The owl and the dove: knowledge strategies to improve the peacebuilding practice of local non-governmental organisations

Verkoren, W.M.

Citation for published version (APA):
List of tables, figures and text boxes

Tables
Table 2.1: Simplified and generalised overview of the differences between Western / modern and non-Western / traditional knowledge systems 96
Table 2.2: Types of knowledge: academic ........................................................ 100
Table 2.3: Types of knowledge: practitioner .................................................... 100
Table 2.4: Knowledge challenges for peace and development organisations... 105
Table 2.5: Categories of NGOs visited ............................................................ 107
Table 3.1: Five types of learning according to Engeström 1995 ....................... 117
Table 3.2: Categorising organisational learning tools ...................................... 128
Table 3.3: Contradictory pressures on the staff of NNGOs ............................... 134
Table 3.4: Comparing decentralised networks with structured networks ...... 146
Table 3.5: Categories of NGOs visited ............................................................ 157
Table 3.6: Knowledge demand of Southern peacebuilders............................ 232
Table 3.7: Knowledge supply of Southern peacebuilders.............................. 234
Table 3.8: Organisational learning activities of Southern peace NGOs: acquiring knowledge........................................................ 238
Table 3.9: Organisational learning activities of Southern peace NGOs: retaining knowledge.............................................................. 243
Table 3.10: Organisational learning activities of Southern peace NGOs: adapting, passing on, and applying knowledge................................. 246
Table 3.11: Organisational learning activities of Southern peace NGOs: research and dissemination ........................................................ 249
Table 3.12: Example of a logical framework................................................... 255
Table 3.13: Methodologies for the evaluation of peacebuilding activities ...... 263
Table 3.14: Partners in knowledge exchange of Southern peace NGOs............. 269
Table 3.15: Obstacles to knowledge exchange for Southern peace NGOs....... 283
Table 3.16: Benefits of networking and knowledge exchange according to Southern peace NGOs..................................................... 316

Figures
Figure 1.1: Categorising NGOs’ activities in peacebuilding ......................... 65
Figure 2.1: Recognising tacit knowledge ....................................................... 81
Figure 3.1: Second-order learning cycle ....................................................... 115
Figure 3.2: Organisational learning ............................................................... 119
Figure 3.3: Local solutions to local problems ................................................ 141
Figure 3.4: Organic capacity development according to the UNDP ................. 142
Figure 3.5: Knowledge flows in and around Southern peace NGOs .............. 153
Figure 3.6: Peace and Conflict Impact Assessment ........................................ 260
Figure 3.7: A learning model for peace evaluation ........................................ 266
Figure 6.1: Knowledge flows in and around Southern peace NGOs .............. 351
Figure 6.2: Strength of different knowledge flows in and around Southern peace NGOs .......................................................... 352
Figure 6.3: Reality of learning cycle in Southern peace NGOs ....................... 353
Figure 8.1: Action learning ........................................................................... 403
Figure 8.2: Audiences for ACTS findings ..................................................... 419
Figure 9.1: Adjusted picture of knowledge flows in and around Southern peace NGOs

Text boxes
Box 1.1: The Pikit space for peace ...............................................................59
Box 4.1: Responses to knowledge hegemony ........................................179
Box 4.2: The Rural Women Peace Link in Kenya’s North Rift province ....182
Box 4.3: Capacity building in Mindanao by Catholic Relief Service (CRS)....208
Box 5.1: Module developed by Mindanao People’s Caucus (MPC) ..........247
Box 5.2: Difficulties for the evaluation of peacebuilding activities, compared to
other development-oriented interventions .............................................257
Box 5.3: Report of a meeting of the Eastern regional chapter of the Network for
Collaborative Peacebuilding Sierra Leone (NCP-SL), Kenema, 22
February 2006 ..................................................................................273
Box 5.4: Issues relating to the capacity of CSOs in Central Asia ..........289
Box 6.1: Networks encountered in the Philippines and Cambodia or mentioned
by interviewees ...............................................................................302
Box 6.2: Networks encountered in Liberia and Sierra Leone or mentioned by
interviewees .....................................................................................304
Box 6.3: Networks encountered in Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan or mentioned by
interviewees ......................................................................................306
Box 6.4: Networks encountered in Kenya or mentioned by interviewees ....307
Box 6.5: The Dolina Mira network in the Central Asian Ferghana Valley ...309
Box 7.1: GPPAC and the UN Peacebuilding Commission ....................364
Box 7.2: Departments of Peace initiative and GPPAC .........................369
Box 7.3: Relevance of GPPAC for the Central Asian region ...............370
Box 7.4: Lobby and advocacy achievements at the global level ..............371
Box 7.5: Gaining visibility: Kenya .......................................................372
Box 7.6: Opinions about activism in Southeast Asia ..............................374
Box 7.7: Ownership in Central and East Africa ....................................376
Box 7.8: Bottom-up peace education initiatives: Southeast Asia ..........388