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Abstract: This paper examines the semantic and morphosyntactic complementation patterns of perception verbs in Brazilian Portuguese. Using the framework of Functional Discourse Grammar, five semantic complement types are identified. It is subsequently shown that these five types are in an implicational relationship, such that the set of semantic complement types that a certain perception verb in Brazilian Portuguese may take occupies a contiguous segment on a hierarchy of semantic complement types. The morphosyntactic complements of perception verbs in Brazilian Portuguese include noun phrases, finite, and non-finite clauses, the latter comprising progressive1 and infinitival forms. The second part of the study shows that the choice for one of these types can to a high extent be predicted from the semantics of the complements, using the same hierarchy of semantic complement types.
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1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to give a systematic description of the complementation patterns exhibited, both semantically and morphosyntactically, by perception verbs in Brazilian Portuguese within the framework of Functional Discourse Grammar (FDG, Hengeveld & Mackenzie 2008). To this end, we will first, in Section 2, give a brief outline of FDG. We then move to its treatment of perception verbs in Section 3. From this treatment a number of predictions follow, which are given in Section 4. The predictions concern the distribution of semantic complement types with perception verbs on the one hand, and the way in which the morphosyntactic complement types of perception verbs may be predicted from their semantics on the other. These predictions are tested in Sections 5 through 8. We round off the paper with our conclusions in Section 9.

1 We use the term ‘progressive verb form’ for the gerúndio in Brazilian Portuguese
2 Functional Discourse Grammar

2.1 Introduction

Functional Discourse Grammar (Hengeveld & Mackenzie 2008, 2010; Keizer 2015) is a theory of language structure with a strong typological basis. The overall FDG model is given in Figure 1, which shows the various levels of analysis that are recognized within the grammar: the Interpersonal\(^2\), the Representational, the Morphosyntactic, and the Phonological Levels. Each level is hierarchically ordered in layers of increasing scope.

---

\(^2\) This section is partly based on Bastos et al. (2007).

\(^3\) Technical terms specific for FDG are capitalized throughout the text.
2.2 Levels

The Interpersonal, Representational, and Morphosyntactic Levels of linguistic organization are constructed using different sets of primitives. Underlying the Interpersonal and Representational Levels of organization are pragmatic and semantic frames, which serve as hosts for lexemes and primary operators (operators that are defined in terms of their meaning). Underlying the Morphosyntactic Level are morphosyntactic templates, which receive, apart from lexical material from the preceding levels, grammatical words and morphosyntactic secondary operators (i.e. operators anticipating bound grammatical expressions). The Phonological Level is based on prosodic patterns, which host the lexical material handed over from the preceding levels, together with bound morphemes and possibly tertiary operators (i.e. operators anticipating the acoustic expression of the utterance).

Levels are related to each other through operations, represented with ovals in Figure 1. There is a crucial difference between Formulation on the one hand, and Encoding on the other. The process of Formulation deals with specifying the pragmatic and semantic configurations that can be encoded within the language. As far as Formulation is concerned, there may be differences between languages as regards the pragmatic and semantic functions that are necessary to describe their grammatical system. The process of Encoding deals with the morphosyntactic and phonological form pragmatic/semantic configurations take in a language. As far as Encoding is concerned, there may be differences between languages as regards their word order, phoneme inventory, morphological type, etc.

The levels that are most relevant for the current paper are the Interpersonal Level and the Representational Level. These will therefore be presented in somewhat more detail.

The Interpersonal Level is organized hierarchically as indicated in (1):

(1) \((M; (A; [[(F; (P; (C; \ldots (T; (R; \ldots)))] (A)]) (M)))\)

The highest unit of analysis at the Interpersonal Level is the Move (M), which may contain one or more Discourse Acts (A). The central organizing unit within the Discourse Act is the basic Illocution (F), which takes the speech act Participants (P, the speaker S and the addressee A) and the Communicated Content (C) as its arguments. The Communicated Content itself is built up on the basis of a varying number of Ascriptive (T) and Referential (R) Subacts. The latter two units are operative at the same layer, which means that there is no hierarchical relation between them. The Interpersonal Level is thus an actional level, at which units are analysed in terms of their communicative function.

The Representational Level is organized hierarchically as indicated in (2):

(2) \((p; (ep; (e; (f; (x; (f; (e; (ep; (p)))))))))\)

The linguistic units that are relevant at this level are categorized in terms of the semantic categories they designate. Propositional Contents (p) are mental constructs, only existing in the mind; Episodes (ep) are thematically coherent combinations of States-of-Affairs, where the States-of-Affairs show unity or continuity in time, space, and participants; States-of-Affairs (e) themselves are events or states, which have a temporal reality; Individuals (x) are concrete, tangible, entities that exist in space; and Properties (f) are special in that they only exist when they are applied to some other semantic category. Properties (f) occur both as units characterizing States-of-Affairs (the Configurational (c) Property (f; in (2)), and as an independent unit (the Lexical (l) Property (f;)) within the Configurational Property. The units (f; and (x; in (2) are operative at the same layer, which means that there is no hierarchical relation between them.
2.3 Layering

Each level is organized hierarchically in terms of several layers. Higher layers contain lower layers. All layers at the Interpersonal and Representational Levels have the following general structure, where α ranges over all variables:

\[(π_α: [(\text{complex head}) (\alpha_1): σ(\alpha_1))]φ\]

Lexical and grammatical means are used to build up each unit. Lexical means are the heads and optional modifiers (σ), where the head is shown as the first restrictor and the modifier as a non-first restrictor. Grammatical means are operators (π) and functions (φ). Operators specify non-relational properties expressed grammatically, functions specify relational properties expressed grammatically.

The most important semantic domains of operators and modifiers for each of the layers of the Interpersonal and Representational Levels are given in Table 1. They are illustrated with examples of modifiers, as these will play an important role below.

### Table 1. Semantic domains of operators and modifiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interpersonal level</th>
<th>Representational level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M Communicative status of the move (e.g. in sum)</td>
<td>p Propositional attitude (e.g. possibly); Evidence (e.g. apparently)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Communicative status of the act (e.g. in addition); Stylistic properties of the act (e.g. briefly)</td>
<td>ep Order of episodes (e.g. first); Absolute time (e.g. yesterday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F Illocutionary manner (e.g. frankly)</td>
<td>e Relative time (e.g. after that); Reality status (e.g. hardly); Event quantification (e.g. twice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Subjective attitude (e.g. fortunately); Reportativity (e.g. reportedly)</td>
<td>f Manner (e.g. beautifully); Aspect (e.g. continuously)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to illustrate the above, example (5), adapted from Hengeveld & Wanders (2007: 217) is formalized interpersonally (5) and representationally (6) below:

\[(5) \quad (A_1; [(F_1; \text{DECL (F_1)}) (P_1) (P_1) (C_1); [(T_1) (R_1) (R_1) (R_2)]; \text{reportedly}_\text{adv} (C_1)] (A_1))\]

\[(6) \quad (p_1; \text{past ep}_1; \text{sim e}; (\text{progr f}_1; [(f_1; \text{cut}_1 (f_1)); \text{slowly}_\text{adv} (f_1)]; (1x_1; \text{man}_n (x_1); \text{ag} (x_1); \text{past} (f_1); (1x_1; \text{knife}_n (x_1); \text{num} (f_1)); (e_1)) (\text{ep}_1); \text{yesterday}_\text{adv} (\text{ep}_1) (p_1))\]

The different lexical modifiers (reportedly, slowly, with a knife, yesterday) are represented at their corresponding layers: reportedly modifies the C-layer at the Interpersonal Level in (5), deliberately, with a knife, and yesterday modify the f₁-, f₁⁻, and ep₁-layers of the Representational Level in (6). An aspectual and a temporal operator at the f₁⁻ and ep₁-layer in (6) trigger the past progressive form of the verb.
2.4 Complementation

In the preceding paragraphs it was shown that layers may be qualified in similar ways by either operators or modifiers. In the same way, they may be used as arguments of complement-taking predicates, and these then again qualify the layers they take as their arguments in similar ways as operators and modifiers.

Thus, complement-taking verbs with meanings parallel to the ones listed in Table 1 for the Interpersonal and Representational Levels, take arguments with systematically decreasing internal complexity the lower the specific layer they embed. As a consequence, the layers listed in (7) and (8), taken from Hengeveld & Mackenzie (2008, chapter 4), may occur as arguments of complement-taking predicates:

(7) Interpersonal layers underlying subordinate clauses
   a  \((\Pi M_1; \ldots (\Pi A_1; [\ldots (\Pi C_1; \ldots ([T_1] (R_1)) (C_1); \Sigma (C_1))) (A_1); \Sigma (A_1)) (M_1); \Sigma (M_1))\)
   b  \((\Pi A_1; \ldots (\Pi C_1; \ldots ([T_1] (R_1)) (C_1); \Sigma (C_1))) (A_1); \Sigma (A_1))\)
   c  \((\Pi C_1; \ldots ([T_1] (R_1)) (C_1); \Sigma (C_1))\)

(8) Representational layers underlying subordinate clauses
   a  \((\pi p_1; \ldots (\pi e_p_1; \ldots (\pi f_1; \ldots (f_1) (x_1)) (f_1); \sigma (f_1)) (e_1); \sigma (e_1)) (e_p_1); \sigma (e_p_1)) (p_1))\)
   b  \((\pi e_p_1; \ldots (\pi e_1; \ldots (\pi f_1; \ldots (f_1) (x_1)) (f_1); \sigma (f_1)) (e_1); \sigma (e_1)) (e_p_1))\)
   c  \((\pi e_1; \ldots (\pi f_1; \ldots (f_1) (x_1)) (f_1); \sigma (f_1)) (e_1); \sigma (e_1))\)
   d  \((\pi f_1; \ldots (f_1) (x_1)) (f_1); \sigma (f_1))\)

Lower layers are contained within higher layers. Therefore, subordinate clauses may be classified in terms of the highest layer they contain. In addition, as a layer always brings along its particular set of operators and modifiers, it may be predicted that all the operators and modifiers qualifying the highest layer underlying a certain type of subordinate clause, and all lower operators and modifiers, may be expressed in such a subordinate clause. On the other hand, modifiers and operators qualifying layers higher than the highest layer underlying a certain type of subordinate clause, are excluded from expression in such as subordinate clause.

The following examples (see also Hengeveld & Mackenzie 2008: 361-367) illustrate this for the Interpersonal Level:

(9) While it is difficult to make generalizations about such a diverse public, it is easy to conclude [that in sum, these actions have led to a net loss of vegetative cover relative to pre-settlement conditions, as well as a substantial change in the type of vegetation present. At the same time, public consciousness regarding the importance of urban vegetation has certainly risen in the last ten years, although how much of that awareness has translated into changed behavior vis a vis urban plants in Quito is an open question.] (Move)
   \((f_1; \text{conclude}_x (f_1))\)
   \((x_1)_{A} \) \((M_1; [A_1, A_2 \ldots ] (M_1); \Sigma (M_1))_{u}\)

(10) I might add that, frankly speaking (*in sum), you’re going to have bigger problems than just raising capital. (Discourse Act)
    \((f_1; \text{add}_x (f_1))\)
    \((x_1)_{A} \) \((A_1; [\text{ILL } (P_1)_{A} (P_2)_{A} (C_1; [\ldots (T_1) (R_1) \ldots ] (C_1)))] (A_1); \Sigma (A_1))_{u}\)
They further stated that the members are reportedly (*frankly speaking, *in sum) considering to walk separate paths. (Communicated Content)

\[(f_1; \text{state}_{\text{f}}(f_1)) \]
\[\begin{align*}
(x_1)_{\text{A}} \\
(C_1; [...] (R_1)...) (C_1); \Sigma (C_1)_{\text{U}}
\end{align*}\]

The complement-taking predicate conclude in (9) takes a summarizing Move in an argumentative series as its argument. This Move is represented as the Undergoer (U) argument M of the verb conclude and is itself built up as a series of Discourse Acts. We can now explain the presence of the modifier in sum in the subordinate clause, as this is an M-modifier. The complement-taking predicate add in (10) has a single Discourse Act A, as its argument, and this explains why it is possible that the A-modifier frankly speaking but not an M-modifier may be expressed within it. Finally, the complement-taking predicate state in (11) takes the Communicated Content C, as its argument, and therefore may contain the C-modifier reportedly, while the presence of an A-modifier or an M-modifier is not grammatical.

The same type of reasoning may be applied at the Representational Level. Consider the following examples and their underlying formalizations (Hengeveld & Mackenzie 2008: chapter 4):

(12) He believed that I had possibly gone too far. (Propositional Content)

\[(f_1; \text{believe}(f_1)) \]
\[\begin{align*}
(x_1)_{\text{A}} \\
(p_1; (ep_1; (e_1; (fc_1; [...] (f_1)...][f_1]) (e_1)) (ep_1)) (p_1); \sigma (p_1))_{\text{U}}
\end{align*}\]

(13) It ends with him (*possibly) breaking up with her and her running crying out into the hall when he returns from the war. (Episode)

\[(f_1; \text{end}_{\text{f}}(f_1)) \]
\[\begin{align*}
(ep_1; (e_1; (f_1; [...] (f_1)) (e_1)), (e_2; (f_1; [...] (f_1)) (e_2)) (ep_2); \sigma (ep_2))_{\text{U}}
\end{align*}\]

(14) I saw her (*possibly) leave before dinner (*yesterday). (State-of-Affairs)

\[(f_1; \text{see}(f_1)) \]
\[\begin{align*}
(x_1)_{\text{A}} \\
(e_1; (f_1; [...] (f_1)) (e_1); \sigma (e_1))_{\text{U}}
\end{align*}\]

(15) He continued to cry uninterrupted (*before dinner/when he returns from the war/possibly). (configurational property)

\[(f_1; \text{continue}(f_1)) \]
\[\begin{align*}
(x_1)_{\text{A}} \\
(f_1; [...] (f_1); \sigma (f_1))_{\text{U}}
\end{align*}\]

The complement-taking predicate believe in (12) is the expression of a propositional attitude, and its argument therefore has to be a propositional content (p.). For this reason it may contain the modifier possibly, which expresses a propositional attitude. The complement-taking predicate end_with in (13) takes an argument (ep) that denotes the final episode of a story. It may therefore contain an absolute temporal modifier, in this case when he returns from the war, but a p-modifier is not grammatical. The complement-taking predicate see in (14) takes the witnessed State-of-Affairs (e) as its argument. This explains the presence of the relative temporal modifier before dinner, and the fact that p-modifiers and ep-modifiers are not grammatical, at least not as modifiers of the subordinate clause. Finally, the complement-taking predicate continue in (15)
denotes the persisting presence of a property, and it therefore takes a Configurational Property \((f_c)\) as its complement. The presence of this layer licenses the modification by the aspectual adverb *uninterruptedly*, while in the absence of higher layers \(p\)-modifiers, \(ep\)-modifiers, and \(e\)-modifiers are not grammatical, again when these are taken as modifiers of the subordinate rather than the main clause.

We conclude, then, that it is possible to classify complement clauses on the basis of the highest layer they contain. Since lower layers are included in higher layers, the presence of the highest layer predicts the presence of all lower layers as well as the operators and modifiers corresponding to them.

## 3 Perception verbs in Functional Discourse Grammar

### 3.1 Introduction

Perception verbs, like *see* and *hear*, specify a relation between an individual (the perceiving entity) and different kinds of the representational/interpersonal categories introduced above, according to the nature of what is perceived. In Dik and Hengeveld (1991), a description of the different kinds of perception verb complements is given within the Functional Grammar framework, accounting for the many subtle semantic differences between them. Drawing on earlier work by e.g. Kirsner & Thompson (1976), Holierhoek (1980), Barwise & Perry (1983), Noonan (1985), and van der Auwera (1985), the authors argue that perception verb complements can be understood in terms of the hierarchical clause structure used in Functional Grammar to represent utterances. In the same way, in this section we carry out the characterization of perception verbs and their complements, but now according to the FDG model, taking the previous description as our starting point. We will show that perception verbs can take five different types of complement: Properties \((f)\), Individuals \((x)\), States-of-Affairs \((e)\), Episodes \((ep)\), and Communicated Contents \((C)\).

From this section onwards we will use Brazilian Portuguese examples. All these examples were obtained through internet searches using the Google search engine. They were subsequently checked for their grammaticality by the three authors of this paper that are native speakers of Brazilian Portuguese.

### 3.2 Perception of Property

A perception verb in this case describes the perception of a property by an individual. Since properties do not exist by themselves, the object of perception is a characteristic of another entity, as illustrated in (16):

\[\begin{align*}
\text{Nunca} & \quad \text{sentiram} \quad \underline{o} \quad \text{cheiro} \quad \underline{de} \quad \text{comida estragada}. \\
\text{Never} & \quad \text{sense.pst.3pl} \quad \text{the smell of food spoiled}
\end{align*}\]

They never *sensed* the smell of spoiled food.

The verb *sentir* ‘sense’ in (16) specifies a relation between the perceiving Individual \((x)\), the understood subject ‘they’, and the perceived Property \((f)\) *o cheiro de comida estragada* ‘the smell of spoiled food’.

### 3.3 Perception of Individual

In this use of perception verbs what is described is the perception of one individual by another, as illustrated in (17):

\[\begin{align*}
\text{In this use of perception verbs what is described is the perception of one individual by another, as illustrated in (17):}
\end{align*}\]

---

\[\text{In Brazilian Portuguese examples and their translations we present the perception verb in bold and its complement through underlining.}\]
In this case, the verb *ver* ‘see’ specifies a relation between two semantic categories of the same type: a perceiving Individual (x) *eu* ‘I’ and a perceived Individual (x) *o passarinho* ‘the little bird’, both individuals, and, consequently, concrete and tangible entities.

### 3.4 Perception of State-of-Affairs

This reading concerns the direct perception of a state-of-affairs by an individual, as the following example shows:

(18) **Eu vi o carro bater numa bike.**

1sg see.pst.1sg the car crash.inf in.a bicycle

‘I saw the car crash into a bicycle.’

In (18), the verb *ver* ‘see’ specifies a relation between the Individual (x) category *eu* ‘I’ and a directly perceived State-of-Affairs (e) *um carro batendo numa bike* ‘a car crashing into a bicycle’.

### 3.5 Perception of Episode

The fourth possible reading concerns the deduction of a piece of knowledge by means of perception through one of the senses, as illustrated in the following sentence:

(19) **Eu vi que o carro tinha batido numa bike.**

1sg see.pst.1sg that the car have.pst crash.ptcp in.a bicycle

‘I saw that the car had crashed into a bicycle.’

As is clear from the tenses used, in this example the first person subject did not witness a car crashing into a bicycle directly, as in (18). Rather, he/she comes to the conclusion that the crash has taken place on the basis of visual evidence. The difference with (18) is that in (18) the complement clause represents the state-of-affairs witnessed directly and is thus of the e-type, while in (19) it represents the conclusion the speaker arrived at.

Dik & Hengeveld (1991) call this type ‘perception of propositional content’. We here choose, however, to classify it as the perception of Episodes, following Hengeveld & Hattner (2015). These authors situate the evidential category of deduction at the layer of the Episode, on the basis of the fact that ‘deduction necessarily involves at least two related states-of-affairs: the perceived one and the deduced one. The speaker deduces the occurrence of one state-of-affairs, the deduced one, on the basis of another state-of-affairs, the perceived one’ (Hengeveld & Hattner 2015: 486). As it is within the Episode that the relation between States-of-Affairs is specified, deduction must then be situated at that layer.

The connection between the two States-of-Affairs within the Episode is also shown in the fact that there has to be a (relative) temporal connection between the perceived and the deduced events, as illustrated in (20) (Hengeveld & Hattner 2015: 490-491):

---

5 Note that perception of a Propositional Content is at stake in expressions such as *I see what you mean*. 
(20)  
a. I smell that he has been cooking.
b. *I smell that he had been cooking.

The temporal specification in the complement clause in (20a) expresses relative tense, which connects the perceiving event with the deduced event. In (20b) the complement clause contains an expression of absolute tense, and thereby disconnects the perceiving event from the deduced event, which leads to ungrammaticality. Given the requirement of a temporal connection, the two events must be within a single Episode.

There are a number of grammatical differences between constructions that express the perception of a State-of-Affairs and those that express the perception of an Episode that allow us to distinguish them, as shown in Dik and Hengeveld (1991). These are: (i) the simultaneity of the e-complement with the main clause; (ii) the impossibility to negate the e-complement independently; and (iii) non-factivity, i.e., the absence of a presupposition on the part of the speaker that the e-category took place.

The first property is shown in (21):

(21)  
\[
\begin{array}{l}
{1\text{st}\text{ sg}} \text{ see.pst.1sg} \text{ the car have.inf crash.ptcp into.a bicycle} \\
\text{I saw the car having crashed into a bicycle.}
\end{array}
\]

While the use of the past tense is fine in (19), it leads to ungrammaticality in (21). This is because direct perception requires simultaneity of the perceiving and the perceived State-of-Affairs.

The examples in (22) show that a State-of-affairs complement cannot be negated, while an Episode complement can:

(22)  
\[
\begin{array}{l}
a \quad *{1\text{st}\text{ sg}} \text{ see.pst.1sg} \text{ the car not crash.inf into.a bicycle} \\
\text{I saw the car not crash into a bicycle.}'
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{l}
b \quad {1\text{st}\text{ sg}} \text{ see.pst.1sg that the car not have.pst.3sg crash.ptcp in.a bicycle} \\
\text{I saw that the car had not crashed into a bicycle.}'
\end{array}
\]

While negation of the complement is fine in (22b), it is not in (22a). The reason is that something that does not happen cannot be perceived directly.

Finally, the examples in (23) demonstrate that the truth of Episode complements is presupposed, while that of State-of-Affairs complements is not:

(23)  
\[
\begin{array}{l}
a \quad *{1\text{st}\text{ sg}} \text{ not see.pst.1sg the car crash.inf into.a bicycle} \\
\text{I did not see the car crash into a bicycle.} \text{ (and I know that it didn't)}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{l}
b \quad {1\text{st}\text{ sg}} \text{ not see.pst.1sg that the car crash.pst.3sg in.a bicycle} \\
\text{I did not see that the car crashed into a bicycle.} \text{ (and I know that it didn't)}
\end{array}
\]
When the verb ver ‘see’ takes an Episode as its complement, it describes acquisition of knowledge. Predicates of acquisition of knowledge are semi-factive, that is, the speaker presupposes that the complement describes a fact. For this reason, the continuation in (23b) is ungrammatical.

### 3.6 Perception of Communicated Content

This reading is only possible with predicates of hearing and seeing (in the sense of ‘reading’) when used by the speaker to relay words or thoughts of someone else, as illustrated in (24):

(24) Ontem visto no jornal que um jovem de 21 anos matou o irmão de 22.

‘Yesterday I saw in the newspaper that a 21-year-old boy killed his 22-year-old brother.’

In (24), the verb ver ‘see’ specifies a relation between the first person singular perceiving x-type subject ‘I’ and the perceived Communicated Content que um jovem de 21 anos matou o irmão de 22 ‘that a 21 years old boy killed his brother of 22’, which represents a piece of information claimed by a third party.

The grammatical expression of the perception of a Communicated Content is different from that of an Episode. As shown in (24), in the former case the source of the information, here o jornal ‘the newspaper’, may be specified. In the latter case, this is not possible, as shown in (25):

(25) Percebi notado pela sua acção/*pelo João que ela é uma pessoa muito legal.

‘I noted (through her actions/through João) that she is a very nice person.’

### 3.7 The representation of perception verbs in FDG

Constructions with perception verbs, like the ones presented in 3.2 to 3.6, are used to express the subject’s perception of an aspect of the extralinguistic world. In this way, this kind of construction is dealt with at the Representational Level in the FDG model. In this subsection we will present the underlying representations for the constructions with perception verbs presented so far. As shown before, perception verbs can have different representational or interpersonal categories as their complement, and these are represented by different variables. We may therefore formalize the differences between them exploiting the variables introduced earlier. ‘PV’ is shorthand for ‘perception verb’.

(i) perception of Property:

(26) [(fI: PV (fi)) (xi) (fj)]

   e.g. ‘I (xi) saw (fi) the redness of her eyes (fj).’

(ii) perception of Individual:

(27) [(fI: PV (fi)) (xI) (xj)]

   e.g. ‘I (xI) saw (fi) your brother (xj).’

---

6 This is even so when the complement designates a Communicated Content, a unit at the Interpersonal Level. When Interpersonal units are being talked about, they enter the Representational Level, as described in Hengeveld & Mackenzie 2008: 275-277.
(iii) perception of State-of-Affairs:

(28) \[[f; \text{PV} (f)) (x_i) (e_i)]

e.g. 'I (x_i) saw (f) him arrive (e_i).'

(iv) perception of Episode

(29) \[[f; \text{PV} (f)) (x_i) (ep_i)]

e.g. 'I (x_i) saw (f) that he had arrived (ep_i).'

(v) perception of Communicated Content

(30) \[[f; \text{PV} (f)) (x_i) (C_i)]

e.g. 'I (x_i) hear (f) you were fired (C_i).'

In the representations from (26) to (29), the variables representing the perception verb complement pertain to the Representational Level. In (30), it belongs to the Interpersonal Level. This is due to the fact that, in this reading of perception verbs, the complement of the verb is the Communicated Content produced by a different speaker in an interpersonal act.

4 Predictions

After introducing the theoretical background and the classification of the complement types of perception verbs that follows from it, we now may formulate two predictions concerning the distribution of semantic complement types and their morphosyntactic expression.

Not all perception verbs may occur with all five semantic complement types introduced above. For instance, the verb *ver* 'see' was used above to illustrate all five complement types, as it is compatible with all of them. Other verbs, however, such as *provar* 'taste' have a much more limited range of possibilities. This particular verb only occurs with f-complements and x-complements, shown in (31):

(31) a. **Provamos** o sabor de doce de leite.
taste.pst.3pl the taste of sweet of milk

We tasted the taste of sweet condensed milk.

b. **Provamos** a famosa torta do Café Sacher.
taste.pst.3pl the famous cake of the Café Sacher

We tasted the famous cake of Café Sacher.

The question is now whether there is any systematicity in the distribution of semantic complement types across perception verbs. We expect that there is. Our prediction is that it is likely for perception verbs to take complements based on lower layers, while it becomes more unlikely for them to take complements based on higher layers. The reason is that basic perception is a physical process, and that the higher one gets in terms of layering, the less concrete and the more abstract the layers become. We thus predict that individual perception verbs will take semantic complement types according to the following implicational hierarchy:

(32) \[f \subset x \subset e \subset ep \subset C\]

That is, if a certain perception verb allows a complement of, say, the ep-type, it will also allow all the complement types to the left of ep in the hierarchy. And if it does not allow for instance, a complement of the x-type, it won't allow all the complement types to the right of x either. There may be a diachronic
dimension to this as well, as it might be that perception verbs start out with lower layer complements and expand the range of complements over time passing along the hierarchy.

Turning now to the morphosyntactic expression of perception verb complements, the question is whether we can also predict how the different morphosyntactic types of complement are distributed across the different semantic types. As has become clear in the various examples shown above, complements may take the form of noun phrases, non-finite clauses (infinitival and progressive), and finite clauses. As shown in earlier work (Hengeveld 1998), the higher the layer a subordinate clause contains, the more likely it is to be expressed by a finite construction. The reason for this is that, as the number of layers increases, the number of grammatical categories to be expressed also increases. We may thus expect the following mapping between the semantic types of complement represented in (32) and their morphosyntactic expression:

(33) \( (f \subset x) \subset e \subset ep \subset C \)
non-finite \( \subset \) finite

As f-complements and x-complements may only be expressed by noun phrases, they are not relevant categories to test this prediction. For the remaining types of semantic complement (33) predicts two things. First, finite complements are more likely to be found to the right of the hierarchy and non-finite complements are more likely to be found to the left of the hierarchy. And secondly, when a category to the right in the hierarchy is expressed by non-finite forms, then the categories to the left of it are also expressed by these forms; and when a category to the left in the hierarchy is expressed by finite forms, then the categories to the right of it are also expressed by these forms.

In the following we go into the semantics of perception verbs and their complements in Section 5 before testing the first prediction in Section 6. We then describe the morphosyntax of perception verb complements in Section 7, and test the second prediction in Section 8.

5 The semantics of perception verbs and their complements in Brazilian Portuguese

The Brazilian Portuguese perception verbs investigated in this paper are the following:


As can be noted in this listing, there are quite a number of perception verbs that can be used to express perception through various senses. For instance, the verb experimentar ‘try’ can be used for olfactory and gustatory perception, the verb perceber ‘perceive’ for all five senses. The distribution of the perceptual modalities covered by these verbs does not seem to be random, as Table 2 shows.

Especially remarkable is that in three cases the same verb may be used to express visual and auditory perception. A typological study by Viberg (1984) shows that it is uncommon for languages to not express visual perception by a separate lexical item, a situation which occurs in only three of his 53 languages. In

---

7 Earlier work on perception verbs in Brazilian Portuguese includes Barros (1977), Carvalho (2004), and Vendrame (2010).
none of Viberg’s three cases does the polysemy exhibited concern just visual and auditory perception. Aikhenvald & Storch (2013: 16) already noted a number of cases like these, and in Brazilian Portuguese this type of polysemy is found as well, though it is restricted to the perception of properties. Examples (34)-(36) show the use of ver ‘see’, observar ‘observe’, and notar ‘note’ to express visual perception:

(34) Vi
tos.1sg
  the
er
  of
  2sg.poss
  eyes
  ‘I saw the green of your eyes.’

(35) Logo n
  mo
  mento en que foi servida,
  then in the moment in that
cop.pst.3sg
  serve.ptcp,
  observe.pst.1sg
  the
crystalline
  colour
  ‘Then at the moment that the food was served, I noticed the crystalline colour.’

(36) Já note.
  the
crystalline
  colour
  of
  the
  bikini?
  ‘Did you already note the colour of the bikini?’

Examples (37)-(39) show the use of those same verbs to express auditory perception:

(37) Vi
  um
  noise
  of
  car
  ‘I heard the noise of a car.’

(38) Observei
  um
  noise
  in the
  transmission
  ‘I observed a noise in the transmission.’

(39) Assim que note.
  the
  noise,
  after
  500km,
  take.pst.1sg
  the
  car
  in the
  dealer
  ‘As soon as I noted the noise, after 500 km, I took the car to the dealer.’

Given the extensive amount of polysemy observed, where necessary we will indicate with a superscript which reading of a perception verb is intended. Thus verA will mean that the verb ver ‘see’ is used in its auditory reading.9

### 6 The distribution of semantic complement types

In Section 4 we predicted that perception verbs take different sets of semantic complement types according to the following hierarchy:

(40) f ⊂ x ⊂ e ⊂ ep ⊂ C

---

8 For the question of polysemy in perception verbs, see also the discussion in Gisborne (2010).
9 The abbreviations used are A for auditory, G for gustatory, O for olfactory, T for tactile, and V for visual.
This hierarchy predicts that semantic complement types more to the left of the hierarchy are implied by the presence of semantic complement types more to the right of the hierarchy. Table 3 shows that this prediction is fully borne out by the data. The data on which this table is based are all given in Appendix 1. Note that a ‘+’ in Table 3 indicates that a particular complement type is attested, while a blank indicates that it was not attested.

At the top of Table 3 the perception verbs with the widest range of semantic complement types are given, at the bottom those with the narrowest range are given. The verbs at the top combine with all possible complement types, the ones at the bottom only with the property denoting complement type, the lowest one on the hierarchy. All intermediate cases show systematic decreasing combinatorial possibilities following the various steps in hierarchy (40).

The verbs highest on the hierarchy are verbs of visual and auditory perception taking a C-complement. This is not surprising, as linguistic units can only be perceived through reading and listening, i.e. through visual and auditory perception. At the other end of the hierarchy we find verbs with a primary visual reading being used in an auditory sense. We do not see an evident explanation for this fact. In between we find other sets of combinations of perception verbs with semantic complement types, but importantly these always obey the hierarchy in (40). Our first prediction is thus fully borne out.

**Table 3.** The distribution of semantic complement types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>State-of-Affairs</th>
<th>Episode</th>
<th>Communicated content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escutar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouvir*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ver*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avistar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceber*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceber*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceber*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentir*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentir*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentir*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visualizar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olhar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ver*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimentar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degustar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saborear*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apalpar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tatear*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheirar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimentar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notar*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceber*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The morphosyntax of perception verbs in Brazilian Portuguese

The perception verbs analyzed in this paper allow various types of morphosyntactic complement. The first division is between noun phrase complements and clausal complements. Within the group of clausal complements we find finite and non-finite clauses, and the latter group consists of progressive and infinitival clauses.

(41) Morposyntactic types of complement of perception verbs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noun phrase</td>
<td>(42) Vi o verde dos teus olhos.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finite Clause</td>
<td>(43) Quando pego o telephone ouço que o modem não entra na linha.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Finite</td>
<td>(44a) Nem notaram o homem de paletó preto entrar apressado na sala.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infinitival</td>
<td>(44b) Agora vias pessoas discutirem entre si.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>(45) Eu percebi eles fazendo força pra arrancar algo.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples (42)-(45) illustrate the various types of complement: a noun phrase in (42), a finite clause in (43), infinitival complements in (44), and a progressive complement in (45).

Nominal complements may express all possible semantic complement types. In the following examples the complement designates a Property (46), an Individual (47), a State-of-Affairs (48), an Episode (49), and a Communicated Content (50):

(46) Eu vi o azul mais bonito.

- ‘I saw the most beautiful blue.’

(47) Vi uma menina na escola.

- ‘I saw a girl at school.’

(48) Nunca nem vi um acidente assim.

- ‘I never saw an accident such.’

---

10 Under certain circumstances, which are irrelevant to our purposes here, the infinitive may receive person inflection, as shown in (44b).
'I never saw an accident like that.'

(49) E então eu vi sua intenção.
and then 1sg see.pst.1sg 2sg.poss intention

And then I saw your intention.'

(50) Vi uma mensagem no celular dele e acho que ele me traiu.
see.pst.1sg a message on cell phone 3sg.poss and think.prs.1sg that 3sg 1sg.obl cheat.pst.3sg

'I saw a message on his cell phone and I think he cheated on me.'

The other way round, complements designating a Property or an Individual cannot be expressed by clauses. They can of course be expressed by headless relatives, as in (51), but these are just another manifestation of noun phrases:

(51) Vi o que queria ver.
see.pst.1sg the what want.pst.1sg see.inf

'I saw what I wanted to see.'

8 The distribution of morphosyntactic complement types

In Section 4 we predicted the following distribution of morphosyntactic complement types with perception verbs in Brazilian Portuguese:

(52) \( (f \subset x) \subset e \subset ep \subset C \)
non-finite \( \subset \) finite

This prediction follows from the idea that the higher the layer on which the semantic complement type is based, the more likely it is that this complement type will be expressed by a finite complement clause. The first two categories in the hierarchy are irrelevant for this prediction, as they can only be expressed by noun phrases.

As shown in the previous section, not all perception verbs allow all semantic complement types. In order to test the prediction in (52) we therefore have to limit ourselves to the perception verbs that allow a wide range of semantic complement types. The ones we have selected are those that allow at least an Episode as their semantic complement. Table 4 shows which perception verbs comply with this criterion. The data on which this table and later tables in this section are based are all given in Appendix 2.

| Table 4. Perception verbs exhibiting a wide range of semantic complement types |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|
|                                | Property | Individual | State-of-Affairs | Episode | Communicated content |
| **Escutar**                    | +       | +           | +                 | +       | +                     |
| **Ouvir**                      | +       | +           | +                 | +       | +                     |
| **Ver**                        | +       | +           | +                 | +       | +                     |
| **Avistar**                    | +       | +           | +                 | +       | +                     |
| **Notar**                      | +       | +           | +                 | +       | +                     |
| **Observar**                   | +       | +           | +                 | +       | +                     |
| **Perceber**                   | +       | +           | +                 | +       | +                     |
| **Sentir**                     | +       | +           | +                 | +       | +                     |
| **Visualizar**                 | +       | +           | +                 | +       | +                     |
Table 5 now shows the ways in which the complement types expressing States-of-Affairs, Episodes, and Communicated Contents are realized morphosyntactically in terms of finiteness. In this table a + indicates that a complement is finite, a – that it is non-finite, while ‘irr’ indicates that a slot is irrelevant.

**Table 5. Finiteness of complements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State-of-Affairs</th>
<th>Episode</th>
<th>Communicated content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escutar</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouvir</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ver</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avistar</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notar</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>irr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observar</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>irr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceber</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>irr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentir</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>irr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visualizar</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>irr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is clear from Table 5 is that there is a clear split between complements designating States-of-Affairs on the one hand, and those designating Episodes and Communicated Contents on the other. The former are always expressed through non-finite forms, the latter through finite and non-finite forms.

A further generalization arises when we further distinguish between the two non-finite forms, progressive and infinitival forms, and consider their distribution across semantic complement types. This is shown in Table 6.

**Table 6. Progressive, infinitival, and finite complements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State-of-Affairs</th>
<th>Episode</th>
<th>Communicated content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escutar</td>
<td>PROG/INF</td>
<td>INF/FIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouvir</td>
<td>PROG/INF</td>
<td>INF/FIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ver</td>
<td>PROG/INF</td>
<td>INF/FIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avistar</td>
<td>PROG/INF</td>
<td>INF/FIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notar</td>
<td>PROG/INF</td>
<td>INF/FIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observar</td>
<td>PROG/INF</td>
<td>INF/FIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceber</td>
<td>PROG/INF</td>
<td>INF/FIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentir</td>
<td>PROG/INF</td>
<td>INF/FIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visualizar</td>
<td>PROG/INF</td>
<td>INF/FIN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 shows that progressive forms are only found in the expression of complements designating States-of-Affairs. A construction with an ep- or C-complement in the progressive form is ungrammatical, as shown in (53), or results in a State-of-Affairs reading, as in (54):

(53) Porém, **notamos** ser/*sendo **comum**
however note.pres.1pl cop.pres.1pl common
no cerne dessas teorias a ideia de igualdade,
in.the core of.those theories the idea of equality
‘However, we **note** that the idea of equality is common to the core of those theories.’

(54) Durante a **transmissão** do jogo São Paulo x Boca Juniors
during the broadcast of.the game São Paulo versus Boca Juniors
**ouvi** que o Fla tá **negociando**
hear.pst.1sg that the Fla cop.pres.3sg negotiate.prog
com o Alex Dias para o ano que vem/
with the Alex Dias for the year that come.pres.3sg
During the broadcast of the game between São Paulo and Boca Juniors I heard that Fla is negotiating with Alex Dias for next year/Fla negotiating with Alex Dias for next year.'

Together with the data in Table 5, this leads to the overall picture presented in Table 7.

| Table 7. Semantic and morphosyntactic types of complement clause |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|               | State-of-Affairs | Episode | Communicated Content |
| progressive   | +              | +        | +                     |
| infinitive    | +              | +        | -                     |
| finite        | -              | +        | +                     |

In all, and as predicted, we thus see a clear relationship between the semantic complement types on the one hand, and their morphosyntactic expression on the other.11

9 Conclusions

In this paper we have shown that the complements of perception verbs in Brazilian Portuguese can be classified semantically using the semantic and pragmatic categories proposed in Functional Discourse Grammar. Complements of perception verbs can be argued to express Properties (f), Individuals (x), States-of-Affairs (e), Episodes (ep), and Communicated Contents (C). This subdivision into complement types is relevant in two different respects. First of all, the set of semantic complement types that a perception verb can take is not random but follows a hierarchy, in which the categories mentioned above are ranked from lower to higher scope. If a perception verb can take a semantic complement type of a certain scope, it can also take all other semantic complement types with lower scope. And secondly, the morphosyntactic expression of complements of perception verbs in Brazilian Portuguese is closely linked to their semantic types: the higher a complement in the semantic hierarchy, the more likely it is to be expressed by finite forms. We furthermore found that progressive forms are limited to complements denoting States-of-Affairs. In all, this study thus has shown that the semantic categories of complements distinguished in FDG provide a useful categorization that helps to systematically describe the semantic and morphosyntactic behaviour of perception verbs in their many readings as well as their complements in their many formal manifestations.
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Appendix 1: Semantic complement types with Brazilian Portuguese perception verbs

Visual perception – Property

*Avistar*

Chegando em Itu, num belo sítio
arriving.PROG in Itu in.a beautiful farm
das árvores pintadas de branco na base, meias socket,
of.the trees painted of white in.the base, socks ankle
*avistei*
see.pst.1sg da piscina.
see.PST.1SG the blue of.the swimming.pool

‘Arriving at Itu, in a beautiful farm with trees painted in white at the base, ankle socks, I saw the blue of the swimming pool.’

*Notar*

Eles já *notaram* a cor do biquini?
3pl already note.pst.3pl the color of.the bikini
É de encher os olhos: azul e branco.
cop.prs.3sg of fill.inf the eyes blue and white

‘Have they already noted the color of the bikini? It is a sight to see: blue and white.’

*Observar*

Logo no momento em que foi servida,
immediately in.the moment in that COP.PST.3SG serve.ptcp
*observei*
note.pst.1SG a cor cristalina.
note.PST.1SG the color crystalline
o brilho que a vodka representa e já gostei.
the brightness that the vodka represent.prs.3sg and immediately like.pst.1SG

‘As soon as the drink was served, I noticed the crystalline color, the brightness that vodka represents and I liked it immediately.’

*Olhar*

*Olhei* a cor daquel flor.
look.pst.1SG the color of.that flower

‘I looked at the color of that flower.’
Perceber

Muitas vezes engolem a comida many times swallow.PRS.3PL the food sem sequer parar para sentir o sabor, perceber a cor. without even stop.INF to feel.INF the flavor, perceive.INF the color ‘Often they swallow the food without even stopping to feel the flavor, to perceive the color.

Ver

Senti o teu cheiro perto de mim, o calor do teu corpo, feel.PST.1SG the 2SG.Poss scent close of 1SG.OBL the heat of the 2SG.Poss body vi o verde dos teus olhos, mais brilhantes do que nunca. see.PST.1SG the green of the 2SG.Poss eyes more shiny of the that never ‘I felt your scent close to me, the heat of your body, I saw the green of your eyes, shinier than ever.’

Visualizar

Informe à gráfica em qual escala você visualizou inform.imp to the printing.house in which scale 2SG visualize.PST.2SG a cor. the color ‘Inform the printing house in which scale you visualized the color.’

Visual perception – Individual

Avistar

Antes que eu respondesse before that 1SG answer.PST.SBJV.1SG avistei o cavalo um pouco mais para baixo. see.PST.1SG the horse a little more for down ‘Before I answered I saw the horse a little more downward.’

Notar

Então, provavelmente, vocês notaram o cachorro. then probably 2PL notice.PST.2PL the dog ‘And then, probably, you noticed the dog.’

Observar

Eles apenas observaram os animais, nada de tiros. 3PL only observe.PST.3PL the animals nothing of shots ‘They only observed the animals, there were no shots.’
**Olhar**

Olhei o homem à minha esquerda.

*I looked at the man on my left.*

**Perceber**

Nesse momento, o apito do trem soava longe, quando percebi uma mulher e duas meninhas.

*At this moment the train whistle sounded at a distance, when I noticed a woman and two little girls.*

**Ver**

Você viu aquela mulher da novela?

*Did you see that woman from the soap opera?*

**Visualizar**

Pensativo, o dono do (ex-)castelo visualizou seu pai.

*Thoughtful, the owner of the (ex-)castle visualized his father.*

**Visual perception – State-of-Affairs**

**Avistar**

Avistei ele vindo na minha direção todo lindo, vestindo uma camisa branca, jaqueta azul e calça jeans.

*I saw him moving toward me looking all handsome, in a white shirt, blue jacket and jeans.*

**Notar**

Nem notaram o homem de paletó preto entrar apressado na sala.

*They didn't even notice the man in a black jacquet entering quickly in the room.*
**Observar**

Eu **observei** eles dormindo por mais um tempo e **fui** pra casa.

*I watched them sleeping for another while and then went home.*

**Olhar**

Olhei o homem louco tocando os sinos da igreja por quase uma hora.

*I looked at the crazy man ringing the church bells for almost an hour.*

**Perceber**

Eu **percebi** eles fazendo força pra arrancar algo.

*I noticed them striving to pull something out.*

**Ver**

Eu e um amigo **vimos** ele dando um empurrãozinho no antebraço.

*A friend and I saw him giving a little push on his forearm.*

**Visualizar**

Uma vez **visualizei** Deus pairando sobre a Terra.

*Once I visualized God hovering over the Earth.*

**Visual perception – Episode**

**Avistar**

Por um golpe de sorte, by a stroke of luck, **avistei** que um dos carros estava deixando o “estacionamento oficial” da instituição.
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abrindo, assim, a minha tão desejada vaguinha.

‘By a lucky fluke, I **noticed** that one of the cars was leaving the institution’s official parking lot, thus opening up my much-desired parking space.’

---

**Notar**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notamos</th>
<th>ser</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>espiritualidade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>note.PRES.1PL</td>
<td>COP.INF</td>
<td>the</td>
<td>spirituality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘We **note** that spirituality is something of great importance to you.’

---

**9.7.1 Observar**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ele,</th>
<th>por</th>
<th>ser</th>
<th>médico,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3SG</td>
<td>because</td>
<td>COP.INF</td>
<td>doctor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>não precisou</th>
<th>passar pela entrevista.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>not need.PST.3SG</td>
<td>pass.INF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sua esposa também não,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3SG.POSS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pois observaram que</th>
<th>ser</th>
<th>uma união sem conflitos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>for observe.PST.3PL</td>
<td>COP.INF</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘Because he is a doctor, he did not have to be interviewed. His wife did not either, for they **observed** it to be a union without conflict.’

---

**Perceber**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percebo</th>
<th>que</th>
<th>o mundo está cansado de sonhadores!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>perceive.PRS.1SG</td>
<td>that</td>
<td>the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘I **notice** that the world is tired of dreamers!’

---

**Ver**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analisando</th>
<th>a situação econômica do país do réu,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>analise.PROG</td>
<td>the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vejo</th>
<th>ser</th>
<th>esta precária.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>see.PRS.1SG</td>
<td>COP.INF</td>
<td>this</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘Analyzing the economic situation of the defendant’s country, I **see** it is precarious.’

---

**Visualizar**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O presidente da Funai, Mércio Pereira Gomes,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>visualizou</th>
<th>ser possível fazer valer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>visualize.PST.3SG</td>
<td>COP.INF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The president of Funai, Mércio Pereira Gomes, visualized that it is possible to assert the rights of indigenous ethnic groups to access differentiated instruction.

Visual perception – Communicated Content

Ver

Hoje mesmo eu vi no jornal que today same 1sg see.pst.1sg in.the newspaper that
Harry Potter já bateu a maior arrecadação de fim-de-semana
Harry Potter already hit.pst.3sg the highest box.office of weekend
com U$ 90 milhões nos EUA, batendo Jurassic Park.
with U$ 90 million in.the USA overtake.prog Jurassic Park
‘Right today I saw in the newspaper that Harry Potter has already hit the highest weekend box office with $90 million in the USA, overtaking Jurassic Park.’

Auditory perception – Property

Escutar

Eu escuto sons.
1sg hear.prs.1sg sounds
‘I hear sounds.’

Notar

Assim que notei o barulho, com 500km, levei o carro na concessionária.
as.soon that note.pst.1sg thenoise with 500km took.pst.1sg the car to the dealer.
‘As soon as I noticed the noise, after 500km, I took the car to the dealer.’

Observar

Observei um barulho na transmissão de marchas 2ª para 3ª em baixa aceleração.
notice.pst.1sg a noise in.the transmission from gears 2nd to 3rd in low acceleration
‘I noticed a noise in the transmission from 2nd to 3rd gears in low acceleration.’
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Perceber

Comprei um cabo Stinger Hyperserie e após a instalação

buy.pst.1sg a cabe Stinger Hyperserie and after the installation

percebi os ruídos quando ligava o motor [do carro]

notice.pst.1sg the noises when turn on.pst.1sg the engine [of.the car]

′I bought a Stinger Hyperserie cable and after the installation I noticed the noises when I turned on the engine.′

Ver

Vi um barulho de carro. Seria a estrada?

hear.pst.1sg a noise of car COP.COND.3SG the road

′I heard the noise of a car. Would it be the road?′

Auditory perception – Individual

Escutar

E eu escutei o passarinho.

and 1sg hear.pst.1sg the little.bird

′And I heard the little bird.′

Ouvir

Eu ouvi o passarinho, às quatro da madrugada.

1sg hear.pst.1sg the little.bird at four of.the morning

′I heard the little bird at four in the morning.′

Auditory perception – State-of-Affairs

Escutar

Escutávamos a galera gritando “Ronaldinho” direto.

hear.pst.1pl the crowd shout.prog “Ronaldinho” constantly

′We heard the crowd shouting “Ronaldinho” all the time.′
Ouvir

Eu ouvi o Diu dizendo que o serviço vai ser feito lá pelo pessoal do Rio.

'I heard Diu saying that the service will be done by the people from Rio.'

Auditory perception – Episode

Escutar

Tô dançando na balada e escuto que a próxima música é uma que eu amo.

'I'm dancing at a party and I hear that the next song is one I love.'

Ouvir

Quando pego o telefone ouço que o modem não entra na linha.

'When I take the phone, I hear the modem doesn't connect.'

Auditory perception – Communicated Content

Escutar

João estava indo para casa quando ligou o rádio e escutou que em uma cidade da Índia morreram três pessoas por causa de uma gripe desconhecida.

'John was going home when he turned on the radio and heard that in a city in India three people died of an unknown flu.'

Ouvir

Durante a transmissão do jogo São Paulo vs Boca Juniors ouvi que o Fla tá negociando com o Alex Dias para o ano que vem.

'During the broadcast of the game São Paulo vs Boca Juniors I heard that the Fla is negotiating with Alex Dias for the year that comes.'
'During the transmission of the match São Paulo vs. Boca Juniors I heard that Fl(a)me(n)go is negotiating with Alex Dias for next year.'

**Olfactory perception – Property**

*Cheirar*

Cheirei  o  perfume  de  seus  cabelos.
smell.pst.1sg  the  perfume  of  3pl.poss  hair

‘I smelled the perfume of her hair.’

*Experimentar*

Na verdade ainda estou em dúvida,
in.the truth still cop.prs.1sg in doubt
eu  experimentei umas três ou quatro fragrâncias nos braços.
1sg  try.pst.1sg  some  three  or  four  fragrances in.the arms

‘In fact I’m still in doubt, I have tried three or four fragrances on my arms.’

*Perceber*

Ontem percebi a suavidade do teu perfume.
yesterday perceive.pst.1sg the softness of.the 2sg.poss perfume

‘Yesterday I perceived the softness of your perfume.’

*Sentir*

Eu senti cheiro de marmelo.
1sg feel.pst.1sg smell of quince

‘I felt the smell of quince.’

**Olfactory perception – Individual**

*Cheirar*

Cheirei aquele homem de tão lindo, tão especial.
smell.pst.1sg that man of such handsome such special

‘I smelled that handsome special man.’

*Experimentar*

Experimentei o perfume, que é do meu namorado,
try.pst.1sg the perfume which is of.the my boyfriend
e fiquei encantada com a fixação e com o cheiro.
and stay.pst.1sg delighted by the fixation and by the smell

‘I tried the perfume, which is my boyfriend’s, and I was delighted by the fixation and the smell.’
**Perceber**

No restaurante Sahid percebeu os cabelos de Orquídea molhados e o cheiro de banho.

‘In the restaurant Sahid noticed Orquídea’s wet hair and the smell of bath.’

**Sentir**

Senti aquele corpo perfumado sobre o meu, aqueles cabelos longos sedosos roçando o meu rosto.

‘I felt that perfumed body over mine, that silky long hair brushing my face.’

**Olfactory perception – State-of-Affairs**

**Perceber**

Não percebi o bolo queimando.

‘I did not notice the cake was burning.’

**Sentir**

Continuamos o passeio como antigamente, sentindo o pó levantar-se a cada passada.

‘We continue the stroll as in the old days, feeling the dust rising at each step, because this year the rain is late.’

**Olfactory perception – Episode**

**Perceber**

Logo percebi que a rosca estava queimando, mas o padeiro nem reparou.

‘I soon realized that the doughnut was burning, but the baker did not notice.’
**Sentir**

_sentir_

que o feijão estava queimando.

feel.pst.1sg that the beans COP.pst.3sg burn.prog

‘I noticed that the beans were burning.’

**Gustatory perception – Property**

**Degustar**

pedalei junto com vocês e degustei as delícias da comida espanhola.

cycle.pst.1sg together with 2.pl and taste.pst.1sg the delights of.the food Spanish

‘I cycled with you and tasted the joys of Spanish food.’

**Experimentar**

já experimentamos o sabor romã com chocolate.

already taste.pst.1pl the flavor pomegranate and chocolate que foi aprovadíssimo por todos.

which go.pst.3sg approved.augm by everyone

‘We have already tasted the pomegranate and chocolate flavor, which everyone very much liked.’

**Perceber**

quando dei o primeiro gole percebi um gosto meio esquisito mas continuei bebendo até o final.

when give.pst.1sg the first sip notice.pst.1sg a taste half strange but keep.pst.1sg drink.prog until the end

‘When I took the first sip I noticed a strange taste but I kept on drinking until I finished.’

**Provar**

com nossos sentidos, provamos sabores.

with 1pl.poss senses taste.pres.1pl flavors

‘Using our senses, we taste flavors.’

**Saborear**

já saborearam o sabor adocicado do caju?

already taste.pst.3pl the flavor sweet of.the cashew

‘Have you already tasted the sweet flavor of the cashew nuts?’
**Sentir**

Hoje nem senti o gosto da comida direito.

‘Today I haven’t tasted the food’s flavor very well.’

**Gustatory perception – Individual**

**Degustar**

Presidente Lula degusta frango após lançamento do Plano de Prevenção da Influenza Aviária.

‘President Lula eats chicken after launching the Plan Against Avian Influenza.’

**Experimentar**

Experimentei a comida e era muito estranha.

‘I have tried the food and it was very strange.’

**Perceber**

Eu nunca percebi a comida com atenção.

‘I have never perceived food with much attention.’

**Provar**

Provamos a feijoada vegetariana com arroz de coentros.

‘We have tried vegetarian feijoada with coriander rice.’

**Saborear**

Equipe de socorristas da ONG Corpo Voluntário de Socorro e Resgate RS unidade de Gravataí também saboreou um cafezinho Melitta.

‘The response team of the NGO Voluntary Search and Rescue Team from Rio Grande do Sul, Gravataí’s unit, also tried a Melitta coffee.’
**Sentir**

Quando dei a primeira garfada senti a comida toda.  
when took.PST.1SG the first bite taste.PST.1SG the meal whole  
‘When I took the first bite I tasted the whole dish.’

**Gustatory perception – State-of-Affairs**

**Perceber**

Percebo a cerveja descer amarga na garganta  
taste.PRS.1SG a beer go.down.INF bitter in.the throat  
‘I taste the beer going down bitter in my throat.’

**Sentir**

A massa é bem macia,  
the dough COP.PRS.3SG very soft  
‘The dough is very soft, but when you bite it you notice the nuts invade your mouth.’

**Gustatory perception – Episode**

**Perceber**

Hoje, na hora do almoço,  
today in.the hour of.the lunch  
percebi que a comida estava sem gosto pra mim.  
notice.PST.1SG that the food COP.PST.3SG without taste for 1SG.OBL  
‘Today, at lunch time, I noticed the food was tasteless for me.’

**Sentir**

Sinto que o bolo fica um pouco mais seco do que no forno convencional  
notice.PRS.1SG that the cake stay.PST.3SG a bit more dry of.the that in.the oven traditional  
mas não sei se é por causa do bolo de caixinha.  
but not know.PRES.1SG if COP.PRS.3SG by cause of.the dough of box  
‘I notice that the cake is a bit drier than when it is baked in the traditional oven, but I don’t know if it is due to its prefabricated dough.’
Tactile perception – Property

Apalpar

Apalpei a maciez larga da parte carnuda da ave.

‘I touched the softness of the bird’s fleshy part.’

Palpar

Palpou a maciez do lençol buscando através do tato

tornar o momento mais concreto

‘He touched the sheet’s softness trying to turn the moment more real by means of touch.’

Perceber

Percebi a rigidez dos seus músculos quando Keaton apareceu para nos cumprimentar.

‘I noticed the stiffness of his muscles when Keaton came to greet us.’

Sentir

Dei mais dois passos, colei e senti a maciez da pele sensual.

‘I took another two steps, getting closer, and I felt the softness of his sensual skin.’

Tatear

Tateei a maciez da pedra e sorri nervosa, sabendo que não poderia atirar na frente dela.

‘I touched the stone’s softness and smiled nervously, because I knew I couldn’t throw it at her.’

Tocar

Quando te vi toquei a aspereza de tuas mãos.

‘When I saw you, I touched the roughness of your hands.’
Tactile perception – Individual

Apalpar

Após o banho passei o creme pelo corpo e apalpei um caroço do lado esquerdo poucos centímetros atrás da orelha.

‘After taking a shower, I applied the body cream and touched a lump on the left side a few centimeters behind my ear.’

Palpar

Em setembro, depois da menstruação, palpei um caroço.

fiz então um ultrassom e lá estava o nóculo!

‘In September, after having my period, I touched a lump, an ultrasound was made and there it was, the lump.’

Perceber

Assustado, percebi o corpo de Guto abraçado ao meu.

‘Freaked out, I noticed Guto’s body hugging me.’

Sentir

Sentiu o corpo dela em seus braços, quente como o sol apesar do frio de Nova York.

‘He felt her body in his arms, hot like the sun, although it was cold in New York.’

Tatear

Quando estava quase morrendo de sede, tateei algo parecido com uma torneira.

‘When I was almost dying of thirst, I touched something similar to a tap.’
**Tocar**

Também toquei seu corpo quente.

*I also touched his hot body which had liquor flavor.*

**Tactile perception – State-of-Affairs**

**Perceber**

Estava tão amedrontada que nem percebi ele me abraçando e mexendo no meu cabelo.

*I was so scared that I didn't notice he was embracing me and touching my hair.*

**Sentir**

Senti ela mexer de verdade.

*I felt her move for real.*

**Tactile perception – Episode**

**Perceber**

Percebi que Michele me abraçou apertado.

*I felt that Michele hugged me tightly, there was no way to escape.*

**Sentir**

Dai rolei escada abaixo.

*Then I fell down the stairs and I felt I had broken my neck but it didn't hurt.*
Appendix 2: Morphosyntactic complement types with Brazilian Portuguese perception verbs

*Escutar – e – progressive*

Escutávamos a galera gritando “Ronaldinho” direto.
listen.PST.1PL the crowd shout.PROG “Ronaldinho” constantly
‘We heard the crowd shouting “Ronaldinho” all the time.’

*Escutar – e – infinitive*

Eu escutei ela cantar
hear.PST.1SG 3SG.F sing.INF
‘I heard her sing.’

*Escutar – ep – infinitive*

Sinto muita dificuldade de Warpar PsyTrance...
feel.PRS.1SG much difficulty of Warpar PsyTrance
Não fica perfeito...
not stay.PRS.3SG perfect
Escuto o kick não ter aquele impacto em alguns compassos.
hear.PRS.1SG the kick not have.INF that impact in some bars
‘I have a lot of difficulty to work with Warpar PsyTrance (Record Company) ... it does not look perfect ... I hear the kick does not have that impact in some segments.’

*Escutar – ep – finite*

Tô dançando na balada e
dance.PROG in.the party and
escuto que a próxima música é uma que eu amo.
hear.PRS.1SG that the next song is one that I love.
‘I’m dancing at a party and I hear that the next song is one I love.’

*Escutar – C – infinitive*

Tem certas coisas horrorosas visualmente nos pés das mulheres,
have.3.SG certain things horrible visibly in.the feet of.the women
que após meu questionamento,
which after 1SG.POSS questioning
escuto ser “extremamente confortável”.
hear.PRS.1SG cop.INF “extremely comfortable”
‘There are certain horrible things on women’s feet, which upon my question, I hear to be “extremely comfortable.”’
**Escutar – C – finite**

João estava indo para casa quando ligou o rádio e escutou que em uma cidade da Índia morreram três pessoas por causa de uma gripe desconhecida.

'John was going home when he turned on the radio and heard that in a city in India three people died because of an unknown flu.'

**Ouvir – e – progressive**

Eu ouvi o Diu dizendo que o serviço vai ser feito lá pelo pessoal do Rio.

'I heard Diu saying that the service will be done by the people from Rio.'

**Ouvir – e – infinitive**

Ouvi cantar o Ginguinhas numa taberna em Samora.

'I heard Ginguinhas sing in a tavern in Samora.'

**Ouvir – ep – infinitive**

Quando pego o telefone ouço que o modem não entrar na linha.

'When I take the phone, I hear the modem doesn’t connect.'

**Ouvir – ep – finite**

Quando pego o telefone ouço que o modem não entra na linha.

'When I take the phone I hear that the modem doesn’t connect.'

**Ouvir – C – infinitive**

Outro ponto que ouvi ser um ótimo ponto de vista.

'Another place I heard to be a great viewpoint.'
**Ouvir – C – finite**

Durante a transmissão do jogo São Paulo vs Boca Juniors
during the broadcast of the game São Paulo vs Boca Juniors
ouvi que o Fla tá negociando
hear.pst.1sg that the Fla COP.prs.3sg negotiate.prog
com o Alex Dias para o ano que vem.
with the Alex Dias for the year that come.prs.3.sg
‘During the transmission of the match São Paulo vs. Boca Juniors I **heard** that Fla(mengo) is negotiating with Alex Dias for next year.’

**Ver – e – progressive**

Eu e um amigo **vimos**
1sg and a friend see.pst.1pl
ele dando um empurrãozinho no antebraço.
3sg give.prog a push.dim in.the forearm
‘A friend and I **saw** him giving a small push on his forearm.’

**Ver – e – infinitive**

Vi um carro bater.
see.pst.1sg a car crash.inf
‘I **saw** a car crash.’

**Ver – ep – infinitive**

Analisando a situação econômica do país do réu,
Analise.prog the situation economic of.the country of.the defendant
vejo ser esta precária.
see.prs.1sg COP.inf this precarious
‘Analyzing the economic situation of the defendant’s country, I **see** it is precarious.’

**Ver – ep – finite**

Eu vi que o carro tinha batido numa bike.
1sg see.pst.1sg that the car have.pst.3sg crash.ptcp in.a bicycle
‘I **saw** that the car had crashed into a bicycle.’

**Ver – C – infinitive**

Hoje mesmo eu **vi** no jornal
today same 1sg see.pst.1sg in.the newspaper
Harry Potter já bater a maior arrecadação de fim-de-semana
Harry Potter already hit.inf the highest box.office of weekend
com U$ 90 milhões nos EUA, batendo Jurassic Park.
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with U$ 90 million in the USA overtake.prog Jurassic Park
'Today I saw in the newspaper that Harry Potter has already hit the highest weekend box office with $ 90 million in the USA, overtaking Jurassic Park.'

Ver – C – finite

Hoje mesmo eu vi no jornal today same 1sg see.pst.1sg in.the newspaper que Harry Potter já bateu a maior arrecadação de fim-de-semana that Harry Potter already hit.pst.3sg the highest box.office of weekend com U$ 90 milhões nos EUA, batendo Jurassic Park. with U$ 90 million in.the USA overtake.prog Jurassic Park
'Right today I saw in the newspaper that Harry Potter has already hit the highest weekend box office with $ 90 million in the USA, overtaking Jurassic Park.'

Avistar – e – progressive

Avistei ele vindo na minha direção todo lindo, see.pst.1sg 3sg move.prog in.the my direction all handsome vestindo uma camisa branca, jaqueta azul e calça jeans. wear.prog a shirt white jacket blue and trousers jeans
'I saw him moving toward me looking all handsome, in a white shirt, blue jacket and jeans.'

Avistar – e – infinitive

Avistamos Rich sair do carro. see.pst.1pl Rich leave.inf of.the car
'We saw Rich leave the car.'

Avistar – ep – infinitive

Por fora já avistei ser um ambiente agradável. by outside already see.pst.1sg be.inf a pleasant environment
'On the outside I already saw it was a pleasant environment.'

Avistar – ep – finite

Por um golpe de sorte, by a stroke of luck avistei que um dos carros notice.pst.1sg that one of.the cars estava deixando o “estacionamento oficial” da instituição. cop.pst.3sg leave.prog the parking.lot official of.the institution
'By a lucky fluke, I noticed that one of the cars was leaving the institution’s official parking lot.'
Notar – e – progressive

Notou uma fã cantando músicas do seu álbum.
'He noticed a fan singing songs from his album.'

Notar – e – infinitive

Nem notaram o homem de paletó preto entrar apressado na sala.
'They didn't notice the man in a black jacquet entering quickly into the room.'

Notar – ep – infinitive

Notamos ser a espiritualidade algo de suma importância para você.
'We noted that spirituality is something of great importance to you.'

Notar – ep – finite

Nas praias notamos que, em geral, durante o dia o vento vem do mar para a praia e à noite o vento vai da praia para o mar.
'On the beaches we noted that, in general, during the day the wind comes from the sea to the beach and at night the wind goes from the beach to the sea.'

Observar – e – progressive

Eu observei eles dormindo por mais um tempo e fui pra casa.
'I watched them sleeping for another while and went home.'

Observar – e – infinitive

Observamos a luz entrar no quarto.
'We observed the light enter the room.'
Ele, por ser médico, não precisou passar pela entrevista. Sua esposa também não, pois observaram ser uma união sem conflitos. ‘Because he is a doctor, he did not have to be interviewed. His wife did not either, for they observed it to be a union without conflict.’

‘We observe that there is an endless search of man for religion.’

‘I saw them using force to drag something along.’

‘I taste the beer going down bitter in my throat.’

‘We feel the knowledge of how these theories are constructed to be essential.’

‘I realize that the world is tired of dreamers!’
**Sentir – e – progressive**

`Sentir` algo entrando dentro do meu corpo.

‘I felt something entering my body.’

**Sentir – e – infinitive**

A massa é bem macia, mas ao morder você sente as nozes invadirem sua boca.

‘The dough is very soft, but when you bite it you notice the nuts invade your mouth.’

**Sentir – ep – infinitive**

Dai rolei escada abaixo. Senti ter quebrado o pescoço mas não doía.

‘Then I fell down the stairs and I felt I had broken my neck but it didn’t hurt.’

**Sentir – ep – finite**

Sinto que o bolo fica um pouco mais seco do que no forno convencional, mas não sei se é por causa do bolo de caixinha.

‘I notice that the cake is a bit drier than when it is baked in the traditional oven, but I don’t know if it is due to its prefabricated dough.’

**Visualizar – e – progressive**

Uma vez visualizei Deus pairando sobre a Terra.

‘Once I visualized God hovering over the Earth.’

**Visualizar – e – infinitive**

Ao se aproximar do veículo em questão, visualizou ser jogada pela janela uma sacola.

‘As he approached the vehicle in question, he saw a bag being thrown out of the window.’
O presidente da Funai, Mércio Pereira Gomes, visualizou ser possível fazer valer os direitos das etnias indígenas para o acesso ao ensino diferenciado.

'The president of Funai, Mércio Pereira Gomes, visualized that it is possible to assert the rights of indigenous ethnic groups to access differentiated instruction.'

A autora visualizou que as mulheres de classes populares sempre estiveram no feminismo.

'The author visualized that women of the popular classes always were feminists.'