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Abstract

We present Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) observations at 233, 678, and 870 GHz of the Galactic Center black hole, Sagittarius A*. These observations reveal a flat spectrum over this frequency range with spectral index $\alpha \approx -0.3$, where the flux density $S \propto \nu^\alpha$. We model the submillimeter and far-infrared spectrum with a one-zone synchrotron model of thermal electrons. We infer electron densities $n = (2-5) \times 10^6 \text{cm}^{-3}$, electron temperatures $T_e = (1-3) \times 10^{11} \text{K}$, and magnetic field strength $B = 10-50 \text{G}$. The parameter range can be further constrained using the observed quiescent X-ray luminosity. The flat submillimeter spectrum results in a high electron temperature and implies that the emitting electrons are efficiently heated. We also find that the emission is most likely optically thin at 233 GHz. These results indicate that millimeter and submillimeter wavelength very long baseline interferometry of Sgr A* including those of the Event Horizon Telescope should see a transparent emission region down to event horizon scales.
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1. Introduction

The Galactic Center compact radio source, Sagittarius A* (Sgr A*: Balick & Brown 1974) is the prototype for low-luminosity accretion onto a massive black hole (Yuan & Narayan 2014). Its inverted radio spectrum rises to a submillimeter or far-infrared peak (Falcke et al. 1998; Bower et al. 2015). The radio source varies with an rms rising from $\approx 10\%$ in the radio (Herrnstein et al. 2004; Macquart & Bower 2006; Bower et al. 2015) to $\approx 30\%$ at 230 GHz (Zhao et al. 2003; Eckart et al. 2008; Marrone et al. 2008; Dexter et al. 2014) to an order of magnitude in the near-infrared and factors of a hundred or thousand in X-rays (Dodds-Eden et al. 2011; Neilsen et al. 2015; Witzel et al. 2018). Millimeter and submillimeter wavelength linear and circular polarization measurements have provided important diagnostics of the emitting plasma and the accretion flow on scales out to the Bondi radius (Bower et al. 1999, 2003; Aitken et al. 2000; Macquart et al. 2006; Marrone et al. 2007; Muñoz et al. 2012; Bower et al. 2018). The emission size decreases with wavelength (Krichbaum et al. 1998; Shen et al. 2005; Bower et al. 2006, 2014; Johnson et al. 2018), with a size $\approx 40-50 \text{mas}$ at 230 GHz corresponding to roughly 8 gravitational radii ($r_g = GM/c^2$; Krichbaum et al. 1998; Doelman et al. 2008; Lu et al. 2018), making Sgr A* a prime target for studying accretion and strong gravity on event horizon scales (Falcke et al. 2000; Johannsen & Psaltis 2010). The first such test was recently performed with the discovery of near-infrared flares orbiting the black hole at $\approx 6-10r_g$ (Gravity Collaboration et al. 2018a). Event Horizon Telescope imaging of the black hole in M87 demonstrates the capability for similar imaging of Sgr A* (Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2019a, 2019b, 2019c, 2019d, 2019e, 2019f).

Intensive studies of Sgr A* from radio to X-ray wavelengths provide tests of accretion (Melia et al. 1998; Narayan et al. 1995; Quataert & Narayan 1999; Özel et al. 2000; Yuan et al. 2003) and outflow (Falcke & Markoff 2000) models. The development of general relativistic MHD simulations of black hole accretion flows (GRMHD; De Villiers et al. 2003; Gammie et al. 2003) has led to a large effort in comparing those models to data, including the variable submillimeter spectral energy distribution (SED; e.g., Noble et al. 2007; Dexter et al. 2009, 2010; Moscibrodzka et al. 2009; Shcherbakov et al. 2012; Drappeau et al. 2013; Moscibrodzka & Falcke 2013; Chan et al. 2015).

One of the most important constraints for the models is the location and spectral shape near the peak of the SED. Past observations have characterized the time variable SED, but with only a few simultaneous measurements in multiple submillimeter bands (Marrone 2006). These measurements along with recent data from the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA; Bower et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2016a) and detections of variable flux from Sgr A* in the far-infrared (Stone et al. 2016; von Fellenberg et al. 2018) suggest that the peak lies somewhere in the THz range. The submillimeter bump is also found to be less peaked than previously thought, which implies a higher electron
temperature and an optically thin emission region near the peak of the SED.

Here we report flux density measurements from ALMA observations of Sgr A* simultaneous at 233 and 678 GHz, as well as a precise measurement at 868 GHz, the first at that frequency using an interferometer (Section 2). Interferometric observations at terahertz frequencies have the advantage of high angular resolution over single-dish observations, which is important for separating the compact source from the extended and bright Galactic Center emission. In cases where phase self-calibration is possible, interferometers also provide better calibration through rejection of temporally and spatially variable atmospheric emission. We show that the simultaneous 233 and 678 GHz measurements are consistent with and more precise than earlier ones using the Submillimeter Array (SMA). The 868 GHz flux density is somewhat lower than previously found at 850 GHz with the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory (CSO), possibly as the result of unsubtracted extended flux density. From our data, we show that the spectral peak occurs at a frequency $\geq 900$ GHz. Combined with upper limits from Herschel Spectral and Photometric Imaging Receiver (SPIRE) and Photodetector Array Camera and Spectrometer (PACS), we use a one-zone model of synchrotron radiation from a thermal population of electrons to infer the source properties (Section 3). We show that the spectral peak occurs at $\sim 1$–2 THz and that the emission region is likely optically thin for frequencies $\geq 230$ GHz.

2. Observations, Data Reduction, and Results

Observations of Sgr A* were obtained on two days in 2017 March as part of ALMA Cycle 4. On 2017 March 18, observations were obtained in Band 10 (868 GHz). Weather was excellent for the Band 10 measurements with 0.29 mm precipitable water vapor (PWV). On 2017 March 22, observations were obtained in Bands 6 and 9 (233 and 678 GHz, respectively) within 45 minutes of each other. For all three bands, integrations on Sgr A* were 2 minutes in each band; calibrator integrations were of comparable duration.

In observations in each band were obtained in standard correlator configurations with four spectral windows (SPWs) each with 2 GHz bandwidth and 128 channels. Data were obtained in two orthogonal linear polarizations, but correlations were computed only for parallel hands.

Data reduction was performed using the Common Astronomy Software Applications package (CASA), following standard procedures for flux, gain, and bandpass calibration, including phase self-calibration on short timescales for Sgr A* and each calibrator. Flux calibration is based on estimates of the flux density of the ALMA gain calibrator J1924–2914, which was observed primarily in Bands 3 and 7 (90 GHz and 345 GHz, respectively) and then extrapolated to our observing bands. In Figure 1, we compare the measured flux density on J1924–2914 against archival measurements. Comparisons to archival ALMA Band 6 measurements for all calibrators show excellent consistency with differences to nearest measurements $\leq 10\%$. There are no Band 9 and 10 measurements within one year of our measurements for any of the calibrators. There is a pair of Band 9 measurements for J1924–2914 from two years prior that agree within 10% of the extrapolated flux (and resultant measurement). The measured Band 10 flux density of J1751+0939, $S = 1.31 \pm 0.01$ Jy, agrees with the ALMA calibrator database Bands 3 and 7 extrapolated flux density of $S = 1.34$ Jy. We estimate that systematic flux density errors in Bands 9 and 10 are $\leq 20\%$.

Images of Sgr A* in Bands 9 and 10 were point sources, while Sgr A West is apparent in the Band 6 data. Given that these are all just a few minute snapshots they do not present very interesting opportunities for imaging. The rms noise levels in the images in Bands 6, 9, and 10 were 4, 5, and 14 mJy, respectively. The array was in a compact configuration with maximum baseline of 2.4 km. This produced a naturally weighted synthesized beam of $\sim 1^\prime\prime$.5 in Band 6, $\sim 0^\prime\prime$.5 in Band 9, and $\sim 0^\prime\prime$.4 in Band 10.

Flux densities were fit for each source in each spectral window using a point-source model in the visibility domain. Figure 2 shows all flux densities measured. In Table 1, we report mean flux densities in each band. Errors are computed from the scatter in measurements, which provides more accurate assessment of errors than propagation of statistical uncertainties.

We also compute the spectral index $\alpha$ (using $S \propto \nu^\alpha$) for sources with measurements in all three bands. The spectrum of Sgr A* is close to flat with a spectral index $\alpha = -0.3$ across all three bands. In comparison, the assumed spectrum of J1924–2914 and the measured spectrum of J1744+3116 are both steeper with $\alpha = -0.7$ and $\alpha = -1.1$, respectively. Considering only the simultaneous 233 and 678 GHz Sgr A* data, $\alpha = -0.26 \pm 0.02$.

3. Discussion and Conclusions

We plot our new ALMA submillimeter spectrum of Sgr A* along with measurements from the radio to the NIR (Figure 3). The measured flux density at 230 and 678 GHz are at the low end of the range characterized in previous work (e.g., Marrone 2006; Dexter et al. 2014; Bower et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2016b). Previous terahertz single-dish measurements with CSO found higher flux densities (Serabyn et al. 1997; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2006). The difference with these earlier measurements may be the result of variability or calibration uncertainties associated with terahertz single-dish measurements in this environment with significant extended emission. The characteristic timescale of variability for Sgr A* at 230 GHz and higher frequencies has been measured to be $\tau \approx 8^{+1}_{-2}$ hr (Dexter et al. 2014). Thus, the 45 minute separation between 230 and 678 GHz measurements is nearly simultaneous while the four-day separation with the 868 GHz measurements is significantly longer than the variability coherence time. Long-timescale rms variability is approximately 20% (Bower et al. 2015), which is comparable to the systematic error in the 680 GHz flux density that we estimate. Accordingly, the spectral index of the simultaneous 233 and 678 GHz measurements is the strongest spectral constraint. Still, we note the overall consistency of a power-law spectral index between 233 and 868 GHz in these data.

Our results for Sgr A* are among the best characterized spectrum of any low-luminosity AGN (LLAGN) and show one of the flattest spectra for these sources. Doi et al. (2011) characterize the centimeter-to-millimeter-wavelength spectra of 21 LLAGN, including 5 with simultaneous data at 100 and 150 GHz, finding flat or inverted spectra for many sources but with no contemporaneous data at frequencies above $>150$ GHz. ALMA observations of M87 extend to 650 GHz and indicate a steep spectrum at frequencies above $\sim 200$ GHz (Prieto et al. 2016). In the case of M94, van Oers et al. (2017)
found a flat spectrum up to 100 GHz but place no strong constraints on the spectrum between 100 GHz and the optical as the result of stellar confusion. Contemporaneous observations of the black hole in M81 also indicate a flat spectrum up to 350 GHz but the detailed spectrum is difficult to characterize due to the absence of high angular resolution submillimeter and infrared observations as well as short-timescale variability (Markoff et al. 2008; Bower et al. 2015). Israel et al. (2008) find for the nuclear region of Cen A, a spectral index of $\alpha = -0.2$ to $-0.6$ between 90 and 230 GHz. Similarly, Espada et al. (2017) find a flat spectrum for Cen A between 350 and 698 GHz with nonsimultaneous ALMA observations. ALMA terahertz spectra of a wider sample of LLAGN are necessary to characterize this population and assess their viability for high-frequency imaging.

The spectrum of Sgr A* must have a steep spectral cutoff between the submillimeter (flux density of Jy) and NIR (flux density of mJy). We find that this must occur at frequencies above 900 GHz, consistent with the previous single-dish detections of Sgr A* at 900 GHz. The relatively flat submillimeter SED found by ALMA (Bower et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2016a, 2016b) and Herschel SPIRE/PACS (Stone et al. 2016; von Fellenberg et al. 2018) measurements has implications for the physical properties of the emitting plasma on event horizon scales. We exclude longer-wavelength radio and NIR flux densities. The radio emission originates at large radius, where the density, magnetic field strength, and temperature are lower. Both the radio and NIR flux densities may have significant contributions from additional, possibly nonthermal electron populations, which are not included in our one-zone model. Following von Fellenberg et al. (2018) we estimate the physical properties of the emission region by fitting a one-zone synchrotron emission model to the new ALMA data as well as implied upper and lower limits from Herschel. The simultaneous 233 and 678 GHz measurements are used with their statistical error bars. We adopt an uncertainty of 20% on the 868 GHz value to account for the (unknown) variability at that frequency.

The Herschel detections are of flux variations from Sgr A* on top of a bright background, which are plotted as open circles in Figure 3. We further follow Stone et al. (2016) and take the detected variable flux densities as lower limits to the median value. That implicitly assumes that the rms variability amplitude is $<100\%$ (e.g., does not consist of large amplitude flares as observed in the NIR/X-ray). Following von
Single-dish measurements from CSO at 850 GHz green circles show selected radio to submillimeter data. The ALMA measurements, with errors much smaller than the symbol size. The source strengths in red.

Note. $\alpha$ is determined over all three frequency bands. Note that the 233 and 678 GHz observations were obtained on the same day but 868 GHz observations were obtained on a different day.

Figure 3. Millimeter to NIR spectrum of Sgr A*. Orange circles show the new ALMA measurements, with errors much smaller than the symbol size. The yellow circles connected with thin lines are simultaneous SMA data (Marrone 2006). Single-dish measurements from CSO at 850 GHz (Serabyn et al. 1997; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2006) are shown in purple. Detections of variable flux from Sgr A* with Herschel SPIRE (250, 350, 500 $\mu$m; Stone et al. 2016) and PACS (160 and tentatively 100 $\mu$m; von Fellenberg et al. 2018) are shown as brown open symbols under the assumption that they represent lower limits on the flux density at these frequencies. Near-infrared median flux density measurements (Dodds-Eden et al. 2011; Schödel et al. 2011; Witzel et al. 2018) are shown in red.

Fellenberg et al. (2018), we further derive upper limits on the median flux density by assuming a minimum variability amplitude of 25% during the observations (25.5 hr for SPIRE, 40 hr for PACS). As a result of these assumptions, the final allowed range in flux density is a factor of 4 at each frequency. We note that at 350 GHz, the median flux density estimated from SPIRE observations would be $\approx 2\,$Jy, which underestimates the measured value ($3.6 \pm 0.8\,$Jy; Bower et al. 2015). We expect higher rms variability at higher frequencies where we use these upper limits. Still these measurements are derived quantities and so are less secure than the ALMA data. As discussed below, we find similar (but slightly worse) constraints when leaving out the Herschel data.

We parameterize the emission region as a sphere of constant particle density $n$, electron temperature $T_e$, and magnetic field strength $B$. The sphere’s angular diameter is set equal to 40 $\mu$as (Doeleman et al. 2008; Johnson et al. 2018; Lu et al. 2018). We use a black hole mass of $M = 4.1 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ and a distance of $D = 8.2$ kpc (Gravity Collaboration et al. 2018b). We calculate the observed flux density accounting for synchrotron emission and absorption from a thermal population of electrons using the fitting function expressions from Appendix A of Dexter (2016). We neglect all relativistic effects in the spatial and velocity distribution of the material and on the photon trajectories. Most critical is Doppler beaming (e.g., Syunyaev 1973), which broadens the spectrum. We also neglect radiative cooling, which should be negligible for the plasma conditions in Sgr A* (Dibi et al. 2012). We sample the model parameter space using the emcee Markov Chain Monte Carlo code (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). We use the default sampler with logarithmic priors, $T_e$, and plasma $\beta = p_e/p_B \propto n/B^2$, where $p_e$ and $p_B$ are the gas and magnetic pressures and we have assumed a constant ion temperature proportional to the virial temperature. Parameter bounds are $T_e \geq T_v$; the brightness temperature, necessary for obtaining a one-zone solution, and $10^{-3} < \beta < 10^3$.

Sample model fits are shown in Figure 4 along with the ALMA data and assumed Herschel ranges used for fitting. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$S_{333}$ (mJy)</th>
<th>$S_{678}$ (mJy)</th>
<th>$S_{868}$ (mJy)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J1700–2610</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.426 ± 0.019</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1733–1304</td>
<td>1.592 ± 0.045</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1733–3722</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.353 ± 0.009</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1744–3116</td>
<td>0.270 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.096 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.058 ± 0.009</td>
<td>−1.11 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1751–0939</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.311 ± 0.008</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1924–2914</td>
<td>3.312 ± 0.086</td>
<td>1.819 ± 0.004</td>
<td>1.342 ± 0.051</td>
<td>−0.65 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sgr A*</td>
<td>2.886 ± 0.043</td>
<td>2.183 ± 0.026</td>
<td>1.864 ± 0.067</td>
<td>−0.31 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Flux Measurements and Spectral Indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$S_{233}$ (mJy)</th>
<th>$S_{233}$ (mJy)</th>
<th>$S_{233}$ (mJy)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J1700–2610</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.426 ± 0.019</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1733–1304</td>
<td>1.592 ± 0.045</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1733–3722</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.353 ± 0.009</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1744–3116</td>
<td>0.270 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.096 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.058 ± 0.009</td>
<td>−1.11 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1751–0939</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.311 ± 0.008</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1924–2914</td>
<td>3.312 ± 0.086</td>
<td>1.819 ± 0.004</td>
<td>1.342 ± 0.051</td>
<td>−0.65 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sgr A*</td>
<td>2.886 ± 0.043</td>
<td>2.183 ± 0.026</td>
<td>1.864 ± 0.067</td>
<td>−0.31 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
peak of the SED in $\nu L_{\nu}$ is well constrained to be at $\nu_p = (1-2) \times 10^{12}$ Hz (all ranges 68% confidence intervals), close to our new 868 GHz ALMA measurement. The bolometric luminosity of the submillimeter bump is found to be $L_{\text{bol}} = (4-6) \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$. This is about a factor of 2 smaller than found in past work (e.g., Yuan et al. 2003), in part based on the higher flux densities at 850 GHz from CSO data (see also von Fellenberg et al. 2018). We find similar results with somewhat larger ranges when leaving out the Herschel data: $\nu_p = (1-3) \times 10^{12}$ Hz and $L_{\text{bol}} = (4-8) \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

The well-constrained $\nu_p$ and $L_{\text{bol}}$ lead in turn to estimates for the plasma properties. We measure these from the one-zone model to be $n = 2-5 \times 10^{6}$ cm$^{-3}$, $T_e = 1-3 \times 10^{11}$ K, $B = 10-50$ G. The associated plasma $\beta \approx 1-10$. Near the peak, all models are optically thin (Figure 5). The location of the SED peak is set by the exponential cutoff in $j_\nu \sim e^{-\beta \nu / \nu_p}$ for $\nu / \nu_e \gg 1$ rather than by the transition to an optically thin emission region. Our viable models have a range of $\nu / \nu_e = 10-20$ ($\nu_e = 0.1-0.2$ THz). This results in a broader, flatter spectrum near the peak favored by the flat or slowly declining flux density from 233 to 678 to 868 GHz as measured by ALMA and past SMA data.

The derived parameter ranges, particularly for $n$ and $B$, are strongly correlated. The critical frequency scales as $\nu_c \propto B T_e^2$, and sets the spectral peak, while in the one-zone model at fixed radius the bolometric luminosity is proportional to the synchrotron emissivity near the peak that scales as $j_{\nu} \sim n B^2 T_e^2$. For the model to produce the observed flux, $T_e > T_b \approx 6 \times 10^{10}$ K where $T_b$ is the observed 230 GHz brightness temperature. We see clear correlations as anticipated from the forms of $\nu_c$ and $j_{\nu}$. In particular, the magnetic field strength is anticorrelated with both the particle density and electron temperature. The spectral shape and our assumed parameter bounds (particularly $\beta < 10^3$) provide some additional information, leading to our inferred parameter ranges. Using simultaneous 233 and 868 GHz data leads to better constrained parameter ranges than the same exercise done in von Fellenberg et al. (2018). The basic results are otherwise identical.

We can break this degeneracy by including an approximate calculation of the 2–10 keV X-ray luminosity from the synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) process (e.g., Falcke & Markoff 2000). We use the method described in Chiaberge & Ghisellini (1999) and Drappeau et al. (2013) to estimate the SSC spectrum. Imposing an upper limit $L_X < 2 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Baganoff et al. 2003) removes all of the higher $\beta$ (high $n$, $T_e$) models where the SSC peak is near the X-ray and the scattering optical depth is highest. This constraint removes about half of the models. The choice of X-ray luminosity upper limit is conservative since the quiescent emission is dominated by the large-scale accretion flow. Estimates from the X-ray spatial surface brightness distribution (Shcherbakov & Baganoff 2010), variability (Neilsen et al. 2013), and spectrum (Wang et al. 2013) all favor a near-horizon component that is a factor of $\geq 10$ smaller. The resulting parameter ranges when including this constraint are $n = 2-3 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$, $T_e = 1-2 \times 10^{11}$ K, $B = 20-50$ G. The main improvement is a narrowed range of allowed plasma $\beta \approx 1-10$.

The resulting electron temperature is higher than in some past radiatively inefficient accretion flow (RIAF) models where optical depth set the shape of the submillimeter peak (e.g., Özel et al. 2000; Yuan et al. 2003; Noble et al. 2007; Chan et al. 2009; Huang et al. 2009; Mościbrodzka et al. 2009; Dexter et al. 2010). The electron temperature is decoupled from that of the ions since at the low inferred densities the plasma is collisionless (e.g., Rees et al. 1982). The ion temperature near the event horizon is likely close to virial, $kT_i \approx 10^2 (r_s/R) K$. Here our assumed size is roughly $4 r_s$, meaning that the implied electron temperature is within a factor of 2–3 of the ion temperature. The emitting electrons are therefore heated efficiently. This is most easily explained if the magnetic field is strong (plasma $\beta \gtrsim 1$) in the emission region (e.g., Quataert & Gruzinov 2000; Howes 2010; Ressler et al. 2015; Rowan et al. 2017; Kawazura et al. 2019; Werner et al. 2018).

The particle density we find is comparable to past estimates from spectral modeling (Özel et al. 2000; Yuan et al. 2003; Chan et al. 2009; Mościbrodzka et al. 2009; Dexter et al. 2010; Shcherbakov et al. 2012). It also follows the $n \propto r^{-1}$ scaling seen in Sgr A* from scales of the Bondi radius down to the event horizon (Baganoff et al. 2003; Marrone et al. 2007; Gillessen et al. 2019). For our temperatures and density, the Faraday rotation optical depth internal to the emission region is

$$\tau_{\nu} \approx \frac{2 \pi e^3 B R \kappa_{\text{rot}}(\theta_e^{-1})}{m_e^2 c^2 \nu^2 K_2(\theta_e^{-1})},$$

where $\kappa_{\text{rot}}(x)$ is a modified Bessel function and we have used the high-frequency limit $\nu / \nu_e \gg 1$ (Jones & Hardee 1979; Quataert & Gruzinov 2000; Shcherbakov 2008; Dexter 2016). For $\tau_{\nu} \gg 1$, the linear polarization goes through many oscillations. Small differences $\Delta \tau_{\nu} > 1$ across the image will then lead to depolarization (e.g., Agol 2000). At 233 GHz, we find $\tau_{\nu} \approx 0.2-3$. Most of the models should therefore not be depolarized and should be capable of producing the observed linear polarization of Sgr A* (Aitken et al. 2000; Bower et al. 2003; Marrone et al. 2008; Bower et al. 2018). For these parameters, the Faraday conversion effect is about an order of magnitude weaker.

The emitted fractional linear and circular polarization are $\approx 60\%$ and $\approx 3.5\%$ for our fiducial parameters and an angle between the line of sight and magnetic field of $\theta = \pi/6$. The
source must be somewhat beam (e.g., Bromley et al. 2001) or Faraday (e.g., Shcherbakov et al. 2012; Dexter 2016) depolarized. The observed ≈1% millimeter-wavelength circular polarization (Muñoz et al. 2012; Bower et al. 2018) could arise from either direct emission or Faraday conversion.

For simplicity here we have considered one-zone models. State-of-the-art radiative models based on GRMHD simulations in general produce ranges of densities, field strengths, and electron temperatures near the black hole. When the electrons are efficiently heated everywhere ($T_e / T_\gamma \approx \text{constant}$) the emission is dominated by the densest material near the midplane of the accretion flow (e.g., Moscibrodzka et al. 2009; Dexter et al. 2010; Shcherbakov et al. 2012; Drappeau et al. 2013). In that case, the physical conditions are similar to those of the one-zone model. In low magnetic flux (SANE) models where electron heating strongly depends on the plasma \( \beta \), the model is effectively composed of two zones: a dense accretion flow with cold electrons that do not radiate much in the submillimeter, and a more tenuous jet boundary (or funnel wall) with hot electrons that produce the observed emission (Moscibrodzka et al. 2014; Chan et al. 2015; Ressler et al. 2017). In that case, our inferred physical conditions apply to the jet wall region producing the observed radiation. In particular, the submillimeter emission may be depolarized in the two-zone model from passing through the dense, cold accretion flow (Moscibrodzka et al. 2017; Jiménez-Rosales & Dexter 2018). It remains to be seen whether such models can match the high submillimeter linear polarization fraction seen in Sgr A*.

We have further assumed a thermal electron distribution function. Using a power-law shape yields similar parameter estimates and spectral shape, with steep slopes $p \gtrsim 4$ (high-frequency spectral index $\alpha \gtrsim 3/2$), minimum electron energies $\gamma_{\text{min}} \approx 100$, and magnetic field strengths $B = 10$–50 G. In particular, we have not found one-zone thermal models which can fit both the submillimeter spectral peak and the median flux density in the near-infrared.

The broad spectral shape peaking in the terahertz regime imply a mostly optically thin emission region at 233 GHz. Approximately 90% of the sampled models have $\tau_\nu < 1$ and all have $\tau_\nu < 2$ at that frequency (Figure 4). All models are optically thin at 345 GHz. Theoretical models like those discussed above generally find that the optical depth varies substantially across the observed image due to varying fluid properties and to Doppler beaming effects (e.g., Broderick & Loeb 2006). Still, our findings suggest that millimeter very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) observations with the Event Horizon Telescope should be able to see a mostly transparent emission region down to event horizon scales. The absence of a steep spectral cutoff establishes the possibility of higher-frequency VLBI observations, either from the ground or space, that would achieve extraordinary angular resolution (Roelofs et al. 2019; Fish et al. 2019).

J.D. thanks S. von Fellenberg for providing the one-zone code used to infer source parameters and to make Figure 4, as well as for helpful comments that improved the manuscript. This Letter makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2016.1.009901.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), MOST and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. J.D. was supported by a Sofia Kovalevskaja award from the Alexander von Humboldt foundation. S.M. is supported by an NWO VICI grant (No. 639.043.513).
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