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Abstract

This report is an extension of THE OSPF translation to NDL [1]. In this report we specify how we translate the topology information from Open Shortest Path First protocol version 2 Traffic Engineering (OSPF-TE) [2] Link State Announcements (LSAs) to the syntax of the Network Description Language (NDL) [3, 4].
1 Introduction

OSPFv2 has later been extended with traffic engineering options, called OSPF-TE \[2\]. Together with RSVP-TE, this formed the basis for an implementation of MPLS-TE \[5\]. The OSPF TE extension is implemented using a generic extension method of OSPFv2, the Opaque LSA \[6\]. There are three types of Opaque LSAs, defined by their flooding scope:

- Link-state type 9 denotes a link-local scope. Type 9 Opaque LSAs are not flooded beyond the local (sub)network.
- Link-state type 10 denotes an area-local scope. Type 10 Opaque LSAs are not flooded beyond the borders of their associated area.
- Link-state type 11 denotes that the LSA is flooded throughout the Autonomous System (AS). The flooding scope of type 11 LSAs are equivalent to the flooding scope of AS-external (type 5) LSAs.

In this report we will only describe type 10 (area-local) LSAs. Together with type 9, these are widely used in practice. Type 9 LSAs are only used for direct communication between adjacent routers, they do not add topological information. Currently, type 11 LSAs are not used.

We will first describe the format of type 10 LSAs, followed by what kind of information values are transported through them. Finally we will describe how to translate these values into NDL. The structure of the Opaque LSAs is shown in figure [1].

The only difference with the regular OSPF header is that the Link ID field has been replaced with Opaque Type and Opaque ID. The Opaque Type is an 8-bit integer value to describe the type of the Opaque LSA, values 0-127 must be registered, and values 128-255 are available for experimental and private use. The Opaque ID is a 24-bit integer type-specific ID value.

For almost all TE extensions type 10 LSAs are used, with Opaque Type 1, the Opaque ID value is an arbitrary value used to maintain multiple TE LSAs. The body of these LSAs (Opaque Information) are structured using type-length-value elements (TLVs).

As the name suggests, a TLV consists of a Type and a Length field (both 16-bit integers), followed by a Value field of Length octets long. Note that the value of a TLV can also be other TLVs, these are then called sub-TLVs.

Values often define a certain kind of bandwidth. These are all expressed in bytes per second using the standard IEEE floating point notation:
Figure 1: The structure of an Opaque LSA

S is the sign, Exponent is the exponent base 2 in ‘excess 127’ notation, and Fraction is the mantissa - 1, with an implied binary point in front of it. Thus, the above represents the value: \((-1)^S \times 2^{\text{Exponent} - 127} \times (1 + \text{Fraction})\)

2 Area-Local Opaque LSAs

In this section we describe the two currently defined TLVs for area-local opaque LSAs (type 10), and their sub-TLVs as defined by RFC 3630[2], and 4203[7].

1. **Router Address** This contains a stable IP address for advertising router, on which it can always be reached on the control plane.

2. **Link** This TLV describes a single link, and contains a set of sub-TLVs, described in the list below.

   Below we list the first 10 sub-TLVs (1–9 and 11, 10 is not assigned). The sub-TLVs 14, 15, and 16 are described separately, because they are not that straightforward (12 and 13 are also unassigned).

   1. **Link Type** (1 octet) The value is either 1 (point-to-point) or 2 (multi-access). This sub-TLV is mandatory.
2. **Link ID** (4 octets) An identifier for the other end of the link. For point-to-point it is the router ID of the neighbor, for multi-access it is the interface address of the designated router (i.e. the **Link State ID** of the Router LSA). This sub-TLV is mandatory.

3. **Local Interface IP Address** (4N octets) The address(es) of the local interface of this link, N is the number of addresses.

4. **Remote Interface IP Address** (4N octets) The address(es) of the remote interface of this link. If it is multi-access, the value is either 0.0.0.0 or the router may choose not to send this sub-TLV.

5. **Traffic Engineering Metric** (4 octets) The TE metric of the link, this may be different from the standard OSPF metric.

6. **Maximum Bandwidth** (4 octets) The true capacity of the link.

7. **Maximum Reservable Bandwidth** (4 octets) The maximum reservable capacity in this direction. This may be greater than the true capacity.

8. **Unreserved Bandwidth** (32 octets) The amount of bandwidth available for reservation in each of the eight priority levels, starting with 0. Each value must be less than or equal to the maximum reservable bandwidth.

9. **Administrative Group** (4 octets) A bit mask assigned by the administrator. Each set bit corresponds to a group that the interface belongs to. This starts at group 0, and is also called ‘Resource Class’ or ‘Color’.

10. **Link Local/Remote Identifier** (8 octets) GMPLS also supports unnumbered links, but these have to be identified in some way. This TLV contains the local and the remote identifiers for the endpoints of this unnumbered link.
An additional sub-TLV is the Link Protection Type sub-TLV (type 14). It has a length of 4 octets, but only the first of the octets is currently used. The meaning of the possible values of the first octet is as follows:

0x01 Extra Traffic, this link is protecting another link or links, and LSPs on this link will be lost if any of these fail,

0x02 Unprotected, there is no protection for this link, and LSPs will be lost if the link fails,

0x04 Shared, there are one or more links of type Extra Traffic protecting this link, however, these are shared between one or more links of type Shared,

0x08 Dedicated 1:1, there is one dedicated link of type Extra Traffic protecting this link,

0x10 Dedicated 1+1, there is one dedicated link protecting this link, which is not advertised.

0x20 Enhanced, this link is protected by a scheme better than Dedicated 1+1, for example by a 4 fiber ring BLSR.

Another sub-TLV that is relevant to link protection is the sub-TLV Shared Risk Link Group (type 16). This sub-TLV has a length of 4N octets, where N is the number of groups this link belongs to. A shared risk link group (SRLG) is a group of links that share a resource whose failure may affect all links in the group, for example two fibers running in the same conduit. An SRLG is identified by a 32 bit number, that is unique within the domain.

The purpose of the SRLG identification is to allow requests for multiple diversely routed LSPs, that also do not share any SRLGs, so as to minimize the risk of failure.

2.1 Translation to NDL

In this section we describe how we translate the information in Opaque LSAs to NDL. We use letters to denote objects. In NDL these objects are identified using URIs, these names are built up using the URI of the document or namespace, a pound sign (#), followed by the name of the object. Below we reference to names using only the latter part of the URI. We reference NDL properties using italics, the exact meaning of these properties can be found in the NDL papers [3, 4], or the NDL Homepage [8].

From the header of any Opaque LSA we learn:

- There is a device $R$, named dev + Advertising Router
• Router \( R \) hasInterface \( I \) named Advertising Router.

Depending on the type of TLV in the Opaque LSA we can learn additional information. For example from a type 1 TLV (Router Address) we can learn only one simple fact:

• Router \( R \) hasInterface \( I \) named RouterAddress.

On the other hand, a type 2 TLV carries a lot more information:

• Device \( R \) hasInterface \( I \),

• If the value of Link Type is 1 (point-to-point):
  – There is a link \( L \), named Link ID,
  – There is an interface \( I' \) connectedTo \( L \),
  – Interface \( I \) is connectedTo \( L \),
  – If the sub-TLVs Local Interface IP Address and Remote Interface IP Address are defined:
    * Interface \( I \) has the address(es) Local Interface IP Address, if the interface \( I \) was not named yet, then the first address is used as name,
    * Interface \( I' \) has the address(es) Remote Interface IP Address, if the interface \( I' \) was not named yet, then the first address is used as name,
  – Otherwise, the link is unnumbered, and the sub-TLV Link Local/Remote Identifiers must be present:
    * \( I \) is named Link Local Identifier,
    * \( I' \) is named Link Remote Identifier,

• If the value of Link Type is 2 (multi-access):
  – There is a broadcast segment \( BC \) named bc + Link ID,
  – Interface \( I \) is connectedTo link \( L \),
  – Link \( L \) is switchedTo broadcast segment \( BC \),
  – Interface \( I \) has the address Local Interface IP Address, if the interface \( I \) was not named yet, then the first address is used as name,

• Link \( L \) has a metric of Traffic Engineering Metric,

• Link \( L \) has a capacity of Maximum Bandwidth,

• Link \( L \) has a protectionType of Link Protection Type,
• Link \( L \) has a \textit{sharedRiskGroup} property with value \textit{Shared Risk Link Groups}.

Currently NDL does not yet support the concept of reservable and unreserved bandwidth. The reason for this is that the reservation information is more dynamic than the network topology. Our idea is that the best way to provide the user with up to date information is to have a pointer to a certain service, where the information about the reservable bandwidth of links can be obtained. We currently also do not translate the administrative groups. We currently have no experience what the value is used for in practice.

Note that it is possible to simplify this somewhat and use a single \textit{connectedTo} statement between the two interfaces. However, it is then not possible to use the \textit{protectionType} and \textit{sharedRiskGroup} properties.

### 3 Switching Capability

The last sub-TLV that we describe is also the most complex; which is why we dedicate a separate section to it: the \textbf{Interface Switching Capability Descriptor} (ISCD) (type 15), which has a variable length. The purpose of this TLV is to describe the switching capabilities of both interface in that link of the advertising router, as well as the switching capabilities of the routers’ switching matrix. The format of this sub-TLV is described in figure 3.

The values of the \textbf{Switching Capability} and \textbf{Encoding} fields are the same as used in the request signalling [9]. The \textbf{Switching Capability} (Switching Cap) field contains one of the following values:

1. Packet-Switch Capable-1 (PSC-1),
2. Packet-Switch Capable-2 (PSC-2),
3. Packet-Switch Capable-3 (PSC-3),
4. Packet-Switch Capable-4 (PSC-4),
51. Layer-2 Switch Capable (L2SC),
100. Time-Division-Multiplex Capable (TDM),
150. Lambda-Switch Capable (LSC),
200. Fiber-Switch Capable (FSC).

The four PSC values are used to express hierarchy of LSPs tunneled within LSPs.

The \textbf{Encoding} field is an integer field, where the value means that the link has the following encoding type:
For each of the eight priority levels, the sub-TLV gives the maximum bandwidth this link can support for LSPs. Contrary to the Maximum Bandwidth

---

**Figure 3: The structure of an Interface Switching Capability Descriptor**

1 - Packet
2 - Ethernet
3 - ANSI/ETSI PDH
5 - SDH ITU-T G.707 / SONET ANSI T1.105
6 - Digital Wrapper
7 - Lambda (photonic)
8 - Fiber
9 - FiberChannel
value, these values are designed to be dynamic. In the future these band-
width specifications will replace the Maximum Bandwidth sub-TLV described
earlier. For backward compatibility the Maximum Bandwidth value may be
set to the priority 7 bandwidth.

The last section of the sub-TLV contains information specific to the
switching capability value:

**Packet-Switch Capable** The specific information for PSC switching capa-
bilities is structured as follows:

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+--------------------------------------------------------+
| Minimum LSP Bandwidth                                 |
+--------------------------------------------------------+
| Interface MTU | Padding                                    |
+--------------------------------------------------------+

The Minimum LSP Bandwidth specifies the minimum bandwidth that
an LSP must request. The supported LSP bandwidths depend on the
encoding type. The Interface MTU specifies the largest size packets
that are supported by this interface.

**Layer-2 Switch Capable** does not carry any extra information.

**Time-Division-Multiplex Capable** The specific information for TDM switch-
ing capabilities uses the following structure:

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+--------------------------------------------------------+
| Minimum LSP Bandwidth                                 |
+--------------------------------------------------------+
| Indication | Padding                                    |
+--------------------------------------------------------+

The Minimum LSP Bandwidth specifies the minimum bandwidth that
an LSP must request. The Indication contains an integer value used
to indicate whether the interface supports Standard SONET/SDH (0),
or Arbitrary SONET/SDH (1).

**Lambda-Switch Capable** does not carry any extra information.

The Interface Switching Capability Descriptor sub-TLV may oc-
cur multiple times, for example to express that an interface supports different
encodings.
3.1 Translation to NDL

The Interface Switching Capability Descriptor is very complex to translate. The ISCD describes capabilities as part of the link, and the interface, while NDL describes this as part of the device. The interpretation of the values in the ISCD also depend on the values of the related ISCD of the interface on the other side of the link, which may have different values\(^1\). Therefore the translation below uses both the local ISCD (describing interface \(I\), of router \(R\)) and the remote ISCD (interface \(I'\) and \(R'\)). The values of the remote ISCD are referenced with an accent (e.g. \(\text{Encoding}'\)).

It is possible that the two ISCDs of a link carry different encoding values for each side. This implicitly signals that one of the two interfaces is able to do an adaptation from its encoding to the (lower) other encoding. A lower encoding layer means a higher \text{Encoding} value.

NDL expresses adaptations using interface objects at different layers, therefore we introduce interfaces with a layer suffix, and define the relations between them. Once the common layer between the two interfaces is identified, we define an equality with the interfaces at that layer and the original interfaces, so that the connection is described on the right layer, with all adaptations.

The relation between \text{Encoding}, \text{Switching Capability} and NDL Layers is shown in table 3.1\(^2\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Switching Cap</th>
<th>Encoding</th>
<th>NDL Layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Packet-[1-4]</td>
<td>Packet</td>
<td>IP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer-2</td>
<td>Ethernet</td>
<td>Ethernet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDM</td>
<td>ANSI PDH</td>
<td>TDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SONET/SDH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>Digital Wrapper</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda</td>
<td>Lambda</td>
<td>Lambda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber</td>
<td>Fiber</td>
<td>Fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>FiberChannel</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)We assume that the link is bidirectional.
\(^2\)The table shows a merry mixture of layer names, technologies, and protocols.

Table 1: The relation between the different layer definitions.

The translation of these interfaces and layers is as follows:

- There is an interface \(I_{\text{Encoding}}\), named Local Interface + Encoding.
- Interface \(I_{\text{Encoding}}\) is at NDL layer \text{Encoding}.
- There is an interface \(I'_{\text{Encoding}'}\), named Local Interface’ + Encoding’,
• Interface $I'_{\text{Encoding}}$ is at NDL layer $\text{Encoding}'$.

Next we compare the $\text{Encoding}$ values and introduce the relevant interfaces at the right layers, and define the proper equalities:

• If $\text{Encoding} > \text{Encoding}'$, then:
  - There is an interface $I_{\text{Encoding}}$, which is at NDL layer $\text{Encoding}$,
  - There is an adaptation $\text{Adap}(\text{Encoding}, \text{Encoding}')$ between interface $I_{\text{Encoding}}$ and $I_{\text{Encoding}'}$.
  - Interface $I_{\text{Encoding}}$ and $I$ are defined to be equal,
  - Interface $I'_{\text{Encoding}}$ and $I'$ are defined to be equal.

• If $\text{Encoding} < \text{Encoding}'$, then:
  - There is an interface $I'_{\text{Encoding}}$, which is at NDL layer $\text{Encoding}$.
  - There is an adaptation $\text{Adap}(\text{Encoding}', \text{Encoding})$ between interface $I'_{\text{Encoding}'}$ and $I'_{\text{Encoding}}$.
  - Interface $I'_{\text{Encoding}}$ and $I'$ are defined to be equal,
  - Interface $I_{\text{Encoding}}$ and $I$ are defined to be equal.

• If $\text{Encoding} = \text{Encoding}'$, then:
  - There is an interface $I_{\text{Encoding}}$, which is at NDL layer $\text{Encoding}$.
  - There is an interface $I'_{\text{Encoding}}$, which is at NDL layer $\text{Encoding}$.
  - Interface $I$ and $I_{\text{Encoding}}$ are defined to be equal,
  - Interface $I'_{\text{Encoding}}$ and $I'$ are defined to be equal.

The actual switching is done by the device, these translations do not depend on the other ISCD, so we define them for the general case:

• There is a switchMatrix $SM$, which is at NDL layer $\text{Switching Capability}$,
• Device $R$ hasSwitchMatrix $SM$,
• The switching-matrix $SM$ hasInterface $I_{\text{Switching Capability}}$.
• Depending on the difference between the layers of $\text{Encoding}$ and $\text{Switching Capability}$, introduce interfaces and adaptations as above, however, no equalities need to be defined.

NDL currently does not have a way to express any of the information in the switching capability-specific fields.

The exact adaptation functions used to go from one GMPLS layer to the other in NDL are currently still an open issue. OSPF-TE simply does not provide enough information to deduce the exact behavior of the devices.
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