



**UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)**

**Diversity and geographic distribution of desmids and other coccoid green algae**

Coesel, P.F.M.; Krienitz, L.

*Published in:*  
Biodiversity and Conservation

*DOI:*  
[10.1007/s10531-007-9256-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-007-9256-5)

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Coesel, P. F. M., & Krienitz, L. (2008). Diversity and geographic distribution of desmids and other coccoid green algae. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 17(2), 381-392. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-007-9256-5>

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

# Diversity and geographic distribution of desmids and other coccoid green algae

Peter F. M. Coesel · Lothar Krienitz

Received: 4 January 2007 / Accepted in revised form: 30 June 2007 / Published online: 12 October 2007  
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2007

**Abstract** Taxonomic diversity of desmids and other coccoid green algae is discussed in relation to different species concepts. For want of unambiguous criteria about species delimitation, no reliable estimations of global species richness can be given. Application of the biological species concept is seriously hampered by lack of sexual reproduction in many species. Molecular analyses demonstrated cases of close affiliation between morphologically highly different taxa and, contrary, examples of little relationship between morphologically similar taxa. Despite the fact that desmids and chlorococcal algae, because of their microbial nature, can be readily distributed, cosmopolitan species are relatively scarce. The geographic distribution of some well-recognizable morphospecies is discussed in detail. Of some species a recent extension of their area could be established, e.g., in the desmids *Micrasterias americana* and *Euastrum germanicum*, and in the chlorococcaleans *Desmodesmus perforatus* and *Pediastrum simplex*.

**Keywords** · Chlorococcal algae · Desmids · Diversity · Geographic distribution · Green algae

## Introduction

This review focuses on the diversity and geographic distribution of some groups of green algae showing a coccoid level of organization but belonging to different taxonomic units. According to modern systematic views, the desmids (Desmidiaceae) are placed in the

---

Special Issue: Protist diversity and geographic distribution. Guest editor: W. Foissner.

---

P. F. M. Coesel (✉)

Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, P.O. Box 94062,  
Amsterdam 1090 GB, The Netherlands  
e-mail: coesel@science.uva.nl

L. Krienitz

Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Alte Fischerhütte 2,  
16775 Stechlin-Neuglobsow, Germany

division Charophyta ('Streptophyta'), class Zygnemophyceae (Lewis and McCourt 2004). Desmids are coccoid and have a striking morphology characterized by two symmetrical halves (semicells). They comprise both solitary and colonial taxa. The other coccoid green algae studied here (in the following text designated as 'chlorococcal algae'), were formerly artificially classified under the Chlorococcales *sensu lato* and are now grouped in several orders of Chlorophyceae, Trebouxiophyceae and Prasinophyceae (Krienitz et al. 2003; Lewis and McCourt 2004). These orders mainly contain solitary or colonial algae with a spherical, ellipsoidal or needle-shaped morphology. We selected such diverse groups of green micro-algae in order to show the different state of the art in research on diversity and geography of these tiny protists.


Geographically the best studied group of green algae is that of the desmids, due to their often appealing appearance. Samples from far abroad revealed a lot of astonishing, exotic forms. Reliable knowledge of geographical distribution patterns, of course, is confined to those taxa that cannot be confused with any other ones. Fortunately, among the desmids quite a number of such taxa may be designated. Particularly the genus *Micrasterias* is marked by a high percentage of well recognizable species the distribution of which is confined to relatively small parts of the world (Figs. 1–5). In a previous paper, Coesel (1996) distinguished 10 desmid floral regions: Indo-Malaysia/Northern Australia, Equatorial Africa, Tropical South and Central America, North America, Extratropical South America, Eastern Asia, Southern Australia and New Zealand, South Africa, Temperate Eurasia and, finally, the circumpolar and high mountain regions. The number of species supposed to be endemic to any of those regions roughly speaking decreases from over a hundred to less than 10 and presumably goes hand in hand with the total desmid species diversity to be encountered.

As compared to the desmids, the study of diversity and distribution of chlorococcal algae presents more difficulties because of a high degree of morphological uniformity ('green balls') on the one hand and an extreme phenotypic variability of colony structure and cell wall equipment such as bristles, spines, ornamentations and incrustations on the other. Therefore, the conventional morphological species concept does not reflect the real diversity. Most of the classical phycologists describing chlorococcal algal species from tropical and polar regions (for details see Komárek and Fott 1983) did not collect the material themselves. They examined fixed samples taken from scientific travellers and their species descriptions are usually difficult to link to modern, DNA-based views of chlorococcal taxonomy.

Both for desmids and chlorococcal algae it holds that experimental systematic studies, including ecophysiological tests and molecular sequence analyses on 'exotic' species are badly needed.

### Species concepts in desmids and chlorococcal algae

Problems concerning species definition in microalgae considerably hamper the interpretation of algal biodiversity and biogeography. The morphologic species concept considers species as groups of morphologically identical or similar organisms (Futuyma 1998). The biologic species concept defines species as groups of interbreeding populations which are reproductively isolated from other groups (Mayr 1942). However, both species concepts give problems when applied to algal species. In this context, John and Maggs (1997) come to the conclusion that at present no operational species concept is available for eukaryotic algae.



**Figs. 1–13** Desmids with limited geographical distribution (1–5) and cryptic (6, 7) and synonymous (8–13) species. **1:** *M. hardyi* (S.E. Australia; after Krieger 1939); **2:** *M. sudanensis* (tropical Africa; after Thomasson 1960); **3:** *M. depauperata* (American continents; after Krieger 1939); **4:** *M. muricata* (North America; after Krieger 1939); **5:** *M. ceratophora* (S.E. Asia and N. Australia; after Scott and Prescott 1961). **6, 7:** *Closterium ehrenbergii* (vegetative cell and sexual reproduction stage), a morphospecies consisting of at least 12 different biological species (original). **8–13:** Six *Cosmarium* species which are probably synonymous **8:** *C. wallichii* West and West (after Wallich 1860, as *Euastrum clepsydra* Wall.); **9:** *C. seelyanum* Wolle (after Wolle 1884); **10:** *Cosmarium nobile* (Turner) Krieg. (after Turner 1892, as *Euastrum nobile* Turner); **11:** *C. naivashensis* Rich (after Rich 1932); **12:** *C. divergens* Krieg. (after Krieger 1932); **13:** *C. subnobile* Hinode (after Hinode 1966)


Traditional, morphology-based desmid taxonomy is overloaded with synonyms and suffers from a high rate of splitting (see Diversity chapter below). Unfortunately, sexual reproduction—essential for applying the biologic species concept—is a relatively rare phenomenon in this algal group (of many species no sexual stages are known at all). In addition, desmids are haploid organisms, so most mutations are immediately expressed. Consequently, by predominant lack of sexual reproduction (so possible exchange of genes

during meiotic cell division) genotypically determined morphological variation is not wiped out. Therefore, exclusively clonal reproduction (particularly in euplanktic species) may readily result in the formation of desmid microspecies, just like in apomictically reproducing macrophytes (Coesel and Joosten 1996).

One of the possible reasons that sexual reproduction stages (zygospores) are usually encountered only incidentally is that but few clonal populations are homothallic (i.e., self-fertile). In experiments, out of some 120 randomly selected desmid strains belonging to 16 genera and over 80 species, only three showed homothallic sexual reproduction (Coesel and Teixeira 1974). On the other hand, mating experiments between different clones, often originating from different sites, revealed the phenomenon of heterothallism in, e.g., *Closterium ehrenbergii* Ralfs (Ichimura 1981; Coesel 1988), *Closterium strigosum* Bréb. (Watanabe and Ichimura 1978), *Pleurotaenium mamillatum* West (Ling and Tyler 1976) and *Micrasterias thomasiana* Archer (Blackburn and Tyler 1987). Especially in *Closterium ehrenbergii* (Figs. 6, 7), many mating types have been demonstrated, that is, populations which mutually show (almost) complete sexual isolation (Ichimura 1981). Such mating types can be considered syngens or biological species. Often, but not necessarily, mating types of one and the same morphospecies slightly differ in morphology, ecology and/or geographical distribution (Ichimura and Kasai 1990; Ichimura et al. 1997). No doubt, such sibling species—also to be traced from DNA analyses (Denboeh et al. 2003)—will occur in many more morphospecies, and it is clear that they will substantially increase the diversity of this algal group.

Also in chlorococcal algal taxonomy, the morphologic species concept is burdened with a high degree of misinterpretation. For example, one and the same morphotype, such as the globular ‘green ball’, has evolved in different phylogenetic lineages. On the other hand, highly diverse morphotypes can belong to one and the same lineage (Luo et al. 2005). This ambivalent interpretation of morphotypes was documented on the archetypical green ball *Chlorella* and relatives. In freshwater and brackish habitats several distinct lineages of spherical ‘*Chlorella*-like’ green algae have been found and designated as separate clades: *Mychonastes/Pseudodictyosphaerium*, now placed in the Chlorophyceae; and *Choricystis/Nanochlorum/Chlorella*, now placed in the Trebouxiophyceae (Krienitz et al. 1999; Hepperle and Krienitz 2001). In marine habitats, several other lineages of globular green algae have been reported, e.g., in the prasinophytes *Pycnococcus provasolii* Guillard, now placed in the Pseudoscourfieldiales (Daughbjerg et al. 1995); and *Ostreococcus tauri* Courties et Chrétiennot-Dinet, now placed in the Mamiellales (Courties et al. 1998). Further members of the *Chlorella* morphotype await taxonomic treatment and a transfer to other lineages of the Chlorophyta (Komárek and Fott 1983). The multiple origin of ‘*Chlorella*-like’ algae may be explained by an adaptive advantage of the coccoid morphotype in ecosystems (Potter et al. 1997). On the other hand, sequence analyses revealed that several morphologically different algae, that were grouped in different lineages, such as *Closteriopsis*, *Actinastrum*, *Dictyosphaerium*, *Didymogenes* and *Micractinium* cluster close to globular ‘true’ *Chlorella* sensu stricto species (Fig. 14).

In numerous chlorococcal algal species sexual reproduction is unknown. Therefore, the biologic species concept is not applicable. Fortunately, molecular analyses offer an alternative to get insight in their relationships. After the introduction of DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analyses, the systematics of algae is going through a dramatic phase of change. The recent situation is marked by the quest for a compromise between the conventional (artificial) and the phylogenetic system. This upheaval will have significant consequences on biodiversity and biogeography of algal taxa. Some examples of these changing views will be given in the following two chapters.




**Fig. 14** Phylogenetic tree of Chlorellaceae derived from 18S rRNA gene sequences. The true, spherical *Chlorella* species, *C. vulgaris*, *C. sorokiniana* and *C. lobophora*, are intermixed by morphologically different taxa which were formerly placed within other families of coccooid green algae. *Chlorella kessleri* is transferred to the genus *Parachlorella* which is closely related to species of the needle-shaped *Closteriopsis* and *Diclostera* (according to Krienitz et al. 2004 and Luo et al. 2005)

### Diversity

According to Gerrath (1993), estimations of global desmid species richness highly vary, but for the most part will be too high in view of the fair number of current synonyms. To give here a single example of possible synonymy: *Cosmarium seelyanum* Wolle, *C. wallichii* West and West, *C. divergens* Krieg., *C. naivashensis* Rich, *C. nobile* (Turner) Krieg., and *C. subnobile* Hinode are possibly the same species (Figs. 8–13), which occur in the literature also under the name of *C. abruptum* Lundell. Minor morphologic differences often are within the phenotypic variability of a species, and are thus not of taxonomic significance. Many forms, at any time described as separate varieties or even species, appear to be interconnected by transitional forms, so most likely belong to one and the same taxon, e.g. the common species *Staurastrum furcatum* (Ralfs) Bréb. and *Staurastrum aciculiferum* (West) Andersson (Figs. 15–17). However, such causes for overestimation of species diversity are counterbalanced by reasons for underestimation. Different species the vegetative cells of which are very much alike in practice usually will not be recognized. Certain small-sized, smooth-walled *Cosmarium* species can only be identified reliably when zygospores are encountered (Figs. 18–23). As zygospores usually are met only incidentally, new species can be expected on account of zygospore morphology. Apart from possible under- and overestimations of species diversity, related to insufficient observations or failures in knowledge of relevant literature, a reliable assessment of species diversity is also hindered by the experience that polymorphism in a ‘species’ is not always phenotypic but also may have got a genetic base, like in sibling species.

Taking into account all these complications it is fully understandable that estimations of total desmid species richness in (rather recent) literature range from 1,500 to 12,000



**Figs. 15–31** Problems in species identification (15–23), flagship species (24), and species with restricted distribution (25–31). **15–17:** *Staurastrum furcatum* (left) and *S. aciculiferum* (right) are interconnected by a transitional form (after Péterfi 1973). **18–23:** Some morphologically similar, small-sized, smooth-walled *Cosmarium* species that can only be reliably identified by their zygospore. **18:** *C. majae* K.Ström (after Coesel and Meesters 2002); **19:** *C. asterosporum* Coesel (after Coesel 1989); **20:** *C. pseudobicuneatum* Jao (after Jao 1940); **21, 22, 23:** unknown species still to be described (originals). **24:** *Cosmarium barramundiense* Coesel, a recently described flagship species from northern Australia (after Coesel 2004). **25–28:** *Staurastrum* species that have, within Europe, a distinctly atlantic-subarctic distribution (originals). **25:** *S. arcticon;* **26:** *S. ophiura* (in top view); **27:** *S. maamense;* **28:** *S. elongatum.* **29, 30:** Two desmids (*Euastrum germanicum,* *Cosmarium striolatum*) with a predominantly continental distribution within Europe (originals). **31:** *Micrasterias americana,* a fast expanding desmid species in The Netherlands (original)

(Gerrath 1993). Gerrath (1993) thinks that there are approximately 3,000 ‘good’ desmid species worldwide. When extrapolating the number of morphospecies distinguished in an ongoing inventory of European Staurastra, the first author comes to a comparable number. Anyhow, it is remarkable that hardly any new desmid flagship species are found. A recent, rather extensive investigation in northern Australia revealed but a single ‘brand-new’ species, quite different from all taxa described before (Fig. 24). This could be an indication that the number of desmid species endemic to a relatively small geographical area is limited, which for a group of readily to be transported micro-organisms is not really surprising.

The most recent comprehensive monograph on chlorococcal algae (Komárek and Fott 1983) contains about 1,200 species and subspecific taxa. Estimations of the real number of species are extremely vague because of the pending situation in species concepts. To illustrate this, the former genus *Scenedesmus* (now split into the genera *Scenedesmus*, *Desmodesmus*, *Acutodesmus*) may be used as an example. As a result of the high variability in morphologic characters (shape and organization of coenobia, spines, incrustations, cell wall ornamentations), more than 1,300 (morpho)species and subspecies have been described (Hegewald and Silva 1988). Studies using unialgal cultures to estimate the morphological variability revealed a severe overestimation of species number (Hegewald et al. 1990; Trainor 1998; Hegewald 1999). These observations were supported by molecular studies (Hegewald 2000). On the other hand, combined studies on fine structure and gene sequence (ITS2) of 22 clones identified as *Desmodesmus costato-granulatus* (Skuja) Hegewald indicated a higher diversity than expected. According to this interdisciplinary approach, it was split into five species (Vanormelingen et al. 2007).

Another example of the ambivalent situation in estimation of species diversity is *Botryococcus braunii* Kützing considered to be a ‘well-known’ microplankton of inland waters, showing typical, large colonies like bunches of grape. Komárek and Marvan (1992) collected 74 populations of *Botryococcus*-like algae worldwide, studied their morphologic characteristics, and found 13 different morphotypes which fulfilled the species status according to the commonly used criteria in chlorococcal algal taxonomy. Unfortunately, molecular studies on these algae are deficient. The only known study on the phylogenetic placement of *Botryococcus* is supporting a polyphyletic origin (Senousy et al. 2004).

Also taxon delimitation within the Selenastraceae, a family of needle-shaped and lunate chlorococcal algae, has experienced considerable changes in recent times. Komárková-Legnerová (1969) and Marvan et al. (1984) provided revisions of the Selenastraceae based on morphotypes. Hindák (1984) described several new species which are of intermediate morphology with respect to described species, e.g., *Monoraphidium intermedium* Hindák as an intermediate taxon of *M. griffithii* (Berk.) Kom.-Legn. and *M. obtusum* (Korsh.) Kom.-Legn. The first molecular phylogenetic study on the Selenastraceae (Krienitz et al. 2001) revealed an intermixing of common members of the genera *Ankistrodesmus*, *Monoraphidium* and *Selenastrum* which contradicts the traditional way of circumscription of genera and species in this family. Finally, Fawley et al. (2005) discovered cryptic species in the Selenastraceae. It was found that isolates of one and the same morphotype can differ in 18S rDNA sequences, whereas isolates with identical or similar 18S rDNA sequence can exhibit different morphologies. These results give further arguments for the necessity of interdisciplinary work in algal systematics and diversity.




## Geographic distribution

Examples of peculiar, well-defined distribution patterns in desmids were already shown by Donat (1926) and refined by Heimans (1969). Most striking is the occurrence of a number of flagship species that, within Europe, are characterized by a marked atlantic-subarctic distribution: *Staurastrum elongatum* Barker, *S. maamense* Archer, *S. arctiscon* (Ralfs) Lundell, *S. cerastes* Lundell, *S. ophiura* Lundell, *S. brasiliense* Nordst., and *S. sexangulare* (Bulnh.) Lundell (Figs. 25–28). As we have to do with aquatic organisms, it is difficult to imagine which climatic factor(s) could be responsible for such a remarkable distribution pattern. Likely it is some ecological parameter, linked to the nearness of seawater, that is decisive for their occurrence. The above-mentioned species are also known from the North American continent, but their distribution over there seems to be less distinct (Prescott et al. 1982). Anyhow, even in the atlantic and subarctic regions of Europe none of these species is really common. From The Netherlands, out of the seven above-mentioned species, *S. elongatum*, *S. ophiura*, *S. arctiscon*, *S. brasiliense* and *S. cerastes* have been reported, but only from one or a few sites and only in the first half of the last century. Some of them were regularly found during a longer period, e.g., *S. ophiura* between 1912 and 1930. From the fact that none of these species succeeded in enlarging its regional area, it is suggested that ecological demands rather than climatic factors or dispersal abilities are limiting.

In Europe, versus atlantic-subarctic species, also continental desmid species can be distinguished. Striking examples are *Cosmarium striolatum* (Nägeli) Archer [synonymous with *C. tessellatum* (Delponte) Nordst.] and *Euastrum germanicum* (Schmidle) Krieg. (Figs. 29, 30). Both *Cosmarium striolatum* and *Euastrum germanicum* are widely distributed on the continent (Heimans 1969; Coesel 1978), but are absent from Great Britain (Brook and Williamson 1991). In this case, the lack might be attributed to the isolated position of the British Islands. A few decades ago, these species were extremely rare in The Netherlands, too. Yet, in recent years Dutch records, particularly of *Euastrum germanicum*, are remarkably increasing in number. Obviously, both species are advancing in western direction and it may be only a question of (relatively little) time that they reach England.

Possibly, the fast increasing number of Dutch records of *Euastrum germanicum* in the last decade has to do with increasing average year temperatures. In this context, also the expansion of another conspicuous Dutch desmid species in The Netherlands has to be stressed, i.e., of *Micrasterias americana* Ralfs (Fig. 31). Although The Netherlands have been intensively inventoried for desmids already from the beginning of the 20th century, this species was not recorded before 1952 (Heimans 1969). Since then the number of Dutch records steadily increased, but it is only in the last decade that it has become one of the most common *Micrasterias* species of The Netherlands, also found in disturbed habitats. This latter phenomenon, though, might be an indication of a changed genetic constitution enabling the filling of another (larger) ecological niche.

In contrast to the desmids, the chlorococcal algae are generally supposed to be ubiquitous and to have a cosmopolitan distribution. As such they would serve as a good example of Beijerinck's metaphor, taken up by Baas-Becking and finally accentuated by Fenchel et al. (1997), Finlay (2002) and Fenchel and Finlay (2004): 'in micro-organisms, everything is everywhere, the environment selects'. This statement has evoked a heated discussion focusing on the species concepts. In recent times, numerous articles have been published which contradict the ubiquity hypothesis (reviewed by Foissner 2006 and Logares 2006). For micro-algae this statement is still under disputation (Coleman 2002, Finlay and Fenchel 2002). In diatoms, Hillebrandt et al. (2001) revealed a decreasing similarity of



**Figs. 32–35** Some examples of chlorococcal algae with limited geographic distribution. **32:** *Pediatrum marvillense* Théréz. and Couté (Antarctic; after Thérézien and Couté 1977); **33:** *Botryococcus fernandoi* Komárek and Marvan (Ethiopia; after Komárek and Marvan 1992); **34:** *Makinoella tosaensis* Okada (S.E. Asia; after Okada 1949); **35:** *Amphikrikos variabilis* Krienitz (Namibia; after Krienitz 1998)

species composition with increasing geographic distance, and thus they reject strict ubiquity of unicellular taxa. Coleman (2001) found local adaptation and endemism in phytoflagellates of the genera *Pandorina* and *Volvulina*.

As for the chlorococcal algae, there are indications of endemism both in classical, morphological and in modern, molecular approaches. Wille (1924) described the genus *Soropediastrum* which contains two species only found on the Kerguelen. From the same Antarctic region *Pediatrum marvillense* Théréz. and Couté (Fig. 32) was discovered, which also seems to be endemic (Komárek and Jankovská (2001). Detailed studies on chlorococcal algae of Cuba demonstrated in 20% of the taxa slight morphological differences in comparison to the original descriptions based on material from the temperate zone (Komárek 1983; Comas 1996). The latter authors found 21 taxa only recorded from Cuba. Several morphospecies of *Botryococcus* studied by Komárek and Marvan (1992) are only known from a few localities, e.g., *B. fernandoi* Komárek and Marvan (Fig. 33). The large oocystacean *Makinoella tosaensis* Okada (Fig. 34) has been reported only from Japan and Korea (Hegewald et al. 1999). *Amphikrikos variabilis* Krienitz (Fig. 35), with a distinct pattern of cell wall incrustation, has been found only in swamps and rivers of Namibia (Krienitz 1998).

Though, there are also indications that several species enlarged their distribution area considerably during the last decades ('invading species'), e.g., the formerly 'tropical/subtropical' species *Desmodesmus perforatus* (Lemmerm.) Hegewald and *Pediatrum simplex* Meyen. Nowadays, these species are encountered regularly in the temperate zone (Jeon and Hegewald 2006; Geissler and Kies 2003).

Slapeta et al. (2006) performed molecular analyses in the morphospecies *Micromonas pusilla* Butcher, a marine, picoplanktic prasinophyte. This morphospecies appeared to be a complex of morphologically indistinguishable phylogenetic lineages, representing cryptic species. Although some of these entities were shown to have a global, oceanic distribution, a more restricted distribution of other ones could not be precluded.

## References

- Blackburn SI, Tyler PA (1987) On the nature of eclectic species—a tiered approach to genetic compatibility in the desmid *Micrasterias thomasi*. *Br phycol J* 22:277–298
- Brook AJ, Williamson DB (1991) A check-list of desmids of the British Isles. Occasional publication 28, Freshwater Biological Association, Ambleside
- Coesel PFM (1978) Taxonomical, geographical and ecological notes on *Euastrum mononcyllum* var. *germanicum* Schmidle (Chlorophyta, Desmidiaceae). *Arch Protistenk* 120:436–445
- Coesel PFM (1988) Biosystematic studies on the *Closterium moniliferum/ehrenbergii* complex (Chlorophyta, Conjugatophyceae) in western Europe. II. Sexual compatibility. *Phycologia* 27:421–424
- Coesel PFM (1989) Taxonomic notes on Dutch desmids. *Cryptogam Alcol* 10:181–193
- Coesel PFM (1996) Biogeography of desmids. *Hydrobiologia* 336:41–53
- Coesel PFM (2004) Some new and otherwise interesting desmid species from Kakadu National Park (Northern Australia). *Quekett J Microsc* 39:779–782
- Coesel PFM, Joosten AMT (1996) Three new planktic *Staurastrum* taxa (Chlorophyta, Desmidiaceae) from eutrophic water bodies and the significance of microspecies in desmid taxonomy. *Algal Stud* 80:9–20
- Coesel PFM, Meesters J (2002) Signalen van sieraalgen. *Natura* 99:68–70
- Coesel PFM, Teixeira RMV (1974) Notes on sexual reproduction in desmids. II. Experiences with conjugation experiments in uni-algal cultures. *Acta Bot Neerl* 23:603–611
- Coleman AW (2001) Biogeography and speciation in the *Pandorina/Volvulina* (Chlorophyta) superclade. *J Phycol* 37:836–851
- Coleman AW (2002) Microbial eukaryote species. *Science* 297:337
- Comas AG (1996) Las Chlorococcales dulciacuicolas de Cuba. *Bibllthca phycol* 99:1–192
- Courties C, Perasso R, Chretiennot-Dinet MJ et al (1998) Phylogenetic analysis and genome size of *Ostreococcus tauri* (Chlorophyta, Prasinophyceae). *J Phycol* 34:844–849
- Daugbjerg N, Moestrup O, Arctander P (1995) Phylogeny of genera of Prasinophyceae and Pedinophyceae (Chlorophyta) deduced from molecular analysis of the *rbcL* gene. *Phycol Res* 43:203–213
- Denboh T, Ichimura T, Hendrayanti D et al (2003) The *Closterium moniliferum-ehrenbergii* (Charophyceae, Chlorophyta) species complex viewed from the 1506 group I intron and ITS2 of nuclear rDNA. *J Phycol* 39:960–977
- Donat A (1926) Zur Kenntnis der Desmidiaceen des norddeutschen Flachlandes. *Pflanzenforschung* 5. Fischer, Jena
- Fawley MW, Dean ML, Dimmer SK et al (2005) Evaluating the morphospecies concept in the Selenastraceae (Chlorophyceae, Chlorophyta). *J Phycol* 42:142–154
- Fenchel T, Esteban GF, Finlay BJ (1997) Local versus global diversity of microorganisms: cryptic diversity of ciliated protozoa. *Oikos* 80:220–225
- Fenchel T, Finlay BJ (2004) The ubiquity of small species: patterns of local and global diversity. *BioScience* 54:777–784
- Finlay BJ (2002) Global dispersal of free-living microbial eukaryote species. *Science* 296:1061–1063
- Finlay BJ, Fenchel T (2002) Microbial eukaryote species. *Science* 297:337
- Foissner W (2006) Biogeography and dispersal of micro-organisms: a review emphasizing protists. *Acta Protozoologica* 45:111–136
- Futuyma DJ (1998) *Evolutionary biology*. Sinauer Assoc, Massachusetts
- Geissler U, Kies L (2003) Artendiversität und Veränderungen in der Algenflora zweier städtischer Ballungsgebiete Deutschlands: Berlin und Hamburg. *Nova Hedwigia, Beih* 126:1–777
- Gerrath JF (1993) The biology of desmids: a decade of progress. In: Round FE, Chapman DJ (eds) *Progress in phycological research* 9. Biopress, Bristol, pp 79–192
- Hegewald E (1999) Polymorphismus und Variabilität in der Grünalgenart *Scenedesmus* Meyen, 1829 (Chlorophyta, Chlorococcales). *Cour Forsch-Inst Senckenberg* 215:123–128
- Hegewald E (2000) New combinations in the genus *Desmodesmus* (Chlorophyceae, Scenedesmaceae). *Algal Stud* 96:1–18
- Hegewald E, Hindák F, Schnepf E (1990) Studies on the genus *Scenedesmus* Meyen (Chlorophyceae, Chlorococcales) from South India, with special reference to the cell wall ultrastructure. *Beih Nova Hedwigia* 99:1–75
- Hegewald E, Schnepf E, Jeon SL (1999) Report on *Makionella tosaensis* Okada (Chlorophyta, Oocystaceae). *Algae* 14:87–90
- Hegewald E, Silva P (1988) Annotated catalogue of *Scenedesmus* and nomenclaturally related genera including original descriptions and figures. *Bibllthca phycol* 80:1–587
- Heimans J (1969) Ecological, phytogeographical and taxonomic problems with desmids. *Vegetatio* 17:50–82

- Hepperle D, Krienitz L (2001) Systematics and ecology of chlorophyte picoplankton in German inland waters along a nutrient gradient. *Internat Rev Hydrobiol* 86:269–284
- Hillebrandt H, Watermann F, Karez R et al (2001) Differences in species richness patterns between unicellular and multicellular organisms. *Oecologia* 126:114–124
- Hindák F (1984) Studies on the chlorococcal algae (Chlorophyceae). III. VEDA, Slovak Acad Science, Bratislava
- Hinode T (1966) Desmids from the northern district of Tokushima Prefecture (3). *J Jap Bot* 41:305–316
- Ichimura T (1981) Mating types and reproductive isolation in *Closterium ehrenbergii* Meneghini. *Bot Mag Tokyo* 94:325–334
- Ichimura T, Kasai F (1990) Mating systems and speciation in haplontic unicellular algae, desmids. In: Kawano S (eds) Biological approaches and evolutionary trends in plants. Academic Press, London, pp 309–332
- Ichimura T, Kasai F, Coesel PFM (1997) Geographical and ecological distribution of highly polyploid populations of the *Closterium ehrenbergii* species complex (Chlorophyta). *Phycologia* 36:157–163
- Jao CC (1940) Studies on the freshwater algae of China. IV. Subaerial and aquatic algae from Nanyoh, Hunan. II. *Sinensia* 11:241–361
- Jeon SL, Hegewald E (2006) A revision of the species *Desmodesmus perforatus* and *D. tropicus* (Scenedesmeaceae, Chlorophyceae, Chlorophyta). *Phycologia* 45:567–584
- John DM, Maggs CA (1997) Species problems in eukaryotic algae: a modern perspective. In: Claridge MF, Dahwah HA, Wilson MR (eds) Species: the units of biodiversity. Chapman and Hall, New York, pp 83–107
- Komárek J (1983) Contribution to the chlorococcal algae of Cuba. *Nova Hedwigia* 37:65–180
- Komárek J, Fott B (1983) Chlorophyceae (Grünalgen) Ordnung: Chlorococcales. In: Huber-Pestalozzi G (ed) Das Phytoplankton des Süßwassers 7. Teil, 1. Hälfte. Schweizerbart, Stuttgart
- Komárek J, Jankovská V (2001) Review of the green algal genus *Pediastrum*; implication for pollenanalytical research. *Bibliotheca phycol* 108:1–127
- Komárek J, Marvan P (1992) Morphological differences in natural populations of the genus *Botryococcus* (Chlorophyceae). *Arch Protistenk* 141:65–100
- Komárková-Legnerová J. (1969) The systematics and ontogenesis of the genera *Ankistrodesmus* Corda and *Monoraphidium* gen. nov. In: Fott B (ed) Studies in phycology. Academia Praha, pp 262–292
- Krieger W (1932) Die Desmidiaceen der Deutschen Limnologischen Sunda-Expedition. *Arch Hydrobiol, Suppl* 11:129–230
- Krieger W (1939) Die Desmidiaceen Europas mit Berücksichtigung der aussereuropäischen Arten. *Rabenhorst's Kryptogamen-Flora* 13, 1, 2. Akad Verlagsges, Leipzig
- Krienitz L (1998) *Amphikrikos variabilis* sp. nova (Chlorophyta), a common species of inland waters of Namibia. *Algol Stud* 91:1–10
- Krienitz L, Hegewald E, Hepperle D et al (2003) The systematics of coccoid green algae: 18S rRNA gene sequence data versus morphology. *Biologia* 58:437–446
- Krienitz L, Hegewald E, Hepperle D et al (2004) Phylogenetic relationship of *Chlorella* and *Parachlorella* gen. nov. (Chlorophyta, Trebouxiophyceae). *Phycologia* 43:529–542
- Krienitz L, Takeda H, Hepperle D (1999) Ultrastructure, cell wall composition, and phylogenetic position of *Pseudodictyosphaerium jurisii* (Chlorococcales, Chlorophyta) including a comparison with other picoplanktonic green algae. *Phycologia* 38:100–107
- Krienitz L, Ustinova I, Friedl T et al (2001) Traditional generic concepts versus 18S rRNA gene phylogeny in the green algal family Selenastraceae (Chlorophyceae, Chlorophyta). *J Phycol* 37:852–865
- Lewis LA, McCourt RM (2004) Green algae and the origin of land plants. *Am J Bot* 91:1535–1556
- Ling HU, Tyler PA (1976) Meiosis, polyploidy and taxonomy of the *Pleurotaenium mamillatum* complex (Desmidiaceae). *Br phycol J* 11:315–330
- Logares RE (2006) Does the global microbiota consist of a few cosmopolitan species? *Ecologia Austral* 16:85–90
- Luo W, Krienitz L, Pflugmacher S et al (2005) Genus and species concept in *Chlorella* and *Micractinium* (Chlorophyta, Chlorellaceae): genotype versus phenotypical variability under ecosystem conditions. *Verh Int Ver Limnol* 29:170–173
- Marvan P, Komárek J, Comas A (1984) Weighting and scaling of features in numerical evaluation of coccoid green algae (genera of the Selenastraceae). *Algol Stud* 37:363–399
- Mayr E (1942) Systematics and the origin of species. Columbia Univ Press, New York
- Okada Y (1949) *Makinoella tosaensis*, a new genus of the Oocystaceae. *Jap J Bot* 24:166–168
- Peterfi LS (1973) Studies on Romanian Staurastr. I. Variability and taxonomy of *Staurastrum spinosum* (Brébisson) Ralfs. *Nova Hedwigia* 24:121–144
- Potter D, Lajeunesse TC, Saunders GW et al (1997) Convergent evolution masks extensive biodiversity among marine coccoid picoplankton. *Biodiv Conserv* 6:99–107

- Prescott GW, Bicudo CEM, Vinyard WC (1982) A Synopsis of North American Desmids. II, 4. Univ Nebraska Press, Lincoln, London
- Rich F (1932) Contributions to our knowledge of the freshwater algae of Africa. *Trans Roy Soc South Africa* 20:149–188
- Scott AM, Prescott GW (1961) Indonesian desmids. *Hydrobiologia* 17:1–13
- Senousy HH, Beakes GW, Hack E. (2004) Phylogenetic placement of *Botryococcus braunii* (Trebouxiophyceae) and *Botryococcus sudeticus* isolate UTEX 2629 (Chlorophyceae). *J Phycol* 40:412–423
- Slapeta J, López-García P, Moreira D (2006) Global dispersal and ancient cryptic species in the smallest marine eukaryotes. *Mol Biol Evol* 23:23–29
- Thérézien Y, Couté A (1977) Algues d'eau douce des Iles Kerguelen et Crozet (à l'exclusion des Diatomées). *CNFRA* 43:1–91
- Thomasson K (1960) Notes on the plankton of Lake Bangweulu. 2 *Nova Acta Regiae Soc Sci Upsal* 17:1–43
- Trainor FR (1998) Biological aspects of *Scenedesmus* (Chlorophyceae) phenotypic plasticity. *Nova Hedwigia*, Beih 117:1–367
- Turner WB (1892) The freshwater algae (principally Desmidiaceae) of East India. *Kongl Svensk Vetensk Acad Handl* 25:1–187
- Vanormelingen P, Hegewald E, Braband A et al (2007) The systematics of a small spineless *Desmodesmus* taxon, *D. costato-granulatus* (Sphaeropleales, Chlorophyceae), based on ITS2 rDNA sequence analyses and cell wall morphology. *J Phycol* 43:378–396
- Wallich GC (1860) Desmidiaceae of Lower Bengal. *Ann Mag Nat Hist* 3:184–197, 273–285
- Watanabe M, Ichimura T (1978) Biosystematic studies of the *Closterium peracerosum-strigosum-littorale* complex. II. Reproductive isolation and morphological variation among several populations from the northern Kanto area in Japan. *Bot Mag Tokyo* 91:1–10
- Wille N (1924) Süßwasser-algen von der deutschen Südpolar-Expedition auf dem Schiff "Gauss". 1.–2. Teil. *Deutsche Südpolar-Expedition* 8:373–445
- Wolle F (1884) Desmids of the United States and list of American pediastrums. *Moravian Publ Off*, Bethlehem, P.A