Detailed spectral and morphological analysis of the shell type supernova remnant RCW 86


DOI
10.1051/0004-6361/201526545

Publication date
2018

Document Version
Final published version

Published in
Astronomy & Astrophysics

Citation for published version (APA):
Detailed spectral and morphological analysis of the shell type supernova remnant RCW 86


(Affiliations can be found after the references)

Received 18 May 2015 / Accepted 9 November 2015

ABSTRACT

Aims. We aim for an understanding of the morphological and spectral properties of the supernova remnant RCW 86 and for insights into the production mechanism leading to the RCW 86 very high-energy γ-ray emission.

Methods. We analyzed High Energy Spectroscopic System (H.E.S.S.) data that had increased sensitivity compared to the observations presented in the RCW 86 H.E.S.S. discovery publication. Studies of the morphological correlation between the 0.5–1 keV X-ray band, the 2–5 keV X-ray band, radio, and γ-ray emissions have been performed as well as broadband modeling of the spectral energy distribution with two different emission models.

Results. We present the first conclusive evidence that the TeV γ-ray emission region is shell-like based on our morphological studies. The comparison with 2–5 keV X-ray data reveals a correlation with the 0.4–50 TeV γ-ray emission. The spectrum of RCW 86 is best described by a power law with an exponential cutoff at Ec(α) = (3.5 ± 1.2)α TeV and a spectral index of Γ = 1.6 ± 0.2. A static leptonic one-zone model adequately describes the measured spectral energy distribution of RCW 86, with the resultant total kinetic energy of the electrons above 1 GeV being equivalent to ~0.1% of the initial kinetic energy of a Type Ia supernova explosion (1051 erg). When using a hadronic model, a magnetic field of B > 100 μG is needed to represent the measured data. Although this is comparable to formerly published estimates, a standard E−2 spectrum for the proton distribution cannot describe the γ-ray data. Instead, a spectral index of Γp ≈ 1.7 would be required, which implies that 5 × 1049 /cm3 - erg has been transferred into high-energy protons with the effective density n(>1 GeV) = n/1 cm−3. This is about 10% of the kinetic energy of a typical Type Ia supernova under the assumption of a density of 1 cm−3.
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1. Introduction

Supernova remnants (SNR) are prime candidates to be sources of Galactic cosmic rays. The detection of very-high-energy (VHE) γ-ray (E > 100 GeV) and nonthermal X-ray emission from SNRs has shown that they do in fact accelerate particles to energies above 100 TeV (see, e.g., Hinton & Hofmann 2009; Aharonian 2013).

RCW 86 (also known as G315.4-2.3 or MSH 14-63) is located at a distance of (2.5 ± 0.5) kpc (Helder et al. 2013). It is...
almost circular in shape with a diameter of about 40° clearly showing a shell-like structure in the optical (Smith 1997), radio (Kesteven & Caswell 1987), infrared (Williams et al. 2011) and X-ray (Pisarski et al. 1984) regimes. The source has been detected in γ-rays (Aharonian et al. 2009; Yuan et al. 2014), but a shell-like structure was not resolved. RCW 86 is a young SNR, which is about 1800 years old, based on the probable connection to the historical supernova SN 185 recorded by Chinese astronomers in 185 AD (Stephenson & Green 2002; Smith 1997; Dickel et al. 2001; Vink et al. 2006). The nature of the RCW 86 supernova (SN) progenitor was under intense discussion in the context of its young age and its unusually large size with a radius of $R \approx 15d_{25}$ pc ($d_{25}$: the distance to RCW 86 in units of 2.5 kpc). Williams et al. (2011) comprehensively studied all arguments about the type of the progenitor of RCW 86 and conducted hydrodynamic simulations to explain the observed characteristics. They concluded that RCW 86 is likely the remnant of a Type Ia supernova. The explosion was off-center in a low-density cavity carved by the progenitor system (see also Vink et al. 1997; Broersen et al. 2014).

The physical conditions vary within the volume of RCW 86. While slow shocks have been measured ($\sim 600–800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; Long & Blair 1990; Ghavamian et al. 2001) in the southwest (SW) and northwest (NW) regions along with relatively high post-shock gas densities ($\sim 2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$; Williams et al. 2011), X-ray measurements by Helder et al. (2009) indicate high velocities of $6000 \pm 2800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the northeast (NE) whereas optical measurements of specific knots in this region by Helder et al. (2013) show large variations in proper motions between $700–2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and low densities of $\sim 0.1–0.3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (see Yamaguchi et al. 2011). In the SW, NE, and to a lesser extent in the NW region, nonthermal X-ray emission is detected (Bamba et al. 2000; Vink et al. 1997, 2006; Williams et al. 2011). Near a region of Fe-K-line emission in the SW, there is nonthermal emission possibly synchrotron radiation from electrons accelerated at the reverse shock (Rho et al. 2002).

Here, we present a new analysis of VHE γ-ray data of RCW 86 taken with the High Energy Stereoscopic System (H.E.S.S.). When the discovery of RCW 86 was published by the H.E.S.S. Collaboration, the morphology in the TeV γ-ray regime could not be resolved owing to limited statistics (Aharonian et al. 2009). The new analysis benefits from significantly improved statistics and addresses whether RCW 86 has a shell structure in the VHE γ-ray regime. In addition, the larger data set allows for a broadband modeling of the spectral energy distribution with both a leptonic and an hadronic one-zone model. We restricted ourselves to two simple models since it is unreasonable to use more intricate models with additional parameters that cannot be determined owing to limited statistics.

2. H.E.S.S. observations and analysis method

The H.E.S.S. is located in the Khomas Highland of Namibia at a height of about 1800 m above sea level. In its first phase, the system consisted of four identical imaging Cherenkov telescopes, each with a mirror area of 107 m2 and a large field of view of 5° (Bernlöh et al. 2003). The data presented in this paper were taken during this phase.

In 2009 the H.E.S.S. Collaboration announced the discovery of RCW 86 in the VHE regime (Aharonian et al. 2009). The source morphology of RCW 86 was thoroughly studied, but the existing data did not suffice to settle the question of whether the VHE emission originates from a shell or from a spherical region. Between 2007 and 2011, observations of the neighboring source H.E.S.S. J1458−608 (de Los Reyes Lopez 2011) and the scan of the Galactic plane have added additional observation time to the data set, which now amounts to $\sim 57 \text{ h}$. The zenith angles of these observations range from 36° to 53° and have a mean value of 40°. The data were recorded with pointing offsets between 0.5° and 2.5°, average 1.1°, from the RCW 86 position. The sensitivity and angular resolution were improved compared to the former publication (Aharonian et al. 2009), using an advanced analysis method (for further detail, see de Naurois & Rolland 2009) instead of the formerly used standard Hillas-parameter-based analysis. Standard cuts were used for spectral analysis and faint source cuts for morphological analysis. The faint source cuts have an improved angular resolution at the expense of lower statistics and a higher energy threshold of about 100 GeV (for the cut definition, see de Naurois & Rolland 2009). The results we present are consistent with the results of a multivariate analysis technique (Ohm et al. 2009), using an independent calibration scheme.

3. Results

The γ-ray excess map, using the ring background subtraction technique (Berge et al. 2007), is shown in Fig. 1. The map was smoothed with a Gaussian filter to reduce the effect of statistical fluctuations. The 68% containment radius of 0.′061 of the H.E.S.S. point spread function (PSF) was chosen as smoothing radius. Since this is larger than the bin size (0.′02), the pixels are correlated with each other. This is accounted for in the spatial-fitting process that we present. Extended γ-ray emission was found. We detected $1220 \pm 87$ excess γ-rays within a circular region centered at $\alpha_{2000} = 14^{h}43^{m}2.16^{s}$, $\delta_{2000} = −62^{\circ}26′56″$ with a radius of 0.′41 (see Fig. 1) and a source detection at a statistical...
3.1. Spectral analysis

A fit of a power-law function \( dN/dE = \Phi_0 (E/1 \text{ TeV})^{-\Gamma} \) to the spectral data analyzed with the standard analysis cuts yields \( \Gamma = 2.3 \pm 0.1_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{sys}} \), and the differential flux normalization at 1 TeV of \( \Phi_0 = (2.9 \pm 0.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.6_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ TeV}^{-1} (\text{Log(likelihood)} = -54; \text{see Fig. 2}). We tested if a power law with an exponential cutoff \( dN/dE = \Phi_0 (E/1 \text{ TeV})^{-\Gamma} \exp(-E/E_{\text{cut}}) \) describes the data better than a simple power law. The fit gave \( \Phi_0 (1 \text{ TeV}) = (3.0 \pm 0.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.6_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ TeV}^{-1} \) with \( \Gamma = 1.6 \pm 0.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{sys}} \) and a cutoff energy \( E_{\text{cut}} = (3.5 \pm 1.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 2.2_{\text{sys}}) \text{ TeV} (\text{Log(likelihood)} = -47.7) \), which is favored over the simple power law with a significance of 3.5\( \sigma \), according to a log-likelihood ratio test. The spectral result of the power-law fit is compatible with a previous H.E.S.S. publication (Aharonian et al. 2009).

3.2. Morphology

To settle the question of whether the TeV \( \gamma \)-ray emission is shell-like as found in the optical, radio, and X-ray bands, a two-dimensional log-likelihood fit was applied to the uncorrelated sky maps taking the morphological model and instrument response into account. To distinguish between different morphological structures, we used two alternative models: a sphere and a shell model. The models are obtained by line-of-sight integrals of a three-dimensional emitting sphere or shell. In the case of the shell model, the free parameters are the center position and the inner and outer radius. The sphere model is derived from the shell model by fixing the inner radius to zero. Both models have uniform emissivity. Table 1 summarizes the fit results. The shell structure is favored over the sphere structure with a significance of about 8\( \sigma \). In Fig. 3 (left panel), the radial profile of the TeV emission itself is given together with the fit results of both models.

To facilitate the comparison, radial excess profiles of VHE \( \gamma \)-ray, X-ray, and radio emission are presented in the center and right panels of Fig. 3. The X-ray data is split into two energy bands: 0.5–1 keV and 2–5 keV. The latter exhibits a higher amount of nonthermal emission in comparison to the low-energy band. The nonthermal emission in RCW 86 is not evenly distributed. Broersen et al. (2014) have shown that the NE region is dominated by nonthermal emission, while the SW region exhibits similar amounts of hot thermal and nonthermal emission. In the NW and SE regions, however, thermal emission prevails. In Fig. 3, the central panel depicts the radial profile of the whole SNR, whereas in the right panel only the data of the SW region within the position angle range\(^1\) of 190° to 230° were used to determine the profiles. The radio data are from Molonglo Observatory Synthesis Telescope (MOST: Whiteoak & Green 1996) and the X-ray data are taken with the XMM-Newton X-ray telescope (Broersen et al. 2014). The radial profile of the whole remnant (Fig. 3, center panel) clearly shows that the TeV and the X-ray emission of the energy range between 2–5 keV are correlated, whereas in the case of low energetic X-ray emission (0.5–1 keV) and radio emission a weaker luminosity is found in the central region and the shell-like emission is more pronounced. The radial profiles of the SW region of TeV \( \gamma \)-ray emission, low- and high-energy X-ray radiations (Fig. 3, right panel) again seem to reveal a correlation between the 2–5 keV X-ray emission and the TeV-emission. In addition, it seems that the maximum of the 2–5 keV X-ray emission is slightly more off-center than the maximum of the TeV emission, but this is not significant because of the low statistics of the TeV data. The maxima of the low-energy X-ray (0.5–1 keV) and radio emission are at larger radii. In summary, the TeV emission and the high-energy X-ray emission (2–5 keV) are correlated throughout the remnant, whereas radio and low-energy X-ray emission regions are further away from the center and show lower levels of emission in the central region of the remnant.

To study the influence of the different physical conditions like shock speeds and densities on the \( \gamma \)-ray emission, spectra of two different subregions (SW and NE) were extracted. Figure 1 shows the regions, their position, and their size. They are both centered around the best-fit position of the 3D-shell model. A Gaussian function was fitted to the radial profile of the \( \gamma \)-ray data and the 1\( \sigma \) interval around the mean of the fit was then taken as the minimal and maximal radii of the regions. In both regions, a detection significance of about 10\( \sigma \) has been achieved. The resulting spectrum is well described by a power-law model, which was fitted to the data up to an energy of 20 TeV. It is not possible to distinguish between a simple power-law spectrum and one with an exponential cutoff

\(^1\) Position angle 0° corresponds to north and 90° to east.
because of the lower statistics of the quadrant data. We obtained spectral indices of $\Gamma = (2.5 \pm 0.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{sys}})$ and of $\Gamma = (2.2 \pm 0.1_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{sys}})$ as well as a differential flux normalization at 1 TeV of $\Phi_0 = (0.7 \pm 0.1_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.1_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-12} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1} \, \text{TeV}^{-1}$ and $\Phi_0 = (0.7 \pm 0.1_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.1_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-12} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1} \, \text{TeV}^{-1}$ in the NE and SW regions, respectively. Thus, there is no significant spectral difference between both regions. The results are consistent with the spectrum of the whole remnant.

4. Discussion

SNRs are thought to be the primary sources for the bulk of Galactic cosmic-ray protons with energies up to ~3 PeV, but the final proof is still lacking. The broadband, nonthermal emission of these sources is produced by accelerated particles through several channels, e.g., synchrotron, inverse Compton, nonthermal Bremsstrahlung, and neutral pion decay. To achieve insights into the $\gamma$-ray production taking place in SNRs, the different processes have to be disentangled and analyzed. We present the results of the broadband data of RCW 86 in two different scenarios for radiation mechanism for the $\gamma$-ray emission: inverse Compton (IC) scattering of electrons off ambient photons (leptonic scenario) or proton-proton interaction with the ambient medium (hadronic scenario). For both cases, we have modeled the broadband emission of RCW 86 with a simple static one-zone model (presented in Acero et al. 2010), where the radiation of the different wavebands is produced within the same region with a constant magnetic field. The current energy distributions of the particles (electrons and/or protons) are given by a power law with an exponential cutoff. Synchrotron radiation and IC scattering on the cosmic microwave background and the local interstellar (optical and infrared) radiation fields (see Porter & Strong 2005) were taken into account with energy densities of 0.66 eV cm$^{-3}$ (dust) and 0.94 eV cm$^{-3}$ (stars). In the case of the hadronic scenario, the $\gamma$-ray production was calculated following Kelner et al. (2006). Nonthermal Bremsstrahlung is neglected because of the low ambient density $\lesssim 1$ cm$^{-3}$. The modeling was done under the assumption that the distance of RCW 86 is 2500 pc, the outer radius is 15 pc, and the shell thickness is 5 pc. The emission at all wavelengths was calculated for the shell region. The stationary one-zone model is however an oversimplification because the radio morphology and the nonthermal X-ray morphology differ, which cannot be explained by this kind of model. The spectral energy distribution presented in Fig. 4 is composed of the VHE $\gamma$-ray spectra presented in Sect. 3, the $\text{Fermi}$-LAT data points (Yuan et al. 2014), the X-ray spectra of ASCA and RXTE (Lemoine-Goumard et al. 2012), and the radio data from the Molonglo at 408 MHz and Parkes at 5 GHz (Caswell et al. 1975; Lemoine-Goumard et al. 2012), and the X-ray data from the XMM-Newton X-ray telescope (Broersen et al. 2014). The $\gamma$-ray and X-ray data are normalized so that the area underneath the curve is equal to one; the radio data are scaled such that the area underneath the data points is equal to 0.5.

Table 1. Results of the two-dimensional log-likelihood fit of morphological models to the H.E.S.S. data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\text{center},J2000}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{center},J2000}$</th>
<th>$r_{\text{in}}$ [pc]</th>
<th>$r_{\text{out}}$ [pc]</th>
<th>Log(likelihood)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sphere</td>
<td>$14^h 42^m 58.9^s \pm 0^h 0^m 9^s$</td>
<td>$-62^g 25^m 48^s \pm 0^g 1^m 1^s$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>15.9 $\pm$ 0.6</td>
<td>-4093.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>$14^h 42^m 53.3^s \pm 0^h 0^m 7^s$</td>
<td>$-62^g 25^m 48^s \pm 0^g 1^m 48^s$</td>
<td>9.5 $\pm$ 1.4</td>
<td>14.8 $\pm$ 1.0</td>
<td>-4046.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: $(\alpha_{\text{center},J2000}, \delta_{\text{center},J2000})$ gives the center of the sphere and shell models. The parameters $r_{\text{in}}$ and $r_{\text{out}}$ stand for the inner and outer radius of the sphere. In the case of the shell, $r_{\text{in}}$ is kept at zero pc.
to estimates by Vink et al. (2006). The total kinetic energy of all electrons above 1 GeV amounts to \(\sim 10^{46}\) erg, which is about 0.1% of the total energy of a typical Type Ia supernova \((10^{51}\) erg). We obtain an upper limit for the energy injected into accelerated protons of \(\sim 10^{39}\) erg with the effective density \(n_{\text{cm}} \sim n/1\) cm\(^{-3}\) also taking into account protons with the same spectral index as the electrons and a conservative chosen energy cutoff of 100 TeV. A higher amount of energy is not compatible with the Fermi-LAT upper limits (see Fig. 4). This energy limit implies an electron-to-proton ratio above 1 GeV of \(K_{\text{ep}} \geq 10^{-3}\). These results are in good agreement with those obtained by Lemoine-Goumard et al. (2012).

In the case of the hadronic scenario, the \(\gamma\)-rays are produced via proton-proton interactions and subsequent neutral pion decay whereas the X-rays are still produced via synchrotron radiation of high-energetic electrons. Therefore, the electron fraction has to be lower and the magnetic field stronger. A standard proton spectrum with \(\Gamma_p = 2\) and a total energy in accelerated protons at emission time of \(W_p \approx 2.1 \times 10^{38}/n_{\text{cm}}\) erg would describe the H.E.S.S. measurements, but is incompatible with the Fermi-LAT results (see Fig. 5 black dashed line). This was already pointed out by Lemoine-Goumard et al. (2012). The proton spectrum, which reproduces the \(\gamma\)-ray data, has a spectral index of \(\Gamma_p \approx 1.7\) and a cutoff energy of \(E_{\text{cut}} \approx 35\) TeV. The index lies between the spectral index of the test particle approach and the spectral index with strong modified shocks (Malkov 1999; Berezhko & Ellison 1999). The blue line in Fig. 5 presents this hadronic model. The total energy of all protons above 1 GeV is \(W_p \approx 9 \times 10^{39}/n_{\text{cm}}\) erg, which means that a fraction of 0.1/\(n_{\text{cm}}\) of the supernova (Type Ia) energy has to be converted into high-energy protons. The electron-to-proton ratio is \(K_{\text{ep}} \approx 10^{-3}\). To model the radio and X-ray data, an electron spectrum with spectral index of \(\Gamma_e \approx 2.3\) and a cutoff energy of \(E_{\text{cut}} \approx 9\) TeV is needed and a magnetic field strength of 100 \(\mu\)G. This is comparable to two different estimates: one by Völk et al. (2005) of \(99^{+26}_{-26}\) \(\mu\)G, which was deduced from the thickness of the filaments in the SW region, and another one calculated by Arbutina et al. (2012) of a volume-averaged magnetic field of \(\sim 70\) \(\mu\)G. The total kinetic energy of the protons above 1 GeV was found to be \(W_p \approx 9 \times 10^{39}/n_{\text{cm}}\) erg, which means that about 10%/\(n_{\text{cm}}\) of the supernova (Type Ia) energy has to be converted into high-energy protons.

As already mentioned, the hadronic model cannot reproduce the radio data with an electron spectrum that has the same spectral index as the proton spectrum (\(\Gamma_p \approx 1.7\)). This is in conflict with the expectation that electrons and protons exhibit the same dynamics at relativistic energies up to an energy where electron synchrotron losses become important.

Lemoine-Goumard et al. (2012) applied a two-zone model to the data to overcome this problem. They introduced a separate second leptonic population to explain the radio emission with the consequence that the lower limit on the magnetic field was 50 \(\mu\)G. The \(\gamma\)-ray emission was reproduced with an injection spectrum with a power-law index of \(\Gamma = 1.8\), a break at 3 TeV, caused by synchrotron cooling, and an exponential cut-off at 20 TeV. The energy injected into hadrons was \(\sim 7 \times 10^{39}/n_{\text{cm}}\) erg. These results fulfill the observational constraints, but the problem remains that the proton spectrum is particularly hard.

When we compare the modeling results of both the leptonic and hadronic models, we find that neither can be ruled out. The hadronic model encounters problems by describing the data in a self-consistent way, i.e., it is not possible to describe the radio data with electron and proton spectra with the same spectral index, which would be expected for relativistic particles under the assumption of diffuse shock acceleration theory. Better statistics and possibly more detailed models are needed to solve this question.

Our study of the morphological correlation between radio, X-rays, and \(\gamma\)-rays has shown (see Sect. 3) that radio and the \(\gamma\)-ray emission in the energy range between 0.5 and 1 keV do not coincide with the \(\gamma\)-ray emission, while the emission in the X-ray regime 2–5 keV shows a correlation with the TeV \(\gamma\)-ray emission. This X-ray emission (2–5 keV) is spatially near to a Fe-K-line. Rho et al. (2002) argued that the hard X-ray continuum is synchrotron radiation produced by electrons, which are
accelerated in the reverse shock. It is therefore possible that some of the VHE \( \gamma \)-ray emission comes from the region shocked by the reverse shock. A possible hint for this is provided by the more centrally filled morphology of the VHE \( \gamma \)-ray emission with respect to the radio emission, however, the sensitivity of the \( \gamma \)-ray is not sufficient to draw any firm conclusions about this.

### 5. Conclusion

In this work, we presented the first strong evidence that RCW 86 shows a shell-type morphology in TeV \( \gamma \)-rays. The TeV \( \gamma \)-ray spectrum favors an exponential cutoff power law with \( \Gamma = 1.59 \pm 0.22_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{sys}} \) and a cutoff energy \( E_{\text{cut}} = 3.47 \pm 1.23_{\text{stat}} \pm 2.2_{\text{sys}} \) TeV rather than a pure power law.

The broadband SED can be well described by a simple leptonic one-zone model with a magnetic field strength of \( B \approx 22 \mu G \) and a \( \Gamma \approx 2.3 \) power-law electron spectrum with an exponential cutoff at \( E_{\text{cut}} \approx 19 \) TeV. The kinetic energy of all electrons above 1 GeV is about 0.1% of the kinetic energy of the supernova explosion of \( 10^{51} \) erg.

Modeling the broadband data using a hadronic one-zone model requires a hard proton spectrum with index \( \Gamma_p \approx 1.7 \). This model is incompatible with a conventional \( \propto E^{-2} \) acceleration spectrum, but lies between the spectral index value of the test particle approach and the one with strong modified shocks (Malkov 1999; Berezhko & Ellison 1999).

The total energy of all protons above 1 GeV is \( W_p \approx 9 \times 10^{59} / n_{\text{cm}^{-3}} \) erg, when a distance of 2.5 kpc are assumed. As a result of limited statistics neither the leptonic nor the hadronic model can be ruled out.
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