



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

What makes an expert Barrett's pathologist?

Concordance and pathologist expertise within a digital review panel

van der Wel, M.J.

Publication date

2019

Document Version

Other version

License

Other

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van der Wel, M. J. (2019). *What makes an expert Barrett's pathologist? Concordance and pathologist expertise within a digital review panel*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights


It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

WHAT MAKES AN **EXPERT** BARRETT'S PATHOLOGIST?

Concordance and pathologist expertise within a digital review panel



Myrtle Judith van der Wel

WHAT MAKES AN EXPERT BARRETT'S PATHOLOGIST?

Concordance and pathologist expertise within a digital review panel

Myrtle Judith van der Wel

2019

Colofon

What makes an Expert Barrett's pathologist? Concordance and pathologist expertise within a digital review panel

PhD Thesis, University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands

ISBN: 978-94-6375-637-2

Paranymphs: S. J. P. Martens, N. B. Thuijs

Copyright © M.J. van der Wel, the Netherlands

No part of this thesis may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means without permission of the author or publishers of the included scientific papers.

The printing of this thesis is kindly made possible by: Department of Pathology, UMCU; Department of Gastroenterology, AUMC; Cernostics; Chipsoft; Erbe Medical; Nederlandse Vereniging voor Gastroenterologie; Sectra; dhr. en mw. van der Wel – van Poppel.

Layout and design: Eduard Boxem | www.persoonlijkproefschrift.nl

Printing: Ridderprint BV | www.ridderprint.nl

WHAT MAKES AN EXPERT BARRETT'S PATHOLOGIST?

Concordance and pathologist expertise within a digital review panel

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor
aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam op gezag van de Rector Magnificus
prof. dr. ir. K.I.J. Maex

ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde commissie,
in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Aula der Universiteit
op woensdag 11 december 2019, te 13.00 uur

door

Myrtle Judith van der Wel

geboren te 's-Hertogenbosch

PROMOTIECOMMISSIE

Promotores:

Prof. Dr. J.J.G.H.M. Bergman AMC - Universiteit van Amsterdam

Prof. Dr. M.J. van de Vijver AMC - Universiteit van Amsterdam

Copromotor:

Dr. S.L. Meijer AMC - Universiteit van Amsterdam

Overige leden:

Prof. Dr. E. Dekker AMC - Universiteit van Amsterdam

Prof. Dr. M.R. van Dijk UMCU - Universiteit Utrecht

Prof. Dr. A.J. Bredenoord AMC - Universiteit van Amsterdam

Prof. Dr. M.I. van Berge Henegouwen AMC - Universiteit van Amsterdam

Dr. N.C.T. van Grieken VUmc - Vrije Universiteit

Dr. N.S. Mostafavi Montazeri AMC - Universiteit van Amsterdam

Faculteit der Geneeskunde

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction and outline of thesis	7
Part I: Prerequisites for review	
Chapter 1 Role of pathological confirmation for Barrett's esophagus and dysplasia	15
Chapter 2 What makes an expert Barrett's histopathologist?	41
Chapter 3 Barrett's esophagus patients with confirmed and persistent low-grade dysplasia are at increased risk of neoplastic progression	71
Chapter 4 Digital microscopy as valid alternative to conventional microscopy for histological evaluation of Barrett's esophagus biopsies	93
Chapter 5 Improved diagnostic stratification of Barrett's oesophagus biopsies by TP53 immunohistochemical staining	111
Part II: Panel development and expansion	
Chapter 6 Development of benchmark quality criteria for assessing whole-endoscopy Barrett's esophagus biopsy cases	129
Chapter 7 Adherence to pre-set benchmark quality criteria to qualify as expert assessor of dysplasia in Barrett's esophagus biopsies: <i>Towards digital review of Barrett's esophagus</i>	149
Chapter 8 Performance of gastrointestinal pathologists within a national digital review panel for Barrett's esophagus in the Netherlands: <i>Results of 80 prospective biopsy reviews</i>	171
Chapter 9 Clinical implementation of a digital national expert pathology review panel for dysplastic Barrett's esophagus	185
Chapter 10 Histopathologist features predictive of diagnostic concordance at expert level amongst a large international sample of pathologists diagnosing Barrett's dysplasia using digital pathology: <i>Quantitative model of Barrett's histopathology expert review</i>	201
Chapter 11 Significant inter-observer variation in the histological assessment of endoscopic resection specimens of early Barrett's neoplasia by expert pathologists	247
General discussion and future perspectives	269
Appendices	
Summary	292
Nederlandse samenvatting	298
Contributing authors	304
Author contributions per chapter	306
List of publications	312
PhD portfolio	314
About the author	316
Acknowledgements	317