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Abstract

Part of a large male woolly mammoth (Mammuthus primigenius) was preserved in permafrost in northern Yakutia. It was radiocarbon dated to ca. 18,500 14C yr BP (ca. 22,500 cal yr BP). Dung from the lower intestine was subjected to a multiproxy array of microscopic, chemical, and molecular techniques to reconstruct the diet, the season of death, and the paleoenvironment. Pollen and plant macro-remains showed that grasses and sedges were the main food, with considerable amounts of dwarf willow twigs and a variety of herbs and mosses. Analyses of 110-bp fragments of the plastid rbcL gene amplified from DNA and of organic compounds supplemented the microscopic identifications. Fruit-bodies of dung-inhabiting Ascomycete fungi which develop after at least one week of exposure to air were found inside the intestine. Therefore the mammoth had eaten dung. It was probably mammoth dung as no bile acids were detected among the fecal biomarkers analysed. The plant assemblage and the presence of the first spring vessels of terminal tree-rings of dwarf willows indicated that the animal died in early spring. The mammoth lived in extensive cold treeless grassland vegetation interspersed with wetter, more productive meadows. The study demonstrated the paleoecological potential of several biochemical analytical techniques.

© 2008 University of Washington. All rights reserved.
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Introduction

Woolly mammoths, Mammuthus primigenius (Blumenbach, 1799), roamed unglaciated Siberia during the last glacial period and are occasionally preserved in permafrost. In 2002 the head, front legs and parts of the stomach and intestinal tract of the Yukagir Mammoth (YM) were discovered in the permafrost on the steep side of an oxbow lake near the Maxunukha River in northern Yakutia (Sakha Republic), Russia (GPS 71° 52’ 988” North – 140° 34’ 873” East; Fig. 1). Five radiocarbon dates of the YM are available (Table 1). The average of the measurement calibrates into ca. 22,500 cal yr BP (Mol et al., 2005, 2006a). The remains of the YM are stored in the collection of the Mammoth Museum in Yakutsk, the capital of the Sakha Republic (Yakutia). The museum forms part of the Institute of...
The head of the YM is extremely well preserved (Fig. 2, 1) compared to the other parts of the carcass; almost the entire head, including the mandible, is still covered with thick skin and the mouth is closed. Consequently, the molars in the upper and lower jaws are not exposed, and it is not possible at this stage to inspect the molars without inflicting damage. Computed tomography scans, made in Japan, indicate that the last molars, m3/M3 left and right are still in place but they are heavily worn. The pelvic girdle could have provided confirmation of the gender but it was not preserved. Based on the size and the curvature of the tusks, however, the YM could be identified as a male individual (D.C. Fisher, Michigan, USA, personal communication).

The YM was average-sized for a male woolly mammoth. It had large spirally twisted tusks, typical of an old individual. Based on the measurements of the entire left front leg in anatomical position, the shoulder height is estimated to have been 272 cm, including 13 cm added for missing soft tissue at the shoulder. However, based on combined data from the entire left front leg and the forefoot circumference, the estimated shoulder height is 283 cm. From the estimated height and data from the humerus the estimated weight of the YM is between 4 and 5 tons (Mol et al., 2007; Shoshani and Mol, 2007).

The YM’s last meal was preserved in its intestinal tract. The habitats of ice-age mammoths and other herbivores are broadly known from vegetation reconstructions from preserved gut contents and dung with complementary information coming from plant macrofossils and pollen from sediments (Solonevich et al., 1977; Ukraintseva, 1979; Gorlova, 1982a,b; Ager, 2003; Liu and Li, 1984; Davis et al., 1985; Mead et al., 1986; Ukraintseva, 1993; Vasil’chuk et al., 1997; Kienast et al., 2001, 2005; Zazula et al., 2003, 2006a,b; Sher et al., 2005; Mol et al., 2006b; Kienast, 2007;
Figure 2. 1: Head of the Yukagir Mammoth (YM); 2: Dung from the intestine of the YM showing Salix twigs.; 3–11: pollen. 3: Poaceae; 4: Artemisia; 5: Caryophyllaceae; 6: Polemonium; 7: Polygonum persicaria type; 8: Armeria type; 9: Asteraceae tubuliflorae; 10: Asteraceae liguliflorae; 11: Epipactis; 12: Salix twigs; 13: thin Salix twigs with axillary buds; 14: Salix fruits; 15: Salix leaf remains.
Drescher-Schneider et al., 2007). Additional information about climate, environment and diets is based on stable isotope studies of mammoth tusks, teeth and hairs and bones of Pleistocene herbivores and carnivores (Jacumin et al., 2006; Rountrey et al., 2007; Tütken et al., 2007; Fox-Dobbs et al., in press). It has been proposed that Beringia, the vast east-Siberian west-Alaskan area linked by the Bering Land Bridge, was vegetated by an extinct boreal forest with a mix of coniferous and deciduous trees. Studies of pollen, seeds, and vegetative plant remains have provided evidence of vegetation dynamics and environmental changes in this region (Elias et al., 1996; Kienast et al., 2001, 2005; Yurtsev, 2001; Goetcheus and Birks, 2001; Zazula et al., 2006b).

Several recent studies show that DNA extraction and amplification from paleofeces is a promising new tool for dietary analysis of extinct mammals (Hofreiter et al., 2000, 2003; Kuch et al., 2002). Sequences of plastid DNA can supplement information from pollen and macrofossils and have the potential to provide more detailed information in cases where plant remains cannot be identified using morphological characteristics due to drastic modification by masticatory and digestive processes, or due to an unspecific seed or pollen morphology. Amplification of relatively short DNA fragments can result in detection of plants missed by conventional detection methods (Rollo et al., 2002; Willerslev et al., 2003). DNA analysis of mammoth dung can thus provide a more diverse picture of dietary habits of extinct animals and also improve our understanding of their ecology (Hofreiter et al., 2003). Investigation of the lipid and macromolecular components extant within the organic fraction of the dung material can also enable inferences to be drawn about the botanical composition (since these components will be strongly influenced by the composition of epicuticular waxes and structural biopolymers derived from the dietary vegetation) as well as the effects of digestion and decomposition processes and the relative level of preservation of the remaining organic matter.

We present information about the physical condition of the YM and focus our study on the dung from its lower intestinal tract. We used an array of microscopic, chemical and molecular techniques to reconstruct the diet, season of death, and the paleoenvironment. Our results are compared with other Russian mammoth dung samples from different times during the glacial period.

Materials and methods

Osteology and botanical microscopy

The excavated bones were investigated in order to determine the physical condition of the YM. Microfossil and macrofossil samples, and also the samples for organic chemistry and ancient DNA were obtained with clean tools from the inner part of the compact lump of dung that was taken from the intestinal tract. Sub-samples for the analysis of pollen and other microfossils were prepared according to Fægri and Iversen (1989). Pollen and other microfossils were identified and counted, including fungal spores from coprophilous Ascomycetes (Aptroot and van Geel, 2006; van Geel and Aptroot, 2006). For macrofossil analysis ca. 240 ml of the dung material was gently boiled in 5% KOH and sieved (meshes 120 µm). The material was suspended in water at a petri dish and examined systematically under a Leica MZ stereomicroscope (Birks, 2001). Identifications were made with the help of literature and reference collections of pollen, seeds, and vegetative plant remains. The annual rings of the willow twigs were studied by micro cross-sections stained with 1% safranin, mounted on slides, and preserved with Aquamount (Gurr®).

Chemistry of the dung material

Sample pre-treatment

Sub-samples were air-dried at 30°C. Willow branches were separated from the rest of the dung. Part of the samples was crushed with a mortar and pestle to measure organic carbon (OC) and total nitrogen (Ntot) using an Elementar VarioEL.

Extraction of lipids

Whole mammoth dung samples (100–200 mg) were Soxhlet extracted using dichloromethane/methanol (DCM/MeOH) (9:1 v/v) for 24 h. The residues were air-dried and used for further analysis. The total extracts were purified and analysed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) as described by Nierop et al. (2006). Fecal biomarkers were isolated for analysis from additional dung following the methods of Bull et al. (1999b) and a modified version of the methodology proposed by Elhmmali et al. (1997) for sterol and bile acid fecal biomarkers.

Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS)

On-column GC/MS analyses were performed using a ThermoQuest Trace GC 2000 gas chromatograph equipped with a 30 m Rtx-5Sil MS column (Restek) with an internal diameter of 0.32 mm and film thickness of 0.1 µm. Derivatised extracts (1.0 µl) in cyclohexane were injected on-column. The oven temperature was programmed from 100°C (isothermal for 2 min) to 130°C at 20°C/min and from 130°C to 320°C (isothermal for 20 min) at 4°C/min. The column was coupled to a Finnigan Trace MS quadrupole mass spectrometer operating at 70 eV and 250°C, scanning the range m/z 50–650 with a cycling time of 0.65 s. Quantification of alkanes, alkanols and alkanoic acids was performed by using the corresponding deuterated standards assuming a response factor of 1.0. Fecal biomarkers were analysed using an identical instrument equipped with a 60 m ZB1 column (Phenomenex) with an internal diameter of 0.32 mm and film thickness of 0.1 µm. Derivatised extracts dissolved in hexane were introduced (1.0 µl) via a programmable temperature vapourising injector. The oven temperature was programmed from 40°C (isothermal for 2 min) to 200°C at 10°C/min and from 200°C to 300°C (isothermal for 20 min) at 5°C/min for the sterol analyses and from 40°C (isothermal for 2 min) to 230°C at 20°C/min and from 230°C to 300°C (isothermal for 20 min) at 2°C/min for the...
bile acid analyses. All compounds were quantified by GC-FID (HP 5890 Series II) using the same column and temperature programmes listed above with reference to an appropriate internal standard compound.

Pyrolysis-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry

Analytical pyrolysis consists of the thermal degradation of macromolecules in an inert atmosphere yielding low molecular weight fragments that can be subsequently analysed by GC/MS (Wampler, 1999). The product of pyrolysis, the pyrolysate, is considered to reflect the overall composition of the macromolecular fraction of the sample analysed. Pyrolysis was carried out using the method of Nierop and Verstraten (2004).

Thermally assisted Hydrolysis and Methylation (THM)

One drawback of conventional pyrolysis is that some polar compounds are not amenable to GC. To overcome this problem, in situ derivatization of the products during pyrolysis is applied to analyse these polar compounds. With Thermally assisted Hydrolysis and Methylation (THM), hydrolysable bonds are cleaved and the resulting carboxylic acid and hydroxyl groups are in situ transformed into their corresponding methyl esters and methyl ethers (e.g. Challinor, 2001). Apart from the methylation, the degradation mechanisms of macromolecules differ from conventional pyrolysis as well, and both techniques are therefore complementary. THM was carried out following the method of Nierop and Verstraten (2004).

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

Dung samples were air-dried and stored at 4°C. Aliquots of 100–200 mg were dissected with a forceps and subsequently ground to fine powder in liquid nitrogen in a grinder mill (Retch). CTAB buffer (2% CTAB, 2% PVP, 20 mM EDTA, 100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 1.42 M NaCl, 2% 2-mercaptoethanol) was added to 100 mg of powdered sample and incubated for 2 h at 65°C under agitation. DNA was extracted twice using an equal volume of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1), precipitated with 96% ice-cold ethanol and resuspended in TE buffer (contains Tris, a common pH buffer, and EDTA, a molecule chelating cations like Mg²⁺; the purpose of TE buffer is to protect DNA or RNA from degradation). The suspension was reprecipitated with 96% ethanol in the freezer for 1 h, washed twice in 76% ethanol, and the pellet was then air-dried and resuspended in TE. Subsequently, an aliquot of each extraction was further purified using the Qiagen PCR purification kit, following manufacturers instructions. The final DNA extract was eluted from the silica columns using TE buffer after a 30 min incubation. All extractions were carried out in the special ancient DNA facility of Leiden University following established protocols to avoid contamination (Cooper and Poinar, 2001) and they were partly replicated in laboratories at Amsterdam University.

Amplifications were performed in an MJ Research thermal cycler (Biozym, Oldendorf, Germany) with a 3 min activation step at 94°C, followed by 35–60 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 60 s, and 72°C for 45 s. Primers rbcLA1a and rbcL19b (Hofreiter et al., 2000) were used in 25 microliter reactions. All amplification products were cloned using pGEM-T Easy Vectors (Promega) and 3–15 clones were sequenced from each amplification. All polymerase chain reactions were carried out in laboratories in Leiden physically separated from the ancient DNA facility with some replications at laboratories of Amsterdam University and always including extraction blanks to monitor contamination. DNA sequences obtained were compared with data in the NCBI GenBank using BlastSearch. Identifications were only accepted in cases where the GenBank sequences covered all 110-bp of PCR products obtained and showed no more than a single nucleotide difference.

Results

Physical condition of the Yukagir Mammoth

The YM had backbone problems. Thoracic vertebrae IV and V showed abnormal growth, possibly as a result of an autoimmune reaction to an inflammation somewhere else in the body. Only the thor-old shaped extremities of the two subsequent thoracic vertebrae have been retrieved; these were naturally cut off just above the neural canal and were strongly deformed, showing some pus channels. The available vertebrae before and after these pathologically modified specimens were in good condition. The YM suffered a form of spondylarthropathy (also known as ankylosing spondylitis, or rheumatoid spondylitis) in the 4th and 5th thoracic vertebrae. Unfortunately the pelvis bone and the sacrum bone are missing. Generally this disease shows most clearly in the joint between these two bones. Spondylarthropathy includes a group of inflammatory diseases comprising Reiter’s syndrome, reactive arthritis, psoriatic arthritis and arthritis associated with inflammatory bowel disease. The bony outgrowths found on the vertebrae of affected individuals are called syndesmophytes (François et al., 1995). These are slim, bony outgrowths, parallel to the vertebral column, which replace the outer parts of the annulus fibrosus (part of the intervertebral disc) and the shorter and longer pervertebral ligaments, thus leading to an intervertebral bridge by means of complex processes involving ossification. The syndesmophytes can be distinguished from the vertical and chunky osteophytes (bone spurs) in degenerative vertebral disease, and the often bizarre new bone formation is associated with primary bacterial infections. The abnormal bony outgrowths on two thoracic vertebrae of the YM resemble the syndesmophytes usually found in spondylarthropathy in man and other mammals (Rothschild and Rothschild, 1994; Kompanje, 1999; Kompanje et al., 2000). A diagnosis of reactive spondylarthropathy, most probably associated with inflammatory bowel disease seems plausible in this case. The inflammations would have caused pain, especially in the early stages of abnormal bone growth, but this was most likely not related to death. The event or condition triggering this growth might have occurred several years earlier. Further study of structural and compositional variations in the growth pattern of the tusk of the YM by D.C. Fisher may show further evidence for this. Mol et al. (2003) reported a similar case of abnormal bony outgrowths on vertebrae of the Markel mammoth.
Botanical microfossils, macro-remains and ancient DNA

Pollen in the dung probably integrates several years of vegetation, being ingested from pollen deposited on plants, soil, and in water, as well as from the primary source, flowers. The pollen assemblage (Table 2; Fig. 2, 3-11) shows a typically biased taxa distribution, with a dominance of abundantly produced wind-dispersed pollen derived from Poaceae and Artemisia and much smaller amounts of insect-transported pollen from herbs such as Armeria, Polemonium, Caryophyllaceae, and Asteraceae. The absence of tree pollen shows that the vegetation was treeless throughout a wide region. Similar assemblages were recorded from the Taimyr peninsula (Andreev et al., 2003; Mol et al., 2006b), and from Bykovsky Peninsula near the Laptev Sea Coast.

Table 2
Percentages of pollen and spores in the colon contents of the Yukagir Mammoth

Angiosperms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Genus/species</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apiaceae</td>
<td>Indet</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchidaceae</td>
<td>Epipactis</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Armeria</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbaginaceae</td>
<td>Armeria type</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caryophyllaceae</td>
<td>Polygonum persicaria type</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caryophyllaceae</td>
<td>Rumex acetosella type</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primulaceae</td>
<td>cf. Androsace</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygonaceae</td>
<td>Polymesium</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Indet</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamiales</td>
<td>Plantaginaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liliales</td>
<td>Liliaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpigiales</td>
<td>Salicaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poales</td>
<td>Indet</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranunculaceae</td>
<td>Papaver</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosales</td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentilla type</td>
<td>cf. Rubus chamaemorus</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanguisorba officinalis</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pollensum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1914</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fungi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Genus/species</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sordariales</td>
<td>Lasiophaeariaceae</td>
<td>Cerophora type</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sordariales</td>
<td>Sordaria type</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleosporales</td>
<td>Sporormiaceae</td>
<td>Sporormiella type</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Algae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zygnematales</td>
<td>Zygnemataceae</td>
<td>Spirogyra</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphaeropleales</td>
<td>Hydrodictyaceae</td>
<td>Pediastrum</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Macrofossils in the colon contents of the Yukagir Mammoth

Angiosperms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Genus/species</th>
<th>Remains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asterales</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Achillea/Petasites?</td>
<td>fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brassicales</td>
<td>Brassicaceae</td>
<td>Draba sp.</td>
<td>seeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caryophyllales</td>
<td>Caryophyllaceae</td>
<td>Sagina intermedia type</td>
<td>seeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caryophyllaceae</td>
<td>Indet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ericales</td>
<td>Primulaceae</td>
<td>Lysimachia sp.</td>
<td>seed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpigiales</td>
<td>Salicaceae</td>
<td>Salix cf. arctica</td>
<td>fruits,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Salix sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poales</td>
<td>Cyperaceae</td>
<td>Carex dioica type</td>
<td>fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C. sp. trigonous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C. nardina type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cf. Kobresia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cf. Agrotris sp.</td>
<td>fruits,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glyceria sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hordeum sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poa cf. arctica</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranunculaceae</td>
<td>Papaveraceae</td>
<td>Papaver sect. Scapifora</td>
<td>seeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Caltha palustris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ranunculus cf. nivalis</td>
<td>fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ranunculus cf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pygmaeae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosales</td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td>Potentilla sp.</td>
<td>seeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. hyparctica type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bryophytes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Genus/species</th>
<th>Remains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bryales</td>
<td>Bryaceae</td>
<td>Bryum sp.</td>
<td>plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypnales</td>
<td>Amblystegiaceae</td>
<td>Drepanocladus adelans</td>
<td>plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entodontaceae</td>
<td>Entodon concinnus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polytrichaceae</td>
<td>Polytrichium alpinum</td>
<td>plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pottiales</td>
<td>Pottiaceae</td>
<td>plant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fungi (ascomata with spores)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Genus/species</th>
<th>Remains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pleosporales</td>
<td>Lophiosistomataceae</td>
<td>Lophiosista corticolum</td>
<td>spores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleosporaceae</td>
<td>Pleospora herbarum</td>
<td>Pleospora sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporormiaceae</td>
<td>Sporormiella sp.</td>
<td>Sporormiella sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sordariomycetes</td>
<td>Magnaporthaceae</td>
<td>Pseudohaloneinctria lignicola</td>
<td>spores</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Sher et al., 2005). The inference of a ‘mammoth steppe’ biome was made from just such pollen assemblages (Guthrie, 1990).

The botanical macrofossils consisted of mostly well-preserved plant material, with fruits and seeds and moss remains of a variety of taxa (Table 3 and Figs. 2–4). The spectrum and abundance of taxa are strongly influenced by the food preferences of the mammoth during his last meal. Twigs of willows (Salix sp.) formed an important component of the meal (Fig. 2, 12). A certain identification of the species of the willow remains was not possible, but it was evident that small-leaved dwarf species had been consumed. The willow twigs were generally 1–2 (<4) mm
Figure 3. 16: Axillary bud of Salix with primordium inside; 17: Cross-section of a twig of Salix cf. arctica. The terminal tree-ring is incomplete, showing early-wood vessels formed in the beginning of the growing season, while the latewood is missing, indicating that the twig was browsed in early spring; 18. Salix epidermis; 19. Salix epidermis with stoma; 20: epidermis of Poaceae; 21: stems of Poaceae; 22: seed of Poa cf. arctica; 23: seed of Agrostis sp.; 24: seeds of Hordeum sp.; 25: epidermis of Cyperaceae; 26a–c: Carex bicolor type; 27: Carex nardina type; 28: Carex dioica type; 29: cf. Kobresia; 30: Papaver sect. Scapiflora; 31: Chenopodiaceae spp; 32: Ranunculus cf. nivalis; 33: Caltha palustris; 34: Sagina intermedia type; 35: Rumex sp.; 36: Rumex cf. acetosella; 37: Potentilla; 38: Brassicaceae (Draba); 39: cf. Asteraceae (Achillea or Petasites?).
in diameter, and up to 7.5 cm in length. Thicker twigs had more than 20 annual rings. The tree-ring structure and the diffusion, number, and size of the early wood vessels were compared to twigs from modern dwarf willow species and the morphological characteristics point to *Salix* *cf.* *arctica*. The growth rate of the willows is low compared to modern material of *S. arctica* from Northeast Greenland, indicating a harsher environment for the vegetation where the YM browsed. We studied nine twigs from the intestinal tract of the YM. The annual growth rate of twigs with 5 to ca. 25 rings (average number of annual rings: 13.1) is ca. 0.09 mm/year (standard deviation: 0.0264). The annual growth rate of recent material of *S. arctica* from Northeast Greenland is ca. 0.13 mm/year (standard deviation: 0.049; Schmidt et al., 2006), if we consider comparable material — twigs and small stems with 8–23 annual rings (average number of annual rings: 18.39, based on 23 individuals).

Thinner twigs showed scars where leaf stalks had been attached and many axillary buds were still connected to the twigs (Fig. 2, 13). In addition, fruits, many detached axillary buds, and leaves of *Salix* were recorded (Fig. 2, 14 and 15). Some buds contained well developed leaf primordia (Fig. 3, 16). The preservation of leaves was poor; in most cases only fragments of the venation were detected. Rarely were leaf cuticles preserved and these showed the characteristic *Salix* cell pattern (Fig. 3, 18–19). Stem fragments of grasses (Poaceae; Fig. 3, 20 and 21) and other monocotyledons (Fig. 3, 25) were the other major component of the dung.

Fruits and seeds of numerous monocotyledons were identified (Fig. 3, 22–24, 26–29), including *Poa* *cf.* *arctica*, *cf. Agrostis*

Figure 4. 40: unidentified stem fragments; 41: moss encrusted with soil dust; 42: calyptra; 43: moss sporangia; 44: *Polytrichum alpinum*; 45: *Entodon concinnus*; 46: *Drepanocladus aduncus*; 47: Pottiaceae.
sp., cf. Hordeum sp., Glyceria sp., Carex spp., cf. Kobresia, and Juncus. Dicotyledon herbs (Fig. 3, 30–39) included Potentilla spp. including *P. hyparctica* type, *Rumex acetosella*, *Papaver sect. Scapiflora*, Caryophyllaceae including *Sagina/Minuartia*, Brassicaceae including *Draba*, Primulaceae (*Lysimachia?*), at least three species of Chenopodiaceae, a small *Ranunculus pygmaeus* type and *R. cf. nivalis*, *Caltha palustris*, and probably Asteraceae. The remains of mosses (*Drepanocladus aduncus*, *Polytrichum alpinum*, *Entodon concinnus*, *Bryum* sp. and Pottiaceae; Fig. 4, 41–47) indicate environments ranging from moist to dry conditions.

The mammoth dung yielded ten different plant DNA sequences (Table 4). Identification was hampered by the fact that the relatively short sequences obtained usually matched data in the GenBank database of a number of different families and genera. In a few cases, though, genera and even species could be assigned tentatively. Plant sequences from seven different orders, containing eight different families and genera were found in the dung. Especially at the order and family level, molecular results overlap considerably with identifications based on macrofossils. *Salix* appeared to be common, as in the macrofossil record. Several identifications could be narrowed down to genus level, especially in the Asteraceae (*Achillea, Bellis, Leucanthemum*). The identification of *Caltha palustris* agrees with the identification of *C. palustris* seeds. Although most, but not all, of the fossil determinations are not as detailed as the plant macrofossil identifications, these results show the potential value of fossil plant DNA analyses in arctic palaeoecology.

Several complete fruit-bodies of identifiable Ascomycetes were found inside the intestinal tract of the mammoth (Aptroot and van Geel, 2006), including fruit-bodies of dung-inhabiting Ascomycetes (*Sporormiella*; see Davis, 1987; Davis et al., 1977; Davis and Shafer, 2006). These Ascomycetes develop after at least one week of exposure to the air (Krug et al., 2004). The total absence of bile acids (fecal biomarkers; see below) is a strong indication that the ingested dung material was indeed mammoth dung. Dung of other species would have resulted in the detection of bile acids. Coprophagy, which has been repeatedly reported from herbivores (Fajardo and Hornicke, 1989), including elephants (Leggett, 2004) is suggested as a purposeful behaviour reported here for mammoths. Many herbivores are coprophagous in order to obtain certain vitamins. Rats prevented from eating their feces can suffer from deficiencies of vitamin K, complex B vitamins, biotin, and other vitamins (Fajardo and Hornicke, 1989).

![Graphs of n-alkane, n-alkanol, and n-alkanoic acid distribution](image.png)
Earlier palynological studies, e.g. the study of the Jarkov Mammoth (Mol et al., 2006b; van Geel et al., 2007), have shown that spores of coprophilous fungi are common in the microfossil record of the ‘mammoth steppe’. The presence of these spores is related to the wide availability of herbivore dung as a substrate. Coprophilous fungi played an important role in nutrient cycling in the steppe environment.

Chemistry

The dung consisted of 21.3% organic carbon, indicating that the main portion of the sample was composed of mineral particles. The total nitrogen content was 1.25%, resulting in a carbon to nitrogen ratio of 17.0, which implies well decomposed material (Heal et al., 1997).

Lipids

Extractable lipids derive from the epicuticular waxes in leaves as well as waxes from roots (Walton, 1990). Figure 5 shows the n-alkane, n-alkanol, n-alkanoic acid and wax ester distribution of the dung. Even-numbered alkanes and odd-numbered alkanols were virtually absent. Of the alkanoic acids only very small traces of C_{15} and C_{17} including iso and anteiso analogues were found (not shown), which are indicative of bacterial contributions (Saito, 1960; Akashi and Saito, 1960).

n-Alkanes of the dung maximized at the C_{31} homologue. n-alkanols were largely dominated by a C_{26} component, which is a typical alkanol signature of grass species (Walton, 1990; van Bergen et al., 1997). Alkanoic acids were dominated by C_{16} and C_{18} components. Often unsaturated C_{18} alkanoic acids occur in

Figure 6. Partial gas chromatograms of sterol/triterpenol fractions isolated from (a) mammoth intestinal tract contents and (b) modern-day elephant dung. Peaks relating to fecal biomarkers are black while those corresponding to triterpenols are grey. Where appropriate trivial names are included in parentheses; bold values relate to concentration in units of µg g\(^{-1}\) dry weight.
plant-derived matter, but for the sample analysed these acids were hardly detected. Wax esters composed of an alkanoic acid and an alkanol moiety, were dominated by those combinations of which the alkanols had the same chain lengths as free alkanols. The alkanoic acids in the wax esters comprised mainly a C$_{18}$ component. As a result, the most abundant wax ester, C$_{44}$, consisted predominantly of C$_{26}$ alkanol and C$_{18}$ alkanoic acid moieties. Altogether, the lipid composition reflects typical characteristics of higher plants (Walton, 1990, van Bergen et al., 1997) with hardly any contributions from other sources.

**Fecal biomarkers**

Figure 6a depicts the distribution of sterols and triterpenols obtained from the mammoth dung. For comparative purposes an analogous analysis of modern-day elephant dung was also made and the data obtained are presented in Figure 6b. While, unlike its modern equivalent, the mammoth dung does not contain a high abundance of $\Delta^{22}$-$5\beta$-stanols (most likely these will have been lost through oxidative degradation) the data from the mammoth dung clearly show the presence of the more recalcitrant, saturated 5$\beta$-stanols. These compounds have been used previously as fecal biomarkers (Bethell et al., 1994; Bull et al., 1998, 1999b, 2002a, 2003), with a predominance of the C$_{29}$ 5$\beta$,3$\beta$- and 5$\beta$,3$\alpha$-homologues being consistent with the dung having derived from a herbivorous diet (Evershed et al., 1997; Bull et al., 1999a, 2002b). Moreover, the abundance (~ 294 $\mu$g g$^{-1}$ dry weight cf. ~ 724 $\mu$g g$^{-1}$ dry weight modern-day elephant dung) of these biomarkers attests to the remarkably good preservation exhibited by the intestinal tract contents and, at ca. 22,500 cal yr BP, this represents the oldest positive identification of fecal matter using organic geochemical methods.

Additional evidence for the herbaceous origin of the dung may be derived from the high abundance of pentacyclic triterpenoids (i.e. $\alpha$-, $\beta$-, and $\delta$-amyrin and lupeol), compounds predominantly derived from higher plant leaf waxes (Killops and Killops, 1993; van Bergen et al., 1997). These results and inferences may seem obvious in this context, but they are valuable because their context is certain. In future, the chemical fingerprints of mammoth feces can be of diagnostic value in

![Figure 7. a: Partial gas chromatogram of the pyrolysate of the dung. Legend: P = phenol; G= guaiacol; S = syringol; side-chains of P, G and S are indicated; ×: doublet of n-alkene and n-alkane ● = 1-alkanol; ♦ = alkanoic acid; C$_{n}$ indicates chain length. b: Partial gas chromatogram of the compounds released upon Thermally assisted Hydrolysis and Methylation. Legend: P = methoxbenzene; G = 1,2-dimethoxybenzene; ● = 1-methoxyalkane; ♦ = alkanoic acid, methyl ester; C$_{n}$ indicates chain length.](image-url)
determining the species in studies of permafrost (soil) material containing a dung component.

Bile acids represent another class of fecal biomarkers that may be used to assess the origin of material of a putative fecal origin (Elhammali et al., 1997, 2000). Elephants, hyraxes and manatees are unique among mammals in that they do not produce any bile acids, this role being fulfilled by a suite of tetra- and pentahydroxylated bile alcohols (Kuroki et al., 1988; Hagey et al., 1993). Results obtained from the mammoth dung revealed a total absence of bile acids indicating that this is probably a physiological anomaly also shared by mammoths although further analyses to determine the presence of bile alcohols were not conducted at this stage. Whatever fecal matter the YM ate (fungal fruit-bodies present; see above), the absence of bile acids provides significant information: it was probably purposefully ingested mammoth dung.

Pyrolysis and thermally assisted hydrolysis and methylation (THM)

The pyrolysate (Fig. 7) of the dung sample was dominated by lignin-derived guaiacols and syringols. They were dominated by 4-vinylguaiacol, typical of grasses (van Bergen et al., 1998; Nierop, 2001). Other important lignin-derived compounds included guaiacol, 4-methylguaiacol, trans 4-(propen-2-yl)guaiacol, and their syringyl analogues along with 4-vinylsyringol.

Small amounts of polysaccharide-derived products were encountered. In addition, the same lipids identified after lipid extraction/analysis were found. Upon THM, methyl esters of ω-hydroxyalkanoic acids or α,ω-alkanedioic acids were identified. These compounds are present in cutin and suberin, which represent the protective surroundings of plant tissues (e.g. Kolattukudy, 2001). This absence suggests that cuticles largely disappeared after the digestion of the plant material. However, a homologous series of n-alkanes and n-alkenes (C10–C31) were identified in the pyrolysate of the dung and these products have been attributed to the aliphatic biopolymer cutan. This biopolymer has been found in the cuticles of some plants, but may also be the result of diagenetic processes during the ‘fossilisation’ (De Leeuw et al., 2006). This latter process may explain the absence of cutin monomers while stem fragments of grasses were still present.

Willow branches present in the dung exhibited a completely different picture (data not shown). They were dominated by a typical dicotyledon lignin signature, which consists of equal amounts of guaiacols and syringols. Along with the well preserved lignin, i.e. hardly any oxidation of side-chains, the high abundance of p-coumaric acid and ferulic acid shows that the majority of the dung consisted of grasses. Leaf tissues of willow were of minor importance. This is consistent with the results of the macrofossil analysis.

Discussion and conclusions

Reconstruction of the diet of extinct herbivores based on pollen and macrofossil remains in preserved gastrointestinal tracts can be problematic for several reasons. First of all, the proportion of easily identifiable plants such as mosses and leaves of shrubs and trees with indigestible ligneous parts is often overrepresented. Secondly, pollen may provide clues about surrounding vegetation but not necessarily record what the animal was eating. Thirdly, when animals take large mouthfuls of small-sized items they can also ingest unwanted secondary material. Fourthly, herbivores dying from starvation often do so with a stomach full of indigestible food that was desperately chewed on just before death, which gives a skewed picture of the actual diet. Finally, animals often shift from their staple, representative diet when transient resources are temporarily available (Guthrie, 1990).

Paleoecologists originally portrayed the unglaciated landmasses in Siberia as a productive arctic steppe (the ‘mammoth steppe’) which could support herds of large grazers such as the woolly mammoth, steppe bison, and horse, all relying heavily on grasses for their diet (e.g. Guthrie, 1990). Later studies challenged this concept as detailed fossil pollen identifications from lake sediments included many tundra species which suggested a paleoenvironment consisting of a sparsely covered herb tundra rather than a continuous grassland steppe (Cwynar and Ritchie, 1980; Cwynar, 1982; Goetcheus and Birks, 2001). These conflicting observations of limited vegetation cover with marginal productivity versus numerous fossil remains of a diverse and abundant grazing megafauna have been a topic of heated debate (e.g. Guthrie, 2001). To answer the question of how Siberia could support large mammals during a time of extensive glaciation and limited plant productivity, detailed reconstructions of paleoenvironments have been carried out in the last decade based on pollen and botanical macrofossils from sites that also contained Pleistocene mammal fossils.

The composition of the dung of the Yukagir mammoth indicates that this animal lived in a cold, treeless vegetation with a mosaic of perennial wet areas within a largely arid steppe-tundra. The presence of remnants of willow (Salix), marsh marigold (Caltha), sedges (Carex) and rushes (Juncus) and Drepanocladus aduncus in the dung which require permanent water availability attest to the presence of damp vegetation, perhaps with streams or standing water in summer and accumulated snow in winter. Fresh water was available for drinking, as shown by the presence of the green alga Pediastrum (Table 2). Ranunculus nivalis and R. pygmaeus are characteristic of snow-bed vegetation. Damp soils would have supported productive floodplain meadows with abundant grasses, sedges, and rushes, and tall herbs such as Achillea, Petasites, etc. (e.g. Zazula et al., 2006a). The fact that sage (Artemisia) and other perennial herbs such as sneezeweed (Achillea) and various meadow grasses (Agrostis, Poa) were present in the dung in large quantities suggests the mammoth was also grazing dry open grassland vegetation (steppe and arctic communities). Several of the herbs and the acrocarpous mosses occur in dry, open grasslands, such as Potentilla hyperbactica, Draba spp., Sagina/Minuartia, Papaver sect. Scapiflora, Polytrichum alpinum, and also Salix arctica. The widespread arctic grassland and the willow vegetation provided enough fodder for large herbivores. Relatively little snow in the arid climate would mean that the dried grasses and willow shrubs were exposed and available to herbivores in winter. Disturbed areas allowed the
growth of weedy herbs such as *Rumex acetosella* and Chenopodiaceae spp. Grazing and trampling, combined with the cold, dry climate, resulted in open patches of soil where mineral dust was eroded and dispersed widely by wind (loess) over the landscape and its vegetation. The YM unavoidably ingested quantities of this mineral material with his food (see Fig. 4, 41, showing dust-encrusted moss). According to the model of Guthrie (2001), deposition of fine loess sediments may have been an important factor in the formation of productive soils and maintenance of open patches in the ground vegetation cover. Other factors would have been clear skies and associated aridity, which led to a deep thaw in summer and the development of extensive root systems that recycled nutrients. Especially the latter is considered crucial for early snow melt and late snow arrival which prolongs growing seasons and increases turnover of nutrients in the soil.

The exact cause of death of the mammoth cannot be determined directly from his remains. However, there is evidence for the season of death. Based on the observations that all the willow leaves were badly preserved and that no leaves were connected to twigs, we suggest that the Yukagir Mammoth died between two growing seasons — between late autumn and early spring. The bad preservation of the Salix leaves found and their minimal contribution to the meal as inferred by the results of pyrolysis may indicate that the YM consumed and partially digested dead dehisced leaves deposited in the hollow and exposed by melting snow. Any leaves that were still connected with twigs would have almost certainly been disconnected during this process. Detailed analysis of the annual rings of the dwarf willows shows that in the majority of the examined twigs the formation of the very first vessels in the terminal tree-ring could be detected, indicating that the twigs were browsed at the very beginning of the growing season (Fig. 3, 17). The well-developed leaf primordia indicate imminent new leaf expansion and the first fresh leaves that may have already expanded during the start of spring growth would have been soft and easily digested. The presence of seeds and fruits, particularly those of plants of late-lying snow habitats, in the meal support this inference, as they would also have been ingested quantities of this mineral material with his food (see Fig. 4, 41, showing dust-encrusted moss). According to the model of Guthrie (2001), deposition of fine loess sediments may have been an important factor in the formation of productive soils and maintenance of open patches in the ground vegetation cover. Other factors would have been clear skies and associated aridity, which led to a deep thaw in summer and the development of extensive root systems that recycled nutrients. Especially the latter is considered crucial for early snow melt and late snow arrival which prolongs growing seasons and increases turnover of nutrients in the soil.

The exact cause of death of the mammoth cannot be determined directly from his remains. However, there is evidence for the season of death. Based on the observations that all the willow leaves were badly preserved and that no leaves were connected to twigs, we suggest that the Yukagir Mammoth died between two growing seasons — between late autumn and early spring. The bad preservation of the Salix leaves found and their minimal contribution to the meal as inferred by the results of pyrolysis may indicate that the YM consumed and partially digested dead dehisced leaves deposited in the hollow and exposed by melting snow. Any leaves that were still connected with twigs would have almost certainly been disconnected during this process. Detailed analysis of the annual rings of the dwarf willows shows that in the majority of the examined twigs the formation of the very first vessels in the terminal tree-ring could be detected, indicating that the twigs were browsed at the very beginning of the growing season (Fig. 3, 17). The well-developed leaf primordia indicate imminent new leaf expansion and the first fresh leaves that may have already expanded during the start of spring growth would have been soft and easily digested. The presence of seeds and fruits, particularly those of plants of late-lying snow habitats, in the meal support this inference, as they would also have been

Feeding habit and diet

Our analyses indicate that grasses and sedges were used as a dietary staple with herbs, shrubs and mosses as supplements and confirm previous assumptions that mammoths were grazers (Olivier, 1982). Because the Yukagir Mammoth died at a different time of year, a comparison of the plant remains of the YM with the other fossil mammoth remains adds insight into differences in the composition of the diet of the woolly mammoth through time and in space. The absence of tree remnants in the dung of the YM indicates a significant shift from the usual dietary pattern of mammoths. The pollen record of the dung indeed shows that trees were absent in the area where the YM died.

Twigs of alder (Alnus), birch (Betula), larch (Larix) and spruce (Picea) were found in all other mammoth dung analysed so far. Mammoths are generally assumed to have used the poor-quality fibrous resources of grasses as a dietary staple with occasional twig tips supplying nutrients that grasses lack (Guthrie, 1990). As woody plants are high in toxic compounds, it has always been assumed that cecal digesters, such as horses and mammoths, which lack a rumen for detoxification, could not consume too much of this type of plant material. Although the minor proportion of woody plants found in most mammoth dung samples analysed to date seems to support this assumption, the relatively high percentage of willow (Salix) in the dung of the Yukagir Mammoth (10–20% by volume) does not agree with this general strategy to avoid food poisoning. A possible explanation might be that the green parts of willow twigs are less toxic at the beginning of the growing season. It might be that they were an important food source for grazing mammoths in the spring. In a similar way, African elephants turn to browsing edible green parts of trees temporarily during the dry season (Guthrie, 2001). An alternative explanation might be that the Yukagir Mammoth was desperately eating willow because nothing else was available and this might

Table 5
Woolly mammoths found in Siberia with remains of dung in the gastrointestinal tract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fossil</th>
<th>Lab. code/no.</th>
<th>¹⁴C yr BP</th>
<th>cal yr BP</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yuribey mammoth</td>
<td>VSEGINGEO</td>
<td>9,600±300</td>
<td>10,192 – 11,978</td>
<td>Vasil’chuk et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukagir mammoth</td>
<td>see Table 1</td>
<td>ca.18,500 ca.</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishhook mammoth</td>
<td>Beta-148647</td>
<td>20,620±70</td>
<td>24,529 – 24,848</td>
<td>Mol et al. (2006b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Radiocarbon dates of woolly mammoths found in Siberia with remains of dung in the gastrointestinal tract. Calibration to calendar years (Stuiver and Reimer, 1993; Reimer et al., 2004) is not yet possible (n.p.) for samples older than 26,000 cal. yr BP (van der Plicht et al., 2004).
have led to a death caused by food poisoning. This seems less likely, though, as willow is an important spring browse of other herbivorous mammals such as white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*), red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), elk and moose (*Alces alces*), muskox (*Ovibos moschatus*), arctic lemming (*Dicrostonyx torquatus*) and beaver (*Castor*) which are all unaffected by eating large quantities of willow leaves, twigs, and bark.

**Climate and vegetation**

The contents of dung samples of all mammoths analysed to date (Table 5) fit reconstructions of changing paleoenvironments through time as depicted in Guthrie (2006). During Pleistoglacian interstadials, alder, birch, and pine could survive in Siberia and remnants of these trees have been found in the dung of the Beresowka, Kirgilakh, Shandrin, and Fishhook Mammoths. During the Last Glacial Maximum, treeless steppe vegetation existed in northern Siberia which explains why no remnants of trees were found in either the dung of the Yukagir Mammoth or in dung samples surrounding the carcass.

Global warming at the onset of the Late Glacial Interstadial resulted in the development of shrub and dwarf birch vegetation in northeastern Siberia, which was colonized by open woodland with birch and spruce during the Younger Dryas. Patches of closed larch and pine forests developed in the Holocene. The presence of remnants of larch and pine in the dung of the Yuribey Mammoth reflects the latter paleoenvironmental reconstruction (Table 5). Evidently, mammoths preferred to eat some woody material, but could obviously thrive on a herbaceous diet supplemented by dwarf willow twigs in areas of treeless vegetation.

Our results provide further evidence for the occurrence of a cold, treeless grassland vegetation during the last glacial maximum in northern Siberia more similar to steppe than tundra. We are confident that further integrated chemical, microscopic and molecular analyses of plant remains in dung of Beringian megafauna will eventually result in a more detailed reconstruction of this widespread glacial vegetation type and explain how mammoths could once have survived there.
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