



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Ultra-high energy neutrino simulations

Colnard, C.M.M.

**Publication date**

2009

**Document Version**

Final published version

[Link to publication](#)

**Citation for published version (APA):**

Colnard, C. M. M. (2009). *Ultra-high energy neutrino simulations*.

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

# List of Figures

1	The measured cosmic ray spectrum between $10^{13}$ and $10^{21}$ eV. . . .	3
2	A Hillas plot. . . . .	4
3	The measured cosmic ray energy spectrum between $10^{18}$ eV and $10^{21}$ eV . . . . .	6
1.1	The ANTARES collaboration . . . . .	12
1.2	The Cherenkov effect. . . . .	14
1.3	Sketch of the ANTARES telescope. . . . .	16
1.4	A storey of the ANTARES telescope. . . . .	18
1.5	The ANTARES optical module (OM). . . . .	19
1.6	The Prototype Sector Line (PSL). . . . .	22
1.7	The Mini Instrumentation Line (MIL). . . . .	23
1.8	The Mini Instrumentation Line with one Optical Module (MILOM). . . . .	25
1.9	Downward-going muon event reconstructed using Line 1. . . . .	26
1.10	Sensitivity to point-like neutrino sources with ANTARES 5-Line data. . . . .	27
2.1	Distribution of measured time differences from OMs of the MILOM and the Led Beacon used as reference. . . . .	36
2.2	Raw and Integrated TVC spectra. . . . .	37
2.3	<i>Correlations between ARS time stamp (TS) and Time to Voltage Converter (TVC). . . . .</i>	38
2.4	Time stability of the dynamic ranges of the ARS TVC. . . . .	39
2.5	<i>Diagram showing the data flow between the different modules of PhAntOM. . . . .</i>	40
2.6	<i>Sample picture of the ANTARES Histogram Presenter window. . . . .</i>	42
3.1	Neutrino (or anti-neutrino) deep inelastic scattering (DIS) event . . . . .	48
3.2	<i>Density profile of the Earth as a function of the distance from the center of the Earth. . . . .</i>	49
3.3	Upward-going muon neutrino energy spectrum simulated with GENHEN. . . . .	53
3.4	Telescope geometry as defined in GENHEN. . . . .	55
3.5	Upward-going muon neutrino energy spectrum generated using ANIS. . . . .	60

*LIST OF FIGURES*

3.6	Differential neutrino-nucleon cross sections used by ANIS . . . . .	62
3.7	Telescope geometry as defined in ANIS. . . . .	63
3.8	Telescope geometry as defined in MMC. . . . .	66
3.9	Comparison of the lepton propagators MMC and MUM. . . . .	67
3.10	Comparison of the CTEQ6 and the parametrization used in ANIS for neutrino-nucleon cross sections. . . . .	68
3.11	Comparison of upward-going muon neutrino rates simulated with GENHEN and ANIS, assuming a diffuse AGN-like spectrum. . . . .	70
3.12	Comparison of atmospheric muon neutrino rates simulated with GENHEN and ANIS. . . . .	71
4.1	Model predictions and measurements of the group velocity of light in sea water at the ANTARES site . . . . .	80
4.2	Representative geometry for tracking the photons in SIRENE. . . . .	82
4.3	Coordinates used to describe the detection of Cherenkov light in SIRENE. . . . .	83
4.4	Distribution of the time residuals simulated with SIRENE. . . . .	86
4.5	Distribution of the number of detected Cherenkov photons, simu- lated with SIRENE. . . . .	89
4.6	Distribution of the number of detected Cherenkov photons from electromagnetic showers and muons as a function of the muon en- ergy. . . . .	90
4.7	Distribution of the time residuals between the digitized signal (sim- ulated with SIRENE) and the predicted hit time. . . . .	91
4.8	Comparison of the muon energy distributions simulated with SIRENE and KM3. . . . .	93
4.9	Comparison of the time residual distributions simulated with SIRENE and KM3. . . . .	94
4.10	Distribution of the reconstruction error on the direction of the muon determined using AartStrategy. . . . .	102
4.11	Distribution of the reconstructed error on the position using Aart- Strategy. . . . .	103
4.12	Distribution of the reconstructed error on the muon direction using AartStrategy . . . . .	104
4.13	Distribution of the reconstructed error on the muon direction using Scanfit for events simulated with SIRENE. . . . .	106
4.14	Distribution of the reconstructed muon energy as a function of the true energy for events simulated with SIRENE. . . . .	107
5.1	Distribution of the cosine of the zenith angle for high energy events simulated with ANIS. . . . .	111

*LIST OF FIGURES*

5.2 Energy distribution of downward-going ultra-high energy muons simulated using ANIS and atmospheric muons simulated with MUPAGE. . . . . 112

5.3 Distribution of the cosine of the zenith angle for atmospheric muons simulated with MUPAGE. . . . . 113

5.4 Distribution of the total charge of the hits as a function of the true muon energy for downward-going ultra high energy events simulated with SIRENE. . . . . 115

5.5 Energy distribution at the trigger level of ultra-high energy muons simulated with SIRENE and atmospheric muons simulated with MUPAGE. . . . . 117

5.6 Distribution of the total charge of the hits as a function of the muon zenith angle for downward-going ultra high energy muons simulated with SIRENE and atmospheric muons simulated with MUPAGE. . . . . 119

5.7 Distribution of the cosine of the zenith angle for downward-going ultra high energy muons and atmospheric muons at the trigger level. 120

5.8 Distribution of the total charge of the hits induced by downward-going high energy muons and atmospheric muons at the trigger level. . . . . 120

5.9 Distributions of the cosine of the zenith angle and the total charge of the hits for downward-going ultra high energy muons and atmospheric muons after applying cuts on the muon direction and the estimated total charge of the hits. . . . . 121

5.10 Integrated distribution of the cosine of the zenith angle for the flux shown in Figure 5.9. . . . . 123

5.11 Integrated distribution of the estimated charge of the hits for the flux shown in Figure 5.9. . . . . 124

5.12 Model rejection factor for the diffuse flux of downward-going UHE neutrinos as a function of the muon zenith angle and total charge cut variables. . . . . 125

5.13 Distributions of the cosine of the zenith angle and the total charge of the hits for downward-going ultra high energy muons and atmospheric muons, using optimised cuts. . . . . 126

5.14 Comparison of the ANTARES upper limits at ultra high energies with various experimental bounds on diffuse muon neutrino fluxes. 128

5.15 Muon neutrino effective areas for UHE events in ANTARES. . . . . 130

B.1 Final energy distribution of 100 TeV muons propagated through 300 meters of ANTARES Water, simulated with MMC. . . . . 143

B.2 Same as the right part of Figure B.1 but using the continuous randomisation option. . . . . 144

*LIST OF FIGURES*

C.1 Schematic overview of the classes of the detector simulation program SIRENE. . . . . 146