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Interactions of $^{77}$Se and $^{13}$CO with Nickel in the Active Site of Active F$_{420}$-nonreducing Hydrogenase from Methanococcus voltae*
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From ‡Molecular Genetics, Department of Biology, University of Marburg, Karl-von-Frisch-Strasse, D-35032 Marburg/Lahn, Germany and §E. C. Slater Institute, BioCentrum Amsterdam, Plantage Muidergracht 12, NL-1018 TV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

The selenium-containing F$_{420}$-nonreducing hydrogenase from Methanococcus voltae was prepared in the Ni$_4$(I)-CO state. The effect of illumination on this light-sensitive species was studied. EPR studies were carried out with enzyme containing natural selenium or with enzyme enriched in $^{77}$Se. Samples were prepared with either CO or $^{13}$CO. In the Ni$_4$(I)-CO state, the nuclear spins of both $^{77}$Se (I = 1/2) and $^{13}$C (I = 1/2) interacted with the nickel-based unpaired electron, suggesting that they are positioned on opposite sites of the nickel ion. In the light-induced signal, the interaction with $^{13}$CO was lost. The $^{77}$Se nuclear spin introduced an anisotropic hyperfine splitting in both the dark and light-induced EPR signals. The data on the active enzyme of M. voltae are difficult to reconcile with the crystal structure of the inactive hydrogenase of Desulfovibrio gigas (Volbeda, A., Charon, M. H., Piras, C., Hatchikian, E. C., Frey, M., and Fontecilla Camps, J. C. (1995) Nature 375, 580–587) and suggest a structural change in the active site upon activation of the enzyme.

Hydrogenases catalyze the heterolytic cleavage of molecular hydrogen into a hydride and a proton (1, 2), and the oxidation of the hydride to a proton and two electrons. The protons are released, and the electrons are transferred to an electron acceptor. Hydrogenases also catalyze the reverse reaction. All hydrogenases, which can activate hydrogen in the absence of added cofactors, contain transition metals as essential components. On the basis of their amino acid sequences and metal contents, hydrogenases can be divided into two classes. One class consists of enzymes containing only iron. These enzymes are called Fe-hydrogenases or Fe-only hydrogenases (3). The Fe-hydrogenases contain at least two classical (4Fe-4S) clusters (4, 5), in addition to a special cluster involving 4–7 Fe atoms (6), originally proposed to be a novel 6Fe cluster forming the hydrogen-activating site (H-cluster) (7, 8).

The second class comprises hydrogenases containing nickel in addition to iron. These enzymes are called Ni-hydrogenases (also Ni-Fe or [NiFe] hydrogenases). The nickel ion is essential for activity (9, 10). It responds to changes in the redox potential (11–13) and is generally believed to be the heart of the active site (for review, see Ref. 14). Some Ni-hydrogenases contain selenium in the form of selenocysteine (15–17) and are often called [NiFeSe] hydrogenases. They were earlier considered to be a subclass of the Ni-hydrogenases. Comparison of the amino acid sequences (18), however, shows that the basic unit of all known Ni-hydrogenases is the same (14) and the only difference in the Se-containing enzymes is a replacement of a conservative Cys residue by a Sec residue (17).

Depending on the redox potential, the nickel ion can have an unpaired electron. Consequently, nickel hydrogenases have been intensively studied by EPR spectroscopy. Most hydrogenases, when aerobically purified, show two distinct EPR signals due to Ni(III), often within the same preparation. The major difference in the EPR signals is the position of the $g_z$ line of the rhombic signal: it can be either at $g = 2.24$ or at $g = 2.16$ (the $g_z$ lines around 2.3 and the $g_y$ lines around 2.0 differ only slightly). Fernandez et al. (19) discovered that enzyme preparations showing a Ni(III) signal with a $g_z$ value of 2.16 were activated by hydrogen within a few minutes, but that several hours were required to activate enzyme molecules with Ni(III) showing a $g_y$ line at 2.24. The states were termed “ready” and “unready,” respectively. Complete reduction resulted in an active enzyme. In this paper, nickel in ready and unready enzyme will be referred to as Ni$_r$ and Ni$_u$, respectively.

In the literature these states have been called Ni-a and Ni-b (20) or Ni-B and Ni-A (21), respectively. Nickel in active enzyme is called Ni$_r$. It must be remembered that both the ready and unready enzymes are still inactive and need a reductive activation.

During reductive redox titrations, the $S = 1/2$ EPR signals of Ni(III) disappear and EPR-silent intermediates are obtained (22), in which the nickel ion is considered to be in the divalent, diamagnetic state (14). At temperatures below 10 °C the enzyme remains inactive (23). Only after a reductive treatment at elevated temperatures can the enzyme become active, and only then is it possible to further reduce the divalent nickel ion. This leads to another $S = 1/2$ EPR signal with $g$ values of 2.19, 2.14, and 2.02 (13, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25). As this signal was the third EPR signal from nickel in hydrogenases, it is often called Ni-C. It corresponds to an active state of the enzyme. In the Se-containing enzyme from Desulfovibrio baculatus, this state has slightly different $g$ values (2.23, 2.17, and 2.01) (26). This signal is maximal in enzyme under 1% H$_2$ (27) and then represents about 55% (pH 8–9) to 90% (pH 6) of the nickel concentration. Complete reduction under 200 kPa of H$_2$ leads to a loss of this EPR signal.

Van der Zwaan et al. (25) discovered that the species responsible for this signal in the Chromatium vinosum enzyme is light-sensitive at temperatures below 77 K and proposed that this photodissociation involves the breakage of a bond between monovalent nickel and some form of hydrogen. The correspond-
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ing state of nickel will be denoted here as \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)H₂ (or Ni-C). Illumination of this state with white light resulted in an EPR spectrum with the lowest \( g \) value at 2.05. When performed in D₂O, the rate of photodissociation was nearly 6 times slower and the EPR lines before illumination were slightly sharper (maximally 0.5 mT) than in H₂O. After photodissociation the EPR lines were equally sharp in H₂O and D₂O. In this report the photoproduction of the \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)H₂ state will be referred to as \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)H₂.

It has been reported that treatment of Ni-hydrogenases in the most reduced state (under 200 kPa of H₂, here called the magnet than \(^{13}\)C. slight line broadening, although the proton is a much stronger treated with normal CO or \(^{13}\)CO to further investigate the interactions of the unpaired electron of the nickel center. In

In this contribution we describe the EPR properties of CO-treated \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)CO and \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)CO. States

After reduction and treatment with CO, the hydrogenase from \( M. \) voltae yielded the EPR spectrum shown in Fig. 1, trace A. The most prominent EPR line at \( g = 2.11 \) is the \( g_z \) line of an apparently axial signal, which proved to be light-sensitive and was attributed to the \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)CO species. The \( g_z \) line of this signal is expected to be around \( g = 2.02 \) (28, 29), but was hidden under additional lines originating from reduced Fe-S clusters (lines below \( g = 2.05 \)) and radical species (line around \( g = 2 \)) in the sample. Illumination of the sample led to the disappearance of the feature at \( g = 2.11 \). The illuminated sample exhibited lines with \( g \) values at 2.33 (plus a shoulder at 2.32), 2.28, 2.12, and 2.05, in addition to the lines of Fe-S clusters and radicals (Fig. 1B). The photodissociation could be reversed by warming of the sample to 200 K for 10 min in the dark. A difference spectrum, “dark” minus “light” is shown in Fig. 1C. This enabled us to observe the changes of the light-sensitive \( \text{Ni}(I) \) species only. Since the gas atmosphere of the sample was a mixture of H₂ and CO, a remainder of the \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)H₂ signal could sometimes be observed at \( g \)-values of 2.21 and 2.15 (compare trace C and trace D in Fig. 1). Trace D is the difference spectrum of the dark minus light spectrum of the enzyme as isolated under 5% H₂. A comparison of traces A and B with trace D shows that the lines at \( g = 2.21 \) and \( g = 2.15 \) are due to the \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)H₂ form and the line at \( g = 2.26 \) and part of the

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Growth of \( M. \) voltae—\( M. \) voltae PS (DSM 1537) was grown at 37 °C in 10-liter batch cultures with a H₂/CO₃ (80:20) gas phase. A small amount of H₂S was added to the gas phase. Cells were harvested anaerobically during late exponential growth, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C. A medium according to Whitman et al. (34) was used with the following modifications. Cysteine and sodium sulfide were omitted. H₂S was a reductant and the sulfur source. Selenite, either the natural isotope mixture or \(^{77}\)Se-derived, was added to a final concentration of 1 µM.

**Isotopes—\(^{77}\)Se (92.4 atom %) was obtained from Promocem (Wesel, Germany). For oxidation to selenite, the elementary selenious was dissolved in concentrated nitric acid to give a 1 M solution. This solution was used to obtain a 1 µM final concentration of selenite in the medium. The selenium concentration in the medium without selenite addition was lower than 3 nM as determined by hydride atomic absorption spectroscopy (Biodata, Linden, Germany). On this basis the \(^{77}\)Se enrichment was calculated to be 92%. \(^{13}\)CO (99 atom %) was obtained from ICN (Eschwege, Germany). To ensure that the CO used was free of traces of oxygen, 0.1 ml of an anaerobic solution of glucose (200 mM in 50 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.5) and glucose oxidase per milliliter gas volume was added to the gas vessel.

**Sample Preparation—**Hydrogenase was purified as previously reported (17). Enzyme solutions were concentrated by ultrafiltration through Centricron 30 microconcentrators (Amicon, Witten-Herdecke). This concentrated hydrogenase solution was anaerobically transferred into an EPR tube. The gas atmosphere in the EPR tube was replaced by 100% hydrogen by repeated evacuation and subsequent flushing with hydrogen. Afterward, the sample was incubated for 30 min at 0 °C. The gas atmosphere was then adjusted to 10% CO/90% H₂ by injection of the appropriate volume of CO into the EPR tube, and the tube was then kept at 0 °C for 2.5 min. During this time good equilibrium with the gas phase was promoted by flicking the EPR tube. Subsequently the tube was quickly frozen as with \( C. \) vinsonii hydrogenase (38), only about one quarter of the enzyme molecules could be converted to the \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)CO state and the EPR signal disappeared if hydrogen was completely replaced by CO.

**EPR Spectroscopy—**EPR spectra at X band (9 GHz) were obtained with a Bruker ECS 106 EPR spectrometer at a field-modulation frequency of 100 kHz. Cooling of the sample was performed with an Oxford Instruments ESR 900 cryostat with a ITc4 temperature controller. The magnetic field was calibrated with an AEG magnetic field meter. The X-band frequency was measured with a HP 5350B microwave frequency counter. Illumination of the samples was carried out in the helium cryostat by shining white light (Osram Halogen Bellaphot, 150 watts) via a light guide through the irradiation grid of the Band & Sand 14102 ST cavity. Dark adaption of illuminated samples was carried out by placing the samples for 10 min in cold (200 K) ethanol in the dark. For computer simulations, the program EPR (35) or programs of S. P. J. Albracht (36) were used. Normalized double-integral values (i.e. the spin concentrations) of the simulated spectra were calculated and used to determine the weights necessary for the simulation of the various spectra.

**RESULTS**

The \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)CO and \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)CO States

After reduction and treatment with CO, the hydrogenase from \( M. \) voltae yielded the EPR spectrum shown in Fig. 1, trace A. The most prominent EPR line at \( g = 2.11 \) is the \( g_z \) line of an apparently axial signal, which proved to be light-sensitive and was attributed to the \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)CO species. The \( g_z \) line of this signal is expected to be around \( g = 2.02 \) (28, 29), but was hidden under additional lines originating from reduced Fe-S clusters (lines below \( g = 2.05 \)) and radical species (line around \( g = 2 \)) in the sample. Illumination of the sample led to the disappearance of the feature at \( g = 2.11 \). The illuminated sample exhibited lines with \( g \) values at 2.33 (plus a shoulder at 2.32), 2.28, 2.12, and 2.05, in addition to the lines of Fe-S clusters and radicals (Fig. 1B). The photodissociation could be reversed by warming of the sample to 200 K for 10 min in the dark. A difference spectrum, “dark” minus “light” is shown in Fig. 1C. This enabled us to observe the changes of the light-sensitive \( \text{Ni}(I) \) species only. Since the gas atmosphere of the sample was a mixture of H₂ and CO, a remainder of the \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)H₂ signal could sometimes be observed at \( g \)-values of 2.21 and 2.15 (compare trace C and trace D in Fig. 1). Trace D is the difference spectrum of the dark minus light spectrum of the enzyme as isolated under 5% H₂. A comparison of traces A and B with trace D shows that the lines at \( g = 2.21 \) and \( g = 2.15 \) are due to the \( \text{Ni}(I) - \)H₂ form and the line at \( g = 2.26 \) and part of the...
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The $N_i$($I$)-$H_2$ and $N_i$($I$)-$[H_2]$ States

EPR spectra of $^{77}$Se-enriched Se-containing hydrogenases have shown that this element is a ligand to nickel (26,31). The observed interactions of the nickel-based unpaired electron with the $^{77}$Se nuclear magnetic moment (31) opened the possibility to use the Ni-Se axis as an internal reference frame. This already led to the conclusion (31) that in the $N_i$($I$)-$H_2$ state, the bound hydrogen cannot be present as a ligand to nickel in a position opposite to Se, since spectra recorded with a sample in $D_2O$ were not distinguishable from those prepared in $H_2O$. As this is an important observation in the frame of the discussion in this paper, we show the spectra in Fig. 2.

The $N_i$($I$)-$^{13}$CO and $N_i$($I$)-$[^{13}$CO$]$ States

Carbon monoxide enriched in $^{13}$C had a prominent effect on the EPR spectrum of the $N_i$($I$)-CO species in the $C. vinosum$ enzyme (30), showing that the electronic $z$-axis was along the Ni-CO bond. As outlined in the Introduction, it was assumed that $H_2$ and CO could bind to the same position. This raised the question why bound $^{13}$CO caused a strong hyperfine splitting, whereas bound hydrogen had only a minor effect. To further address this problem, we have investigated the binding of CO and $^{13}$CO to active Se-containing $M. voltae$ enzyme with and without $^{77}$Se. Both $^{77}$Se and $^{13}$C have a nuclear spin of 1/2 and can introduce a 2-fold splitting of the nickel EPR signals if the free electron is interacting with the magnetic ligand. This effect is expected to be considerable if the orbital containing the unpaired spin is pointing toward the ligands.

Fig. 3 displays the spectra obtained with a sample treated with $^{13}$CO. In trace A, the dark ($N_i$($I$)-$^{13}$CO) spectrum is shown. Comparison with Fig. 1A shows that the $g_{xx}$ line at $g = 2.11$ apparently undergoes a 2-fold splitting (2.0 mT) caused by the introduction of the $^{13}$C nuclear spin. This points to an interaction between the nuclear spin of the $^{13}$C nucleus and the unpaired electron of the nickel. Trace B shows a spectrum recorded after illumination of the same sample in the cavity. Although this light ($N_i$($I$)-$[^{13}$CO$]$) signal is rather anisotropic, one can detect the low-field features around $g = 2.33$ and the high-field line at $g = 2.05$. These lines show neither hyperfine splitting nor line broadening (compare Fig. 1B). This indicates that after illumination there is no longer an interaction between the unpaired electron of the nickel and the nuclear spin of the $^{13}$C, in line with the assumption that illumination leads
to photolysis of the Ni-CO bond. Trace C displays the difference spectrum dark minus light. Comparison with Fig. 1 indicates that the $g_z$ line of the Ni a(I) $^{13}$CO signal around $g = 2.01$ is split by $^{13}$C as well. This region of the spectrum might be somewhat less reliable because the sharp feature in the dark and light spectra can cause some artifacts in the difference spectrum. It can also be seen that the highest $g$ value of the Ni a(I)$[^13]$CO state is at 2.33, whereas the highest $g$ value of the Ni a(I)$[^2]$H$_2$ state (Fig. 1D) is at 2.285. This is unlike the situation observed in the C. vinosum enzyme (25, 30).

The Effect of $^{77}$Se Enrichment

A sample enriched in $^{77}$Se and treated with CO yielded a spectrum as shown in Fig. 4. Trace A displays the dark spectrum. Here a 2-fold splitting (4.6 mT) of the $g_x$ line at $g = 2.11$ is observed, indicating an interaction between the unpaired electron of the nickel and the nuclear spin of the $^{77}$Se. The $g_x$ line at 2.01 is hidden under signals from Fe-S and radical species in the sample. After illumination of the sample, the spectrum shown in trace B was obtained. The splitting introduced by the $^{77}$Se nuclear spin is well resolved in the high-field line at $g \approx 2.05$ (see arrows), but apparently not in the low-field lines. Thus also after illumination the $^{77}$Se nucleus still interacts with the unpaired electron of the nickel. The difference spectrum of the dark minus light spectrum is displayed in trace C. In this spectrum, a splitting of the $g_x$ line of the light-induced signal at $g \approx 2.05$ can be seen more clearly (compare Fig. 1E). The feature observed around $g = 1.98$ (Fig. 4C, arrow) is most likely a member of the split $g_z$ line of the dark signal, as has become evident from analysis of the spectra with the help of simulations (see below and Table I). The splittings caused by $^{77}$Se in the Ni a(I)$[^13]$CO signal appear rather anisotropic (see below). As before, the region around $g = 2$ might be less reliable due to the strong radical signals in the parent spectra.

Effect of pH

As mentioned in the Introduction, an anisotropic splitting due to $^{77}$Se was observed in $^{77}$Se-enriched samples in the Ni a(I)$[^1]$H$_2$ state. This could have been an effect of partial protonation of the selenol group. It has been speculated that this group might serve as a basic group, which could bind the proton originating from the heterolytic cleavage of H$_2$. In this case the shape of the spectrum might be expected to change with changing pH. This notion was tested by taking spectra of $^{77}$Se-enriched samples in the Ni a(I)$[^1]$H$_2$ state in the range of pH 6 to 9 (Fig. 5). In this range no changes in the spectra were observed.

Computer Simulation

The data in Figs. 1, 3, and 4 show that in the dark state the unpaired electron of nickel interacts with both the $^{77}$Se nucleus and the $^{13}$C nucleus of CO. After illumination interaction with the $^{13}$C nucleus was lost. In contrast, the $^{77}$Se nucleus still interacted with the unpaired spin after illumination. To verify this interpretation and to more precisely determine the $g$ values and hyperfine tensors, simulations of the experimental spectra were made. Where necessary, the contributions to the spectra of the Ni a(I)$[^2]$H$_2$ and Ni a(I)$[^1]$H$_2$ species were
subtracted. Dark minus light difference spectra as in Fig. 1 were used for this purpose. In view of the limited quality of the EPR spectra, resulting from the limited amounts of isotope-enriched enzyme and overlapping Fe-S, radical, and other background signals (Figs. 1, 3, and 4), two different approaches for computer simulations were applied.

**Approach I**—In this approach, the line shapes of the dark minus light difference spectra were obtained after conversion of all spectra to the same microwave frequency (9420 MHz). It was assumed that features below $g_{\perp} 2.03$ in the difference spectra were not very reliable due to sharp lines in the parent spectra. Simulation were performed with the program of F. Neese (35). Comparisons of experimental and simulated spectra are shown in Fig. 6. The $^{77}$Se hyperfine splitting in EPR signals of samples in the Ni$_a$(I)-H$_2$ state at different pH values. Displayed are difference spectra of Ni$_a$(I)-H$_2$ minus Ni$_a$(I)[H$_2$]. A, sample at pH 6, B, sample at pH 7.5, C, sample at pH 9. For EPR conditions see Fig. 2.

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal</th>
<th>$g$ values</th>
<th>Line width (mT)</th>
<th>Hyperfine splitting (mT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$g_x$</td>
<td>$g_y$</td>
<td>$g_z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark 1</td>
<td>2.1094</td>
<td>2.1094</td>
<td>2.0123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO/77Se</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13CO/Se</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark 2</td>
<td>2.1084</td>
<td>2.1084</td>
<td>2.0123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO/77Se</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13CO/Se</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light 1</td>
<td>2.0484</td>
<td>2.1090</td>
<td>2.3200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO/77Se</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13CO/Se</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light 2</td>
<td>2.0484</td>
<td>2.1303</td>
<td>2.3315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO/77Se</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Comparison of the $^{77}$Se hyperfine splitting in EPR signals of samples in the Ni$_a$(I)-H$_2$ state at different pH values. Displayed are difference spectra of Ni$_a$(I)-H$_2$ minus Ni$_a$(I)[H$_2$]. A, sample at pH 6, B, sample at pH 7.5, C, sample at pH 9. For EPR conditions see Fig. 2.

Fig. 6. Comparison of the difference spectra from Figs. 1–3 with the corresponding simulated spectra using simulation approach I. A, Ni$_a$(I)-CO minus Ni$_a$(I)[CO] (same as Fig. 1E). B, simulation of A. C, Ni$_a$(I)-13CO minus Ni$_a$(I)[13CO] (same as Fig. 3C). D, simulation of C. E, as in A but prepared from $^{77}$Se-enriched hydrogenase (same as Fig. 4C). The parameters and relative intensities used for the simulations are shown in Tables I and II, respectively.
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DISCUSSION

Conclusions from the Simulations—Simulations according to approach I gave quite good fits, except for the region below $g = 2.03$. Following approach II, much better fits were obtained for this particular region, but now the low-field shoulder around $g = 2.13$ in Fig. 7A could not be accounted for. In using approach II, it was noticed that all simulations shown in Fig. 7 differed in the $g = 2.13$ region in the same way from the experimental dark minus light spectra of the three different samples, and hence this difference was not sensitive to $^{13}\text{CO}$ or $^{77}\text{Se}$. It is presumably due to a light-sensitive change not related to the nickel site. It was this feature that determined the better overall fits with approach II, we conclude that there is presumably only one Ni$_{a}(I)$-CO state.

In evaluating both approaches, we come to the following conclusions about the effects of CO, $^{13}\text{CO}$, and $^{77}\text{Se}$ on the EPR spectra. Like in the C. vinosum and D. gigas enzymes, CO binds to the nickel site in the M. voltae enzyme in a light-sensitive way. The Ni$_{a}(I)$-CO state is presumably homogeneous as in the other enzymes, whereas in the Ni$_{b}(I)$-CO state two major components can be detected by EPR. Unlike the situation in the C. vinosum hydrogenase, the Ni$_{a}(I)$-CO state has an EPR spectrum differing from that of the Ni$_{b}(I)$-CO$_{2}$ state. Reaction of the enzyme with $^{13}\text{CO}$ results in a nearly isotropic interaction ($A_{xy} = 2.2, 2.2$, and 2.35 mT) in the Ni$_{b}(I)$-CO$_{2}$ state. Enrichment with $^{77}\text{Se}$ gives rise to $A_{zz} = 4.6, 4.6$, and 12.1 mT in the Ni$_{a}(I)$-CO state. After illumination the $g_{z}$ region of the resulting Ni$_{a}(I)$-CO$_{2}$ signals is indistinguishable from that obtained with the $^{13}\text{CO}$-treated enzyme. This means that, under the conditions used, the $^{77}\text{Se}$ nucleus has no detectable hyperfine splitting in this direction. The $g_{z}$ region shows a clear splitting of 3.81 mT. Information on the $g$ and $A$ values of the $g_{z}$ region is unreliable due to overlapping background signals and overlap with the $g$ region of the Ni$_{a}(I)$-CO signal. We have assumed common $g_{z}$ (2.114), $W_{y}$ (2.7 mT), and $A_{y}$ values (3.81

---

**Table II**

Relative intensities (%), based on $g$ value-normalized double-integral values, of the individual spectra used to compose the simulations of Fig. 6, traces B, D, and F.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trace</th>
<th>Dark 1</th>
<th>Dark 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COSe</td>
<td>CO$^{13}\text{CO}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COSe</td>
<td>CO$^{13}\text{CO}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>58.81</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>58.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>-5.09</td>
<td>-58.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 7.** Comparison of the difference spectra from Figs. 1–3 with the corresponding simulated spectra using simulation approach II. A, Ni$_{a}(I)$-CO minus Ni$_{a}(I)$[CO] (same as Fig. 1E). B, simulation of A. C, Ni$_{b}(I)$-CO minus Ni$_{b}(I)$[CO] (same as Fig. 3C). D, simulation of C. E, as in A but prepared from $^{77}\text{Se}$-enriched hydrogenase (same as Fig. 4C). The original microwave frequencies of the experimental spectra were used (9420–9421.7 MHz). The $g$ scale is given for 9421 MHz. The parameters and relative intensities used for the simulations are shown in Tables III and IV, respectively.
mT) for the two light-induced signals.

CO Binds to Nickel in a Position Opposite to Se—The g values of the Ni₆(I)-CO signal (gₓ close to gᵧ) indicate that the unpaired spin is in an orbital with a predominant dₓ2 character (37). The sample treated with ¹³CO showed a significant and nearly isotropic hyperfine interaction of the unpaired spin of the nickel with the ¹³C nucleus. This indicates that the dₓ2 orbital bearing the unpaired spin is overlapping with the sp-hybrid orbital of the carbon atom. It then follows that the CO is a ligand in the direction of the dₓ2 orbital (i.e. the electronic z-axis).

The Ni₆(I)-CO spectrum of a ⁷⁷Se-enriched sample also clearly showed a large hyperfine splitting of all lines, although it was rather anisotropic in nature (Aₓxy = 4.6, 4.6, and 12.1 mT). This demonstrated that the dₓ2 orbital is also pointing toward the selenium atom. These two results indicate that in the CO-treated enzyme, selenium and the carbon atom of the CO are located along the z-axis of the g-tensor system. We therefore conclude that Se and CO bind on opposite sides to the nickel ion. This means that a flip of 90° of the electronic z-axis upon CO binding, as earlier proposed (31), does not take place. Illumination of the Ni₆(I)-CO state resulted in a similar, although not identical, EPR spectrum as illumination of the Ni₆(I)-H₂ state (31), whereas the hyperfine interactions with ⁷⁷Se differed considerably (for Ni₆(I)-CO: Aₓxy = 4.6, 4.6, and 12.1 mT; for Ni₆(I)-H₂: 0.96, 1.55, and 5.32 mT; for Ni₆(I)[H₂]: 4.33, 4.67, and 3.81 mT).

A plausible explanation for the ⁷⁷Se- hyperfine splitting in all of these states is that the z-axis in both dark states (electron in dₓ2) is along the Ni-Se axis, but perpendicular to this axis in the light-induced states (electron in dₓ2). The differences in ⁷⁷Se-hyperfine interaction when CO is present points to a difference in orbital overlap between nickel and selenium, probably due to a slightly distorted structure, in line with the difference in g values of the Ni₆(I)[H₂] and Ni₆(I)[CO] states.

Comparison of CO Binding and Hydrogen Binding—F₄₂₀ nonreducing hydrogenase from M. voltae, as anaerobically purified in a glove box containing 5% H₂, shows EPR characteristics that are typical for [NiFe] hydrogenases in the Ni₆(I)-H₂ state (31) (Fig. 1D). Treatment of fully reduced samples with 10% CO resulted in different EPR spectra (Fig. 1A). The change of the g values upon CO treatment indicates binding of CO to nickel, and this is confirmed by the use of ¹³CO. We note that the ¹³C splittings are somewhat smaller than those observed in the Ni₆(I)-¹³CO state of the C. vinosum hydrogenase (Aₓxy = 2.88, 3.04, and 3.32 mT; Ref. 30). The C. vinosum enzyme showed a rhombic EPR signal (gx = 2.12, 2.07, and 2.02), whereas the signal of the Ni₆(I)-CO state from the M. voltae enzyme is axial (gx = 2.11, 2.11, and 2.01). We also note that the ⁷⁷Se-hyperfine interaction in the Ni₆(I)-CO state (Aₓxy = 4.6, 4.6, and 12.1 mT) is considerably stronger than in the Ni₆(I)-H₂ state (Aₓxy = 0.96, 1.548, and 5.32 mT) (31).

Illumination at low temperatures of CO-treated M. voltae samples led to a spectrum that differed noticeably from that of the Ni₆(I)-[H₂] state. The highest g value of the former is 2.32 (species 1) to 2.3315 (species 2), whereas the latter has a highest g value of 2.285 (Fig. 1). In this respect the enzymes from M. voltae and C. vinosum also differ; with the C. vinosum enzyme, the g values of the Ni₆(I)[H₂] and Ni₆(I)[CO] states were identical. This suggests that the changes in the conformation of the nickel site induced by binding of the H-species (the Ni₆(I)-H₂ state) or CO are different in the M. voltae enzyme; after photolysis of the added ligands, the EPR spectra differ. In the C. vinosum enzyme, the H-species and CO apparently induce the same (if any) conformational changes.

There is a second major difference in EPR properties of the Ni₆(I)[H₂] and Ni₆(I)[CO] states in the M. voltae enzyme. In the former state the ⁷⁷Se-hyperfine interaction is quite isotropic (Aₓxy = 4.327, 4.666, and 3.81 mT) (31), but in the latter state a strong anisotropic interaction is observed (Aₓxy = 3.81, 3.81, and 0 mT). This strengthens the notion that CO binding to the M. voltae enzyme perturbs the coordination of the active site more than hydrogen binding.

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal</th>
<th>g values</th>
<th>Line width (mT)</th>
<th>Hyperfine splitting (mT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dark CO/Se</td>
<td>2.1084 2.1084 2.0123</td>
<td>2.05 2.05 1.7</td>
<td>— — —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹³CO/Se</td>
<td>2.0484 2.1137 2.3200</td>
<td>1.2 2.7 2.68</td>
<td>3.81 3.81 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⁷⁷Se</td>
<td>2.0484 2.1137 2.3315</td>
<td>1.2 2.7 1.04</td>
<td>— — —</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative intensities (%)</th>
<th>based on g value-normalized double-integral values, of the individual spectra used to compose the simulations of Fig. 7, traces B, D, and F.</th>
<th>( \text{Trace} )</th>
<th>( \text{CO} )</th>
<th>( \text{CO} )</th>
<th>( \text{CO} )</th>
<th>( \text{CO} )</th>
<th>( \text{CO} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dark CO/Se</td>
<td>2.1084 2.1084 2.0123</td>
<td>— — —</td>
<td>— — —</td>
<td>— — —</td>
<td>— — —</td>
<td>— — —</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light 1</td>
<td>2.0484 2.1137 2.3200</td>
<td>1.2 2.7 2.68</td>
<td>3.81 3.81 0</td>
<td>— — —</td>
<td>— — —</td>
<td>— — —</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light 2</td>
<td>2.0484 2.1137 2.3315</td>
<td>1.2 2.7 1.04</td>
<td>— — —</td>
<td>3.81 3.81 0</td>
<td>— — —</td>
<td>— — —</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the assumption that the unpaired electron in the Ni(I)-H2 and Ni(I)-CO state is in an orbital with mainly a d2 character, the results on the Se-containing M. voltae enzyme (this paper and Ref. 31) allow the conclusion that in the Ni(I)-H2 state there is no hydrogen species bound opposite to selenocysteine, but that the site is empty and available for binding of CO or H2 (27). This is in agreement with the very small effect of H/D exchange on the line width of the Ni(I)-H2 spectra from various hydrogenases (25, 38) including the F240°-nonreducing enzyme from M. voltae (Fig. 2). It means that the light-sensitive hydrogen species bound to the active site (25, 39, 40) is bound elsewhere. One possibility is binding perpendicular to the z-axis as suggested by Marganian et al. (41, 42) on the basis of Ni(I) model compounds that had reacted with CO or H2. Another possibility is binding to Fe in the active [NiFe] site.

The present data do not allow us to distinguish between these possibilities.

Illumination of the Ni(I)-H2 and the Ni(I)-CO states produces identical EPR spectra in C. vinosum hydrogenase (30) and similar spectra in the M. voltae enzyme. This raises an interesting question: if the photodissociation of the Ni-CO bond is the only light-induced reaction, then why is the EPR spectrum of the Ni(I)-CO state (position opposite the Se vacant) completely different from that of the Ni(I)-H2 state (likewise having a vacant position opposite the Se site)? Is a light-sensitive hydrogen species still bound to the active site in the Ni(I)-CO state? In this respect we note that we have never been able to prepare the Ni(I)-CO state in the absence of H2 in the gas phase, so the possibility that both CO and a hydrogen species are bound in this state, be it at different sites, remains to be tested.

In summary, the results presented in this paper suggest that CO can bind to nickel opposite the selenium atom. There is a large amount of delocalization of the electron density of the nickel-based unpaired spin toward both ligands. In the absence of CO the site opposite selenium is vacant. Our data also suggest that the hydrogen species present in the Ni(I)-H2 state might also be present in the Ni(I)-CO state. This hydrogen species could be bound perpendicular to the electronic z-axis or to the iron atom. The results in Fig. 5 make a protonation of selenium in the Ni(I)-H2 state in the range of pH 6 to 9 unlikely, since there is no change in g values or hyperfine interaction. The proton generated upon cleavage of dihydrogen might bind to another ligand.

The present results on an active hydrogenase are difficult to reconcile with the x-ray structure of the oxidized, inactive D. gigas enzyme (33). Here the position opposite the sulfur atom, which is analogous to the selenium atom in the M. voltae enzyme, is occupied by another sulfur atom. A different structure in active enzyme is in line with the great change in properties of the active site in nickel hydrogenases upon activation/deactivation (14). No x-ray data are available on the active D. gigas enzyme yet.
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