Should municipalities regulate language use in public space?

Mamadouh, V.; el Ayadi, N.

Publication date
2018

Document Version
Final published version

Published in
The MIME vademecum

Citation for published version (APA):
MOBILITY AND INCLUSION IN MULTILINGUAL EUROPE

THE MIME VADEMECUM
The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community’s Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement No. 613344 (MIME Project).

© 2018

MIME Project
Mobility and Inclusion in Multilingual Europe
www.mime-project.org

Cover picture:
Wikimedia Commons.

Copy editing:
Kevin Cook.

Typesetting, cover, and book design:
Filippo Gander, SCIPROM Sàrl, St-Sulpice, Switzerland.

Printed in June 2018 by Artgraphic Cavin SA, Grandson, Switzerland.

This book is typeset in Calibre, by Klim Type Foundry
and PMN Caecilia, by Linotype.
36 How should municipalities collect and share data about the linguistic profiles of their resident communities?

Virginie Mamadouh
Nesrin el Ayadi
Universiteit van Amsterdam

Local awareness and knowledge of the local linguistic environment is key to a better utilisation of existing linguistic resources and an offer of better services. However, European- and national-level statistics generally lack relevance to local situations because mobile EU citizens, third-country nationals and refugees are not evenly spread over the national territory.

What does research tell us?

The available data are generally based on non-linguistic attributes (such as nationality or country of birth used as a proxy, in which case the main language of the country of birth and/or citizenship is often assumed to be a person’s main language) or census questions on mother tongue in (where a census is taken). This knowledge is very partial and focuses primarily on residents’ first language, at the expense of a more nuanced and complex representation of individual linguistic repertoires. These statistics often measure self-reported skills in the official language, in which case these skills in the official language are used as a rough measurement of integration and an argument for measures to enhance the use of the official language. Other language skills and their importance for social integration, educational paths and/or opportunities on the labour market are usually neglected.

Therefore, to tackle these problems municipalities should not only keep track of the linguistic characteristics of the population in their jurisdiction, but also pay particular attention to collecting data on other relevant linguistic variables, like skills in additional languages and patterns of language use in various contexts. Language skills could be monitored in conjunction with surveys on literacy (as well as Internet literacy).

Finally, these surveys could address metalinguistic skills. This would increase awareness of the importance of such skills for navigating a linguistically diverse society. Collecting this type of data, however, requires sensitivity and caution: they must enhance collective knowledge of the community without compromising the personal integrity and the privacy of individual residents.

Illustration and evidence

Such extensive data collection is unlikely to be a top priority of local governments, but inspiring examples exist. Multilingual Graz is a research project of the University of Graz (Austria) led by Dieter Halwachs that since 2012 has documented the languages spoken by the inhabitants of the city. It aims at improving local authorities’ knowledge of cultural diversity based on the state citizenship of its residents. The website presents the languages observed in Graz (see screenshot). Each cell in this clickable table gives access to background information about the language (its name, speakers, status and area, written form and translation of standard greetings), sound fragments (with transcription and translation into German) and language biographies and language use profiles of residents of Graz speaking that language.

Policy implications

With a more nuanced assessment of the local linguistic repertoires, local authorities can adapt and improve their welcome and education policies as well as the organisation of local cultural activities.
How should municipalities collect and share data about the linguistic profiles of their resident communities? Such data collection can also be useful to raise awareness among all local residents about their linguistically diverse environment. Insight into the linguistic environment is also useful for organising local cultural activities. Public libraries could offer books and audio-visual materials in the main languages spoken in the local community and offer residents the opportunity to maintain and to expand their linguistic repertoires. Community activities could be organised to introduce (other) residents to the local linguistic diversity and to facilitate exchange between speakers of the same language or between those interested in learning them. Likewise, social cohesion could be boosted by matching local volunteers with whom conversation lessons may be traded with migrants (this formula is known as the “tandem” model). Local schools can open their doors after school time to provide the infrastructure for such encounters (after working hours for most immigrants and volunteers).
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