



**UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)**

**Topic driven access to scientific handbooks**

Caracciolo, C.

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Caracciolo, C. (2008). Topic driven access to scientific handbooks Amsterdam: SIKS

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

---

## List of Tables

---

3.1	Details about the LoLaLi map. . . . .	37
3.2	A summary of connections between the categorial grammar (a framework) and other areas. . . . .	40
4.1	Composition of the groups of undergraduate students (UG) and masters students (MSc) on the basis of gender (M/F) and native language. . . . .	64
4.2	Questions on computer literacy of the participants in the study. Multiple answers were allowed. . . . .	66
4.3	Summary of usage of electronic resources. . . . .	67
4.4	Summary of results for the question: “Assign a typed relation to pairs of topics.” More than one answer was possible. . . . .	73
4.5	Summary of the questions about searching and browsing. . . . .	75
5.1	Details about the corpus. . . . .	93
5.2	Details about the ground truth for segmentation. . . . .	95
5.3	Values of precision and recall on topic breaks for the four segmentation methods. TextTiling and C99 are applied with all parameters set to their default values. Best scores are in <b>boldface</b> . . . . .	98
5.4	Tuning parameters for TextTiling. Best scores are in <b>boldface</b> . . . . .	99
5.5	Tuning parameters for C99. Best scores are in <b>boldface</b> . . . . .	99
5.6	Summarizing the results for the two structural segmentations, and the best performing versions of TextTiling and C99. . . . .	100
6.1	Summary values for all collections of segments, across all queries, using tf.idf. Highest scores per measure are in <b>boldface</b> . . . . .	110
6.2	Summary values for all collections of segments, across all queries, using OKAPI. Highest scores per measure are in <b>boldface</b> . . . . .	111