UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Relaxation dynamics of local observables in integrable systems

De Nardis, J.; Piroli, L.; Caux, J.-S.

DOI

[10.1088/1751-8113/48/43/43FT01](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/48/43/43FT01)

Publication date

2015

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Journal of Physics. A, Mathematical and Theoretical

License

Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

De Nardis, J., Piroli, L., & Caux, J.-S. (2015). Relaxation dynamics of local observables in integrable systems. *Journal of Physics. A, Mathematical and Theoretical*, 48, [43FT01]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/48/43/43FT01>

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Fast Track Communication

Relaxation dynamics of local observables in integrable systems

J De Nardis¹, L Piroli² and J-S Caux¹¹ Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904 Postbus 94485, 1090 GL Amsterdam, The Netherlands² International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA) and INFN, via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, ItalyE-mail: j.denardis@uva.nl

Received 22 July 2015, revised 3 September 2015

Accepted for publication 8 September 2015

Published 2 October 2015

CrossMark

Abstract

We show, using the quench action approach (Caux and Essler 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 257203), that the whole post-quench time evolution of an integrable system in the thermodynamic limit can be computed with a minimal set of data which are encoded in what we denote the generalized single-particle overlap coefficient $s_0^{\Psi_0}(\lambda)$. This function can be extracted from the thermodynamically leading part of the overlaps between the eigenstates of the model and the initial state. For a generic global quench the shape of $s_0^{\Psi_0}(\lambda)$ in the low momentum limit directly gives the exponent for the power law decay to the effective steady state. As an example we compute the time evolution of the static density–density correlation in the interacting Lieb–Liniger gas after a quench from a Bose–Einstein condensate. This shows an approach to equilibrium with power law t^{-3} which turns out to be independent of the post-quench interaction and of the considered observable.

Keywords: out-of-equilibrium, integrable models, relaxation processes, thermodynamics

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

Introduction

Understanding the non-equilibrium time evolution of a many-body interacting system is one of the main challenges in contemporary physics [2, 3]. The study of systems with nontrivial interactions among their constituents is hard enough when the system is in its ground state; things however get even more complicated out of equilibrium, since most of the usual theoretical tools then become inapplicable. This is mainly due to the high energy regions of

the spectrum which are probed by the time evolution, where the mean field approach and low energy approximations are not valid. Numerical simulations on the other hand are severely limited in the range of time or of system sizes [4]. Developing new methods able to predict the short-, intermediate- and long-time dynamics of an out-of-equilibrium system is thus an urgent priority, especially in view of the rapid progress achieved in experiments on ultracold atoms [5–12].

Since the beginnings of quantum mechanics [13], much interest has been devoted to the fundamental problem of calculating the time dependence of physical observables in states which are not eigenstates of the Hamiltonian driving the time evolution. This situation has now come to be known as a quantum quench [2, 14] and has been of major interest both from experimental and theoretical points of view. Most of the theoretical research focused so far on the expectation values of local observables at late times after the quench, when the system is in an effective steady state. In particular the generalized Gibbs ensemble (GGE) hypothesis [15, 16] focuses on the possibility of reducing the huge complexity of the initial wave function to a reduced set of information, incorporated in the local conserved quantities of the system, which gives the expectation values of all physical observables in the steady state. However the question of how to perform an analogous simplification for the whole post-quench time evolution, much more relevant from the experimental point of view, has been poorly addressed, except in a few cases [1, 14, 17–37].

The quench action method introduced in [1] has recently proved to offer a procedure whereby one can derive, from first principles, not only the steady state itself but also the actual time evolution of physical observables [1, 29, 36, 38–43]. The purpose of this letter is to show that this approach, combined with the recent observations on the structure of the overlaps between eigenstates of different Hamiltonians [38, 44–47], is able to provide the full post-quench time evolution in terms of a reduced set of data which can be extracted from the thermodynamically leading part of the overlaps. It turns out that the same function, the generalized single-particle overlap coefficient $s_0^{\Psi_0}(\lambda)$, fixes the steady-state expectation values and the whole time evolution from $t = 0^+$ after the quench. This is treated analogously to a system at thermal equilibrium with a sub-entropic gas of independent particle-hole excitations around the steady state constituting the whole effective spectrum necessary to compute the time dependence of all physical observables. A restricted class of excitations is then clearly seen to be the most relevant for the long-time behavior, giving a picture reminiscent of a field theory description of the asymptotics of correlations in equilibrium situations [48–50].

This letter is organized as follows. First we show how, for a generic integrable model, the quench action method [1] allows to extract the whole post-quench time evolution from the complex function $s_0^{\Psi_0}(\lambda)$ denoted here as the generalized single-particle overlap coefficient. Then we specialize to the time evolution of the static density moment $g_2(x = 0, t)$ of the interacting Lieb–Liniger gas after a quench from the ground state of the bosonic free theory. The same quench has been studied in a number of recent works [18, 25, 51–56]. We use here the exact results for the post-quench saddle point reported in [38] and we obtain a rare full post-quench time evolution of a physical observable in a truly interacting model that is closely related to recent experiments [57, 58].

Time evolution in an integrable model

We consider an initial state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ which is not an eigenstate of the one-dimensional integrable Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ for N particles moving on a system size L with periodic boundary conditions. In a generic integrable model each eigenstate of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ and the momentum $\hat{P}$ is

specified by a set of N quantum numbers $\mathbf{I} = \{I_j\}_{j=1}^N$. The set of nonlinear coupled Bethe equations maps the mutually excluding quantum numbers in N quasi-momenta, called rapidities, $\mathbf{I} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^N$ which take value in the complex plane. These are related to the single-particle momentum $k_0(\lambda)$ and the scattering phase of the model $\theta(\lambda)$ [59]

$$k_0(\lambda_i) = \frac{2\pi I_i}{L} - \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=1}^N \theta(\lambda_i - \lambda_k) \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (1)$$

All the possible different choices of quantum numbers $\mathbf{I}$ give a complete basis of eigenstates $|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle$ with energy $E[\boldsymbol{\lambda}] = \sum_{j=1}^N \omega_0(\lambda_j)$ and momentum $P[\boldsymbol{\lambda}] = \sum_{j=1}^N k_0(\lambda_j)$ where $\omega_0(\lambda)$ is the single-particle energy. This basis of eigenstates allows, given a local operator $\hat{O}$, to resolve the time evolution of its expectation value on the initial state $\langle \Psi_0 | e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{O} e^{-i\hat{H}t} | \Psi_0 \rangle \equiv \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle$

$$\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} e^{-S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\Psi_0}} e^{-(S_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\Psi_0})^*} \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda} | \hat{O} | \boldsymbol{\mu} \rangle e^{-it(E[\boldsymbol{\mu}] - E[\boldsymbol{\lambda}])}, \quad (2)$$

where we introduced the overlap coefficients $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\Psi_0}$ between the initial state and the eigenstates $\langle \Psi_0 | \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rangle = e^{-S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\Psi_0}}$. The double sum in (2) can be performed in general when the number N of constituents of the system is small. However one is in general interested in the thermodynamic limit $\lim_{\text{th}} \equiv \lim_{N, L \rightarrow \infty}$ with fixed density $n = N/L$. The quench action approach introduced in [1] allows to move from a sum over the discrete representation for the eigenstates, in terms of the quantum numbers $\mathbf{I}$ to a functional integral over smooth distributions of rapidities and simple excitations over them. Given a smooth function $\rho(\lambda)$ of rapidities on the real axis with its normalization given by the density of particles $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda \rho(\lambda) = n$ (under the string hypothesis it can be generalized to complex rapidities [60]), there is an entropic number $\sim e^{S_{YY}[\rho]}$ of finite size states that share the same expectation values of local operators [59]. The entropy is given as [61] $S_{YY}[\rho] = L \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda ((\rho + \rho^h) \ln(\rho + \rho^h) - \rho \ln \rho - \rho^h \ln \rho^h)$, where the density of holes is given by the total density $\rho^h = \rho^t - \rho$, related to the density of particles by the Bethe equation (1) in the thermodynamic limit

$$\rho^t = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\dot{k}_0 + \dot{\theta} * \rho), \quad (3)$$

where we introduce the convolution between two functions $f * g = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mu f(\lambda - \mu)g(\mu)$ and the derivative respect to λ , $\frac{df}{d\lambda} \equiv \dot{f}$. For later convenience we also introduce the scalar product on the real axis $f \cdot g = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mu f(\mu)g(\mu)$. After restricting to the appropriate sub-Hilbert space with nonzero overlap (if discrete symmetries are present), the overlaps become a smooth functional over the eigenstates. In particular they can be written as an extensive universal part (dependent only on the distribution ρ) with subleading corrections which depend on the finite number of particle-hole excitations $\{h_i, p_i\}_{i=1}^m$, corresponding to a shift of m quantum numbers in (1) $I_i \rightarrow I'_i$ for some $i \in [1, \dots, N]$, over the distribution ρ (which corresponds to displacing a number m of quantum numbers of one of the finite size state $|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle \rightarrow |\rho\rangle$ which discretizes the distribution ρ)

$$S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\Psi_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}[\rho] + \delta s \left[\rho, \{h_i, p_i\}_{i=1}^m \right] + \mathcal{O}(1/N), \quad (4)$$

where both quantities are given in terms of the generalized single-particle overlap coefficient $s_0^{\Psi_0}(\lambda)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}[\rho] &= L s_0^{\Psi_0} \cdot \rho, \\ \delta s \left[\rho, \{h_i, p_i\}_{i=1}^m \right] &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left(s_0^{\Psi_0}(p_k) - s_0^{\Psi_0}(h_k) - F_k \cdot \dot{s}_0^{\Psi_0} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The back-flow $F_k(\lambda)$ for a single particle-hole is computed in terms of the distribution ρ

$$2\pi F_k \frac{\rho^t}{\rho} = \theta(\lambda - p_k) - \theta(\lambda - h_k) + \dot{\theta} * F_k. \quad (6)$$

which, being a distinguishing element of a truly interacting model, it encodes how all the rapidities are affected by a change of one them. In particular, the Bethe equation (1) are such that the creation of a simple particle-hole excitation $I_i \rightarrow I_i'$ leads to a shift of all the other quasi-momenta $\lambda_j \rightarrow \lambda_j - \frac{F(\lambda_j)}{L\rho_i(\lambda_j)} \quad \forall j$. Therefore in the thermodynamic limit, for any *weak* operator³, we can write its time-dependent expectation value (2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\text{th}} \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \int D\rho e^{-2\Re \mathcal{S}[\rho] + S_{YY}[\rho]} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int d[h, p]_m \\ &\quad \left[e^{-\delta s \left[\rho, \{h_i, p_i\}_{i=1}^m \right] - it\delta\omega \left[\rho, \{h_i, p_i\}_{i=1}^m \right]} \langle \rho | \hat{O} | \rho, \{h_i, p_i\}_{i=1}^m \rangle \right] + \text{mirr}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with $\int d[h, p]_m = \frac{1}{(m!)^2} \prod_{j=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh_j \rho(h_j) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_j \rho^h(p_j)$ denoting the sum over the macroscopic particle-hole excitations and mirr indicating the same sum as in (7) but with excitations on the left state⁴. The energy of a state $E[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}[\rho] + \delta\omega[\rho, \{h_i, p_i\}_{i=1}^m]$ is given in terms of the single-particle energy $\omega_0(\lambda)$ analogously to the overlaps (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[\rho] &= L\omega_0 \cdot \rho, \\ \delta\omega \left[\rho, \{h_i, p_i\}_{i=1}^m \right] &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\omega_0(p_k) - \omega_0(h_k) - F_k \cdot \dot{\omega}_0 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The matrix elements $\langle \rho | \hat{O} | \rho \rangle$ can be computed by choosing one of the possible (large) finite size realizations $|\lambda\rangle \rightarrow |\rho\rangle$ of the distribution $\rho(\lambda)$ and using $\frac{\langle \rho | \hat{O} | \rho \rangle}{\langle \rho | \rho \rangle} = \frac{\langle \lambda | \hat{O} | \lambda \rangle}{\langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle} (1 + \mathcal{O}(1/N))$. The same can be done for the off diagonal ones. Given these ingredients the sum in (2) can be evaluated in the saddle point $\frac{\delta S^Q[\rho]}{\delta \rho} |_{\rho=\rho_{\text{sp}}} = 0$ of the quench action $S^Q[\rho] = 2\Re \mathcal{S}[\rho] - S_{YY}[\rho]$ leading to an expression for the whole post-quench time evolution in the thermodynamic limit [1, 38]

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\text{th}} \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int d[h, p]_m \left[e^{-it \left(\delta\omega \left[\rho_{\text{sp}}, \{h_i, p_i\}_{i=1}^m \right] \right)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times e^{-\delta s \left[\rho_{\text{sp}}, \{h_i, p_i\}_{i=1}^m \right]} \langle \rho_{\text{sp}} | \hat{O} | \rho_{\text{sp}}, \{h_i, p_i\}_{i=1}^m \rangle \right] + \text{mirr}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

A notable consequence of formula (9) is that all the information to reconstruct the entire post-quench time evolution is contained in the function $s_0^{\Psi_0}(\lambda)$ which is a smooth can be extracted

³ We use *weak* here to denote observables which do not reorganize the steady state, in other words which are not entropy-producing. This class includes all the local observables.

⁴ It corresponds to the complex conjugate of (7) when $\hat{O}$ is a Hermitian operator.

by taking the scaling limit of the overlap coefficients $S_\lambda^{\Psi_0} \xrightarrow{\text{th}} \sum_{j=1}^N (s_0^{\Psi_0}(\lambda_j) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}))$, which depends only on the macroscopic features of the initial state. Note that the overlap coefficients $S_\lambda^{\Psi_0}$ are expected to have the same form in the thermodynamic limit for any initial state with an extensive amount of energy $\langle \Psi_0 | \hat{H} | \Psi_0 \rangle \sim Le_0$ and of all the other local (or quasi-local [62]) conserved charges $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $Q_1 = \hat{P}$, $Q_2 = \hat{H}$ and $[Q_n, Q_m] = 0 \ \forall m, n$. When these form a complete set $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ [15] a GGE ensemble $Z_{\text{GGE}} = \text{Tr} (e^{-\sum_{n=1}^\infty \beta_n Q_n})$ for the post-quench steady state can be built and this gives directly the real part of the single-particle overlap coefficient $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \beta_n \epsilon_0^{(n)} = \epsilon_0^{\text{GGE}} = 2\Re s_0^{\Psi_0}$, where the single-particle eigenvalues of the local conserved charges are given as $Q_m |\rho\rangle = L\rho \cdot \epsilon_0^{(m)} |\rho\rangle$. Note that this implies that for initial states such that $\Im s_0^{\Psi_0} = 0$ the GGE driving term ϵ_0^{GGE} is enough to reconstruct the whole time evolution after restricting to the appropriate sub-Hilbert space with nonzero overlap with the initial state.

The behavior of the exponent of $s_0^{\Psi_0}(\lambda)$ around $\lambda \sim 0$ determines the power law for the large time relaxation of any physical observable. In the limit of large t we can indeed approximate the sum in (9) with the contribution of the saddle point itself and of the single particle-hole excitations

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\text{th}} \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle &\sim \langle \rho_{\text{sp}} | \hat{O} | \rho_{\text{sp}} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \, dh \, \rho_{\text{sp}}^h(p) \rho_{\text{sp}}(h) \\ &\times \langle \rho_{\text{sp}} | \hat{O} | \rho_{\text{sp}}, \{h, p\} \rangle e^{-it\delta\omega[\rho_{\text{sp}}, \{h, p\}]} e^{-\delta s[\rho_{\text{sp}}, \{h, p\}]} + \text{mirr.} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Since the dispersion relation and differential overlap coefficient split in terms of particles and holes, the integrals can be approximated by evaluating each of them in the saddle point of the single-particle dispersion relation $\delta\omega[\rho, \lambda]$ which for any smooth distribution $\rho(\lambda)$ is in $\lambda = 0$. Therefore if k is the order of the first non-zero derivative in $\lambda = 0$ of $e^{s_0^{\Psi_0}(\lambda)}$, the approach to the steady state value of all the local operators with a finite expectation value on the saddle point state $\langle \rho_{\text{sp}} | \hat{O} | \rho_{\text{sp}} \rangle \neq 0$ is given by a power law as follows

$$\Delta_{\hat{O}}(t) \sim t^{-(k+2)} \ \forall \text{ k odd}, \quad \Delta_{\hat{O}}(t) \sim t^{-(k+1)} \ \forall \text{ k even}, \quad (11)$$

where $\Delta_{\hat{O}}(t) \equiv \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle$. Note that the contribution of higher particle-hole excitations in (9) corresponds to higher powers in t^{-1} in the expansion of $\Delta_{\hat{O}}(t)$. The power law decay of correlations is a consequence of the creation, by the quench, of a finite density of holes around $\lambda = 0$, giving a finite density of states for small-energy (zero velocity) particle-hole excitations in this region [50] (see figure 2, panel (b)). Therefore the contribution of the power law is proportional to the density of holes around $\lambda \sim 0$ in the post-quench saddle point state which is large for distributions with a large (extensive) entropy. Any initial state with an extensive amount of energy $\langle \Psi_0 | \hat{H} | \Psi_0 \rangle \sim Le_0$ shows therefore a relaxation as power law although its contribution to the whole time evolution becomes less and less visible as e_0 decreases. Note that up to now we assumed that the operator $\hat{O}$ conserves the total number of particles. For operators adding (or removing) one extra particle to the system the power law is simply replaced by $t^{-(k+2)/2}$ and $t^{-(k+1)/2}$ for k even (as it is the case for the time evolution of the one-point functions of the transverse fields in the transverse field Ising model [63]).

Finally it is important to note that the same large time decay is expected also for systems with bound states (under the string hypothesis) [39–41]. However in this case the full time evolution from $t = 0^+$ can only be recovered by including other classes of high energy excitations, namely recombinations between bound states of different masses (strings of different lengths [60]).

Figure 1. (a) Time evolution of $g_2(x=0, t)$ as a function of time for different values of the post-quench interaction $\gamma = 4, 8, 16$, (from top to bottom) in the thermodynamic limit with fixed density $n = 1$. The lines on the right respectively indicate the steady state values in the thermodynamic limit as given in [38]. (b) Time evolution of $g_2(x=0)$ as a function of time for $\gamma = 4$ and different system sizes: $N = 6, 8$ (red, blue line) and in the thermodynamic limit (black line). The finite size asymptotic values (red and blue lines on the right) are shown. The data for $N = 6$ and $N = 8$ are obtained by performing the full double sum over the Hilbert space (2) and dividing by their initial value $g_2(x=0, t=0) = (1 - \frac{1}{N})$.

Time evolution in the interacting Bose gas

As a specific example of the general method, we now focus on the Lieb–Liniger model for a δ -interacting Bose gas, defined by the Hamiltonian [64] (setting $\hbar = 2m = 1$)

$$\hat{H}_{LL} = -\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + 2c \sum_{j>k} \delta(x_j - x_k). \quad (12)$$

The initial state is chosen to be the ground state in the absence of interactions $\gamma_0 = 0$, where $\gamma = c/n$ effectively parametrizes the coupling in the thermodynamic limit. This state is known as the Bose–Einstein condensate (BEC) state $|\text{BEC}\rangle$ and it is spatially structureless in all coordinates, $\langle \mathbf{x} | \text{BEC} \rangle = \frac{1}{L^{N/2}}$. We consider the post-quench time evolution of the static density moment $g_2(x=0)$, measuring the rate of two-body inelastic processes in the gas [65] which can be experimentally accessed through the measurement of the photoassociation rate [57]

$$g_2(x=0, t) = \left\langle \text{BEC} \left| e^{i\hat{H}_{LL}t} : (\hat{\rho}(0)/n)^2 : e^{-i\hat{H}_{LL}t} \right| \text{BEC} \right\rangle. \quad (13)$$

The density operator is defined as $\hat{\rho}(x) = \Psi^\dagger(x)\Psi(x)$, where the bosonic operators $\Psi(x)$, $\Psi^\dagger(x)$ satisfy the canonical commutation relations $[\Psi(x), \Psi^\dagger(x')] = \delta(x - x')$. The overlaps and in particular the generalized single-particle overlap coefficient have been computed in [38]

$$s_0^{\text{BEC}}(\lambda) = \log \left(\frac{\lambda}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{c^2} + \frac{1}{4}} \right), \quad (14)$$

where the branch-cut of the logarithm is chosen such that $s_0^{\text{BEC}}(-\lambda) = -s_0^{\text{BEC}}(\lambda)$. The single-particle energy and momentum are given by $k_0(\lambda) = \lambda$ and $\omega_0(\lambda) = \lambda^2$. The

Figure 2. (a) Log-log plot of the time evolution of $\Delta_{g_2}(t)$ for different values of the post quench interaction $\gamma = 4, 8, 16$ (from top to bottom). The red lines $f(t) = \text{const}(\gamma) - 3 \log t$ are guide for the eyes showing the approach to the equilibrium value as $\sim t^{-3}$ for all the considered values of the post-quench interactions. (b) Schematic representations of the most relevant parity invariant particle (filled dots) with its respective hole (empty dot) excitations on the saddle point filling function (here for $\gamma = 4$) for large times (high energy blue particle-holes) and small times (low energies red particle-hole).

function $s_0^{\text{BEC}}(\lambda)$ determines the saddle point state which can be analytically written in terms of Bessel functions of the first kind $I_n(z)$ [38]. The matrix elements between the eigenstates of the model are given in [66, 67]. The sum is performed by averaging over different finite size realizations $|\lambda_{\text{sp}}\rangle \rightarrow |\rho_{\text{sp}}\rangle$ of the saddle point state and evaluating the relevant excitations via an adaptation of the ABACUS algorithm [68–70] to generic highly excited states. In figure 1 the time evolution computed via the quench action approach (8) shows that even for values of the coupling constant that are far from the two perturbative regimes (weak and strong coupling) we recover the initial BEC value of the correlation $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g_2(x=0, t=0^+) \equiv g_2(x=0)_{\text{BEC}} = 1$ [65]. The thermodynamic results allow to extract their large time decay to their steady state values as in figure 2. This follows the expected t^{-3} law which is a consequence of (11) and of the behavior of $s_0^{\text{BEC}}(\lambda)$ around $\lambda = 0$, namely $\exp(s_0^{\text{BEC}}(\lambda=0)) = 0$ and $\frac{d \exp(s_0^{\text{BEC}}(\lambda))}{d\lambda} \big|_{\lambda=0} \neq 0$ for all $\gamma > 0$. This shows that the relaxation following a power law is present for any post-quench coupling constant γ , even in the limit of small interactions. This is in contrast to the predictions of the Bogoliubov approximation where the decay is predicted to be exponential for small γ [71]. Note that this behavior of the overlap is independent of the initial value of the coupling constant. It is related to the fact that for quenches from the ground state of the theory with a coupling $\gamma_0 > 0$ to the gas with a finite coupling $\gamma > 0$ the eigenstate with the maximal overlap $e^{-S_{\lambda}^{\gamma_0}}$ is clearly the ground state of the final theory. This leads to the divergent behavior of the generalized single-particle overlap for small values of the rapidity, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} e^{-S_{\lambda}^{\gamma_0}}(\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore the same power law t^{-3} is expected for any interaction quench $\gamma_0 \rightarrow \gamma > 0$ inside the repulsive regime of the one-dimensional Bose gas.

Conclusions

We showed how the quench action approach allows to reconstruct the whole post-quench time evolution of an integrable system from data contained in the thermodynamically leading

part of the overlaps which is a smooth functional of the initial state. In particular we presented an argument to predict the power law behavior for the late times approach to equilibrium of local observables. This is a direct consequence of the creation in the gas of macroscopic excitations with vanishing velocity which is a generic feature of the model itself, independently of the quench protocol. The question if an adaptation of the nonlinear Luttinger liquid approach for equilibrium correlations [48–50] can be implemented to compute the late time dynamics after a quench will be addressed in forthcoming works.

As a proof of principle we computed the time evolution in the Lieb–Liniger model of the static density moment $g_2(x=0, t)$ after a quench from the BEC. This represents a rare example of a full post-quench time evolution of a truly interacting model and therefore it can be directly connected to experimental results [9, 12, 72, 73] and numerical simulations for small system sizes as done in [56]. The method can be extended to two-point functions as the dynamical density–density correlations of the gas and to other models as the XXZ spin chain [39, 41].

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge useful and inspiring discussions with FHL Essler, P Calabrese, G Mussardo and M Panfil. We acknowledge support from the Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter (FOM) and the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO). This work forms part of the activities of the Delta Institute for Theoretical Physics (D-ITP).

References

- [1] Caux J-S and Essler F H L 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 257203
- [2] Polkovnikov A, Sengupta K, Silva A and Vengalattore M 2011 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83** 863
- [3] Gogolin C and Eisert J 2015 arXiv:1503.07538
- [4] Schollwöck U 2011 *Ann. Phys.* **326** 96
- [5] Kinoshita T, Wenger T and Weiss D S 2006 *Nature* **440** 900
- [6] Hofferberth S, Lesanovsky I, Fischer B, Schumm T and Schmiedmayer J 2007 *Nature* **449** 324
- [7] Trotzky S, Chen Y-A, Flesch A, McCulloch I P, Schollwöck U, Eisert J and Bloch I 2012 *Nat. Phys.* **8** 325
- [8] Cheneau M, Barmettler P, Poletti D, Endres M, Schauss P, Fukuhara T, Gross C, Bloch I, Kollath C and Kuhr S 2012 *Nature* **481** 484
- [9] Gring M, Kuhnert M, Langen T, Kitagawa T, Rauer B, Schreitl M, Mazets I, Smith D A, Demler E and Schmiedmayer J 2012 *Science* **337** 1318
- [10] Meinert F, Mark M J, Kirilov E, Lauber K, Weinmann P, Daley A J and Nägerl H-C 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** 053003
- [11] Meinert I, Mark M, Kirilov E, Lauber K, Weinmann P, Gröbner M, Daley A and Nägerl H-C 2014 *Science* **344** 1259
- [12] Langen T, Erne S, Geiger R, Rauer B, Schweigler T, Kuhnert M, Rohringer W, Mazets I, Gasenzer T and Schmiedmayer J 2015 *Science* **348** 207
- [13] von Neumann J 1929 *Zeitschrift für Physik* **57** 30
- [14] Calabrese P and Cardy J 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 136801
- [15] Rigol M, Dunjko V, Yurovsky V and Olshanii M 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** 050405
- [16] Rigol M, Dunjko V and Olshanii M 2008 *Nature* **452** 854
- [17] Fioretto D and Mussardo G 2010 *New J. Phys.* **12** 055015
- [18] Gritsev V, Rostunov T and Demler E 2010 *J. Stat. Mech.* P05012
- [19] Calabrese P, Essler F H L and Fagotti M 2011 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** 227203
- [20] Calabrese P, Essler F H L and Fagotti M 2012 *J. Stat. Mech.* P07016
- [21] Mossel J and Caux J-S 2012 *New J. Phys.* **14** 075006
- [22] Karrasch C, Rentrop J, Schuricht D and Meden V 2012 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** 126406
- [23] Collura M, Sotiriadis S and Calabrese P 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 245301

- [24] Faribault A and Schuricht D 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 040405
- [25] Mussardo G 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** 100401
- [26] Kormos M, Collura M and Calabrese P 2014 *Phys. Rev. A* **89** 013609
- [27] Bonnes L, Essler F H and Läuchli A M 2014 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** 187203
- [28] Fioretto D, Caux J-S and Gritsev V 2014 *New J. Phys.* **16** 043024
- [29] Bertini B, Schuricht D and Essler F H L 2014 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P10035**
- [30] Sotiriadis S, Takacs G and Mussardo G 2014 *Phys. Lett. B* **734** 52
- [31] Sotiriadis S and Calabrese P 2014 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P07024**
- [32] Essler F H L, Kehrein S, Manmana S R and Robinson N J 2014 *Phys. Rev. B* **89** 165104
- [33] Marcuzzi M, Marino J, Gambassi A and Silva A 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** 197203
- [34] Fagotti M and Essler F H L 2013 *Phys. Rev. B* **87** 245107
- [35] Fagotti M, Collura M, Essler F H L and Calabrese P 2014 *Phys. Rev. B* **89** 125101
- [36] Nardis J D and Caux J-S 2014 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P12012**
- [37] Bertini B and Fagotti M 2015 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P07012**
- [38] De Nardis J, Wouters B, Brockmann M and Caux J-S 2014 *Phys. Rev. A* **89** 033601
- [39] Wouters B, De Nardis J, Brockmann M, Fioretto D, Rigol M and Caux J-S 2014 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** 117202
- [40] Brockmann M, Wouters B, Fioretto D, Nardis J D, Vlijm R and Caux J-S 2014 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P12009**
- [41] Pozsgay B, Mestyán M, Werner M A, Kormos M, Zaránd G and Takács G 2014 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** 117203
- [42] De Luca A, Martelloni G and Viti J 2015 *Phys. Rev. A* **91** 021603
- [43] Bettelheim E 2015 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48** 165003
- [44] Brockmann M, De Nardis J, Wouters B and Caux J-S 2014 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47** 145003
- [45] Brockmann M, Nardis J D, Wouters B and Caux J-S 2014 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47** 345003
- [46] Brockmann M 2014 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P05006**
- [47] Piroli L and Calabrese P 2014 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47** 385003
- [48] Imambekov A and Glazman L I 2009 *Science* **323** 228
- [49] Imambekov A and Glazman L I 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 126405
- [50] Karrasch C, Pereira R G and Sinker J 2014 arXiv:1410.2226
- [51] Iyer D and Andrei N 2012 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** 115304
- [52] Kormos M, Shashi A, Chou Y-Z, Caux J-S and Imambekov A 2013 *Phys. Rev. B* **88** 205131
- [53] Mazza P P, Collura M, Kormos M and Calabrese P 2014 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P11016**
- [54] Kormos M, Collura M and Calabrese P 2014 *Phys. Rev. A* **89** 013609
- [55] Essler F H L, Mussardo G and Panfil M 2015 *Phys. Rev. A* **91** 051602
- [56] Zill J C, Wright T M, Kheruntsyan K V, Gasenzer T and Davis M J 2015 *Phys. Rev. A* **91** 023611
- [57] Kinoshita T, Wenger T and Weiss D S 2005 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** 190406
- [58] Fabbri N, Panfil M, Clément D, Fallani L, Inguscio M, Fort C and Caux J-S 2015 *Phys. Rev. A* **91** 043617
- [59] Korepin V E, Bogoliubov N M and Izergin A G 1993 *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [60] Takahashi M 1999 *Thermodynamics of One-Dimensional Solvable Models* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [61] Yang C N and Yang C P 1969 *J. Math. Phys.* **10** 1115
- [62] Mierzejewski M, Prelovšek P and Prosen T 2015 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** 140601
- [63] Calabrese P, Essler F H L and Fagotti M 2012 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P07022**
- [64] Lieb E H and Liniger W 1963 *Phys. Rev.* **130** 1605
- [65] Gangardt D M and Shlyapnikov G V 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 010401
- [66] Pozsgay B 2011 *J. Stat. Mech.* **P11017**
- [67] Piroli L and Calabrese P 2015 arXiv:1506.06539
- [68] Caux J-S 2009 *J. Math. Phys.* **50** 095214
- [69] Caux J-S and Calabrese P 2006 *Phys. Rev. A* **74** 031605
- [70] Panfil M and Caux J-S 2014 *Phys. Rev. A* **89** 033605
- [71] Carusotto I, Balbinot R, Fabbri A and Recati A 2010 *Eur. Phys. J. D* **56** 391
- [72] Wright K C, Blakestad R B, Lobb C J, Phillips W D and Campbell G K 2013 *Phys. Rev. A* **89** 063633
- [73] van Es J J P, Wicke P, van Amerongen A H, Rtif C, Whitlock S and van Druten N J 2010 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **43** 155002