate dehydrogenase; ELISA, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; IFN, interferon; IL, interleukin; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; mAb, monoclonal antibody; PBL, peripheral blood lymphocytes; PBM, peripheral blood monocytes; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PHA, phytohaemagglutinin; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; UMP, uridine monophosphate
enrolled were selected to minimise variance in sampling, processing, and sending to a centralised laboratory. Samples were stored at −80°C and shipped to our centre for analysis 4–5 years after sampling. No data are available on stability, but all samples were subject to the same conditions. As a result the serum samples of six study sites were used. For all 100 patients (50 leflunomide, 50 methotrexate) serum samples from baseline, after 4 months, and after 1 year were available and tested. Clinical variables included the disease activity score (DAS) and C reactive protein (CRP), measured at the same times. Patients were treated with either leflunomide 20 mg/day after a loading dose of 100 mg/day for the first 3 days or methotrexate 15 mg/week (initial dose 7.5 mg/week, increased to 10 mg/week 4 weeks after baseline, and increased to 15 mg/week 8 weeks after baseline). At baseline all disease modifying antirheumatic drugs were washed out and only concomitant non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug and low dose prednisone (<10 mg/day) treatment was allowed.

Measurement of cytokine production in patients with RA
The in vivo production of IL6 was measured by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using a monoclonal anti-human IL6 antibody (R&D catalogue No MAB206), and IFNγ was measured by ELISA using a monoclonal antihuman IFNγ antibody (R&D catalogue No DIF50). For both assays the manufacturer’s specifications were used.

In vitro experiments
To investigate the observations made in vivo in more detail we tested the effects of methotrexate and A77-1726 on peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL), and peripheral blood monocytes (PBM) in vitro. A77-1726 (the active metabolite of HWA486) obtained in powder form (kindly provided by Dr RR Bartlett, Aventis Pharma, Wiesbaden, FRG), was diluted at the appropriate concentrations (0.1–200 μmol/l, clinically relevant concentration is ±20 μmol/l).

Cells
PBMC of five healthy volunteers and three patients with RA were prepared using Ficoll density centrifugation. No major differences were found and, therefore, pooled results are presented of experiments in duplicate. In two healthy volunteers the fractions of PBL and PBM were further purified by countercurrent centrifugation; the preparations contained more than 80% PBL or PBM, and the viability was more than 95% as assessed by trypan blue exclusion.

Stimulation
Cells were stimulated with 5 μg/ml phytohaemagglutinin (PHA; Murex Diagnostics Ltd, Dartford, UK), 5 μg/ml lipopolysaccharide (LPS; Sigma, St Louis, USA), 5 μg/ml anti-CD2 monoclonal antibody (mAb) (CLB-CD2), 5 μg/ml anti-CD3 mAb Okt3 (CLB-CD3, CLB, Amsterdam, The

### Table 1: Demographics, clinical data, and cytokine measurements of the patients with RA studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Leflunomide (n = 50)</th>
<th>Methotrexate (n = 50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>4 Months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS (mg/l)</td>
<td>6.9 (0.1)</td>
<td>5.6 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/l)</td>
<td>5.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon γ (pg/ml)</td>
<td>43 (10)</td>
<td>38 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 6 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>158 (41)</td>
<td>180 (67)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are given as mean (SEM). *Patients receiving leflunomide were aged 62 (range 46–75) years, with mean (SEM) disease duration 49 (6) months; patients receiving methotrexate were aged 58 (22–74) years, with disease duration 42 (5) months.

Figure 1  (A) Incorporation of [3H]thymidine by PBMC of healthy donors and patients with RA after stimulation with LPS. Graph depicts the number of counts as measured after 24 hours of incubation in the presence of various concentrations of leflunomide (0–100 μmol/l).

(B) Production of IFNγ (pg/ml) by PBMC after 36 hours. Depicted are controls and cells stimulated with PHA in the presence of various concentrations of leflunomide (0–100 μmol/l).

(C) Production of IL6 (pg/ml) by PBMC after 8 hours. Depicted are controls and cells stimulated with PHA in the presence of various concentrations of leflunomide (0–100 μmol/l).
Netherlands), and 5 µg/ml anti-CD28 mAb 15E8 (CLB-CD28/1). The various stimuli were added to the PBMC, PBL, or PBM together with A77-1726 and tests carried out at intervals between 4 and 72 hours.

**Proliferation experiments**

Overnight, 96 well culture plates (Greiner, Alphen a/d Rijn, The Netherlands) were coated with anti-CD3 mAb or LPS. PBMC and PBL (two donors) were plated (2 x 10^5 cells/well) and incubated with or without A77-1726 for 48 hours (24 hours after initiation 20 µCi [3H]thymidine/ml, 0.05 ml/well, was added). Cells were harvested and the rate of DNA synthesis was measured. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Cytokine measurement**

Experiments were performed in 24 well tissue culture plates (1 x 10^6 cells/well). For the determination of IL6 an ELISA was used with antihuman IL6 mAb (mAb16; Department of Nephrology LUMC, Leiden, The Netherlands); sensitivity was estimated by trypan blue exclusion and a lactate dehydrogenase test (Boehringer Mannheim Cytotoxicity kit, catalogue No 1 644 793). The results obtained could not be attributed to the effects of cell death in any of the experiments. Addition of uridine together with A77-1726 at incubation abolished all observed effects of A77-1726 alone.

**Results**

**Study patients**

Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical data of the patients. All patients had active disease at baseline, as measured by the mean (SEM) DAS of 6.9 (0.1) for the leflunomide patients and 7.6 (0.1) for the methotrexate patients, with a significant reduction after 4 months, and after 1 year in both leflunomide and methotrexate treated patients (table 1). The CRP levels were significantly reduced in both leflunomide and methotrexate patients (table 1), but in line with previous results the CRP levels were significantly lower after 1 year of treatment with methotrexate (p = 0.014).

Table 2 IFNγ production (pg/ml) by PBMC of patients with RA and healthy controls at baseline and 48 hours after stimulation with PHA 5 µg/ml.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>48 Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A77-1726 (µmol/l)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Controls (n = 5)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are given as mean (SEM).

**In vivo cytokine measurements**

In vitro studies

A77-1726 completely inhibited mitogen induced proliferation of PBMC by LPS and PHA (PHA and patients with RA, control 59 650 (8835) counts (mean (SEM)), 100 µM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.06% bovine serum albumin, 0.87 U DNA taq polymerase (Perkin Elmer, Gouda, The Netherlands), and 10 pmol of each specific sense and antisense primer (Isogen Bioscience, Maarsen, The Netherlands): β2-microglobulin sense primer 5’GCAGCAGCG AATGGAAAGTC 3’, β2-microglobulin antisense primer 5’GATCCTGTATGGTTCCTG 3’, TNFα sense primer 5’ACCCGCGTAGCCATGTT 3’, TNFα antisense primer 5’AAATGAGACTTGGCCAGACT 3’.
Analysis of β2-microglobulin and IL6 mRNA levels in PHA stimulated monocytes. A constant volume of the β2-microglobulin and IL6 cellular cDNA products was mixed with graded amounts of a known concentration of pQA1 DNA (st-DNA) containing the specific sequences for the β2-microglobulin and IL6 PCR primers. PCR was performed, and the PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel and visualised after ethidium bromide staining under ultraviolet light. The concentration of st-DNA that gave an amount of PCR product equal to that of the cellular DNA β2-microglobulin or IL6 PCR products, respectively, was determined. The intensity of the bands was quantified by densitometry. The density of the st-DNA was expressed as a percentage of the total density of st-DNA and cellular cDNA. Results are presented in the absence of A77-1726, and the presence of 10 μM and 100 μM A77-1726.

Table 3  Interleukin 6 production (pg/ml) by PBMC of patients with RA and healthy controls at baseline and 24 hours after stimulation with PHA 5 μg/ml. Also given are measurements in density centrifugation isolated PBM of healthy controls 8 hours after stimulation with PHA and PBL 16 hours after stimulation with αCD3/αCD28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A77-1726 (μmol/l)</th>
<th>PBMC (unstimulated)</th>
<th>PBMC (stimulated with PHA)</th>
<th>PBM (stimulated with PHA)</th>
<th>PBL (stimulated with αCD3/αCD28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Controls (n = 5)</td>
<td>Patients with RA (n = 3)</td>
<td>Patients with RA (n = 3)</td>
<td>Controls (n = 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>110 (111)</td>
<td>13967 (4937)</td>
<td>24306 (3761)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>183 (97)</td>
<td>12033 (3134)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>15067 (3162)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>175 (175)</td>
<td>14600 (2872)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>198 (53)</td>
<td>12633 (4513)</td>
<td>25325 (2118)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>133 (83)</td>
<td>21300 (4198)</td>
<td>2110 (340)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are given as mean (SEM).
ND, not done.
A77-1726 1744 (661); p<0.05) (fig 1A) in a dose dependent fashion in both patients with RA and healthy volunteers.

A77-1726 reduced IFNγ production by PBMC after stimulation with PHA in a dose dependent way in healthy controls (control 18 549 (2772) pg/ml, 100 μM A77-1726 6810 (2001) pg/ml; p<0.05) (table 2, fig 1B) and patients with RA (control 4484 (120) pg/ml, 100 μM A77-1726 1888 (32) pg/ml; p<0.05). Stimulation with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 resulted in comparable results (fig 1B). In PBL there was also a dose dependent inhibition (control 33 020 pg/ml, 100 μM A77-1726 6550 pg/ml). PBMC were not tested for IFNγ production.

Consistent with the in vivo data we observed no effect of A77-1726 on production of IL6 by PBMC after stimulation with PHA (control 13 967 (4937) pg/ml (mean (SEM)), 100 μM A77-1726 21 300 (4198) pg/ml (fig 1C)). Stimulation with LPS resulted in comparable results (fig 1C). In addition, A77-1726 did not affect IL6 gene expression or protein production by PBMC (baseline 24 306 (3761) pg/ml, 100 μM A77-1726 57 203 (18 221) pg/ml) (fig 2). As expected, IL6 production by PBL was very low at baseline and did not change in the presence of A77-1726 (table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

We observed inhibition of IFNγ but not of IL6 in patients with RA treated with leflunomide and, as previously described, inhibition of IL6 and IFNγ by methotrexate. Stimulation of PBMC, PBL, and PB confirmed the lack of effect on IL6 production with a dose dependent inhibition of IFNγ production and proliferation by A77-1726.9–12 An understanding of the mechanism of action of new immunomodulating drugs, in the treatment of RA can be obtained from data on in vitro and in vivo cytokine production, which can provide vital information on the targets of the investigated substance.13 As cytokines may reflect differential pharmacodynamic effects of leflunomide and methotrexate on inflammation we focused on the T cell related cytokine IFNγ and the macrophage related cytokine IL6.

Serum IL6 levels of patients with RA have been associated with disease outcome,22 but failed to correlate with disease activity in patients with RA treated with methotrexate,23 as demonstrated in our study. During phase II studies with leflunomide a remarkable observation was the significant clinical improvement with only modest changes in erythrocyte sedimentation rate and CRP, especially when compared with methotrexate. This relative lack of change in acute phase response after leflunomide treatment is consistent with a limited effect on IL6 production and a more T cell directed mechanism of action.24

The T cell derived cytokine IFNγ is also produced by natural killer cells (NK cells) and is involved in nearly all phases of inflammation and in the regulation of inflammatory responses. It has effects on macrophage, B cell, and neutrophil function. The inhibition of IFNγ, as seen in this study, might be the result of inhibition of DHODH, which impairs T cell function with, as secondary effect, inhibition of monocyte/macrophage function. This is supported by the inhibition which occurs at concentrations of active metabolite present in patients with RA.1 The effects of leflunomide on IFNγ production shown in this study confirm and extend previous work in animal models of arthritis.25 Leflunomide has also been shown to interfere with IFNγ induced inducible nitric oxide synthase activation and nitric oxide production in fibroblast,26 probably through the MEK/MAP pathway.26 Unfortunately, we could not directly compare in vitro and in vivo use of methotrexate owing to technical problems. However, the limited reports available suggest that the results in an vitro model are comparable with those we observed in vivo.24,25 Within this context it is important to mention that the effects of methotrexate as purine antagonist are probably limited,26 and the beneficial effects are more likely to be mediated through adenosine.27–29 We observed a clear effect of leflunomide on the proliferation of PBMC without signs of cell death. Other authors have demonstrated that T cells are inhibited by leflunomide in the G1-S phase. Previously, these phenomena were attributed to an inhibitory effect on phosphorylation of tyrosine kinases, resulting in interference with signalling events. More recent data point strongly towards inhibition of the enzyme DHODH, resulting in a negative effect on pyrimidine biosynthesis and antiproliferative effects. An overview of all the data available suggests that the inhibitory effects of leflunomide are due to a combination of both inhibition of pyrimidine biosynthesis and interference with signalling events.9 The relative contribution of each mechanism of action might be dependent on the concentrations of the drug.25

In conclusion, we observed a differential effect on cytokine production by leflunomide with a significant inhibition of IFNγ production with unchanged IL6 levels. This observation supports the hypothesis that leflunomide preferentially affects activated T cells. It also supports the clinical observation of different pharmacodynamic profiles for methotrexate and leflunomide.2
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