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ABSTRACT

Context. Primary cosmic rays experience multiple deflections in the non-uniform galactic and heliospheric magnetic fields which may generate anisotropies.

Aims. A study of anisotropies in the energy range between 100 and 500 GeV is performed. This energy range is not yet well explored.

Methods. The L3 detector at the CERN electron-positron collider, LEP, is used for a study of the angular distribution of atmospheric muons with energies above 20 GeV. This distribution is used to investigate the isotropy of the time-dependent intensity of the primary cosmic-ray flux with a Fourier analysis.

Results. A small deviation from isotropy at energies around 200 GeV is observed for the second harmonics at the solar frequency. No sidereal anisotropy is found at primary energies above 300 TeV has been observed (Amenomori et al. 2006; Maier et al. 2003). No effect due to the heliosphere nor to the galactic Compton-Getting effect due to the solar system orbiting around the center of the galaxy has been detected. For primary energies between 4 and 50 TeV a clear sidereal anisotropy is present (Amenomori et al. 2006). The GRAND collaboration observed a very significant solar anisotropy, expressed as the sum of the first two harmonics, around 10 GeV (Poirier et al. 2001).

The energy range for primaries between 100 and 500 GeV has not yet been fully explored. This is the domain the L3+C detector is sensitive to, and is the subject of this analysis. The anisotropy of primary cosmic rays is studied indirectly through the observation of muons which result from the decay of the secondary particles produced in the Earth’s atmosphere. The median primary energy corresponding to a given muon energy threshold is about 10 times larger than the muon energies (Gaisser 1990). For a muon energy above 20 GeV the muon direction approximates, within 3°, the direction of the primary (Heck et al. 1998).

The analysis of the experimental data for studies on anisotropy is based on the expansion in spherical harmonics of the anisotropy function, defined as (Kiraly et al. 1979)

\[
\Delta_{\text{dir}}(\alpha, \delta) = \frac{I(\alpha, \delta) - \langle I \rangle}{\langle I \rangle}
\]

where \(I(\alpha, \delta)\) is the intensity as a function of the right ascension \(\alpha\) and declination \(\delta\) and \(\langle I \rangle\) is the mean intensity.

1. Introduction

Cosmic rays of GeV–TeV–PeV energies are galactic in nature and very probably are produced mainly by the shocks generated by supernova explosions. Some of these particles reach the Solar System after experiencing multiple deflections in the non-uniform galactic magnetic field, particularly in the neighborhood of the Sun. This generates a structure (Amenomori et al. 2005; Erlykin & Wolfendale 2006) in the arrival direction of the particles, and the variation of the intensity of primary cosmic rays as a function of the equatorial coordinates \(\alpha\) (right ascension) and \(\delta\) (declination) is known as the sidereal anisotropy. Thus a detector located on the Earth observes a modulation of the cosmic-ray flux with a period of one sidereal day due to the Earth’s rotation. The magnetic field within the heliosphere, whose structure is strongly influenced by the solar wind and the Sun’s activity, plays a role in the propagation of galactic cosmic rays with energies of the order of 10 TeV and below. At these energies, the general large-scale structure of the heliomagnetic field may induce structures in the sidereal anisotropy. At lower energies, structures mainly may be due to the Solar wind plasma. Additional cosmic-ray intensity variations may depend on the arrival direction with respect to the Sun. These would appear as an intensity modulation with a period of one Solar day, known as the solar anisotropy.

In addition, the orbital motion of the Earth is expected to produce a signal modulated with this frequency. This effect, called the Compton-Getting effect, is well understood and can be corrected for (Compton & Getting 1935). Possible observations of a modulation in the cosmic-ray flux should be carefully analysed to account for changes in the muon production rate and energy loss in the atmosphere due to meteorological effects, such as diurnal and seasonal variations of temperature and pressure.

* Authorlist at the end, after the references.
Anisotropy measurements at a level of $10^{-4}$ and better can be achieved by scanning a band with fixed declination range in the right ascension direction (Ramelli 2002; Achar et al. 2006). In this analysis the anisotropy function is reduced to a quantity independent of the declination, and is defined for the particular declination distribution given by the L3+C direction-dependent acceptance. Information about the anisotropy on large scales is estimated from the first few terms of the Fourier expansion of $\Delta(\alpha)$:

$$\Delta(\alpha) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \xi_m \cos (m(\alpha - \phi_m))$$

(2)

where $\xi_m$ and $\phi_m$ are the corresponding amplitudes and phases of the $m$th harmonics respectively.

2. The L3+C detector and the event selection

The L3 detector (Adeva et al. 1990) operated at the LEP accelerator at CERN (near Geneva, Switzerland). It was located 30 m under ground, at 450 m above sea level, at a longitude of 6.02° E and a latitude of 46.25° N. It was designed to accurately measure muons, electrons and photons produced in $e^+e^-$ collisions. The momentum distribution of atmospheric muons is measured with an upgraded setup known as L3+C (Adriani et al. 2002). The parts of the detector used in this analysis are sketched in Fig. 1.

After passing through the stratified rock overburden, called “molasse” (sedimentary rocks), the arrival time $t_0$ of a muon is measured with a resolution of 1.7 ns by a 202 m$^2$ scintillator array placed on top of the detector. The array is composed of 34 modules, each read out by two photomultipliers in coincidence to reduce noise. Inside a volume of about 1000 m$^3$, with a magnetic field of 0.5 T, the coordinates and slopes of a muon track are measured in up to six drift chambers in the bending plane and up to eight times in the non-bending plane. These chambers are arranged concentrically around the LEP beam in line on two ferris wheels of eight octants, each containing three layers of drift cells. By subtracting the $t_0$ time from the arrival times of the drift electrons at the sense wires, a track position in each chamber can be reconstructed with a precision of about 60 $\mu$m in the bending plane and 1 mm in the non-bending plane.

Only three points are needed to determine the radius of the track in the magnetic field, therefore the momentum of a muon is taken care of by applying a weight factor to each event, in any octant and a hit in the scintillators; exactly one track must be successfully reconstructed as coming from the surface. A selection of the time intervals of data taking is applied in order to assure stability in the detection efficiency. To account for muon rate variations due to meteorological effects and efficiency fluctuations a running average of the detection rate is calculated for each selected run over an interval of time lasting 12 h before the run to 12 h after the run. When filling the histogram corresponding to the live-time distribution, the contents are weighted by a factor proportional to this running average (Cutler & Groom 1991; Gerasimova et al. 2001). The Compton-Getting effect is taken care of by applying a weight factor to each event, according to the muon arrival direction and the Earth orbital velocity.

The analysed data correspond to a total live-time of 150.63 days, evenly distributed over the full data taking period. Muon samples were selected according to four different lower energy cuts in order to detect a possible energy dependence of the anisotropy: 20, 30, 50 and 100 GeV.

3. Data analysis

The anisotropy of primary cosmic rays is studied based on the idea that a fixed detector scans the sky in the right ascension direction ($\alpha$), thanks to the Earth rotation. Figure 2 shows distributions in declination of the events selected for four muon energy thresholds. The detector acceptance is energy dependent because of different material thicknesses crossed by the muons. For example, the structure observed for the lowest energy threshold around 55° is caused by the access shaft to the detector underground cavern.

The analysis method searches for time variations of the muon detection rates with a period of one day, regardless of the arrival direction of the muons.

This study introduces a method that takes into account the directional information, $\alpha$, available from the reconstruction of the muon tracks (Ramelli 2002). For the sidereal anisotropy, the

---

1 The Tibet, Super-Kamiokande, and MILAGRO collaborations have recently performed two-dimensional measurements for primary energies above a few TeV (Amenomori et al. 2006; Guillian et al. 2007; Atkins et al. 2005; McGrath 1993).
expected distribution $N^{\text{exp}}(\alpha)$ of muon events as a function of $\alpha$ in the case of an isotropic primary cosmic ray flux is calculated by folding the observed event distribution as a function of the negative hour angle, $-\text{h.a.}$, with the live-time distribution of the sidereal time $t_s$. Typical distributions of these two quantities are shown in Fig. 3. $N^{\text{exp}}(\alpha)$ is then compared with the actual measured distribution $N^{\text{meas}}(\alpha)$ and $\Delta(\alpha)$ is calculated as:

$$\Delta(\alpha) = \frac{N^{\text{meas}}(\alpha)}{N^{\text{exp}}(\alpha)} - 1. \quad (3)$$

Figure 4 compares the measured event distribution with the expected distribution for muons above 30 GeV. As an example, only data for one day are displayed. On such a time scale the statistical fluctuations of the measured distribution around the smooth curve of the expected distribution are visible. Figure 5 represents the corresponding result of Eq. (3).

A harmonic analysis of the result is performed to extract the first three harmonics of $\Delta(\alpha)$ at the sidereal frequency.

If frequencies $\nu$, other than the sidereal frequency $\nu_*$, are considered, then the pseudo-right ascension $\tilde{\alpha}_\nu$ is used instead of $\alpha$. It is defined as

$$\tilde{\alpha}_\nu = [\phi_\nu - h.a.]_{\mod 24 \text{ h}} \quad (4)$$

where the phase $\phi_\nu$ is defined as

$$\phi_\nu = \frac{\nu}{v_0}(t - t_0) + t_I \quad (5)$$

and where $v_0$ is the solar frequency (1/24 h), $t$ is the time of the observation, $t_0$ is a conventional time point which defines when $\tilde{\alpha}_\nu$ is equal for all frequencies and $t_I$ is a free phase shift parameter.

We choose $t_0$ to be the time near the autumn equinox when in the year 2000 the mean local solar time and the local sidereal time are the same and are equal to $t_I$. Thus for the solar frequency, the Sun is always located approximately at $\tilde{\alpha}_v = 12$ h. In addition to the solar frequency $v_0$, three other frequencies are interesting: the sidereal frequency $v_*$; the anti-sidereal frequency, which is a side lobe of the same size at the sidereal frequency if a real effect at the solar frequency is modulated with an annual frequency; and the extended sidereal frequency. Another 86 frequencies are analysed to check the uncertainties on the measurement. The combined statistical and systematic uncertainties are obtained by considering the distribution of the amplitudes $\xi$ of the 86 frequencies, which should obey the Rayleigh distribution $R$ normalized to 1:

$$R(\xi, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2\sigma^2}}. \quad (6)$$

The data are fitted to this function for the first three harmonics, and the four energy thresholds. The fitted value of $\sigma$ is compared to the expected statistical uncertainty and good agreement is found, leading to the conclusion that systematic uncertainties are negligible compared to the statistical uncertainty.

The amplitude distributions for all 86 frequencies are displayed in Figs. 6 and 7 for the 1st and 2nd harmonics.

4. Results

No significant anisotropy is observed at the sidereal frequency for any of the first three harmonics. Figure 8 presents the case
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Fig. 4. Measured (binned data) and expected (black line) event distribution in right ascension for muons with a surface energy larger than 30 GeV detected on one day (1st of August 1999). The structures are due to the live-time distribution presented in Fig. 3.

Fig. 5. The computed ratio between the two distributions shown in Fig. 4, according to Eq. (3).

for the first harmonic. The results obtained with a muon energy cut at 100 GeV corresponding to primary protons of 1 TeV are compatible with the experimental result of Cutler and Groom (Cutler & Groom 1991), derived from muon data collected from 1978 to 1983 with a threshold of 100 GeV.

For a 200 GeV primary energy threshold, the observation of the first harmonic does not follow the “tail-in” and “loss-cone” model, NFJ, by Nagashima et al. (1998), which predicts a deficit of galactic origin at $\alpha = 12$ h, the so-called heliospheric effect. The GRAPES experiment, with a primary energy threshold of 60 GeV, collected data between 2000 and 2004, at the end of the period where the magnetic field of the sun changed its polarity and which followed our own data acquisition period. This collaboration observed the NFJ effect only partly, detecting only the “tail-in” part (Kojima et al. 2005).

Figures 9 and 10 show the amplitude $\xi_m$ as a function of the frequency for the first and the second harmonic respectively. The muon energy-threshold is set to 20 GeV. The largest amplitude is found for the second harmonic at the solar frequency. Figure 11 presents the energy dependence. An anisotropy is observed for a muon energy-threshold up to 50 GeV, corresponding to primaries up to 500 GeV. The largest significance is observed for a muon energy-threshold of 20 GeV, where the amplitude is $4.5\sigma$ away from 0. In a Rayleigh distribution the probability of finding an amplitude higher than that is only $4 \times 10^{-5}$.

Figure 12 presents this anisotropy for a muon energy-threshold of 20 GeV. The $\chi^2$ of the fit amounts to 6.6 for 7 degrees of freedom ($ndf$). (A flat distribution provides a $\chi^2$ equal to 28.3 for $ndf = 11$. In this case the probability of finding a value greater or equal to 28.3 is $2.9 \times 10^{-7}$.)

The fact that for the first three energy thresholds the phase is different from the one at 100 GeV is also an interesting feature, in the sense that it indicates (although with a small significance) an energy dependence of the anisotropy. But as discussed above and by inspecting Fig. 11, a real significance is for a muon energy-threshold of 20, and eventually 30 GeV. At 50 and 100 GeV the uncertainties are too large to draw conclusions.

The structure of the anisotropy function for the 2nd harmonic found is very similar in shape, but five times smaller in amplitude, to what has been reported by the GRAND experiment. This
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Fig. 8. Dial plots showing the amplitude and the phase of the first harmonic of the anisotropy function at the sidereal frequency for four different energy cuts. The axes correspond to the right ascensions 0 h, 6 h, 12 h, and 18 h, the radii to the amplitudes whose graduation can be read on the axis. The circles represent the 68.5% confidence level regions for the 4 muon momentum thresholds. The dashed circle is the result of Cutler and Groom (Cutler 1991).

Fig. 9. Amplitude $\xi_m$ for the first harmonic of the relative muon intensity variation as a function of $\tilde{\alpha}$ for frequencies near 1 day$^{-1}$ and for a surface energy threshold of 20 GeV. Vertical lines indicate from left to right the anti-sidereal, the solar and the sidereal frequency.

Fig. 10. Amplitude $\xi_m$ for the second harmonic of the relative muon intensity variation as a function of $\tilde{\alpha}$ for frequencies near 2 day$^{-1}$ and for a surface energy threshold of 20 GeV. Vertical lines indicate from left to right the double anti-sidereal, the double solar and the double sidereal frequency.

Fig. 11. Dial plots showing the amplitude and the phase of the second harmonic of the anisotropy function at the Solar frequency for four different muon energy-cuts. The circles represent the 68.5% confidence level region.

No anisotropy is found from the analysis of the 3rd harmonic for any of the four muon threshold-energies.

A summary of the results of the spectral analysis for the solar frequency is given in Table 1.

The analyses of multi-muon events with multiplicities greater than 3, compared to the single muon events discussed above, show no significant deviation from isotropy. This result can be compared to earlier studies claiming an increase of the anisotropy for heavy primaries, producing higher muon multiplicities (Bressi et al. 1990).
obtained from the spectral analysis of the anisotropy function for the confidence level region. The uncertainties are statistical.

Indirect measurements of the anisotropy of primary cosmic rays with energies around 200 GeV do not show any sidereal variability of the solar magnetic field during the time of data collection that occurred near the maximum of solar activity. In addition one should consider that the effect is certainly energy dependent, and that uncertainties exist about the magnetic field in the neighbourhood of the Sun.

Table 1. Amplitudes, $\xi_m$, and phases, $\phi_m$, of the first three harmonics obtained from the spectral analysis of the anisotropy function for the solar frequency for different muon energy thresholds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy cut [GeV]</th>
<th>nth harmonic</th>
<th>$\xi_m$ [per mil]</th>
<th>$\phi_m$ [h]</th>
<th>$\rho_{error}$ [per mil]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{stat}$ [per mil]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\rho_{error} = 1.52 \sigma_{stat}$ is the radius of the error circle defining a 68.5% confidence level region. The uncertainties are statistical.

5. Conclusions

Indirect measurements of the anisotropy of primary cosmic rays with energies around 200 GeV do not show any sidereal anisotropy at a level above $10^{-4}$. The largest deviation from isotropy is found for the second harmonics at solar frequency for muons above an energy threshold of 20 GeV, corresponding to primaries of energies of about 200 GeV. The amplitude is $4.5 \sigma$ away from 0. In explaining this effect, e.g. as a manifestation of the interaction of cosmic rays with the Solar wind plasma, one has to take into account the complexity and variability of the solar magnetic field during the time of data collection that occurred near the maximum of solar activity. In addition one should consider that the effect is certainly energy dependent, and that uncertainties exist about the magnetic field in the neighbourhood of the Sun.
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