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The bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) is a forebrain region highly responsive to stress that expresses corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) and is implicated in mood disorders, such as anxiety. However, the exact mechanism by which chronic stress induces CRH-mediated dysfunction in BNST and maladaptive behaviors remains unclear. Here, we first confirmed that selective acute optogenetic activation of the oval nucleus BNST (ovBNST) increases maladaptive avoidance behaviors in male mice. Next, we found that a 6 week chronic variable mild stress (CVMS) paradigm resulted in maladaptive behaviors and increased cellular excitability of ovBNST CRH neurons by potentiating mEPSC amplitude, altering the resting membrane potential, and diminishing M-currents (a voltage-gated K⁺ current that stabilizes membrane potential) in ex vivo slices. CVMS also increased c-fos⁺ cells in ovBNST following handling. We next investigated potential molecular mechanisms underlying the electrophysiological effects and observed that CVMS increased CRH⁺ and pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide + (PACAP; a CRH upstream regulator) cells but decreased striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase + (a STEP CRH inhibitor) cells in ovBNST. Interestingly, the electrophysiological effects of CVMS were reversed by CRHR1-selective antagonist R121919 application. CVMS also activated protein kinase A (PKA) in BNST, and chronic infusion of the PKA-selective antagonist H89 into ovBNST reversed the effects of CVMS. Coadministration of the PKA agonist forskolin prevented the beneficial effects of R121919. Finally, CVMS induced an increase in surface expression of phosphorylated GluR1 (S845) in BNST. Collectively, these findings highlight a novel and indispensable stress-induced role for PKA-dependent CRHR1 signaling in activating BNST CRH neurons and mediating maladaptive behaviors.
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Significance Statement

Chronic stress and acute activation of oval bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (ovBNST) induces maladaptive behaviors in rodents. However, the precise molecular and electrophysiological mechanisms underlying these effects remain unclear. Here, we demonstrate that chronic variable mild stress activates corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH)-associated stress signaling and CRH neurons in ovBNST by potentiating mEPSC amplitude and decreasing M-current in male mice. These electrophysiological alterations and maladaptive behaviors were mediated by BNST protein kinase A-dependent CRHR1 signaling. Our results thus highlight the importance of BNST CRH dysfunction in chronic stress-induced disorders.

Introduction

Chronic stress exposure triggers maladaptive behavioral responses and can induce mood disorders, such as anxiety (de Kloet et al., 2005; Joëls and Baram, 2009; Lucassen et al., 2014). The limbic forebrain structure bed nucleus of the stria terminalis...
BNST is critical for mediating the neuroendocrine stress response (Choi et al., 2007; Vranjkovic et al., 2014) and potential threat regulation (Claus et al., 2019; Goode et al., 2019). BNST receives information from the limbic system and projects to neuroendocrine and autonomic neural systems located in brainstem and hypothalamus regions that mediate the hypothalamic-pituitary-renal (HPA) stress response (Herman and Cullinan, 1997; Ch’ng et al., 2018). In rodents, stimulating BNST elicits maladaptive avoidance responses (Walker et al., 2003), whereas BNST inactivation elicits exploration (Hammack et al., 2004). Importantly, BNST also plays a pivotal role in the development and progression of drug and alcohol abuse disorders (Silberman and Winder, 2013).

Stress induces corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH or CRF release), abundantly expressed in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus neurons responsible for HPA regulation (Kageyama and Suda, 2009) and cortisol release (Rivier and Vale, 1983). Interestingly, CRH is also highly expressed in BNST (Pomrenze et al., 2015; Sanford et al., 2017), especially in the oval nucleus of ovBNST of the anterodorsal lateral BNST (BNSTadl) (Ju and Swanson, 1989; Daniel and Rainnie, 2016). Indeed, the highest concentration of CRH neurons in the brain is located in ovBNST (Cummings et al., 1983; Morin et al., 1999; Daniel and Rainnie, 2016), a region thought to be a master controller of BNST outflow (Ch’ng et al., 2018). Optogenetic inhibition of ovBNST decreases, whereas stimulation promotes, maladaptive avoidance behaviors in mice (Kim et al., 2013). Notably, BNST orchestrates stress responses in a CRH-dependent fashion (Silberman and Winder, 2013; Silberman et al., 2013; Pomrenze et al., 2019), and CRH neurons in BNSTadl mediate maladaptive behavioral effects (Daniel and Rainnie, 2016; Gungor and Paré, 2016). Both CRH injections and CRH overexpression in BNST increase maladaptive avoidance behaviors (Sahuque et al., 2006; Sink et al., 2013). Thus, BNST CRH dysfunction contributes to stress-related maladaptive behaviors (Silberman and Winder, 2013) and possibly mood disorders (Walker et al., 2009; Sink et al., 2013).

Although BNST CRH-mediated mechanisms play a pivotal role in stress-induced maladaptive behaviors, the precise mechanisms underlying how chronic stress induces BNST CRH neuronal dysfunction and subsequent maladaptive behaviors remain elusive. An improved mechanistic understanding of how stress affects BNST CRH signaling will assist development of more effective therapeutics to treat stress-related disorders. Here we test the hypothesis that chronic stress upregulates BNST CRH neuronal excitability and delineate the CRH receptor-mediated mechanisms underlying BNST-mediated stress-induced maladaptive behaviors. Interestingly, Kim et al. (2013) demonstrated that ovBNST activation increases maladaptive avoidance behaviors. Similar to their study, we first injected Cre-inducible ChR2 virus into ovBNST of male mice where Cre expression was restricted to ovBNST. As expected, direct optogenetic activation of ovBNST acutely increased maladaptive avoidance behaviors. Next, we used a chronic variable mild stress (CVMS; also known as chronic unpredictable mild stress) paradigm in male mice to study how chronic unpredictable stress elicits BNST CRH neuronal dysfunction and maladaptive behaviors. To this end, we first assessed effects of CVMS on ovBNST neuronal excitability by examining resting membrane potential, mEPSCs, and M-currents (a voltage-dependent noninactivating K+ current that stabilizes cellular membrane potential). Second, we investigated whether CVMS alters expression of CRH signaling components (including CRH upstream stress regulator pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide; PACAP) (Stroth et al., 2011; Lezak et al., 2014) and the CRH inhibitor striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase (STEP) (Dabrowska et al., 2013) in BNST. Finally, we delineated the role of BNST CRHR1 in mediating CVMS-induced maladaptive behaviors by local pharmacological administration of a CRHR1-selective antagonist. Our results indicate that activation of protein kinase A (PKA)-dependent CRHR1 signaling increases ovBNST CRH neuronal excitability and mediates CVMS-induced maladaptive behaviors.

Materials and Methods

Mice. All procedures were in accordance with National Institutes of Health standards and approved by either the Rutgers or the University of Science and Technology Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. All mice used (except for optogenetics) were adult male C57BL/6J mice (6 weeks age upon arrival and were allowed for habituation for ~1–2 weeks before experiment starts) purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. For optogenetics, dopamine receptor D1a (Drd1a-Cre (GENSAT line EY266)) transgenic mice with Cre expression restricted to the ovBNST (Kim et al., 2013) were generated via in house breeding. Except for the CVMS procedure, all mice were maintained in a temperature-controlled room (22°C) and under a 12/12 h light/dark cycle with food and water provided ad libitum.

CVMS. CVMS was performed as described previously (Surget et al., 2008; Sterrenburg et al., 2011) and persisted for 6 weeks. Variable mild stressors used were as follows: daily bedding alterations (repeated sawdust changes, removal of sawdust, damp sawdust, substitution of sawdust with 21°C water), cage-tilting (45° angle), predator sounds (15 min), dust changes, removal of sawdust, substitution of sawdust with 21°C water), cage-tilting (45° angle), predator sounds (15 min), cage shift (placed into the empty cage of another male), alterations of the light/dark cycle, lights off for 180 min, and overnight food/water deprivation (Surget et al., 2008; Sterrenburg et al., 2011). First, 50 mice were randomly assigned to nonstress (Control) (n = 25) or CVMS groups (n = 25). Among them, 20 mice were used for behavior (n = 10 per group) and subsequent immunohistochemistry (IHC; randomly selected n = 6 per group), 12 for electrophysiology (n = 6 per group), and 18 for plasma corticosterone (CORT) measurements and real-time quantitative PCR analysis (qPCR) (n = 7–9 per group). Second, for electrophysiological recordings testing the effects of R121919, H89, Rp-adenosine 3′,5′-cyclic monophosphorothioate triethylammonium salt (Rp-cAMPS), or R121919 together with forskolin in ex vivo slices a distinct cohort of control (n = 7) and CVMS (n = 8) groups were used. Third, for cannula drug infusions and subsequent behavioral tests, additional cohorts containing Control, Control + R121919, Control + H89, CVMS + R121919, CVMS + H89, and CVMS + R121919 + forskolin groups (n = 7 per group) were used.

Behavior tests. Behavior was conducted between 8:00 A.M. and 12:00 noon. Mice were allowed overnight adaptation to the behavioral rooms. Sucrose preference. Animals were first trained to drink 1% (w/v) sucrose solution for a 48 h adaptation period (Samuels et al., 2017). At 8:30 A.M. on testing day, they were given free access to two bottles (each containing normal water and sucrose solution, respectively). To avoid left/right preference, the left/right placement order of water versus sucrose bottle was alternated for each mouse during the middle time point of the testing period. Bottles were weighed at the beginning and end of the testing 24 h later. The percentage of sucrose solution relative to the total liquid consumed during 24 h was then determined as a measure for anhedonia.

Elevated Plus Maze (EPM). The EPM test evaluates avoidance behavior (Samuels et al., 2015). Mice were placed in the central arena of a black plus-shaped maze, facing an open arm, and were left to explore for 10
min. The duration and frequency of which open or closed arms were explored was analyzed by video camera and processed by EthoVision (Noldus).

**Open Field (OF).** OF test analyzes exploratory activity (Samuels et al., 2017) and is often used to evaluate avoidance behavior together with the EPM test. The apparatus consists of a black floor area (40.5 cm × 40.5 cm), with a 37.5 cm high transparent wall. Mice were placed in the center of apparatus (middle square) and monitored for 30 min. Data were collected and processed with EthoVision (Noldus). Distance, duration of time that mice spent in the center, and the frequency of mouse entry into the center area was documented and analyzed.

**Novelty Suppressed Feeding (NSF) Test.** The NSF test elicits competing motivations between the drive to eat and the fear of venturing into the center of a brightly lit arena, and is often used to evaluate avoidance (Samuels et al., 2015). Mice were first food-deprived for 18 h (starting at 2:00 P.M. the previous day) and then placed into holding cages at 8:00 A.M. on the testing day. After 60 min, the mice were placed into a novel, brightly lit (1200 lux) arena (16 inches × 20 inches) with a pellet of chow placed in the center of the arena affixed to a circular platform of white filter paper (10 cm). The time taken for the mice to bite the food pellet for the first time was recorded as the latency to eat, at which point the pellet was immediately removed from the arena.

**Basal plasma corticosterone concentration assay.** Following CVMS, 18 mice (n = 9 from the Control and CVMS group) were quickly decapitated following euthasol administration (150 mg/kg i.p.; Henry Schein). Trunk blood samples were collected and plasma was isolated and then stored at −80°C for CORT measurements using an enzyme-linked immunomassary kit (K014-H1; DetectX).

**Real-time qRT-PCR analysis.** After euasol administration, brains were isolated, and 160-μm thick sections containing BNST were collected (AP 0.10 mm to −0.46 mm). The BNSTadl was punched and stored at −80°C. Total RNA and protein from BNSTadl were extracted using the RNA-Protein purification kit plus dye (48200; Norgen Biotek) and stored at −80°C. mRNA expression was analyzed with eukaryotic 18S rRNA endogenous control (Taqman VICTM probe; Invitrogen) primers for PCR amplification. cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript IV VILO Master Mix (with ezDNase enzyme, 11766050; Invitrogen) as the reverse transcriptase. qPCR was performed with Taqman Fast Advanced Master Mix (4444557; Thermo Fisher Scientific), control probe and each antibody (1:10,000; 31460, Thermo Fisher Scientific), respectively, opposed to HRP-conjugated goat-anti-rabbit and goat-anti-mouse IgG secondary antibodies (1:10,000; 31460, Thermo Fisher Scientific), respectively, operated on an iBind Flex Western device (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Immunoblot signals were then detected with an ECL chemiluminescence system (SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescence Substrate, Pierce) on an iBind Flex Western device (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Immunoblots were then detected with an ECL chemiluminescence system (SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescence Substrate, Pierce) and imaged with myECL imager (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Immunoblots were quantified, and density was calculated using ImageJ software (Wayne Rasband, National Institute of Mental Health). Results were expressed as percentage of GAPDH.

**Brain tissue, immunohistochemistry, and image acquisition.** Following behavioral analysis, 12 mice (n = 6 for each CVMS or Control group) were administered euthasol and perfused transcardially with saline and 4% PFA. Brains were cryoprotected in 30% sucrose, and then 40-μm thick sections were cut on a cryostat. Immunohistochemistry was performed using standard procedures (Hu et al., 2013) with anti-CRH (rabbit, ab8901, 1:400; Abcam) (Garcia-Moreno et al., 2010), anti-c-fos (rabbit, 9F6, 1:800; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-PACAP (rabbit, ab216627, 1:700; Abcam), or anti-STEP (mouse, 23E5, 1:500; Novus Biologicals). For CRH staining, we performed intracerebral ventricular administration of colchicine (Sigma, Millipore; 9754) (1 μl) and, then, 1 μl colchicine (10 μg/μl; dissolved in 0.9% saline) was injected into the lateral ventricle of mice (anteroposterior −0.5 mm; mediolateral ±1.0–1.1 mm; dorsoventral −2.5 mm) (DeVos and Miller, 2013) through an injection cannula (connected to a syringe with UltraMicroPump, UMP3; World Precision Instruments) and SYS-Micro4 Controller (UMC4; World Precision Instruments) at a speed of 100 nl/min. The needle was kept in place for 5 min after injection. Mice were then perfused after 48 h. For PACAP and STEP staining, sections were first subjected to antigen-retrieval consisting of being heated in a citrate buffer (pH 6.0; Sigma Millipore) for 2 min. Signal amplification was performed with biotinylated goat-anti-rabbit (A27035, 1:10,000; Invitrogen) or biotinylated goat-anti-mouse (A28176, 1:10,000; Invitrogen) IgG superconical secondary antibodies, followed by avidin-biotin complex (1:50; PK6100, Vector Laboratories). Chromogen development was performed with DAB (1:50; SK-4100, Vector Laboratories; 0.01% H2O2).

Photographs were taken using an Invitrogen EVOS FL Auto 1 Cell Imaging System (Invitrogen). Numbers of c-fos +, CRH +, PACAP +, and STEP + immunopositive cells expressed in oval nucleus (ovBNST) were manually counted bilaterally at a 20× magnification by an investigator blind to the experimental conditions.

**Electrophysiological recordings (M-current and mEPSC) of ovBNST CRH neurons.** Electrophysiology was performed as described previously (Roepke et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2016). Mice were quickly decapitated between 10:00 A.M. and 11:00 A.M. In total, 6 control mice and 6 CVMS mice were used. All the drugs used in the patch-clamp recordings were purchased from Tocris Bioscience unless otherwise specified. Standard whole-cell voltage-clamp patch recording procedures were performed. Pipettes made of borosilicate glass were pulled with a PC-10 Puller (Narishige).

After death, the brain was rapidly removed from the skull, and a block containing the BNST was immediately dissected and submerged in cold (4°C) oxygenated (95% O2, 5% CO2) high-sucrose aCSF containing the following (in mM): 208 sucrose, 2 KCl, 26 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2 MgSO4 1 MgCl2, 10 HEFES, pH 7.3, 300 mOsm. Coronal slices (250 μm) were cut on a vibratome at 4°C. The slices were then transferred to an auxiliary chamber in which they were kept at room temperature (25°C) (recovery for 1–2 h) in aCSF consisting of the following (in mM): 124 NaCl, 5 KCl, 2.6 NaH2PO4, 2 MgCl2, 2 CaCl2, 26 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, pH 7.3, 310 mOsm until recording. A single slice was transferred to the recording chamber mounted on an Olympus BX51W1 upright fluorescence microscope. The slice was then continually perfused with warm (35°C) oxygenated aCSF at 1.5 μl/min. Targeted neurons were viewed with an Olympus 40× water-immersion lens.

Standard whole-cell voltage-clamp patch recording procedures were performed in BNST slices (Roepke et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2016). All recordings were restricted to ovBNST (anatomy shown in Fig. 2A; with bregma −0.26 mm in Swanson Brain Atlas, interaural 8.74 mm) (Krawczyk et al., 2011; Daniel and Rainnie, 2016). Recordings of ovBNST CRH neurons were manually counted bilaterally at a 20× magnification by an investigator blind to the experimental conditions. A typical example of CRH neuron in ovBNST is shown in yellow color (Fig. 2L) designated by an arrow.

An Axopatch 700B amplifier, Digidata 1322A Data Acquisition System, and pCLAMP software (version 10.2; Molecular Devices) were used for data acquisition and analysis. Input resistance (IR), series resistance, and membrane capacitance were all monitored throughout the experiments. Only cells with stable series resistance (<30 MΩ; <20% change over the course of the recording) were based on vital IR (>500 mV) were used for analysis. To record M-currents, pipettes (3–5 mΩ resistance) were filled with internal solution containing the following (in mM): 10 NaCl,
128 K-glucuronate, 1 MglCl6, 10 HEPES, 1 ATP, 1.1 EGTA, 0.25 GTP; pH 7.3, 300 mM; 1 μM TTX was included in the recording aCSF to block Na+ spike-dependent synaptic inputs. Under the voltage clamp, a standard deactivation protocol (see Fig. 2H) was used to measure K+ currents. Current–voltage (I–V) plots elicited during 500 ms voltage steps from −30 to −75 mV in 5 mV increments after a 300 ms prepulse to −20 mV from a holding potential of −60 mV. From this protocol, the IR was determined from the slope of the I–V plot in the range between −60 and −80 mV. The amplitude of M–current relaxation or deactivation was measured as the difference between the initial (<10 ms) and sustained current (>475 ms) of the current trace under control condition (TTX only; 1 μM; 5 min). After baseline recording (~5 min), deactivation protocol was repeated twice and averaged for analysis.

To study excitatory synaptic transmission, pharmacologically isolated mEPSCs were recorded as described previously (Hu et al., 2016). Picrotoxin (50 μM) was added to block inhibitory synaptic transmission mediated by GABAa receptor, and meanwhile d-APV (50 μM) was added to block currents mediated by NMDARs. In addition, TTX (1 μM) was included to block action potential. Internal solution contained the following (in mM): 40 CaCl2, 90 K-Glucuronate, 10 HEPES, 0.05 EGTA, 1.8 NaCl, 3.5 KCl, 1.7 MgCl2, 2 Mg-ATP, 0.4 N4-GTP, 10 phosphocreatine, and 5 N-2,6-dimethylphenylcarbamoylmethyl)-triethylammonium (QX-314), and was adjusted to pH 7.3, 280–290 mOsm (Litu et al., 2017). After a stable baseline recording of ~5 min period, mEPSC was continuously recorded for ~10 min. The mEPSC properties recorded during the last 5 min period were compared.

To test the possibility of CRHR1- and PKA-mediated effects of CVMS on the electrophysiological properties, coronal BNST slices from n = 8 CVMS or n = 7 control mice were incubated with 1 μM CRHR1-selective antagonist R121919 (Tocris Bioscience) (Heinrichs et al., 2002; Gutman et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2004; Herman et al., 2016), or 10 μM PKA-selective inhibitor H89 (Tocris Bioscience) (Qiu et al., 2003; Hu et al., 2016) or 1 μM R121919 together with 50 μM PKA-selective agonist forskolin (Sigma Millipore) (Sokolova et al., 2006) in the aCSF for at least 30 min ahead at room temperature before transferred to the recording chamber for current recording; 100 μM nonhydrolyzable cAMP analog Rp-cAMPS (Sigma Millipore) (Lochner and Moolman, 2006; Yi et al., 2013) was applied by intracellular dialysis to block PKA activation through the patch pipette solution 5 min before recording to occlude the activation of intracellular PKA.

To assess the effects of CRH on ovBNST neuron electrophysiological properties, coronal BNST slices from control mice (n = 5) were directly bath perfused with 300 nM CRH (MedChemExpress) (Kash et al., 2008). For M–current recording, CRH was perfused for 10 min immediately following a 5 min baseline recording with 1 μM TTX. For mEPSC recording, CRH was perfused for ~10 min following a stable 5 min baseline measurement.

Local cannula drug infusion into ovBNST. CVMS mice (n = 7 or 8 per group) were bilaterally implanted with a guide cannula (C315SPGC, Plastics One) directly into ovBNST (bregma AP 0.2 mm, ML 1.0 mm, DV −4.1 mm) (example picture shown in Figs. 4B, 6E). A Hamilton syringe (HSYR-1 Syringe 86200, P1 Technologies) was connected with a segment of PE tubing (C313CT/PKG, Plastics One) for drug infusion. The CRHR1-selective antagonist R121919 (1 μg in 0.5 μl saline) (Pomrenze et al., 2019), PKA-selective antagonist H89 (25 nM dissolved in 0.5 μl saline) (Wei et al., 2002), or R121919 (1 μg) together with PKA-selective agonist forskolin (125 nM; dissolved in 0.5 μl saline) (Wei et al., 2002) were continuously infused at a rate of 0.05 μl/min into the ovBNST for 7 d. Daily CVMS exposure was continuously present during the whole drug infusion period.

Adeno-associated virus (AAV) injection and fibroptic implantation. To ensure ovBNST regional selectivity, male Dnlα-Cre transgenic mice (8–10 weeks of age) in which Cre expression is restricted to the ovBNST were bilaterally injected with at least 0.35 μl of either AAV5-EF1a-DIO-ChR2 (H134R)-eYFP (ChR2ovBNST; ChR2) or AAV5-EF1a-DIO-eYFP virus (eYFP;ovBNST; Control) (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC; titer 2 × 1012 particles/ml) into ovBNST (AP 0.2 mm, ML 1.0 mm, DV −4.1 mm; relative to bregma) (Kim et al., 2013), using a Nanoject III Programmable Nanoliter Injector (Drummond Scientific). The injection rate was 2 nl/s and the needle remained in place for an additional 5–7 min before a slow withdrawal to avoid upward flow and to allow stable penetration of virus into brain tissue. Next, a prepolished fibroptic cable (FT200UMT; 200 μm core; Thorlabs) connected through a ceramic ferrule (CFLC230–10; diameter 1.25 mm, length 6.4 mm, Thorlabs; bore size 230 μm) was slowly implanted directly above the ovBNST on each side. Adhesive glue was first applied surrounding the ferrule, and then dental cement was added to secure the ferrule to the skull. Finally, the incision was closed using tissue adhesive. Mice were put into a warm cage to facilitate recovery from anesthesia for at least 30 min before they were placed back into the colony room. Mice were then allowed to recover from surgery for at least 3 weeks before behavioral testing.

Light delivery and optogenetic-controlled behavioral tests. For the optogenetic behavioral study, n = 8 ChR2 mice were used. Both groups were subjected to 3–5 mW of blue light (95–159 mW/mm2) generated by a 473 nm DPSS laser system (MBL-III 473; Opto Engine) and bilaterally delivered (Kim et al., 2013) through a fiberoptic patch cord made from prepolished fibroptic cable (FT200UMT; 200 μm core; Thorlabs) connected through the ceramic ferrule and a stainless-steel ferrule multimode connector (F12774, 230 μm; Fiber Instrument Sales). Blue laser output was controlled using a pulse generator (Master-8; A.M.P.I.) to deliver 5 mJ light pulse trains at 10 Hz frequency. For the EPM and OF tests, each light OFF–ON–OFF session consisted of either 5 min (EPM) or 10 min (OF) epochs. The laser OFF or ON was precisely controlled manually by the investigator through controlled by a Master 8 pulse generator (A.M.P.I.).

Quantification of surface expression of phosphorylated AMPAR GluR1 (pGluR1-S845). To compare the surface expression of phosphorylated GluR1 (AMPAR subunit 1 at Serine 845), a brain slice surface biotinylation method (Gabriel et al., 2014) was used to isolate surface pGluR1-S845. Coronal BNST slices (300 μm thickness) from n = 5 Control and n = 5 CVMS mice were freshly cut with vibrating microtome and allowed to recover at 31°C in oxygenated aCSF (composed of 125 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1.2 mM NaH2PO4, 1.2 mM MgCl2, 2.4 mM CaCl2, 26 mM NaHCO3, and 11 mM glucose) for 40 min. Then slices were incubated with 0.75 ml sulfo-N-hydroxysuccinyl-SB-biotin (sulfo-NHS-SB-biotin; Pierce Chemical) on ice for 45 min. After being washed with ice-cold aCSF, slices were then incubated on ice for 10 min with ice-cold aCSF. Then slices were then washed 3 times with ice-cold slice quench buffer (aCSF supplemented with 100 μM glycine) and incubated with 0.75 ml slice quench buffer on ice for 25 min to quench free sulfo-NHS-SB-biotin. Then slices were transferred to microcentrifuge tubes to be gently pelleted by centrifuge at 200 × g for 1 min. After adding 400 μl cold RIPA/PI (RIPA supplemented with 1 μl leupeptin, 1 μl pepstatin, 1 μl aprotinin, and 1 μl PMSF), tissue was broken up by pipetting through P200 pipette. Then dissociated slice/RIPA was transferred to fresh tube then incubated for 30 min at 4°C, and rotated to complete lysis. After cellular debris were pelleted by centrifuging at 18,000 × g 15 min at 4°C, lysate protein was prepared for biotinylation with streptavidin–agarose beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the protocol (Gabriel et al., 2014).

Cell lysates were distributed to the tubes containing agarose beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific); then additional RIPA/PI were added to bring samples to a 200 μl volume. Then tubes were rotated and mixed overnight at 4°C. Equivalent total lysate volume was dispensed in separated tubes for each sample. Then an equal volume of 2× SDS-PAGE sample buffer was added, and samples were incubated at 4°C in parallel with bead samples until samples were analyzed.

After pelleting beads by centrifuging at 18,000 × g for 2 min, supernatant was aspirated and beads were washed with 0.75 ml RIPA. Then after the final wash, the RIPA was aspirated and biotinylated proteins were eluted from streptavidin beads by reducing the disulfide linkage with 25 μl 2 × SDS-PAGE sample buffer and rotating for 30 min. The final samples were stored frozen. Total lysate samples were thawed and rotated in parallel with bead samples for 30 min at room temperature. Then proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE gels and identified by immunoblotting with anti-phospho-S845 GluR1 antibody (ab76321; rabbit, 1:1000; Abcam).
Figure 1. Acute optogenetic stimulation of ovBNST and CVMS exposure results in maladaptive behaviors. A, Schematic graph showing the timeline of opsin virus (AAVS-EF1α-DIO-ChR2 (H134R)-eYFP, as ChR2) or control virus (AAVS-EF1α-DIO-eYFP, as Control) injection into the ovBNST of dopamine receptor D1a (Drd1a)-Cre transgenic mice (harboring Drd1a cells with expression restricted in the ovBNST). Mice were allowed to undergo at least 3 weeks recovery before being subjected to either EPM or OF test (both composed of three continuous sessions of light off-on-off epochs) under blue light stimulation. B, C, Example graph showing expression of Control-eYFP virus (B) and ChR2-eYFP virus (C) in the ovBNST, respectively. Scale bar, 400 μm. ic, Internal capsule; ac, anterior commissure; ov, oval nucleus. D, Comparison of the duration time that Control (n = 8) mice versus ChR2 (n = 8) mice spent in the open arm during the light OFF-ON-OFF session (each 5 min) in EPM. E, Comparison of the frequency that Control (n = 8) mice versus ChR2 (n = 8) mice entered into the open arm during the light OFF-ON-OFF session (each 5 min) in the EPM test. F, Comparison of the distance that Control (n = 7) mice versus ChR2 (n = 8) mice traveled in the middle area during the light OFF-ON-OFF session (each 10 min) in OF test. G, Comparison of the time duration that Control (n = 7) mice versus ChR2 (n = 8) mice spent in the center area during the light OFF-ON-OFF session (each 10 min) in OF test. H, Comparison of the (Figure legend continues.)
Experimental design and statistical analysis. All data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis were conducted with GraphPad Prism. For optogenetics, data were analyzed using two-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey comparisons, with light and group as independent factors. Individual sample sizes for slice patch-clamping (n = number of neurons; labeled in each figure) are reported separately for each experiment. Comparisons of M-current I–V plots between various groups were performed using a two-way ANOVA (with group as between-subject and voltage as within-subject factor, respectively), followed by post hoc Tukey comparisons. At each individual voltage (from −75 to −25 mV), comparison was analyzed with unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test. For mEPSCs, amplitude and frequency of currents were analyzed with Mini Analysis (Synaptosoft) and compared using unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test. For behavior, IHC, and plasma CORT concentration, data were analyzed with one-way ANOVA and post hoc Tukey comparison. n represents the number of cells or animals. Differences were considered significant when p < 0.05.

Results

Acute optogenetic activation of ovBNST increases maladaptive avoidance behaviors in mice

Similar to Kim et al. (2013), we first confirmed that selective optogenetic activation of ovBNST induces maladaptive avoidance behaviors. To this end, we injected either a Cre-inducible Chr2 (AAV5-EF1α-DIO-Chr2[H134R]-eYFP) or Control virus (AAV5-EF1α-DIO-eYFP) into the ovBNST of dopamine receptor D1α (DrId1α)-Cre transgenic mice (Fig. 1A). In this line of dopamine receptor D1α (DrId1α)-Cre mice, within the BNST, Cre is expressed exclusively in ovBNST (Kim et al., 2013; Daniel and Rainnie, 2016). The fiberoptic was placed just above ovBNST. Figure 1B, C shows an example of the expression of Control-eYFP (Fig. 1B) and Chr2-eYFP virus (Fig. 1C) in ovBNST.

In the EPM, Chr2 photoillumination of ovBNST resulted in a virus × light interaction for both open arm duration and entries (Fig. 1D, E; duration: F(2,42) = 3.277, p = 0.048; entries: F(2,40) = 8.746, p = 0.001). Specifically, ovBNST activation during the light ON period decreased both open arm duration (Fig. 1D; p = 0.006 and p = 0.018, respectively) and entries (Fig. 1E; p = 0.004 and p = 0.008, respectively) relative to the preceding and succeeding light OFF periods. By contrast, in mice injected with Control virus, there were no significant changes in either the open arm duration (Fig. 1D) or entries (Fig. 1E) across the light OFF/ON/OFF periods (all p > 0.05). Together, these data demonstrate that acute optical stimulation of ovBNST significantly increased avoidance of the open arms.

Similarly, in the OF, Chr2 photooillumination of ovBNST also resulted in an interaction of virus × light for the center distance, center duration, and center entries (Fig. 1F, distance: F(2,39) = 6.853, p = 0.003; Fig. 1G, duration: F(2,39) = 4.72, p = 0.015; Fig. 1H, entries: F(2,36) = 11.495, p < 0.001). Specifically, ovBNST activation decreased OF center measures relative to both the preceding and succeeding light OFF periods (all p < 0.01). By contrast, there were no significant changes in any of the three center measures across the light OFF/ON/OFF periods in mice injected with Control virus (all p > 0.05). These data demonstrate that acute optical stimulation of ovBNST increased center avoidance.

We also compared total entry frequency in EPM test and total distance traveled in OF test during the three photo-illumination periods between Chr2 and Control mice (Fig. 1I, J). There was no significant difference between groups in either measure (all p > 0.05), indicating that there was no difference in total locomotor activity. Together, these data confirmed the findings of Kim et al. (2013) and demonstrate that selective acute activation of ovBNST increases maladaptive avoidance behaviors.

CVMS increases maladaptive behaviors

While these acute optogenetic data suggest that ovBNST plays an important role in maladaptive behaviors, mood disorders, such as anxiety, are persistent illnesses that can be precipitated by chronic stress. We hypothesized that exposure to chronic stress may result in a persistent alteration to ovBNST excitability and lead to maladaptive behaviors. Therefore, we next subjected mice to a 6 week CVMS paradigm that increases maladaptive behaviors (Fig. 1K). Notably, 6 week CVMS resulted in a significant decrease in percent body weight gain compared with nonstress controls (F(1,13) = 84.908, p < 0.001; Fig. 1L). As expected, CVMS decreased sucrose preference (F(1,18) = 23.118, p < 0.001) and induced maladaptive avoidance behaviors in the EPM (open arm duration: F(1,18) = 8.262, p = 0.01), OF (center distance: F(1,18) = 30.155, p < 0.001; center duration: F(1,18) = 59.24, p < 0.001; center entry frequency: F(1,18) = 19.50, p < 0.001), and NSF tests (latency to eat: F(1,18) = 10.460; p < 0.01, Fig. 1M–S). These data demonstrate that CVMS-exposed mice display maladaptive avoidance behaviors and decreased sucrose preference. In addition, no significant differences in total arm entry frequency in EPM or total distance in OF (Fig. 1T, U) were found (EPM total entry frequency: F(1,18) = 1.477, p = 0.241; OF total distance: F(1,18) = 0.784, p = 0.390), indicating that CVMS does not affect total locomotor activity.

CVMS increases cellular excitability of ovBNST neurons by inhibiting M-currents and potentiating mEPSCs

We next evaluated effects of CVMS on ovBNST neuronal electrophysiology. To this end, we recorded single ovBNST neurons using ex vivo slices (Fig. 2A). We first measured changes in excitatory glutamatergic neurotransmission (mEPSC example traces shown in Fig. 2B). Interestingly, CVMS significantly increased the average amplitude of mEPSCs (p = 0.007; Fig. 2C) of ovBNST neurons without affecting the frequency (p = 0.499; Fig. 2D). Furthermore, CVMS significantly depolarized the resting membrane potential (p = 0.008; Fig. 2E) and increased the input resistance IR in ovBNST neurons (p = 0.042; Fig. 2F). Together, these data demonstrate CVMS increased cellular excitability and caused hyperactivation of ovBNST neurons.

To determine a possible cause of this altered excitability, we next investigated M-currents. M-currents (KCNO/Kv7 channels) are a subthreshold noninactivating voltage-dependent outward K+ current that controls action potential generation and

(Figure legend continued.)
Figure 2. CVMS increases cellular excitability of ovBNST neurons by potentiating mEPSC and inhibiting M-current. 

A, Anatomy example shows the electrophysiological recording site in the ovBNST. Mice were subjected to a 6-week CVMS paradigm; then BNST coronal slices were used for whole-cell patch-clamp recording. ic, Internal capsule; ac, anterior commissure. 

B, A typical example of comparison of mEPSC traces recorded in ovBNST neurons from Control versus CVMS mice. Example trace shows 50 pA and 1 s. 

C, Average mEPSC amplitude in ovBNST neurons of CVMS mouse (n = 8 cells) versus Control mice (n = 8 cells). 

D, Average mEPSC frequency in ovBNST neurons of CVMS mice (n = 8 cells) compared with Control mice (n = 8 cells). 

E, Comparison of cellular resting membrane potential in ovBNST neurons from Control (n = 6 cells) versus CVMS mice (n = 6 cells) revealed significant depolarized resting membrane potential in the ovBNST of CVMS mice. 

F, Comparison of cellular input resistance in ovBNST neurons from Control (n = 6 cells) versus CVMS mice (n = 6 cells). 

G, I–V plot of M-current from -75 to 25 mV shows no rundown in ovBNST neurons over a period of 20 min (n = 6 cells). 

H, The deactivation protocol used to record the M-current: from a holding potential of -60 mV, a voltage jump to -20 mV (300 ms) was followed by steps from -30 to -75 mV in 5 mV increments (500 ms). 

I, Example of M-current traces recorded at 0, 10, and 20 min and after subsequent perfusion with KCNQ-selective channel blocker XE991 (10 min, 40 μM). 

J, Example of continuous action potential firing activity recorded in the ovBNST neuron during perfusion of selective KCNQ channel blocker XE991 (40 μM) for 10 min after 2 min baseline recording under current-clamp mode. Example trace shows 20 mV and 30 s. 

K, I–V plot shows significantly diminished outward M-current in the ovBNST neurons ranging from -75 to -25 mV from CVMS versus Control mice (both n = 8 cells per group). 

L, A representative image of c-fos-positive cell located in the ovBNST after patch-clamping is designated by a white arrow. 

M, Lower-magnification example of c-fos immunostaining pattern in the anterodorsal lateral BNST (BNSTadl) from Control mice. 

N, Lower-magnification example of c-fos immunostaining pattern in the anterodorsal lateral BNST (BNSTadl) from CVMS mice. 

O, White arrows point to typical c-fos-IR cells in the ovBNST. Scale bar, 50 μm. 

P, Comparison of c-fos-IR cell number in the ovBNST shows higher numbers of c-fos-IR cells in the ovBNST from CVMS mice (n = 6) compared with Control mice (n = 6). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. NS, Not significant different (p > 0.05).
CVMS activates CRH-associated stress signaling components and increases mRNA expression of CRHR1 in the ovBNST. Comparison example of immunostaining pattern of CRH (A, B), PACAP (C, D), and STEP (E, F) in the BNSTadl from Control mice (A, C, E) versus CVMS mice (B, D, F). Black dots indicate the anatomy boundary within which ovBNST is located within the BNSTadl. 10× magnification. ic, Internal capsule; ac, anterior commissure. Scale bar, 100 μm. G, Black arrows point to typical CRH-IR cells in the ovBNST. Scale bar, 15 μm. (Figure legend continues.)
neuronal excitability (Roepke et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2016). Reduced M-currents can elicit increased excitatory cellular responses to synaptic inputs (Wang and McKinnon, 1995) and enhance cellular excitability. M-currents were recorded from ovBNST neurons using a standard deactivation-activation protocol over a voltage range (−75 to −25 mV; Fig. 2H) and calculated by determining current relaxation, the difference between the instantaneous and steady states (Fig. 2H, arrows). The maximum M-currents were recorded at −35 mV (shown in Fig. 2G). We did not observe a M-current rundown over 20 min of recording (amplitude decrease; example traces in Fig. 2I). However, application of the KCNQ/Kv7 channel blocker XE991 robustly decreased M-currents (Fig. 2J).

Next, firing activity of ovBNST neurons was continuously monitored in current-clamp mode (Fig. 2J). XE991 application induced action potentials after 30 s, and 6–7 min of XE991 perfusion led to robust firing bursts indicative of cellular hyperexcitability (Fig. 2J), further demonstrating the tonic inhibitory role of M-currents in setting ovBNST neuronal excitability. Interestingly, outward M-currents were attenuated in ovBNST neurons from CVMS mice versus Control mice (Fig. 2K), especially at higher voltages (p = 0.009, 0.012, 0.004, 0.011, 0.007, and 0.016 at −50, −45, −40, −35, −30, and −25 mV, respectively; n = 8 cells from 6 mice for each condition), with an effect of stress (F(1,14) = 15.299, p = 0.002) and voltage (F(10,54) = 35.01, p < 0.001). At −35 mV, the average peak current was robustly decreased [from 151.62 ± 30.06 pA in control (n = 8) to 57.02 ± 11.87 pA in CVMS (n = 8; p = 0.004)]. Collectively, these electrophysiology data demonstrate that CVMS significantly increases cellular excitability of ovBNST neurons by inhibiting M-currents and potentiating mEPSC amplitude. Interestingly, handling of CVMS mice led to a robust c-fos response in ovBNST that was increased relative to handled control mice (low-magnification example figure shown in Fig. 2M,N; F(1,10) = 65.29, p < 0.001; Fig. 2O,P). These data further demonstrate that CVMS increases ovBNST excitability.

**CVMS activates CRH-associated stress signaling components and increases mRNA expression of Crhr1 in the mice BNST**

We next wanted to assess potential molecular mechanisms underlying the CVMS-induced electrophysiological alterations in ovBNST. Since ovBNST harbors the highest concentration of CRH neurons in BNST, and CRH plays an important role in mediating the effects of stress (Cummings et al., 1983; Morin et al., 1999), we next assessed CVMS effects on CRH (Fig. 3A,B, Control vs CVMS), PACAP (an upstream stress regulator of CRH; Fig. 3C,D, Control vs CVMS), and STEP (an intracellular CRH receptor inhibitor; Fig. 3E,F, Control vs CVMS) in BNST. CVMS increased the number of CRH mRNA cells (Fig. 3G) in ovBNST relative to Control [F(1,10) = 28.93, p < 0.01; Fig. 3J]. Interestingly, CVMS also significantly increased PACAP mRNA cells (Fig. 3H; F(1,10) = 98.36, p < 0.001; Fig. 3K) and decreased STEP mRNA cells (Fig. 3I; F(1,8) = 20.099, p < 0.01; Fig. 3L) in ovBNST. Together, these data demonstrate that CRH-associated stress signaling is significantly activated in ovBNST after CVMS. We also evaluated CORT concentrations in blood plasma (Fig. 3M) and found significantly higher basal CORT levels in CVMS mice relative to control [F(1,10) = 12.281, p = 0.015; Fig. 3N]. Importantly, post hoc immunostaining of our ex vivo slices also confirmed that all electrophysiology recordings in Figure 2 were from CRH+ cells (Fig. 2L).

To complement these immunohistochemical findings, we next assessed mRNA expression of Crh, Pacap, Step, and the CRH receptors Crhr1 and Crhr2 in BNSTadl by qPCR (experimental scheme shown in Fig. 3M). CVMS significantly increased the mRNA expression levels of Crh [F(1,14) = 6.303, p = 0.018; Fig. 3O], Pacap [F(1,12) = 5.597, p = 0.037; Fig. 3P], and Crhr1 [F(1,13) = 4.985, p = 0.041; Fig. 3R], and decreased mRNA expression of Step [F(1,16) = 5.877, p = 0.031; Fig. 3Q] in BNSTadl relative to nonstressed controls. By contrast, CVMS did not affect mRNA expression of Crhr2 [F(1,14) = 0.750, p = 0.403; Fig. 3S]. Together, these qPCR results confirmed the IHC results that CVMS activates CRH signaling in BNST, and demonstrated that CVMS activates Crhr1 in BNST.

**Infusion of CRHR1-selective antagonist R121919 into ovBNST reversed CVMS effects on behavior and electrophysiology**

Since our results demonstrate that CVMS induces CRHR1 activation and increases cellular excitability of CRH neurons in ovBNST, we next explored whether CVMS-induced maladaptive behaviors are mediated by CRHR1 in BNST. To this end, CRHR1-selective antagonist R121919 (1 µg, dissolved in 0.5 µl saline) was infused into ovBNST of CVMS and control mice continuously for 7 d (experiment scheme shown in Fig. 4A,B) and avoidance behaviors were assessed. Interestingly, chronic R121919 infusions into ovBNST of CVMS mice significantly increased EPM open arm duration and OF center distance, center duration, and center entries [EPM open arm duration: F(3,30) = 10.751, p = 0.010; CVMS vs CVMS + R121919, p = 0.042 (Fig. 4C); OF center distance: F(3,30) = 16.496, p < 0.001; CVMS vs CVMS + R121919, p = 0.033 (Fig. 4E); OF center duration: F(3,29) = 42.228, p < 0.001; CVMS vs CVMS + R121919, p = 0.047 (Fig. 4F); OF center entries: ANOVA F(3,30) = 10.404, p < 0.001; CVMS vs CVMS + R121919, p = 0.013 (Fig. 4G)].

Chronic R121919 infusions into BNST of CVMS mice also decreased NS latency to eat and increased sucrose preference [NSF: F(3,29) = 7.015, p = 0.001; CVMS vs CVMS + R121919 p = 0.010, Fig. 4H; SPT: F(3,29) = 12.331, p < 0.001; CVMS vs CVMS + R121919 p = 0.004, Fig. 4I]. R121919 did not affect EPM open arm entries (F(3,30) = 0.849, p = 0.478; Fig. 4D). Importantly, R121919 infusions into the BNST of Control mice had no significant effects on any of these behavioral parameters (Control vs Control + R121919: p > 0.05 for all).

Interestingly, when R121919 (1 µM) was preincubated with BNST brain slices, the CVMS-induced decrease in M-current amplitude of ovBNST CRH neurons was also reversed (Fig. 4J), with a significant effect of group (F(3,26) = 6.347, p = 0.002) and...
Figure 4. CRHR1-selective antagonist R121919 infusion into ovBNST reverses the electrophysiological and behavioral effects of CVMS. A, Schematics shows that CRHR1-selective antagonist R121919 (1 μg dissolved in 0.5 μl saline) was chronically infused into the ovBNST of CVMS mice through a cannula for a continuous period of 7 d to compare its effects on behaviors in the EPM test, OF test, SPT test, and NSF test. CVMS exposure was continuously present during the 1 week chronic R121919 infusion period. B, Anatomical example showing the location (Figure legend continues.)
Figure 5. CVMS induces PKA activation in the BNSTadl. A, Western blot example showing comparison of expression of 42 kDa phospho-PKA C (Thr197) (first lane) and 42 kDa PKA (C-α) (second lane) in the BNSTadl from Control (left) versus CVMS (right) mice; 37 kDa GAPDH was used as endogenous control (bottom). B, Western blot example showing comparison of expression of 61 kDa membrane isoform of STEP61 and 46 kDa cytosolic isoform of STEP46 in the BNSTadl from Control (left) versus CVMS (right) mice; 37 kDa GAPDH was used as endogenous control (bottom). C, Quantification of total PKA protein expression level in the BNSTadl compared between Control (n = 7) versus CVMS (n = 7) mice. D, Quantification and comparison of p-PKA (Thr197) expression level in BNSTadl from Control (n = 7) versus CVMS (n = 7) mice revealed higher expression of p-PKA from the CVMS mice compared with Control mice. E, Quantification of cytosolic STEP46 expression revealed significantly higher expression in the BNSTadl from Control (n = 7) versus CVMS (n = 7) mice. F, Quantification and comparison of membrane STEP61 expression in the BNSTadl from Control (n = 7) versus CVMS (n = 7) mice revealed no significant difference between these two groups. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001, NS, Not significant.

CVMS activates PKA in BNST

CRHR1 is a Gs protein-coupled receptor linked to adenyllyl cyclase (AC) that upon activation results in PKA activation. Therefore, we next investigated whether CVMS activation in BNSTadl. To this end, we assessed protein expression levels of PKA (using an antibody recognizing the PKA C-α subunit) and its activated form p-PKA (using an antibody recognizing Thr197 phosphorylated-PKA-C; Fig. 5A) in BNSTadl tissue punches from CVMS and control mice. While total PKA levels did not differ in CVMS mice (n = 7) versus control (n = 7; p = 0.171; Fig. 5C), p-PKA expression in BNSTadl was increased by CVMS (n = 7) versus control (n = 7; p = 0.0003; Fig. 5D), indicating that CVMS induces PKA activation in BNSTadl. We also assessed STEP protein expression in BNSTadl tissue punches (Western blot example figure shown in Fig. 5B). Whereas the membrane isoform STEP61 did not differ in CVMS mice versus Control mice (p = 0.31; Fig. 5F), CVMS significantly decreased expression of the cytosolic isoform STEP46 in BNSTadl (p = 0.026; Fig. 5E).

PKA-selective antagonist H89 reverses CVMS effects on behavior and electrophysiological parameters in BNST

We next assessed whether PKA activation in ovBNST also mediates CVMS effects on M-currents and mEPSCs of CRH neurons. When ex vivo brain slices were preincubated with the PKA-selective antagonist H89 (10 μM) for 30 min, the CVMS-induced decrease in the outward M-currents of ovBNST CRH neurons (confirmed by post hoc immunostaining) was significantly attenuated at higher voltages, with a significant effect of group (F(3, 25) = 60.2, p < 0.001). M-currents were restored in slices from CVMS + R121919 mice compared with CVMS slices at higher voltages (p = 0.013, 0.003, 0.018, and 0.007 at −45, −40, −35, and −30 mV, respectively). M-current peak value at −35 mV was reversed from an average 37.02 ± 11.87 pA in CVMS slices (n = 8) to 127.65 ± 9.7 pA in CVMS + R121919 slices (n = 7; p = 0.018). Similarly, for mEPSC amplitude, a significant group effect was found (F(3, 28) = 6.091, p = 0.003), and mEPSC amplitude was decreased in CVMS + R121919 relative to CVMS slices (p = 0.012; Fig. 4K). mEPSC frequency was not changed (F(3, 28) = 0.307, p = 0.820; Fig. 4L). Importantly, R121919 infusions into BNST slices from Control mice had no significant effects on any of these electrophysiology measures (Fig. 4J–L; p > 0.05 for all). Collectively, these results demonstrate that R121919 infusions into ovBNST reversed the effects of CVMS on avoidance behaviors and BNST electrophysiology. These data strongly implicate BNST CRHR1 as a major mediator of the effects of CVMS.
Figure 6. PKA-selective antagonists H89 and Rp-cAMPS infused into ovBNST reverse the electrophysiological and behavioral effects of CVMS. A, Preincubation of BNST brain slices from CVMS mice with 10 μM PKA-selective antagonist H89 (n = 6 cells) for 30 min and I–V curve of outward M-current from the ovBNST of CVMS mice (n = 8 cells) and Control mice (n = 8 cells). B, mEPSC amplitude from H89 preincubation (n = 6 cells) compared with untreated cells (n = 8) from CVMS mice. C, mEPSC frequency from H89 preincubation (n = 6 cells) compared with untreated cells (n = 8) from CVMS mice (n = 8 cells). D, Schematics of cannula surgery shows that PKA-selective antagonist H89 was chronically infused into ovBNST of CVMS mice through a cannula for a continuous 7 d to compare its effect on the anxiety-associated behavior (in the EPM test, OT test, SPT test, and NSF test). CVMS exposure was continuously present during the 1 week chronic H89 infusion period. E, Anatomical example showing the location of the cannulas that were bilaterally inserted into the ovBNST. F, Duration time that mice spent in open arm of EPM test after infusion of PKA-selective antagonist H89 (CVMS + H89; n = 7) into the ovBNST compared with saline-infused CVMS mice (n = 10). G, Frequency that mice entries into open arm of EPM test after infusion of H89 (CVMS + H89; n = 7) compared with saline-infused CVMS mice (n = 10). H, Distance traveled in the middle of OT test after infusion of H89 (CVMS + H89; n = 7) compared with saline-infused CVMS mice (n = 10). I, Frequency of middle zone entries in OT after infusion of H89 (CVMS + H89; n = 7) compared with saline-infused CVMS mice (n = 10; p < 0.05). J, Sucrose preference percentage after infusion of H89 (CVMS + H89; n = 7) compared with saline-infused CVMS mice (n = 10). K, Latency to eat food pellet after infusion of H89 (CVMS + H89; n = 7) compared with saline-infused CVMS mice (n = 10). L, I–V plot of outward M-current after Rp-cAMPS intracellular dialysis (n = 7) in ovBNST CRH neurons compared with untreated cells from CVMS mice (n = 8). M, mEPSC amplitude after Rp-cAMPS dialysis (n = 6) in ovBNST CRH neurons compared with untreated cells from CVMS mice (n = 8). N, mEPSC frequency after Rp-cAMPS dialysis (n = 6) in ovBNST CRH neurons compared with untreated cells from CVMS mice (n = 8). *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. NS, Not significantly different (p > 0.05).
To confirm the H89 effects on ovBNST electrophysiology in BNST slices from Control mice, compared with CVMS mice that had R121919 and forskolin coinfused into ovBNST, we found no significant differences in EPM open arm duration (\(F(1,13) = 1.145, p = 0.301; \text{Fig. 7B})

Kash et al., 2008, so we tested whether exogenous application of CRH mimics the maladaptive electrophysiological parameters persist when CRHR1 is blocked and PKA is simultaneously activated. Therefore, CVMS induces PKA activation downstream of CRHR1, and PKA thus likely acts as one of the final effectors to mediate the maladaptive effects of CVMS.

**Bath application of CRH mimics the effects of CVMS on M-currents and mEPSCs in ovBNST CRH neurons**

While the above pharmacological results demonstrate that a signaling pathway through CRHR1 and PKA is necessary for the effects of CVMS on ovBNST electrophysiology, we also wanted to investigate whether CRH-mediated activation of this pathway is sufficient for directly mediating these maladaptive effects. CRH can directly increase glutamatergic transmission in BNST (Kash et al., 2008), and we tested whether exogenous application of CRH mimics the maladaptive electrophysiological effects of CVMS by applying 300 nM CRH directly to the bath solution.

Similar to CVMS, M-currents were significantly suppressed after CRH application (\(F(1,14) = 15.299, p = 0.002; \) and \(p = 0.023, 0.049, 0.011, 0.004, 0.001, \) and 0.001 at \(-50, -45, -40, -35, -30, \) and \(-25 \text{ mV}, \) respectively). At \(-35 \text{ mV}, \) the outward M-current peak value was decreased from 122.31 ± 27.28 pA (\(n = 7\)) in Rp-cAMPS dialyzed cells compared with 57.02 ± 11.87 pA (\(n = 8; p = 0.039\)) in CVMS slices (\(F(6, M)\)). Similarly, the CVMS-induced increased mEPSC amplitude in ovBNST CRH neurons was significantly decreased in dialyzed cells compared with those in CVMS slices (\(p = 0.009; \text{Fig. 6N}\)). Average mEPSC frequency was not different between the two groups (\(p = 0.741; \text{Fig. 6D}\)). Collectively, these Rp-cAMPS data confirm the H89 electrophysiological results and demonstrate that PKA activation mediates the effects of CVMS on M-currents and mEPSC amplitude in ovBNST CRH neurons.
Figure 7. CVMS maladaptive effects on behavior and BNST electrophysiological parameters persist when CRHR1 is blocked and PKA is activated; bath application of CRH mimics CVMS effects on electrophysiological parameters of ovBNST CRH neurons. A, Schematics show that CRHR1-selective antagonist R121919 together with PKA-agonist forskolin was chronically infused into ovBNST of CVMS mice for a continuous 7 d through a cannula. Then maladaptive behaviors were compared (including EPM test, OF test, SPT test, and NSF test). CVMS exposure was continuously present during the 1 week chronic drug infusion period. B, Open arm duration time of the EPM from CVMS mice ($n=10$) compared with R121919 + forskolin-treated CVMS mice ($n=7$). C, Frequency that mice entries into open arm in the EPM after infusion of R121919 + forskolin into ovBNST in CVMS mice ($n=7$) compared with saline infusion in CVMS mice ($n=10$). D, Distance traveled in the middle of OF after infusion of R121919 + forskolin into ovBNST in CVMS mice ($n=7$) compared with saline infusion in CVMS mice ($n=10$). E, Duration of time spent in the middle of OF test after infusion of R121919 + forskolin into ovBNST in CVMS mice ($n=7$) compared with saline infusion in CVMS mice ($n=10$). F, Frequency of entries in the middle of OF after infusion of R121919 + forskolin into ovBNST in CVMS mice ($n=7$) compared with saline infusion in CVMS mice ($n=10$). G, Latency to pellet consumption in the NSF test after infusion of R121919 + forskolin into ovBNST in CVMS mice ($n=7$) compared with saline infusion in CVMS mice ($n=10$). H, Sucrose preference percentage after infusion of R121919 + forskolin into ovBNST in CVMS mice ($n=7$) compared with saline infusion in CVMS mice ($n=10$). I, Preincubation of the BNST slices from CVMS mice with 30 min R121919 + forskolin ($n=7$) on the M-current outward amplitude in the ovBNST CRH neurons compared with untreated neurons from CVMS mice ($n=8$ cells). J, Preincubation of the BNST slices from CVMS mice with 30 min R121919 + forskolin ($n=7$ cells) (Figure legend continues.)
CVMS increases membrane surface expression of phosphorylated AMPAR GluR1 at Serine 845 (p-GluR1Ser845) in mouse BNST. PKA regulates membrane trafficking of the AMPAR GluR1 subunit via direct phosphorylation of the intracellular carboxy terminal motif at Serine 845 (Roche et al., 1996; Barria et al., 1997; Mammen et al., 1997). Since our results indicate that PKA is a downstream effector of CVMS in BNST, we next investigated whether CVMS increased surface expression of phosphorylated GluR1 at S845 in BNST. We used a brain slice surface biotinylation method to detect phosphorylation of GluR1 at S845 (Fig. 8A), and this method increases its surface expression and may underlie the CVMS-induced increase in mEPSC amplitude (Fig. 8C).

Discussion

BNST CRH signaling plays a pivotal role in stress-induced anxiety disorders (Choi et al., 2006; Tran et al., 2014; Butler et al., 2016; Donner et al., 2020). However, the precise mechanisms underlying how chronic stress induces BNST CRH neuronal dysfunction were unclear. CVMS is a widely used paradigm to elicit maladaptive behaviors in rodents (Choi et al., 2008), and to study neuroendocrine and neurophysiological effects of chronic stress (Willner, 2017). Our results reveal a novel PKA-dependent, CRHR1 receptor-mediated mechanism through which CVMS leads to persistent CVMS-induced hyperactivation of BNST neurons. This effect is linked to AC function, which in turn activates PKA. Activation of PKA increases mEPSC amplitude, which in turn may underlie the CVMS-induced increase in mEPSC amplitude (Fig. 8C).

Interestingly, we found that CVMS had a profound effect on M-currents, which are a threshold voltage-dependent, non-inactivating outward K⁺ current that stabilizes membrane potential and sets the cellular threshold for action potential firing (Yue and Yaari, 2004; Hernandez et al., 2008). Composed of Kv7 subunits (KCNNQ) of the K⁺ channel family (Delmas and Brown, 2005), M-channels function as a brake on repetitive action potential firing (Delmas and Brown, 2005) and play an essential role in controlling neuronal excitability (Robbins, 2001). Decreased M-currents allow neurons to fire more rapidly (Hernandez et al., 2008), as evidenced during XE991 (M-channel selective blocker) perfusion, suggesting significant hyperactivation. The increased surface expression of phosphorylated GluR1 inBNST, which may underlie the CVMS-induced increase in mEPSC amplitude (Fig. 8C).

Figure 8. CVMS increases surface membrane expression of phosphorylated GluR1 subunit (p-GluR1 Ser845) in BNST. A, Comparison example of Western blot graph showing protein bands of pSer845-GluR1 (MW = 102 kDa) in the BNST tissue of Control mice (lane 1–5; C1–C5) versus CVMS mice (lane 6–10; S1–S5). GAPDH (MW = 37 kDa) was used as the internal control. B, Representative graph showing increased relative percent expression level of p-GluR1 (Ser845) in the BNST tissue from CVMS (n = 5 mice) versus Control (n = 5 mice). C, A cellular model shows that chronic stress induces CRH production and release in the BNST, which sequentially activates CRHR1, a Gs-protein coupled membrane receptor, leading to PKA activation on the cell membrane (coupled to CRHR1), then generates cAMP production, which in turn activates PKA. Activation of PKA then initiates two parallel phosphorylation pathways: (1) phosphorylates KCNQ channel on the cellular membrane to mediate inhibition of the M-current; and (2) phosphorylates GluR1 subunit of AMPAR at Ser845 (p-GluR1-S845) on the postsynaptic membrane and increases its surface expression and mediates potentiation of mEPSC amplitude. These two PKA-dependent pathways function to mediate suppression of M-current and amplification of mEPSC amplitude, respectively. Meanwhile, (3) PACAP (functions as upstream stress regulator) and STEP (functions as CRH inhibitor) neuropeptides function to activate and inhibit PKA, respectively, in the CRH-associated stress signaling network. *p < 0.05.

(Figure legend continued...) on the mEPSC amplitude in the ovBNST CRH neurons compared with untreated neurons from CNVMS mice (n = 8 cells). 1, Preincubation of the BNST slices from CVMS mice with 30 min R121919 + forskolin (n = 7 cells) on the mEPSC frequency in the ovBNST CRH neurons compared with untreated neurons from CVMS mice (n = 8 cells). 2, I–V plots of M-current after 300 nM CRH application (n = 8 cells) compared with Control (n = 8 cells). 3, Average mEPSC frequency after 300 nM CRH application (n = 8 cells) compared with Control (n = 8 cells). 4, Average mEPSC frequency after 300 nM CRH application (n = 8 cells) compared with Control (n = 8 cells). **p < 0.01. NS, Not significantly different (p > 0.05).
delayed effect of XE991 application (robust firing bursts started after ~6–7 min; Fig. 2F) could be because KCNQ channel exhibits a delayed profile for channel activation and for the necessary membrane depolarization to accumulate. Diminished M-currents (Fig. 2K) are further accompanied by a more depolarized cellular resting membrane potential (Fig. 2E), indicating enhanced neuronal excitability. Consistent with these data, we found that handling led to an increased number of ovBNST c-fos+ cells in mice exposed to CVMS, demonstrating increased activation in vivo (Fig. 2P). Since BNST links inputs from limbic forebrain structures to the hypothalamic and brainstem regions, this ovBNST c-fos activation may also be due to increased activation of upstream afferents. Future studies are required to focus on the circuitry effects of chronic stress.

Acute restraint stress suppresses M-currents and increases cellular activity in paraventricular nucleus CRH neurons, which results in HPA hyperactivation (Zhou et al., 2017). However, there are very limited data assessing whether chronic stress also modulates M-currents in paraventricular nucleus or in BNST. Therefore, our study provides the direct cellular mechanism underlying how CVMS increases ovBNST neuronal excitability. Our qPCR results revealed no significant changes in KCNQ subunit mRNA expression after CVMS (data not shown), indicating that post-translational modifications (Marrion, 1997) likely account for M-current inhibition. Consistent with this hypothesis, we found that CVMS effects on M-currents are mediated by PKA activation (Fig. 6A). Additionally, we observed increased amplitude (but not frequency) of mEPSCs after CVMS (Fig. 2C,D), which suggests a post-synaptic effect. We then found that CVMS increased membrane surface expression of phosphorylated GluR1, which may underlie the effects on mEPSCs (O’Brien et al., 1998; Song and Huganir, 2002; Esteban et al., 2003; Thomas and Huganir, 2004; Man et al., 2007). Another possibility is that M-currents could modulate EPSCs. In cultured hippocampal neurons, the KCNQ blocker linopirdine reduces EPSC frequency (Zhou et al., 2011), and XE991 slice perfusion increases EPSC frequency in CA1 and auditory cortex pyramidal neurons (Lee and Kwag, 2012; Sun and Kapur, 2012). XE991 also diminishes the stimulation threshold for synaptic efficacy changes (Fontán-Lozano et al., 2011; Shah et al., 2011). Thus, M-channels can directly affect EPSC properties and synaptic responses. Future experiments are necessary to determine the direct link between these two parameters. Importantly, CRH can directly result in increased glutamate release (Kash et al., 2008) and enhanced glutamatergic transmission in BNST (Fig. 7M). Therefore, endogenous engagement of CRH-mediated signaling also contributes to the CVMS-induced increase in mEPSC amplitude. Here we mainly assessed mEPSCs and M-currents (direct neurophysiological indexes of cellular excitability), but changes in other electrophysiological parameters, such as hyperpolarization-activated H-currents or other intrinsic membrane conductance metrics, cannot be excluded.

CRH signaling is linked to negative affective states in BNST (Davis et al., 1997; Lee and Davis, 1997; Koob and Heinrichs, 1999; Sahuque et al., 2006; Butler et al., 2016; Faria et al., 2016). Notably, local CRH signaling in ovBNST (which harbors the highest CRH concentration in BNST) is stress responsive (Dabrowska et al., 2013). Consistently, increased CRH neuropeptide concentrations (Chappell et al., 1986; Stout et al., 2000) and mRNA levels (Kim et al., 2006; Hammack et al., 2009) are found in rat BNST after chronic stress. Interestingly, CVMS selectively increases CRH1, but not CRH2 mRNA expression (shown in Fig. 3R–S). Importantly, CRH1 and CRH2 subtypes play opposing roles in BNST during a stress response (de Kloet et al., 2005; Joëls and Baram, 2009). Specifically, CRH1 activation initiates the stress response (Sahuque et al., 2006; Tran et al., 2014), whereas CRH2 activation facilitates stress recovery (Henkens et al., 2017). Therefore, increased CRH1 expression in BNST may disrupt this balance, dampen stress-coping capability, and precipitate stress-related psychopathology. Our results showing that the infusion of the CRH1-selective antagonist R121919 into ovBNST can reverse maladaptive behaviors (Fig. 4) is therefore consistent with several reports testing systemic effects of CRH modulators (Heinrichs et al., 2002; Gutman et al., 2003; Micioni Di Bonaventura et al., 2014; Dong et al., 2018).

We also assessed PACAP and STEP, which act as an upstream regulator and inhibitor of CRH, respectively. PACAP is a key stress regulator (Hammack et al., 2010; Stroth et al., 2011) that stimulates CRH production and secretion (Agarwal et al., 2005). PACAP dysregulation is implicated in depression and PTSD in humans (Ressler et al., 2011). Chronic stress increases PACAP expression in the BNSTadl (Hammack et al., 2009), whereas PACAP infusion into the BNST increases plasma corticosterone concentrations (Lezak et al., 2014). The colocalization of PACAP-containing neurons and CRH-containing neurons in the ovBNST (data not shown) suggests that these two neuropeptides work in tandem to mediate stress response. STEP (a brain-specific tyrosine phosphatase; also known as protein tyrosine phosphatase nonreceptor type 5, PTPN5) plays a key role in stress resilience (Dabrowska et al., 2013; Karasawa and Lombroso, 2014) and functions to selectively buffer CRH neurons against overactivation after stress (Dabrowska et al., 2013). Importantly, STEP+ neurons also colocalize with CRH+ neurons in the ovBNST (data not shown), indicating a delicate local CRH signaling system. While CVMS upregulated expression of CRH and PACAP in the BNST, STEP expression was downregulated. These concomitant changes indicate a disrupted balance of CRH signaling in BNST and represent a novel stress-induced molecular signature.

CRH1 activation stimulates Gs protein-coupled AC activity (Grammatopoulos, 2012), resulting in cAMP generation and activation of PKA in the BNST (Fig. 5D). PKA then phosphorylates both KCNQ channels and GluR1 AMPAR subunits, which mediates M-current inhibition (Marrion, 1997) (illustrated in Fig. 8C) and increased mEPSC amplitude (mediated by increased GluR1 surface expression) (Malinow and Malenka, 2002) (Fig. 8C), respectively. In turn, these PKA-dependent cellular effects will result in stress-induced hyperactivation of BNST, HPA dysfunction, and maladaptive behaviors. Local ovBNST inhibition of PKA with H89 (Fig. 6) reversed the behavioral and electrophysiological effects of CVMS, demonstrating that ovBNST PKA activation is indispensable for CVMS effects. Therefore, we developed a model figure illustrating the signaling pathway of CRH1. As depicted in Figure 8C, CVMS increases CRH levels in BNST, which results in CRH1 activation and triggers the following: (1) activation of Gas, which results in AC activation on cell membrane; (2) AC-dependent cAMP generation, which in turn activates PKA; (3) PKA-dependent phosphorylation of KCNQ channel membrane subunits, which inhibits M-currents; and (4) PKA also phosphorylates AMPAR GluR1 at Ser845 and increases GluR1 surface expression. In addition, PACAP and STEP function into this signaling to modulate CRH.

Importantly, BNST is a sexually dimorphic brain region. In the human brain, the central nucleus of BNST is 40% larger in men (Zhou et al., 1995; Swaab et al., 2001; Chung et al., 2002), and a recent study (Uchida et al., 2019) also reported more CRH+ neurons in both ovBNST and anterolateral BNST in fe-
males than in males. While in this study we focused specifically on male mice, future studies using appropriate chronic stress paradigms for females (Harris et al., 2018; Yohn et al., 2019a,b) are necessary.

Until now, the precise mechanisms underlying how chronic stress induces BNST CRH neuronal dysfunction and mediates maladaptive behaviors were unknown. We demonstrated that CVMS increases CRH neuronal excitability by activating PKA-dependent CRHR1 signaling in ovBNST, which in turn results in maladaptive behaviors. These electrophysiological and behavioral effects of CVMS were reversed by blocking CRHR1 or PKA in ovBNST. Our results highlight the critical role of BNST in the neural circuitry underlying stress-induced mood disorders. In addition, BNST CRH signaling also has an important role in reward-related disorders, such as drug or alcohol abuse (Lé et al., 2000; Francesconi et al., 2009; Silverman and Winder, 2013). Therefore, our results may also lead to a better mechanistic understanding of the role BNST CRH signaling plays in reward-related and stress-induced mood disorders.
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