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The dinuclear ruthenium complex [Ru2H(H)2(Me2dad)(dbcot)]2 contains a 1,4-dimethyl-diazabuta-1,3-diene (Me2dad) as a non-innocent bridging ligand between the metal centers to give a [Ru2(Me2dad)] core. In addition, each ruthenium is bound to one dibenzo[a,e)cyclooctatetraene (dbcot) ligand. This Ru dimer converts H2 to protons and electrons. It also catalyzes reversibly under mild conditions the selective hydrogenation of vitamins K2 and K3 to their corresponding hydroquinone equivalents without affecting the C=C double bonds. Mechanistic studies suggest that the [Ru2(Me2dad)] moiety, like hydrogenases, reacts with H2 and releases electrons and protons stepwise.

Hydrogenase enzymes are the most efficient biological catalysts for the mutual interconversion of hydrogen to protons and electrons, H2 ⇌ 2H+ + 2e-. A detailed understanding of the mechanisms of these reactions is necessary for the development of efficient artificial catalytic systems for the use of H2 as a renewable energy source. Currently, there are three classes of hydrogenases known which either contain a bimetallic core, [Fe,Fe] or [Fe,Ni], or a single Fe center as active sites. Intensive spectroscopic investigations, including the determination of the structures of several hydrogenases by single-crystal X-ray diffraction methods, allowed extraction of the essential features needed for activity: (i) redox active metal centers; (ii) an electron reservoir; (iii) a cooperating ligand participating reversibly in the heterolytic cleavage/formation of H2; and (iv) a free coordination site for substrate binding (see a simplified sketch of the active [Fe,Fe] core at the top of Fig. 1).

All hydrogenases contain CO and some CN as archetypical σ-donor/π-acceptor ligands that keep iron in a low spin state and link these enzymes to classical organometallic chemistry. Consequently, the synthesis of hydrogenase model complexes is an intensively investigated topic of organometallic chemistry and some recent relevant examples A–G are shown in Fig. 1. Complexes A and B employ redox non-innocent ligands like bipyridine or phosphole in order to mimic Fe₃S₄ ferredoxines as ubiquitous electron reservoirs in enzymes. Both complexes, A and B, are active electrocatalysts for the production of H2 from acidic media. To date, the closest model to natural [Fe,Fe] hydrogenases is complex C, which can catalyze the oxidation of H2 to H+ in the presence of an oxidant and a base. The discovery that basic sites in a chelating diphosphane ligand greatly enhance the efficiency of heterolytic H2 splitting and the electrochemical oxidation of H2 has led to the development of iron or nickel complexes like D as functional hydrogenase models which achieve truly impressive turnover frequencies (TOFs) of up to 100 000 s⁻¹ for the electrocatalytic production of H2. When tethered to conducting support materials, derivatives of D allow fabrication of membrane-electrode assemblies at which H2 is produced at a very low overpotential. The structural and functional model E for [Ni,Fe] hydrogenase was reported, which likewise generates H2 from mildly acidic solutions with high rates. The mononuclear iron model complex F in combination with an apoenzyme was used to prepare an [Fe] hydrogenase model. Remarkably, this semiartificial enzyme, like its natural counterpart, is able to reversibly hydrogenate methylene tetrahydromethanopterin. Due to the enhanced stability of Ru hydrides, the replacement of Fe with Ru in artificial enzymes has also been investigated. Several dinuclear ruthenium complexes were proposed as hydrogenase mimics. For example, Rauchfuss et al. achieved the photochemical addition of H2 across the Ru–Ru bond in complex G and moreover could demonstrate that the terminal hydride ligand in the resulting diruthenium dihydride complex is more easily protonated than the bridging hydride.

Recently, diazadiene olefin complexes [M(trop2dad)] with low-valent iron or ruthenium centers became accessible...
In these complexes, the trop2dad ligand combines the well-established chemical and redox non-innocence of diazadienes (dads) and related ligands with the σ-donor/π-acceptor properties of olefins. Some of these low-valent metal complexes have remarkable properties. For example, [Ru0(trop2dad)] was found to be an efficient catalyst for the clean conversion of aqueous basic methanol or formaldehyde solutions into H2 and carbonate. Herein we report the synthesis of the dinuclear complex [Ru2(Me2dad)(dbcot)2] which under 1 bar of H2 is converted to [Ru2H(m-H)(Me2dad)(dbcot)2] as a fully artificial but functional [Fe,Fe] hydrogenase model. This complex catalyzes the oxidation of hydrogen to protons and electrons as well as the reversible and selective hydrogenation of vitamin K3 (VK3) or vitamin K2 (VK2) forming dihydrovitamin K3 (VK3H2) or VK2 hydroquinone (VK2H2) without affecting the C=C double bonds of these vitamins.

Reflexing dbcot with ruthenium(III) chloride trihydrate in ethanol and THF quantitatively produces the brown coordination polymer [RuCl2(dbcot)] which reacts further with N,N-dimethyldiaminoethane (Me2en) in THF and forms the mononuclear complex [RuCl2(Me2en)(dbcot)] in 92% yield (Fig. 2).

The deprotonation of 2 by 2.05 eq. of KOtBu produced a tetranuclear complex, [K[Ru4(μ-H)(Me2dad)2(dbcot)]2 [[K][32(μ-H)]] in 40% yield as the major species (for detailed spectroscopic data of all isolated complexes reported in this work see the ESI†). Reducing the tetranuclear [K][32(μ-H)] with 3.4 equivalents of KC8 generates the dianionic dinuclear [K]2[Ru2(Me2dad)(dbcot)] ([K]2[3]) in 85% yield. The 2 : 1 ratio of dbcot to Me2dad and lack of hydride signals in the 1H NMR spectrum suggests the presence of a Ru dimer complex with no hydrides. The low-frequency shift of the Me2dad and olefinic protons in [K]2[3] compared to [K][32(μ-H)] is consistent with a more electron rich complex (see ESI Table 2†). The oxidation of [K]2[3] with 2 eq. of [Fe][PF6] gives a neutral dinuclear complex Ru2(Me2dad)(dbcot)2 (3) in 77% yield. The 1H NMR spectra of 3 show sharp resonances at 0 °C, indicating the structure shown in Fig. 2, in which one Ru center is coordinated in a κ²N,N fashion, and the other in an π fashion by the bridging Me2dad ligand. But broad signals for the aromatic and olefinic protons are observed at room temperature, indicating molecular dynamics phenomena. Various NMR experiments show that two dynamic processes occur: (i) exchange of the κ²N,N/π¹
coordination mode of the Me2dad ligand between the two Ru centers and (ii) rotation of the dbcot ligands (see ESI Fig. 3 for details). Finally, exposure of 3 to 1 bar of H2 quickly forms a dinuclear dihydride complex, Ru2H/μ-H(Me2dad)(dbcot)2(3(μ-H)H), in quantitative yield. The two Ru–H resonances at −0.74 ppm and −2.74 ppm in THF-d8 show a coupling of 2JHH = 8.7 Hz, which suggests that both hydrides coordinate to the same Ru atom.40 The NOESY spectrum allows us to propose the structure of 3(μ-H)H as shown in Fig. 2 with a bridging hydride (δ = −0.74 ppm) and a terminal hydride (δ = −2.74 ppm) (see ESI Fig. 5†).

Single crystals of [K][3(μ-H)] and [K]2[3] were obtained from a THF/DME/hexane mixture in the presence of 18-crown-6. Crystals of 3(μ-H)H were grown by slow evaporation of a saturated benzene solution. All structures were investigated by X-ray diffraction methods and plots are shown in Fig. 3. [K][3(μ-H)] is a tetranuclear complex which contains two bimetallic ruthenium moieties bridged by a hydride.41 The complex [K]2[3] is best described as an ion pair [K]+[K][3]− in which the [K][3]− anion consists of a sandwich complex with a (dbcot)RuN2C2 unit as the central deck to which a Ru(dbcot) fragment binds in an η1-fashion to one side and a K(18-crown-6) fragment to the other side. The dinuclear dihydride 3(μ-H)H contains a bridging and a terminal hydride located on a plane of symmetry including also the two Ru centers, similar to the complex Ru2(S2C3H6)(μ-H)(μ-H)(CO)2(PCy3)2 G reported by Rauchfuss et al.42 Note that in the Ru2 complex fragments, the κ2,N,N/η4 coordination mode of the diazadiene ligand centers is retained in all complexes. This structural motif is known for related dimeric [Ru2(CO)5(R2dad)] complexes.43

The Ru–Ru distances in [K][3(μ-H)], [K]2[3] and 3(μ-H)H are 2.6947(5), 2.8239(8) and 2.7300(3) Å, respectively, similar to the ones observed in the Ru(d) dimer complexes (2.632–2.937 Å),44,45 suggesting the presence of Ru–Ru bonds. The longer Ru–Ru distance in [K]2[3] (2.8239(6) Å) reflects the highly reduced state of this species. Also, the olefinic bonds in [K][3][3] (average of 1.450(3) Å) are longer than the ones in [K][3(μ-H)] (average of 1.433(3) Å) and 3(μ-H)H (average of 1.424(3) Å), indicating strong back donation from the Ru–Ru unit into the π*-orbitals of the coordinated C=Cdbcot bonds. This effect increases with increasing anionic charge of the complex (in free dbcot, the average olefin bond length is 1.321 Å). Note that the C=Cdbcot bond lengths coordinated to Ru2 are longer than those bound to Ru1, indicating a higher electron density at Ru2. Of special interest are the C–N and C–C bond lengths of the diazadiene ligand because they reflect the oxidation state of the ligand and consequently also of the metal. The neutral diimine form, RN=CH–CH=NR, leads to a low-valent metal center, M0, and is characterized by short C–N bonds (∼1.29 Å) and a long C–C bond (∼1.46 Å). With increasing shift of electron density from the metal center to the ligand, the C–N bonds are lengthened while the C–C bond shortens: in the diazadiene radical anion [RN=CH–CH=NR]− coordinated to M0+, C–N = 1.33 Å and C=C = 1.39 Å; in the dianionic bisamide olefin form [RN=CH–CH=NR]− coordinated to M0++, C–N = 1.38 Å and C=C = 1.35 Å.46–49 The C–N and C–C bonds of the Me2dad ligands in [K]2[3(μ-H)], [K]2[3] and 3(μ-H)H are approx. 1.38 Å and 1.39 Å, respectively, indicating a reduced form of the ligand. Consequently, the oxidation states at the Ru centers vary between 0 and +1. These data illustrate the redox non-innocent behavior of the Me2dad ligand in these complexes.50,51 The bridging coordination modes of 2e− reduced dad and the closely related pyridine-diimine ligands have been reported before.47,48

The ability to split H2 into protons and electrons like that of hydrogenases was investigated using the “Rauchfuss test”.16 In the presence of ten equivalents of PPh3 and [Fe][PF6]3, 3(μ-H)H catalytically splits hydrogen into protons and electrons under 1 bar H2 at 40 °C, forming protonated triphenylphosphine [Ph3P][PF6] and Cp2Fe in THF within 1.5 hours (Fig. 4a). More compellingly, 3(μ-H)H is able to catalyze reversibly the hydrogenation of the biologically relevant vitamins VK3 and VK2 (Fig. 4b).50,52 Hydrogenation of VK3 was investigated under 1 bar or 15 bar H2 pressure, at 40 °C or 70 °C (ESI Table 3, entries 1–37). With 1 bar H2, a TON of 252 was achieved. Remarkably, 3(μ-H)H remained active even after 2 months. At 70 °C and 15 bar H2, 0.13 mol% 3(μ-H)H converts 65% of VK3 to VK3H2 to give a TON of 220. Under 15 bar H2, the TOF values at 40 °C and
Fig. 3 Structures (ORTEP plots) of \([K][3(\mu-H)]\) (top), \([K]_2[3]\) (bottom left) and \(3(\mu-H)H\) (bottom right). \([K]\) represents a \(K^+\) cation either coordinated to 18-crown-6 or THF molecules. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Non-relevant hydrogen atoms, solvent molecules, crown ethers, and potassium cations, which are not part of the anionic Ru₂ complex, have been removed for clarity. Ru₁→Ru₂ distances are the centroids of the coordinated C₅=C₆, C₁₃=C₁₄, C₂₁=C₂₂, and C₁₉=C₂₀ bonds, respectively. Selected bond distances (Å). [K][3(\mu-H)]. Ru₁–Ru₂ 2.6947(5), Ru₁–Nₐᵥ 2.107(2), Ru₁–c₄ᵥ 2.014(2), Ru₂–Nₐᵥ 2.173(2), Ru₂–c₄ᵥ 1.991(3), N₁–C₂ 1.38₁(3), C₂–C₃ 1.38₂(4), C₃–N₂ 1.37₉(2), C₇–C₉ 1.44₁(3), [K]₂[3]. Ru₁–Ru₂ 2.82₃₉(8), Ru₁–Nₐᵥ 2.06₆₆(2), Ru₁–c₄ᵥ 1.9₸₅(2), Ru₂–N₂ 2.4₇₆₆(2), Ru₂–N₂ 2.2₉₃₂(2), Ru₂–c₄ᵥ 1.₉₇₀(₃), N₁–C₂ 1.₃₉₉₄(₄), C₂–C₃ 1.₄₀₆₄⁴, C₃–N₂ 1.₃₉₀₃(₃), C₇–C₉ 1.₄₅₂(₄), 3(\mu-H)H (average values from two molecules in one cell), Ru₁–Ru₂ 2.₇₃₀₀(₃), Ru₁–Nₐᵥ 2.₀₉₃₂(2), Ru₁–c₄ᵥ 2.₀₂₅₅, Ru₂–Nₐᵥ 2.₁₈₀₂(2), Ru₂–c₄ᵥ 2.₀₁₈₈, N₁–C₂ 1.₃₇₈₃(₃), C₂–C₃ 1.₃ₙ₉₈(₃), C₃–N₂ 1.₃₇₁₃(₃), C₇–C₉ 1.₄₂₅₃(₃).

70 °C are 2.2 and 10 h⁻¹, respectively. VK₂ is a more delicate substrate because it tautomerizes.¹ At room temperature, the hydrogenation of VK₂ is more selectively achieved (ESI Table 3, entry 4†). In addition, the C≡C double bonds of VK₂ remain intact and the hydrogenation occurs selectively at the quinone moiety of the substrate. These catalytic reactions can be reversed. The dehydrogenation of VK₃H₂ and VK₂H₂ was tested at 40 °C under Ar (ESI Table 3, entries 5–7†). The TON values of VK₃H₂ and VK₂H₂ are 70 (in 48 h) and 24 (in 40 h), respectively. The TOFs for hydrogenation and dehydrogenation reactions are comparable. A kinetic isotope effect of \(k_{H}/k_{D} = 1.9(2)\) was measured experimentally for the hydrogenation of VK₃ by measuring \(k_{H}\) and \(k_{D}\) in separate experiments (ESI, part 7.1†).

Stoichiometric reactions were performed and monitored by NMR spectroscopy in order to gain some insights into possible reaction mechanisms. \(3(\mu-H)H\) can be rapidly and quantitatively oxidized at room temperature by ferrocenium salts, [Fe][X] (X = PF₆ or OTf), to give the complex \([Ru₂(\mu-H)(Me₂dad)(dbcot)]PF₆\) or \([Ru₂(\mu-H)[OTf](Me₂dad)(dbcot)]PF₆\) with a bridging hydride (Fig. 5a) in good yield. The complex \([Ru₂(\mu-H)(Me₂dad)(dbcot)]PF₆\) \(3(\mu-H)H\) (Ru–H, \(δ = −10.34\) ppm) was characterized by NMR in THF-\(d₈\), while \([Ru₂(\mu-H)[OTf](Me₂dad)(dbcot)]\) \(3(\mu-H)[OTf]\) was isolated in crystalline form. Characterization of this complex by single crystal X-ray diffraction reveals the presence of a structure closely related to \(3(\mu-H)H\) with a triflate anion bound to Ru₁ instead of the terminal hydride (see Fig. 2 and ESI Fig. 2†). In THF solution, partial dissociation occurs to give \([3(\mu-H)H][OTf]\) (Ru–H, \(δ = −10.34\) ppm) and \(3(\mu-H)[OTf]\) (Ru–H, \(δ = −10.18\) ppm) in a 1 : 9 ratio. These results indicate that the radical cation salt \(3(\mu-H)H\) \(X^+\) as a primary oxidation product rapidly loses hydrogen to give \(3(\mu-H)[X]\) (Fig. 6). The cyclic voltammogram of \(3(\mu-H)H\) shows only one irreversible oxidation peak in THF (ESI Fig. 14†). Our attempts to characterize \(3(\mu-H)H\) \(X^+\) by EPR spectroscopy failed so far and oxidation of \(3(\mu-H)H\) with [Fe][OTf] or reduction of \(3(\mu-H)[OTf]\) with Cp₂Co at 20 K in Me₂THF glass afforded only very weak EPR signals characteristic of (a mixture of) metal-centered radical species with \(g\) values in the range of 2.6–1.8 and 2.5–1.7, respectively, which are unlikely to stem from any of the paramagnetic on-cycle catalytic intermediates (such as \(3(\mu-H)H\) \(X^+\) or \(3(\mu-H)\); vide infra). Note that
complex $[3(\mu-H)(OTf)]$ is catalytically active in both reactions (a) and (b) shown in Fig. 4.

In the next experiment, $3(\mu-H)H$ was oxidized with one equivalent of [Fc][PF$_6$] in the presence of one equivalent of PPh$_3$. Exclusively, $[3(\mu-H)(PPh$_3$)][PF$_6$], $[3(\mu-H)(PPh$_3$)][PF$_6$]$^-$C$_{\text{O}}$NMR: $\delta = 32.8$ ppm, was obtained and no phosphonium salt, $[PPh$_3$](PF$_6$)$^-$, was formed (Fig. 5b and ESI, Fig. 6†). The compound $[3(\mu-H)(PPh$_3$)]$ was also obtained from the reaction of $[3(\mu-H)(OTf)]$ and PPh$_3$. Furthermore, the PPh$_3$ ligand in $[3(\mu-H)(PPh$_3$)]$ can be replaced by a stronger ligand such as P(OMe)$_3$ (ESI, Fig. 7†). When $3(\mu-H)H$ was exposed to 1 bar of $D_2$, either at room temperature or under catalytic conditions, the complex $[\text{Ru}$_2(\mu-H)(\text{Me}_2\text{dad})(\text{dbcot})]_2$ was observed (ESI, Fig. 8 and 9†), along with deuterium incorporation into the substrate, VK$_3$$D_2$, when present (ESI, Fig. 9†). In combination, these experiments show that (i) only the terminal hydride in $3(\mu-H)H$ participates in the reactions, and (ii) the ligand at the terminal site of Ru1 can be exchanged. When experiments were performed under 1 bar of $H_2$, in the absence of an oxidant, no reaction took place and $3(\mu-H)H$ remained intact. When $3(\mu-H)H$ was reacted in the presence of a large excess of PPh$_3$ (10 equivalents) but only 1 equivalent of [Fc][PF$_6$] under 1 bar of $H_2$, the cationic PPh$_3$ complex $[3(\mu-H)(PPh$_3$)]$$^+$ was observed but no $[PPh$_3$]PH$$^+$ (Fig. 5b and ESI, Fig. 10†). However, the formation of $[PPh$_3$H]$$^+$ from a mixture of $[3(\mu-H)(PPh$_3$)]$ and PPh$_3$ and $H_2$ was observed in the presence of 1 equiv. of Fc$^-$ (Fig. 5c and ESI, Fig. 11†). These experiments suggest that two equivalents of ferrocenium are needed to observe turnover with $3(\mu-H)H$ as the catalyst, and that the second equivalent is needed to rapidly convert $3(\mu-H)H$ into $[3(\mu-H)]$$^+$ in order to prevent the reaction between the $[PPh$_3$]PH$$^+$ and the hydridic complex $3(\mu-H)H$, according to $[PPh$_3$]PH$$^+$ + $3(\mu-H)H$ $\rightarrow [3(\mu-H)(PPh$_3$)]$ + $H_2$, which was experimentally found to be exergonic (see ESI Fig. 12†).

Taken together, these results support the proposed catalytic cycle A shown in Fig. 6. $3(\mu-H)H$ is first oxidized with loss of one electron to give the radical cation $[3(\mu-H)H]$$^+$*, which rapidly loses half an equivalent of $H_2$ to yield $[3(\mu-H)]$*. This complex with a labile coordination site at Ru1 is intercepted by PPh$_3$ to give $[3(\mu-H)(PPh$_3$)]$*, which is the resting state within the catalytic cycle. The complex $[3(\mu-H)(PPh$_3$)]$* is in equilibrium with $[3(\mu-H)]$* (likely as a solvated adduct $[3(\mu-H)(solv)]$) and present at low concentration, which may coordinate with $H_2$ to give $[3(\mu-H)(H_2)]$*. Deprotonation of $[3(\mu-H)(H_2)]$* by PPh$_3$ (vide infra) gives the phosphonium salt $[PPh$_3$]PH$$^+$ and regenerates $3(\mu-H)H$. A second equivalent of oxidant is needed to turn over the catalytic cycle to give back $[3(\mu-H)(PPh$_3$)]$* as the resting state via the reaction sequence given above.

A slightly different reaction path was observed for the reaction of $3(\mu-H)H$ with quinones. The reaction between $3(\mu-H)H$ and VK$_3$, in the absence of $H_2$, formed a thick suspension, which prevents further characterization by NMR spectroscopy. However, upon addition of a large excess of NaOTf, a signal attributed to complex $[3(\mu-H)(OTf)]$ was detected by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy. No reaction between NaOTf and $3(\mu-H)H$ was observed in the absence of VK$_3$. These data suggest that the terminal hydride of $3(\mu-H)H$ was transferred to VK$_3$, which then formed a protonated semiquinone oxygen bound species $[3(\mu-H)(VK$_3$)]$ (see the proposed structure based on DFT calculations in Fig. 8), and that VK$_3$$H^+$ is labile enough to be partially displaced by OTf$^-$. When followed by EPR spectroscopy at room temperature, the reaction between $3(\mu-H)H$ and VK$_3$ led to the formation of one organic radical species, which is detected by EPR spectroscopy at room temperature when $3(\mu-H)H$ is reacted with VK$_3$. The signal disappears with time resulting in the formation of the EPR silent and sparsely soluble $[3(\mu-H)(VK$_3$)]$ as the only product. With the symmetrical benzoquinone 2,5-di-tert-butyl-p-benzoquinone as the model substrate, in situ monitoring of the reaction with $3(\mu-H)H$ afforded immediately the paramagnetic HSQ$^+$ (protonated semi-quinone) species (doublet, $g_{\text{iso}} = 2.005, A_{\text{iso}} = 10.0$ Hz; see ESI part 7.4†).

These observations support the catalytic cycle B shown in red in Fig. 6, in which the neutral semiquinone radical VKH$^-$ and the neutral organometallic Ru$_2$ radical $[3(\mu-H)]$* were formed. It
Fig. 6 Proposed mechanisms of H₂ splitting by 3(μ-H)H using PPh₃ and [Fc][PF₆] as the proton and electron acceptors (Cycle A, left), and hydrogenation of vitamin Kₙ (n = 2 or 3) to their hydroquinone analogs by 3(μ-H)H (Cycle B, right).

Fig. 7 DFT calculation for the activation of H₂ from [3(μ-H)H]+ in the presence of PPh₃. The transition state for the deprotonation of the H₂ complex by PPh₃ was not found; however, the direct reaction path from [3(μ-H)(H₂)]+ (−3.5 kcal mol⁻¹) to 3(μ-H)H + [Ph₃PH]+ (−11.3 kcal mol⁻¹) cannot be excluded.
is still unclear if these radical species are formed via direct hydrogen transfer from $[3(\mu-H)]^+$ or via initial electron transfer to form the oxidized complex $[3(\mu-H)H]^+$ and the reduced quinone $[VK_3]^-$, followed by proton transfer to form $[3(\mu-H)]^+$ and $[VK_3H]^+$. Subsequent radical recombination with net reduction of the semiquinone radical forms the observed complex $[3(\mu-H)(VK_3H)]^+$. This complex is subsequently hydrogenated by $H_2$ to give the product $VK_3H_2$ and $3(\mu-H)H$, which is the resting state in this cycle as observed during a single turnover experiment (ESI, Fig. 137). In this mechanism, the observation of $3(\mu-H)H$ as the only species present after a single turnover experiment is consistent with a less acidic product $VK_3H_2$ compared to $[\text{Ph}_3\text{PH}]^+$.

The activation steps of $H_2$ by the Ru$_2$(Me$_2$dad) core, in both catalytic reactions, were investigated by DFT calculations without using structural simplification ($\Delta G$ values are given here, see Fig. 7 and ESI part 8†). In the absence of other substrates, $H_2$ adds to $[3(\mu-H)]^+$ to give $[3(\mu-H)(H_2)]^+$ in a weakly exergonic reaction. This complex can react with a basic substrate like Ph$_3$H to give the products $3(\mu-H)H$ and $[\text{Ph}_3\text{PH}]^+$ in an overall exergonic reaction ($-11.3$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) although we did not succeed in finding any transition states for this bimolecular reaction. Alternatively, heterolytic cleavage across one Ru–N bond is energetically accessible via TS-1 at $15.8$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ to give $[3(\mu-H)(H_2)]_{\tau}$. This complex can either rearrange into complex $[3(\mu-H)(H_2)]_{\upsilon}$ to give a hydrogenated C=N bond of the Me$_2$dad ligand or the acidic NH group is deprotonated to give the products $3(\mu-H)H$ and $[\text{Ph}_3\text{PH}]^+$. Note that the direct heterolytic cleavage of $H_2$ across a Ru C vector via TS-2 and the hydrogenation of one of the C=C$_{\text{dbcot}}$ bonds via TS-3 are both highly unfavorable processes. With $[3(\mu-H)]^+$, Ph$_3$H forms a stable complex, $[3(\mu-H)(\text{Ph}_3\text{H})]^+$ ($-22.3$ kcal mol$^{-1}$), which agrees with our assumption that this is the resting state in the catalytic splitting of $H_2$ with Ph$_3$H and [Fe][X]. The DFT calculations, for the reaction with VK$_3$ (Fig. 8), confirm that VK$_3H^-$ forms a stable complex, $[3(\mu-H)(VK_3H)]^-$ ($-38.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$), in the reaction with $[3(\mu-H)]^+$. But in this case, the final products VK$_3H_2$ and $3(\mu-H)H$ ($-45.0$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) are more stable. That is, the adduct $[3(\mu-H)(VK_3H)]^-$ is not the resting state in this cycle but $3(\mu-H)H$. The cleavage of $H_2$ involves a classical sigma-bond metathesis transition state TS-4 at $32$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ in which hydrogen is activated across the oxygen atom of the coordinated VK$_3H$ and the ruthenium metal center. Subsequently, TS-4 collapses in a strongly exergonic reaction to give the products VK$_3H_2$ and $3(\mu-H)H$. This finding agrees with the measured kinetic isotope effect (1.89), which indicates that the cleavage of $H_2$ is likely involved in the rate determining step. The activation of $H_2$ may also occur across a Ru–N bond and involves the second Ru center situated far from the Ru-VK$_3H$ unit but this activation barrier is significantly higher ($E^a = 42.5$ kcal mol$^{-1}$).

**Conclusions**

The complex $[\text{Ru}_2H(\mu-H)(\text{Me}_2\text{dad})(\text{dbcot})_2]$, $3(\mu-H)H$, can be regarded as a fully artificial mimic of binuclear [Fe,Fe] hydrogenases. The Ru$_2$(Me$_2$dad) core serves as an electron reservoir and [$K$]$_2$[$\text{Ru}_2(\text{Me}_2\text{dad})(\text{dbcot})_2]$, [$K$]$_2$[3], is the most reduced species and $[\text{Ru}_3(\text{Me}_2\text{dad})(\text{dbcot})_2]$, 3, is the most oxidized species. The Me$_2$dad ligand binds in an N,N-$\pi$-fashion to one Ru center, resulting in a five-membered Ru$_5$C$_2$ heterocycle with a conjugated $\pi$-electron system. Formally, this Ru$_5$C$_2$ heterocycle coordinates to a second Ru center as a heteroatom.
analogue of archetypical cyclopentadienyl ligands, a structural feature known for dinuclear Ru2(dad) complexes. However, the Ru2(dad) complexes reported in the literature carry CO as additional ligands and are likely too unstable to serve as efficient catalysts. In this study, the very rigid and concave shaped dibenzocyclooctatriene, dbcot, was used as a neutral four electron π-donor and π*-acceptor ligand, which stabilizes all complexes. A Ru–Ru interaction of about 2.7 Å is observed in all complexes, structurally characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction methods, which is remarkably invariant. Furthermore, the C=Cdbcot units bound to the Ru centers do not differ much in length (by 0.05 Å between [K]3[3][longest] and [3](μ-H)][PF6] (shortest), indicating that the electron densities at the Ru centers in the various complexes are rather similar. Small structural and electronic variances at the metal centers are also a feature of [Fe,Fe] and [Ni,Fe] hydrogenases.12,24,32–38 The complex 3(μ-H)H is a catalyst that splits H2 into protons and electrons in the presence of PPh3 and [Fc][PF6], forming [Ph3PH][PF6] and Cp3Fe (Rauchfuss test for hydrogenase activity), and reversibly and selectively hydrogenates vitamins VK1 or VK2, which are natural substrates for the enzyme hydrogen:quinone oxidoreductase. Spectroscopic data strongly suggest that like in hydrogenases, multiple coupled electron and proton transfer steps might be involved in these reactions. Clearly, the observed activities and efficiencies must be significantly improved. But this investigation demonstrates that redox and chemically non-innocent ligands may be key components and their variation may allow further improvements and uncovering of new bearings in synthesizing small molecular hydrogenase mimics.
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