UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Discourse-based lexical anticipation : the nature and contextual basis of predictions in language comprehension

Otten, M.

Publication date
2008

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):
Otten, M. (2008). *Discourse-based lexical anticipation : the nature and contextual basis of predictions in language comprehension*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, P.O. Box 19185, 1000 GD Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)

Download date:15 Sep 2026

References

a

Altmann, G. T. M., & Kamide, Y. (1999). Incremental interpretation at verbs: Restricting the domain of subsequent reference. Cognition, 73(3), 247-264.

Altmann, G. T. M., van Nice, K. Y., Garnham, A., & Henstra, J. A. (1998). Late closure in context. Journal of Memory and Language, 38(4), 459-484.

Atchley, R. A., Burgess, C., & Keeney, M. (1999). The effect of time course and context on the facilitation of semantic features in the cerebral hemispheres. Neuropsychology, 13(3), 389-403.

b

Baddeley, A. (2003). Working Memory: Looking Back and Looking Forward. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 4(10), 829-839.

Bar, M. (2004). Visual objects in context. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 5(8), 617-629.

Beeman, M., Friedman, R. B., Grafman, J., Perez, E., & et al. (1994). Summation priming and coarse semantic coding in the right hemisphere. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 6(1), 26-45.

Beeman, M. J., Bowden, E. M., & Gernbacher, M. A. (2000). Right and left hemisphere cooperation for drawing predictive and coherence inferences during normal story comprehension. Brain and Language, 71(2), 310-336.

Bentin, S., McCarthy, G., & Wood, C. C. (1985). Event-related potentials, lexical decision and semantic priming. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol, 60(4), 343-355.

Biederman, I., Mezzanotte, R. J., & Rabinowitz, J. C. (1982). Scene perception: Detecting and judging objects undergoing relational violations. Cognitive Psychology, 14(2), 143-177.

Brown, C. M., van Berkum, J. J. A., & Hagoort, P. (2000). Discourse before gender: An event-related brain potential study on the interplay of semantic and syntactic information during spoken language understanding. Journal of Psycholinguistic Research, 29(1), 53-68.

Brumback, C. R., Low, K. A., Gratton, G., & Fabiani, M. (2005). Putting Things into Perspective: Individual Differences in Working-Memory Span and the Integration of Information. Experimental Psychology, 52(1), 21-30.

Budd, D., Whitney, P., & Turley, K. J. (1995). Individual differences in working memory strategies for reading expository text. Memory and Cognition, 23(6), 735-748.

C

Calvo, M. G. (2001). Working memory and inferences: Evidence from eye fixations during reading. Memory, 9(4-6), 365-381.

Calvo, M. G., & Castillo, M. D. (1996). Predictive Inferences Occur On-Line, but with Delay: Convergence of Naming and Reading Times. Discourse Processes, 22(1), 57-78.

Calvo, M. G., Castillo, M. D., & Estevez, A. (1999). On-Line Predictive Inferences in Reading: Processing Time during versus after the Priming Context. Memory and Cognition, 27(5), 834-843.

Camblin, C. C., Gordon, P. C., & Swaab, T. Y. (2007). The interplay of discourse congruence and lexical association during sentence processing: Evidence from ERPs and eye tracking. Journal of Memory and Language, 56(1), 103-128.

Campion, N. (2004). Predictive inferences are represented as hypothetical facts. Journal of Memory and Language, 50(2), 149-164.

Caplan, D., & Waters, G. S. (1999). Verbal working memory and sentence comprehension. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 22(1), 77-126.

Carroll, P., & Slowiaczek, M. L. (1986). Constraints on semantic priming in reading: A fixation time analysis. Memory and Cognition, 14(6), 509-522.

Chiarello, C., Liu, S., Quan, N., & Shears, C. (2000). Imageability and word recognition in the left and right visual fields: A signal detection analysis. Brain and Cognition, 43(1-3), 90-94.

Chomsky, N. (1957). Syntactic structures. Den Haag, The Netherlands: Mouton.

Chwilla, D. J., Brown, C. M., & Hagoort, P. (1995). The N400 as a function of the level of processing. Psychophysiology, 32(3), 274-285.

Clark, H. H. (1996). Using language. Cambridge [England]: Cambridge University Press.

Collins, A. M., & Loftus, E. F. (1975). A spreading-activation theory of semantic processing. Psychological Review, 82(6), 407-428.

Cook, A. E., Halleran, J. G., & O'Brien, E. J. (1998). What is readily available during reading? A memory-based view of text processing. Discourse Processes, 26(2-3), 109-129.

Cook, A. E., Limber, J. E., & O'Brien, E. J. (2001). Situation-Based Context and the Availability of Predictive Inferences. Journal of Memory and Language, 44(2), 220-234.

Cook, A. E., & Myers, J. L. (2004). Processing discourse roles in scripted narratives: The influences of context and world knowledge. Journal of Memory and Language, 50(3), 268-288.

Coulson, S., & Federmeier, K. (in press). Words in context: ERPs and the lexical/postlexical distinction. Journal of psycholinguistic research.

Coulson, S., Federmeier, K. D., Van Petten, C., & Kutas, M. (2005). Right Hemisphere Sensitivity to Word- and Sentence-Level Context: Evidence From Event-Related Brain Potentials. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 31(1), 129-147.

Coulson, S., King, J. W., & Kutas, M. (1998). Expect the unexpected: Event-related brain response to morphosyntactic violations. Language and Cognitive Processes, 13(1), 21-58.

Curran, T., Tucker, D. M., Kutas, M., & Posner, M. I. (1993). Topography of the N400: Brain electrical activity reflecting semantic expectancy. Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology: Evoked Potentials, 88(3), 188-209.

d

Daneman, M., & Carpenter, P. A. (1980). Individual differences in working memory and reading. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 19(4), 450-466.

Daneman, M., & Carpenter, P. A. (1983). Individual differences in integrating information between and within sentences. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 9(4), 561-584.

Davenport, J. L., & Potter, M. C. (2004). Scene Consistency in Object and Background Perception. Psychological Science, 15(8), 559-564.

Dell, G. S. (1986). A spreading-activation theory of retrieval in sentence production. Psychological Review, 93(3), 283-321.

DeLong, K. A., Urbach, T. P., & Kutas, M. (2005). Probabilistic word pre-activation during language comprehension inferred from electrical brain activity. Nature Neuroscience, 8(8), 1117-1121.

Ditman, T., Holcomb, P. J., & Kuperberg, G. R. (2007). The contributions of lexico-semantic and discourse information to the resolution of ambiguous categorical anaphors. Language and Cognitive Processes, 22(6), 793-827.

Duffy, S. A., Henderson, J. M., & Morris, R. K. (1989). Semantic facilitation of lexical access during sentence processing. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 15(5), 791-801.

e

Elman, J. L. (1990). Representation and structure in connectionist models. In G. T. M. Altmann (Ed.), Cognitive models of speech processing: Psycholinguistic and computational perspectives. (pp. 345 - 382). Cambridge, MA, US: The MIT Press.

Engle, R. W. (2002). Working memory capacity as executive attention. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 11(1), 19-23.

Estevez, A., & Calvo, M. G. (2000). Working memory capacity and time course of predictive inferences. Memory, 8(1), 51-61.

f

Faust, M. (1998). Obtaining evidence of language comprehension from sentence priming, Beeman, Mark (Ed); Chiarello, Christine (Ed). (1998). Right hemisphere language comprehension: Perspectives from cognitive neuroscience. (pp. 161 185). Mahwah, NJ, US: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers. xii, 408 pp.

Faust, M. E., & Gernsbacher, M. A. (1996). Cerebral mechanisms for suppression of inappropriate information during sentence comprehension. Brain and Language, 53(2), 234-259.

Federmeier, K. D., & Kutas, M. (1999a). Right words and left words: Electrophysiological evidence for hemispheric differences in meaning processing. Cognitive Brain Research, 8(3), 373-392.

Federmeier, K. D., & Kutas, M. (1999b). A rose by any other name: Long-term memory structure and sentence processing. Journal of Memory and Language, 41(4), 469-495.

Federmeier, K. D., McLennan, D. B., De Ochoa, E., & Kutas, M. (2002). The impact of semantic memory organization and sentence context information on spoken language processing by younger and older adults: an ERP study. Psychophysiology, 39(2), 133-146.

Federmeier, K. D., Segal, J. B., Lombrozo, T., & Kutas, M. (2000). Brain responses to nouns, verbs and class-ambiguous words in context. Brain, 123(12), 2552-2566.

Federmeier, K. D., Wlotko, E. W., De Ochoa-Dewald, E., & Kutas, M. (2007). Multiple effects of sentential constraint on word processing. Brain Research, 1146, 75-84.

Fincher Kiefer, R. (1993). The Role of Predictive Inferences in Situation Model Construction. Discourse Processes, 16(1-2), 99-124.

Fincher Kiefer, R. (1995). Relative Inhibition Following the Encoding of Bridging and Predictive Inferences. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 21(4), 981-995.

Fincher Kiefer, R. (1996). Encoding Differences between Bridging and Predictive Inferences. Discourse Processes, 22(3), 225-246.

Ford, J. M., Woodward, S. H., Sullivan, E. V., Isaacks, B. G., Tinklenberg, J. R., Yesavage, J. A., & Roth, W. T. (1996). N400 evidence of abnormal responses to speech in Alzheimer's disease. Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology, 99(3), 235-246.

Friederici, A. D. (1995). The time course of syntactic activation during language processing: A model based on neuropsychological and neurophysiological data. Brain and Language, 50(3), 259-281.

Friederici, A. D. (1997). Diagnosis and reanalysis: Two processing aspects of the brain may differentiate. In J. Fodor & F. Fereira (Eds.), Reanalysis in sentence processing. (pp. 177-200). Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Friederici, A. D., Hahne, A., & Mecklinger, A. (1996). Temporal structure of syntactic parsing: Early and late event-related brain potential effects. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 22(5), 1219-1248.

g

Garrett, M. (2000). Remarks on the architecture of language processing systems. In Y. Grodzinsky & L. P. Shapiro & D. Swinney (Eds.), Language and the brain: Representation and processing. (Vol. xxi, pp. 31-69). San Diego, CA, US: Academic Press.

Garrod, S., & Terras, M. (2000). The contribution of lexical and situational knowledge to resolving discourse roles: Bonding and resolution. Journal of Memory and Language, 42(4), 526-544.

Gernsbacher, M. A., & Faust, M. (1991). The role of suppression in sentence comprehension, Simpson, Greg B (Ed). (1991). Understanding word and sentence. (pp. 97 128). Oxford, England: North Holland. xiv, 400 pp.

Gernsbacher, M. A., & Faust, M. (1995). Skilled suppression, Dempster, Frank N (Ed); Brainerd, Charles J (Ed). (1995). Interference and inhibition in cognition. (pp. 295 327). San Diego, CA, US: Academic Press. xvii, 423 pp.

Gernsbacher, M. A., & Faust, M. E. (1991). The mechanism of suppression: A component of general comprehension skill. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 17(2), 245-262.

Graesser, A. C., Singer, M., & Trabasso, T. (1994). Constructing inferences during narrative text comprehension. Psychological Review, 101(3), 371-395.

Gunter, T. C., Stowe, L. A., & Mulder, G. (1997). When syntax meets semantics. Psychophysiology, 34(6), 660-676.

h

Haberlandt, K. F., & Graesser, A. C. (1985). Component processes in text comprehension and some of their interactions. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 114(3), 357-374.

Hagoort, P., & Brown, C. (1994). Brain responses to lexical ambiguity resolution and parsing, Clifton, Charles Jr. (Ed); Frazier, Lyn (Ed); Rayner, Keith (Ed). (1994). Perspectives on sentence processing. (pp. 45 80). Hillsdale, NJ, England: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. xiv, 483 pp.

Hagoort, P., Brown, C., & Groothusen, J. (1993). The syntactic positive shift (SPS) as an ERP measure of syntactic processing. Language and Cognitive Processes, 8(4), 439-483.

Hagoort, P., Brown, C. M., & Swaab, T. Y. (1996). Lexical-semantic event-related potential effects in patients with left hemisphere lesions and aphasia, and patients with right hemisphere lesions without aphasia. Brain: A Journal of Neurology, 119(2), 627-649.

Hagoort, P., Hald, L., Bastiaansen, M., & Petersson, K. M. (2004). Integration of Word Meaning and World Knowledge in Language Comprehension. Science, 304(5669), 438-441.

Hess, D. J., Foss, D. J., & Carroll, P. (1995). Effects of global and local context on lexical processing during language comprehension. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 124(1), 62-82.

Hoeks, J. C., Stowe, L. A., & Doedens, G. (2004). Seeing words in context: the interaction of lexical and sentence level information during reading. Brain Research: Cognitive Brain Research, 19(1), 59-73.

j

Jackendoff, R. (2002). Foundations of Language. New York: Oxford University Press.

Jackendoff, R. (2007). A Parallel Architecture perspective on language processing. Brain Research, 1146, 2-22

Johnson, B. W., & Hamm, J. P. (2000). High-density mapping in an N400 paradigm: evidence for bilateral temporal lobe generators. Clin Neurophysiol, 111(3), 532-545.

Jolij, J., & Lamme, V. A. F. (submitted). Top-down effects in conscious visual perception make you see what is not there: evidence from a magnetic stimulation-induced visual illusion.

Jung, T. P., Makeig, S., Humphries, C., Lee, T. W., McKeown, M. J., Iragui, V., & Sejnowski, T. J. (2000). Removing electroencephalographic artifacts by blind source separation. Psychophysiology, 37(2), 163-178.

Jung, T. P., Makeig, S., Westerfield, M., Townsend, J., Courchesne, E., & Sejnowski, T. J. (2000). Removal of eye activity artifacts from visual event-related potentials in normal and clinical subjects. Clinical Neurophysiology, 111(10), 1745-1758.

Just, M. A., & Carpenter, P. A. (1992). A capacity theory of comprehension: Individual differences in working memory. Psychological Review, 99(1), 122-149.

Just, M. A., Carpenter, P. A., & Keller, T. A. (1996). The capacity theory of comprehension: New frontiers of evidence and arguments. Psychological Review, 103(4), 773-780.

k

Kamide, Y., Altmann, G. T. M., & Haywood, S. L. (2003). The time-course of prediction in incremental sentence processing: Evidence from anticipatory eye movements. Journal of Memory and Language, 49(1), 133-156.

Keefe, D. E., & McDaniel, M. A. (1993). The Time Course and Durability of Predictive Inferences. Journal of Memory and Language, 32(4), 446-463.

Kempen, G., & Huijbers, P. (1983). The lexicalization process in sentence production and naming: Indirect election of words. Cognition, 14(2), 185-209.

King, J., & Just, M. A. (1991). Individual differences in syntactic processing: The role of working memory. Journal of Memory and Language, 30(5), 580-602.

Kintsch, W. (1988). The role of knowledge in discourse comprehension: A constructionntegration model. Psychological Review, 95(2), 163-182.

Kintsch, W. (1998). Comprehension: A paradigm for cognition. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Kintsch, W., Patel, V. L., & Ericsson, K. A. (1999). The role of long-term working memory in text comprehension. Psychologia: An International Journal of Psychology in the Orient, 42(4), 186-198.

Kolk, H. H. J., Chwilla, D. J., van Herten, M., & Oor, P. J. W. (2003). Structure and limited capacity in verbal working memory: A study with event-related potentials. Brain and Language, 85(1), 1-36.

Kuperberg, G. R. (2007). Neural mechanisms of language comprehension: Challenges to syntax. Brain Research(doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2006.12.063).

Kuperberg, G. R., Holcomb, P. J., Sitnikova, T., Greve, D., Dale, A. M., & Caplan, D. (2003). Distinct patterns of neural modulation during the processing of conceptual and syntactic anomalies. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 15(2), 272-293.

Kutas, M., & Federmeier, K. D. (2000). Electrophysiology reveals semantic memory use in language comprehension. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 4(12), 463-470.

Kutas, M., & Hillyard, S. A. (1980). Reading senseless sentences: Brain potentials reflect semantic incongruity. Science, 207(4427), 203-205.

Kutas, M., & Hillyard, S. A. (1984). Brain potentials during reading reflect word expectancy and semantic association. Nature, 307(5947), 161-163.

Kutas, M., & Van Petten, C. K. (1994). Psycholinguistics electrified: Event-related brain potential investigations, Gernsbacher, Morton Ann (Ed). (1994). Handbook of psycholinguistics. (pp. 83 143). San Diego, CA, US: Academic Press. xxii, 1174 pp.

Kutas, M., Van Petten, C. K., & Kluender, R. (2006). Psycholinguistics Electrified II (1994-2005). In M. A. Gernsbacher, & Traxler, M. (Ed.), Handbook of Psycholinguistics, 2nd edition. (pp. 659-724). New York: Elsevier Press.

/

Lau, E., Stroud, C., Plesch, S., & Phillips, C. (2006). The role of structural prediction in rapid syntactic analysis. Brain and Language, 98(1), 74-88.

Ledoux, K., Camblin, C. C., Swaab, T. Y., & Gordon, P. C. (2006). Reading Words in Discourse: The Modulation of Lexical Priming Effects by Message-Level Context. Behavioral and Cognitive Neuroscience Reviews, 5(3), 107-127.

Legge, G. E., Ahn, S. J., Klitz, T. S., & Luebker, A. (1997). Psychophysics of reading-XVI. The visual span in normal and low vision. Vision Research, 37(14), 1999-2010.

Levelt, W. J. M. (1989). Working models of perception: Five general issues. In B. A. G. Elsendoorn & H. Bouma (Eds.), Working models of human perception. (Vol. xiii, pp. 489-503). San Diego, CA, US: Academic Press.

Levelt, W. J. M., Roelofs, A., & Meyer, A. S. (1999). A theory of lexical access in speech production. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 22(1), 1-75.

Linderholm, T. (2002). Predictive inference generation as a function of working memory capacity and causal text constraints. Discourse Processes, 34(3), 259-280.

m

MacDonald, M. C., Just, M. A., & Carpenter, P. A. (1992). Working memory constraints on the processing of syntactic ambiguity. Cognitive Psychology, 24(1), 56-98.

MacDonald, M. C., Pearlmutter, N. J., & Seidenberg, M. S. (1994). The lexical nature of syntactic ambiguity resolution. Psychological Review, 101(4), 676-703.

Masson, M. E. J. (1995). A distributed memory model of semantic priming. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 21(1), 3-23.

McAteer, E. (1992). Typeface emphasis and information focus in written language. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 6(4), 345-359.

McCarthy, G., & Wood, C. C. (1985). Scalp distributions of event-related potentials: an ambiguity associated with analysis of variance models. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol, 62(3), 203-208.

McClelland, J. L., & Rumelhart, D. E. (1981). An interactive activation model of context effects in letter perception: I. An account of basic findings. Psychological Review, 88(5), 375-407.

McDaniel, M. A., Schmalhofer, F., & Keefe, D. E. (2001). What is minimal about predictive inferences? Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 8(4), 840-846.

McKoon, G., & Ratcliff, R. (1986). Inferences about predictable events. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 12(1), 82-91.

McKoon, G., & Ratcliff, R. (1992). Inference during reading. Psychological Review, 99(3), 440-466.

Meyer, D. E., & Schvaneveldt, R. W. (1976). Meaning, memory structure, and mental processes. Science, 192(4234), 27-33.

Morris, R. K. (1994). Lexical and message-level sentence context effects on fixation times in reading. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 20(1), 92-103.

Munte, T. F., Heinze, H. J., Matzke, M., Wieringa, B. M., & Johannes, S. (1998). Brain potentials and syntactic violations revisited: no evidence for specificity of the syntactic positive shift. Neuropsychologia, 36(3), 217-226.

Murray, J. D., & Burke, K. A. (2003). Activation and encoding of predictive inferences: The role of reading skill. Discourse Processes, 35(2), 81-102.

Murray, J. D., Klin, C. M., & Myers, J. L. (1993). Forward inferences in narrative text. Journal of Memory and Language, 32(4), 464-473.

Myers, J. L., & O' Brien, E. J. (1998). Accessing the discourse representation during reading. Discourse Processes, 26(2-3), 131-157.

Myers, J. L., O'Brien, E. J., Albrecht, J. E., & Mason, R. A. (1994). Maintaining global coherence during reading. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 20(4), 876-886.

n

Neely, J. H. (1977). Semantic priming and retrieval from lexical memory: Roles of inhibitionless spreading activation and limited-capacity attention. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 106(3), 226-254.

Neely, J. H. (1991). Semantic priming effects in visual word recognition: A selective review of current findings and theories, Besner, Derek (Ed); Humphreys, Glyn W (Ed). (1991). Basic processes in reading: Visual word recognition. (pp. 264 336). Hillsdale, NJ, England: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc 350 pp.

Nieuwland, M. S., & Van Berkum, J. J. (2005). Testing the limits of the semantic illusion phenomenon: ERPs reveal temporary semantic change deafness in discourse comprehension. Brain Res Cogn Brain Res, 24(3), 691-701.

Nieuwland, M. S., & Van Berkum, J. J. A. (2006a). Individual differences and contextual bias in pronoun resolution: Evidence from ERPs. Brain Research, 1118(1), 155-167.

Nieuwland, M. S., & Van Berkum, J. J. A. (2006b). When Peanuts Fall in Love: N400 Evidence for the Power of Discourse. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 18(7), 1098-1111.

O

O'Brien, E. J., Shank, D. M., Myers, J. L., & Rayner, K. (1988). Elaborative inferences during reading: Do they occur on-line? Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 14(3), 410-420.

O'Seaghdha, P. G. (1997). Conjoint and dissociable effects of syntactic and semantic context. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 23(4), 807-828.

Osterhout, L. (1994). Event-related brain potentials as tools for comprehending language comprehension, Clifton, Charles Jr. (Ed); Frazier, Lyn (Ed);

Rayner, Keith (Ed). (1994). Perspectives on sentence processing. (pp. 15 44). Hillsdale, NJ, England: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. xiv, 483 pp.
Osterhout, L., & Holcomb, P. J. (1992). Event-related brain potentials elicited by syntactic anomaly. Journal of Memory and Language, 31(6), 785-806.
Osterhout, L., & Holcomb, P. J. (1995). Event related potentials and language comprehension, Rugg, Michael D (Ed); Coles, Michael G. H (Ed). (1995). Electrophysiology of mind: Event related brain potentials and cognition. (pp. 171 215). New York, NY, US: Oxford University Press. xii, 220 pp.
Osterhout, L., & Mobley, L. A. (1995). Event-related brain potentials elicited by failure to agree. Journal of Memory and Language, 34(6), 739-773.
Otten, M., Nieuwland, M. S., & Van Berkum, J. J. A. (2007). Great expectations: Specific lexical anticipation influences the processing of spoken language. BMC Neuroscience, 8:89.
Otten, M., & Van Berkum, J. J. A. (2007). What makes a discourse constraining? A comparison between the effects of discourse message and priming on the N400. Brain Research, 1153, 166-177.
Otten, M., & Van Berkum, J. J. A. (in press). Discourse-based word anticipation during language processing: Prediction or priming? Discourse Processes.

p

Palmer, S. E. (1975). The effects of contextual scenes on the identification of objects. Memory and Cognition, 3(5), 519-526.
Pickering, M. J., & Garrod, S. (2007). Do people use language production to make predictions during comprehension? Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 11(3), 105-110.

r

Ratcliff, R., & McKoon, G. (1988). A retrieval theory of priming in memory. Psychological Review, 95(3), 385-408.
Ratcliff, R., & McKoon, G. (1995). Sequential effects in lexical decision: Tests of compound-cue retrieval theory. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 21(5), 1380-1388.
Rosen, V. M., & Engle, R. W. (1998). Working memory capacity and suppression. Journal of Memory and Language, 39(3), 418-436.

s

Sanford, A. J. (1990). On the nature of text-driven inference, Balota, David A (Ed); Flores d'Arcais, Giovanni B (Ed); Rayner, Keith (Ed). (1990). Comprehension processes in reading. (pp. 515 535). Hillsdale, NJ, England: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. xix, 656 pp.

Sanford, A. J., & Garrod, S. C. (1981). Understanding written language. New York: Wiley.

Sanford, A. J., & Garrod, S. C. (1998). The role of scenario mapping in text comprehension. Discourse Processes, 26(2-3), 159-190.

Sanford, A. J. S., Sanford, A. J., Molle, J., & Emmott, C. (2006). Shallow Processing and Attention Capture in Written and Spoken Discourse. Discourse Processes, 42(2), 109-130.

Schmalhofer, F., McDaniel, M. A., & Keefe, D. (2002). A unified model for predictive and bridging inferences. Discourse Processes, 33(2), 105-132.

Singer, M., Andrusiak, P., Reisdorf, P., & Black, N. L. (1992). Individual differences in bridging inference processes. Memory and Cognition, 20(5), 539-548.

Sitnikova, T., Salisbury, D. F., Kuperberg, G., & Holcomb, P. J. (2002). Electrophysiological insights into language processing in schizophrenia. Psychophysiology, 39(6), 851-860.

St George, M., Mannes, S., & Hoffman, J. E. (1994). Global semantic expectancy and language comprehension. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 6(1), 70-83.

St George, M., Mannes, S., & Hoffman, J. E. (1997). Individual differences in inference generation: An ERP analysis. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 9(6), 776-787.

Swaab, T., Brown, C., & Hagoort, P. (1997). Spoken sentence comprehension in aphasia: Event-related potential evidence for a lexical integration deficit. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 9(1), 39-66.

Swinney, D. A. (1979). Lexical access during sentence comprehension: (Re)consideration of context effects. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 18(6), 645-659.

t

Tanenhaus, M. K., & Trueswell, J. C. (1995). Sentence comprehension, Miller, Joanne L (Ed); Eimas, Peter D (Ed). (1995). Speech, language, and communication. (pp. 217 262). San Diego, CA, US: Academic Press. xviii, 415 pp.

Traxler, M. J., & Foss, D. J. (2000). Effects of sentence constraint on priming in natural language comprehension. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 26(5), 1266-1282.

Traxler, M. J., Foss, D. J., Seely, R. E., Kaup, B., & Morris, R. K. (2000). Priming in sentence processing: Intralexical spreading activation, schemas, and situation models. Journal of Psycholinguistic Research, 29(6), 581-595.

V

Van Berkum, J. J. A. (1996). The psycholinguistics of grammatical gender: Studies in language comprehension and production. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Nijmegen University Press, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

Van Berkum, J. J. A., Brown, C. M., & Hagoort, P. (1999a). Early referential context effects in sentence processing: Evidence from event-related brain potentials. Journal of Memory and Language, 41(2), 147-182.

Van Berkum, J. J. A., Brown, C. M., & Hagoort, P. (1999b). When does gender constrain parsing? Evidence from ERPs. Journal of Psycholinguistic Research, 28(5), 555-571.

Van Berkum, J. J. A., Brown, C. M., Hagoort, P., & Zwitserlood, P. (2003). Event-related brain potentials reflect discourse-referential ambiguity in spoken language comprehension. Psychophysiology, 40(2), 235-248.

Van Berkum, J. J. A., Brown, C. M., Zwitserlood, P., Kooijman, V., & Hagoort, P. (2005). Anticipating upcoming words in discourse: evidence from ERPs and reading times. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition, 31(3), 443-467.

Van Berkum, J. J. A., Hagoort, P., & Brown, C. M. (1999). Semantic integration in sentences and discourse: Evidence from the N400. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 11(6), 657-671.

Van Berkum, J. J. A., Koornneef, A. W., Otten, M., & Nieuwland, M. S. (2007). Establishing reference in language comprehension: An electrophysiological perspective. Brain Research, 1146, 158-171.

Van Berkum, J. J. A., Zwitserlood, P., Hagoort, P., & Brown, C. M. (2003). When and how do listeners relate a sentence to the wider discourse? Evidence from the N400 effect. Cognitive Brain Research, 17(3), 701-718.

Van den Noort, M. W. M. L., Bosch, M. P. C., & Hugdahl, K. (2005). Understanding the role of working memory in second-language acquisition. Paper presented at the KogWis05 The German Cognitive Science Conference.

Van Herten, M., Kolk, H. H., & Chwilla, D. J. (2005). An ERP study of P600 effects elicited by semantic anomalies. Brain Research: Cognitive Brain Research, 22(2), 241-255.

Van Petten, C. (1993). A comparison of lexical and sentence-level context effects in event-related potentials. Language and Cognitive Processes, 8(4), 485-531.

Van Petten, C., Coulson, S., Rubin, S., Plante, E., & Parks, M. (1999). Time course of word identification and semantic integration in spoken language. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 25(2), 394-417.

Van Petten, C., Weckerly, J., McIsaac, H. K., & Kutas, M. (1997). Working memory capacity dissociates lexical and sentential context effects. Psychological Science, 8(3), 238-242.

Vissers, C. T. W. M., Chwilla, D. J., & Kolk, H. H. J. (2006). Monitoring in language perception: The effect of misspellings of words in highly constrained sentences. Brain Research, 1106(1), 150-163.

Vosse, T., & Kempen, G. (2000). Syntactic structure assembly in human parsing: A computational model based on competitive inhibition and lexicalist grammar. Cognition, 75(2), 105-143.

W

Whitney, P., Arnett, P. A., Driver, A., & Budd, D. (2001). Measuring central executive functioning: What's in a reading span? Brain and Cognition, 45(1), 1-14.

Wicha, N. Y. Y., Bates, E. A., Moreno, E. M., & Kutas, M. (2003). Potato not Pope: human brain potentials to gender expectation and agreement in Spanish spoken sentences. Neuroscience Letters, 346(3), 165-168.

Wicha, N. Y. Y., Moreno, E. M., & Kutas, M. (2003). Expecting gender: An event related brain potential study on the role of grammatical gender in comprehending a line drawing within a written sentence in Spanish. Cortex, 39(3), 483-508.

Wicha, N. Y. Y., Moreno, E. M., & Kutas, M. (2004). Anticipating words and their gender: An event-related brain potential study of semantic integration, gender expectancy, and gender agreement in Spanish sentence reading. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 16(7), 1272-1288.

Z

Zwaan, R. A., & Radvansky, G. A. (1998). Situation models in language comprehension and memory. Psychological Bulletin, 123(2), 162-185.