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Abstract. A cyclic graph is a graph with at each vertex a cyclic order of the edges incident with it specified. We characterize which real-valued functions on the collection of cubic cyclic graphs are partition functions of a real vertex model (P. de la Harpe, V.F.R. Jones, Graph invariants related to statistical mechanical models: examples and problems, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 57 (1993) 207–227). They are characterized by ‘weak reflection positivity’, which amounts to the positive semidefiniteness of matrices based on the ‘k-join’ of cubic cyclic graphs (for all \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \)).

Basic tools are the representation theory of the symmetric group and geometric invariant theory, in particular the Hanlon-Wales theorem on the decomposition of Brauer algebras and the Procesi-Schwarz theorem on inequalities defining orbit spaces.

1. Introduction

In this paper, a cyclic graph is an undirected graph where each vertex is equipped with a cyclic order of the edges incident with it. A 3-graph is a connected cubic cyclic graph. Loops and multiple edges are allowed. Also the ‘vertexless loop’ \( \circ \) serves as a 3-graph, and may occur as component of a 3-graph. By \( G \) and \( G' \) we denote the collection of 3-graphs and the collection of cubic cyclic graphs, respectively. So \( G' \) is the collection of disjoint unions of graphs in \( G \).

Having fixed this terminology for the current paper, let us stress that the above types of graphs show up under several names and in several contexts. Bollobás and Riordan [2] refer to Dennis Sullivan for coining the term ‘cyclic graph’. Such graphs are also called ‘graphs with a rotation system’ (cf. Gross and Tucker [9]), ‘ribbon graphs’ (Reshetikhin and Turaev [17]), or ‘fatgraphs’ (Milgram and Penner [14]), and are in one-to-one correspondence with graphs cellularly embedded on a compact oriented surface (Heffter [12], cf. [9]). Then, by surface duality, cubic cyclic graphs are in one-to-one correspondence with triangulations of compact oriented surfaces. The term ‘3-graph’ for a connected cubic cyclic graph was introduced by Duzhin, Kaishev, and Chmutov [5] (cf. [3]), and plays a role, as a variant of chord diagrams and Jacobi diagrams, in the study of Vassiliev’s knot invariants [24].

There is an abundance of literature on invariants for such graphs, introduced to study basic problems in combinatorics, topology, and theoretical physics. An important type of invariant is the partition function, with such basic examples as in the Ising-Potts-model, the Tutte polynomial [23] (defined for cyclic graphs in [2]), the Jones polynomial (cf. [11]), R-matrices, and Lie algebra weight systems for chord diagrams.

In this paper we focus on partition functions for 3-graphs. For \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), let \( c = (c_{ijk})_{i,j,k=1}^n \) be an element of \( (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes 3}C_3 \), which denotes as usual the linear space of tensors in \( (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes 3} \) that are invariant under the natural action of the cyclic group \( C_3 \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Then for any 3-graph \( G \) define

\[
(1) \quad f_c(G) := \sum_{\varphi : E(G) \to [n]} \prod_{v \in V(G)} c_{\varphi(e_1)\varphi(e_2)\varphi(e_3)},
\]
where, once \( v \in V(G) \) is chosen, \( e_1, e_2, e_3 \) denote the edges incident with \( v \), in cyclic order. (As usual, \( \{n\} := \{1, \ldots, n\} \).) Following the terminology of de la Harpe and Jones [11], \( f_c \) is the partition function of the vertex model \( c = (c_{ijk})_{i,j,k=1}^n \). Alternatively, a vertex model is called an edge-coloring model ([22]). Note that \( f_c(\emptyset) = n \).

In this paper we will characterize for which functions \( f \) on \( G \) there exist \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and \( c \in ((\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes 3})^{C_n} \) with \( f = f_c \). They are exactly characterized by a form of 'reflection positivity', a notion which roots in quantum field theory (cf. [7]) and has been defined in various ways for graph parameters (see e.g. [6],[22],[20]). Here we adopt a weaker version of it based on the \( k \)-join of cubic graphs, which is a restricted variant of the glueing operation of graphs with open ends considered by Szegedy [22]. It yields a weaker condition, and therefore it makes the characterization stronger.

To be precise, let \( \mathbb{R}[G] \) denote the commutative \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebra freely generated by the collection \( G \) of 3-graphs. Any function from \( G \) to any \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebra can be extended uniquely to an algebra homomorphism on \( \mathbb{R}[G] \). We identify the product \( G_1 \cdots G_k \) of 3-graphs in \( \mathbb{R}[G] \) with the disjoint union of \( G_1, \ldots, G_k \), which is a cubic cyclic graph. So the collection \( G' \) of cubic cyclic graphs corresponds to the set of monomials in \( \mathbb{R}[G] \).

For \( G \) and \( H \) in \( G' \), the \( k \)-join \( G \lor H \) is the element of \( \mathbb{R}[G] \) obtained as follows. Consider the disjoint union of \( G \) and \( H \). Choose distinct vertices \( u_1, \ldots, u_k \) of \( G \) and distinct vertices \( v_1, \ldots, v_k \) of \( H \), and, for each \( i = 1, \ldots, k \),

\[
(2) \quad \text{replace } \bigtriangledown u_i v_i \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{3} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\bigtriangleup \\
\bigtriangledown \\
\bigtriangleup 
\end{array} \right) \; .
\]

Here and below, the cyclic order at a vertex is that given by the clockwise orientation. (Thus the new connections in (2) obey the cyclic orders at \( u_i \) and \( v_i \): choosing total orders compatible with the cyclic orders, the first edge at \( u_i \) is connected with the first edge at \( v_i \), the second edge at \( u_i \) is connected with the second edge at \( v_i \), and the third edge at \( u_i \) is connected with the third edge at \( v_i \). There are three different ways of doing so.) Then \( G \lor H \) is obtained by adding up these elements of \( \mathbb{R}[G] \) over all choices of \( u_1, \ldots, u_k \) and \( v_1, \ldots, v_k \). (We add up over all possible orderings of the vertices \( u_1, \ldots, u_k \) and \( v_1, \ldots, v_k \).) (The 'k-join' could be described dually in terms of surgery of triangulated surfaces. However, we will not pursue this visualisation, as the \( k \)-join seems easier to handle in the cyclic graph setting.)

Then \( f : G \to \mathbb{R} \) is called weakly reflection positive if, for each \( k \), the \( G' \times G' \) matrix

\[
(3) \quad M_{f,k} := (f(G \lor H))_{G,H \in G'}
\]

is positive semidefinite (that is, each finite principal submatrix is positive semidefinite).

We can extend \( G \lor H \) bilinearly to a bilinear function \( \mathbb{R}[G] \times \mathbb{R}[G] \to \mathbb{R}[G] \). Then weak reflection positivity means that \( f(\gamma \lor \gamma) \geq 0 \) for each \( \gamma \in \mathbb{R}[G] \) and each \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \).

**Theorem.** Any function \( f : G \to \mathbb{R} \) is the partition function of some real vertex model if and only if \( f \) is weakly reflection positive.

We prove this theorem in Section 5. It is not hard to show that if \( f \) is a partition function, then \( f = f_c \) for some unique \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and \( c \in ((\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes 3})^{C_n} \), up to the natural action of the real orthogonal group \( O(n) \) on \( c \) (which leaves \( f_c \) invariant) — see Section 6.
We derive a direct consequence of the theorem that considers weight systems. They play a key role in the study of Vassiliev’s invariants for classifying the finite-type invariants for knots of Vassiliev [24] (through the Kontsevich integral [13]) and for integral homology 3-spheres (Ohtsuki [15]).

For 3-graphs, a (real-valued) weight system is a function \( f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) which is antisymmetric: \( f(H) = -f(G) \) if \( H \) arises from \( G \) by reversing the orientation at one of its vertices, and satisfies the IHX-equation (which roots in work of Bar-Natan, cf. [1]):

\[
(4) \quad f( \begin{array}{c}
\text{\ (a)}
\end{array} ) = f( \begin{array}{c}
\text{\ (b)}
\end{array} ) - f( \begin{array}{c}
\text{\ (c)}
\end{array} ).
\]

Key instances of weight systems are the Lie algebra weight systems: the partition functions \( f_c \) of the structure tensor \( c \) of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra \( g \), expressed in a basis that is orthonormal with respect to some symmetric ad-invariant bilinear form on \( g \).

**Corollary.** A function \( f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a Lie algebra weight system if and only if \( f \) is weakly reflection positive and satisfies \( f(\mathbb{1}) = -f(\mathbb{1}) \) and \( f(\mathbb{2}) = 2f(\mathbb{3}) \).

**Proof.** This follows from the theorem, as for any \( n \) and any \( c \in ((\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes 3})^C_3 \), if \( f_c(\mathbb{1}) = -f_c(\mathbb{1}) \) then \( c \) is an alternating tensor, as \( f_c(\mathbb{1}) = -f_c(\mathbb{1}) \) is equivalent to

\[
(5) \quad \sum_{i,j,k} (c_{ijk} + c_{ikj})^2 = 0, \text{ and hence to: } c_{ikj} = -c_{ijk} \text{ for all } i,j,k.
\]

Indeed, \( f_c(\mathbb{1}) = \sum_{i,j,k} c_{ijk}c_{ijk} \), as the cyclic order of the edges at both vertices of \( \mathbb{1} \) are equal. Moreover, \( f_c(\mathbb{3}) = \sum_{i,j,k} c_{ijk}c_{kji} \), as the cyclic order of the edges at both vertices of \( \mathbb{3} \) are opposite. Hence (as \( c \) is \( C_3 \)-invariant)

\[
(6) \quad \sum_{i,j,k} (c_{ijk} + c_{ikj})^2 = \sum_{i,j,k} (c_{ijk}^2 + 2c_{ijk}c_{ikj} + c_{ikj}^2) = 2f_c(\mathbb{1}) + 2f_c(\mathbb{3}) = 0.
\]

Thus we have [5].

Similarly, if \( c \) is alternating, then \( f_c(\mathbb{3}) = 2f_c(\mathbb{3}) \) is equivalent to \( c \) being the structure tensor of some Lie algebra expressed in a basis that is orthonormal with respect to some symmetric ad-invariant bilinear form, as it is equivalent to

\[
(7) \quad \sum_{i,j,k,l} \left( \sum_a \left( (c_{ija}c_{akl} + c_{ida}c_{ajk} + c_{ika}c_{alj})^2 \right) = 0,
\]

and hence to: \( \sum_a (c_{ija}c_{akl} + c_{iak}c_{ajl} + c_{kia}c_{ajl}) = 0 \) for all \( i,j,k,l \). This last set of equations amounts to the Jacobi identity.

The theorem is proved using the decomposition of Brauer algebras as given by Hanlon and Wales [10], the first fundamental theorem of invariant theory, and the characterization of orbit spaces by inequalities of Procesi and Schwarz [16].

Compared with previous work on this type of issue, the present paper considers \( k \)-joins and uses the Procesi-Schwarz theorem, instead of joining graphs with open ends and using the real Nullstellensatz as in Szegedy [22]. Compared with [19], instead of general graphs the present paper is considering 3-graphs, for which we need to apply deeper representation
theory (of the symmetric group) to derive that \( f(\Box) \) is an integer. Furthermore, a ‘\( k \)-join lemma’ is given below that simplifies the proof. The complex case, as studied in [4],[21], demands different conditions and machinery, and requires (so far) the dimension of the vertex model to be specified in the theorem.

We do not know whether the positive semidefiniteness condition can be further relaxed to a variant of the \( k \)-join in which we add in [2] also the three anti-cyclic connections, each with a minus sign, in line with the vertex product of 3-graphs of Duzhin, Kaishev, and Chmutov [5] (cf. [3]). If the integrality of \( f(\Box) \) can be derived also for this even weaker form of reflection positivity, the rest of the proof and hence the theorem will be maintained.

We now first prove three lemmas (in Sections 2–4), with which the proof of the theorem in Section 5 follows by a concise series of arguments based on invariant theory. In Section 6 we show the uniqueness of the vertex model \( c \).

2. A \( k \)-join lemma

In the following lemma, \( \vartheta \) denotes the 3-graph \( \varnothing \), and \( \vartheta^i \) is the \( i \)-th power of \( \vartheta \), that is, the disjoint union of \( i \) copies of \( \varnothing \).

**Lemma 1.** For any \( k \) and any \( G \in G' \) with \( n \) vertices:

\[
\binom{n}{k} G = 2^{-k} k!^{-2} \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} (G \hat{\vee} \vartheta^i) \vartheta^{k-i}.
\]

**Proof.** For each \( i \), let \( G \hat{\vee} \vartheta^i \) be equal to the sum describing \( G \hat{\vee} \vartheta^i \) in Section 1 (with \( H := \vartheta^i \)) restricting the summation to those \( v_1, \ldots, v_k \) where each component of \( \vartheta^i \) contains at least one vertex among \( v_1, \ldots, v_k \). So for each \( i \), \( G \hat{\vee} \vartheta^i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{i}{j} (G \hat{\vee} \vartheta^j) \vartheta^{i-j} \). Hence

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} (G \hat{\vee} \vartheta^i) \vartheta^{k-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{i}{j} (G \hat{\vee} \vartheta^j) \vartheta^{k-j} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} (G \hat{\vee} \vartheta^j) \vartheta^{k-j} \sum_{i=j}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k-j}{k-i} = G \hat{\vee} \vartheta^k = 2^k k! 2^{(n)} G,
\]

the last equality because \( u_1, \ldots, u_k \) can be chosen in \( \binom{n}{k} k! \) ways and \( v_1, \ldots, v_k \) in \( 2^k k! \) ways, while each term of \( G \hat{\vee} \vartheta^k \) is equal to \( G \).

3. Integrality of \( f(\Box) \)

**Lemma 2.** If \( f : G \to \mathbb{R} \) is weakly reflection positive, then \( f(\Box) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \).

**Proof.** Let \( f : G \to \mathbb{R} \) be weakly reflection positive. A direct computation shows

\[
(\varnothing - \Box) \hat{\vee} (\varnothing - \Box) = \frac{3}{2} \Box (\Box - 1) (\Box - 2).
\]
By the weak reflection positivity of $f$ this implies $f(\circ)(f(\circ) - 1)(f(\circ) - 2) \geq 0$, hence $f(\circ) \geq 0$. To prove that $f(\circ)$ is integer, define $k := \lceil f(\circ) \rceil + 1$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of perfect matchings on $[6k]$. To each $M \in \mathcal{M}$ we can associate a graph $G_M \in \mathcal{G}'$ on $[2k]$ by identifying the vertices $3j - 2, 3j - 1, 3j$ of $M$ for $j \in [2k]$, with this cyclic order at $j$. So

\begin{equation}
(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\updownarrow & \updownarrow & \updownarrow \\
3 \downarrow & 3 \downarrow & 3 \\
\end{array}
\quad \text{becomes} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\bigtriangledown \\
\downarrow
\end{array}
\end{equation}

For all $M, N \in \mathcal{M}$, $G_M \triangledown G_N$ is a polynomial in $\circ$, since both $G_M$ and $G_N$ have $2k$ vertices. To describe this polynomial, we consider the natural action of the symmetric group $S_{6k}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ as: $\pi \cdot M = \{ \pi(e) \mid e \in M \}$ for $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\pi \in S_{6k}$. This induces an action on $\mathbb{R}^M$ and makes $\mathbb{R}^M$ an $S_{6k}$-module.

For $j \in [2k]$, let $B_j$ be the group of cyclic permutations of $\{3j - 2, 3j - 1, 3j\}$, and define $B := B_1 B_2 \cdots B_{2k}$. Let $D$ be the group of permutations $d \in S_{6k}$ for which there exists $\pi \in S_{2k}$ such that $d(3j - i) = 3\pi(j) - i$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, 2k$ and $i = 0, 1, 2$. Set $Q := BD$, which can be seen to be a group again.

For $M, N \in \mathcal{M}$, let $c(M, N)$ denote the number of connected components of $M \cup N$.

Then, by definition of the operation $\triangledown$, we have

\begin{equation}
(12) \quad G_M \triangledown G_N = (2k)! 3^{-2k} \sum_{\substack{\circ \in c(M, q, N)}} q.
\end{equation}

For $\pi \in S_{6k}$, let $P_\pi$ be the $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ permutation matrix corresponding to $\pi$; that is, $P_\pi w = \pi \cdot w$ for each $w \in \mathbb{R}^M$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $A(x)$ and $A^Q(x)$ be the $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ matrices defined by

\begin{equation}
(13) \quad (A(x))_{M, N} := x^{c(M, N)} \quad \text{and} \quad A^Q(x) := \sum_{q \in Q} A(x) P_q,
\end{equation}

for $M, N \in \mathcal{M}$. Note that each $P_\pi$ commutes with $A(x)$, as for all $M, N \in \mathcal{M}$ one has $c(\pi \cdot M, \pi \cdot N) = c(M, N)$, implying $A(x) = P_\pi^T A(x) P_\pi = P_\pi^{-1} A(x) P_\pi$.

Define

\begin{equation}
(14) \quad \mu(x) := \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x - i)(x - i + 2)(x + 2i + 4).
\end{equation}

Then we claim that

\begin{equation}
(15) \quad |Q|\mu(x) \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A^Q(x).
\end{equation}

This implies the lemma, since by (12), $A^Q(f(\circ))_{M, N} = (2k)!^{-1} 3^{2k} f(G_M \triangledown G_N)$. Hence, by the weak reflection positivity of $f$, $A^Q(f(\circ))$ is positive semidefinite. So $\mu(f(\circ)) \geq 0$, hence, as $k - 1 = \lceil f(\circ) \rceil$ and as $k - 1$ is the largest zero of $\mu(x)$, with multiplicity 1, we know $f(\circ) = k - 1$.

To prove (15), we will give an eigenvector $u$ of $A^Q(x)$ belonging to $|Q|\mu(x)$. We derive $u$ from the eigenvector $v$ of $A(x)$ belonging to $\mu(x)$ as described by the formula for $h_\lambda(x)$ in Theorem 3.1 of Hanlon and Wales [10] as follows, using the representation theory of $S_{6k}$.
Consider the following Young tableau, associated to the partition \((2k+4,4,\ldots,4)\) of \(6k\):

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
1 & \bar{1} & 2 & \bar{2} & 3 & 3 & 6 & 6 & 9 & \cdots & 3k & 3k \\
4 & \bar{4} & 5 & \bar{5} & & & & & & & & \\
7 & \bar{7} & 8 & \bar{8} & & & & & & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & & & \\
3k-2 & \bar{3k-2} & 3k-1 & \bar{3k-1} & & & & & & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

(16) \[ T := \]

where \(\bar{i} := 3k+i\) for \(i \in [3k]\).

Let \(F\) be the perfect matching in \(\mathcal{M}\) with edges \(\{i,\bar{i}\}\), for \(i \in [3k]\). For \(i = 1, \ldots, 4\), let \(K_i\) denote the set of elements in the \(i\)-th column of \(T\) and let \(C_i\) be the subgroup of \(S_{6k}\) that permutes the elements of \(K_i\). Then \(C\) is the group \(C_1C_2C_3C_4\). Similarly, for \(i = 1, \ldots, k\), let \(R_i\) be the subgroup of \(S_{6k}\) that permutes the numbers in row \(i\) of \(T\) and leaves all other numbers fixed, and \(R\) is the group \(R_1 \cdots R_k\). Define \(v\) and \(u\) in \(\mathbb{R}^M\) by

\[
v := \sum_{c \in C, r \in R} \text{sgn}(c)cr \cdot F \quad \text{and} \quad u := \sum_{q \in Q} q \cdot v,
\]

identifying an element of \(\mathcal{M}\) with the corresponding basis vector in \(\mathbb{R}^M\). By Theorem 3.1 of [10] and its proof, \(v\) is an eigenvector of \(A(x)\) with eigenvalue \(\mu(x)\). Hence

\[
A^Q(x)u = \sum_{q',q \in Q} AP_qP_{q'}v = \sum_{q',q \in Q} P_{q'}P_qAv = \mu(x) \sum_{q',q \in Q} P_{q'}P_qv = |Q|\mu(x)u.
\]

So to prove \([15]\), and hence the lemma, it suffices to show that \(u\) is nonzero. To this end we show that the coefficient \(u_F\) of \(F\) in \(u\) is nonzero. Note that

\[
u_F = \sum_{q \in Q} (q \cdot v)_F = \sum_{q \in Q} \sum_{c \in C, r \in R} \text{sgn}(c)(qcr \cdot F)_F = \sum_{q \in Q, c \in C, r \in R} \text{sgn}(c).
\]

So it suffices to show that for any \(q \in Q, c \in C, \) and \(r \in R\), if \(qcr \cdot F = F\) then \(\text{sgn}(c) = 1\).

As \(Q\) is a group, equivalently it suffices to show for any \(q \in Q, c \in C, r \in R\):

\[
\text{if } q \cdot F = cr \cdot F, \text{ then } \text{sgn}(c) = 1.
\]

Choose \(q \in Q, c \in C, \) and \(r \in R\) with \(q \cdot F = cr \cdot F\). Let \(c = c_1c_2c_3c_4\) with \(c_i \in C_i\) \((i = 1, \ldots, 4)\) and define \(M := q \cdot F\). Let \(\zeta \in S_{6k}\) be defined by \(\zeta(i) := i+1\) if \(3\) does not divide \(i\) and \(\zeta(i) := i-2\) if \(3\) divides \(i\). So \(\zeta^3 = \text{id}\) and \(\zeta \cdot F = F\). Moreover, \(\zeta q = q \zeta\) (since \(\zeta b = b \zeta\) and \(\zeta d = d \zeta\) for all \(b \in B\) and \(d \in D\)). Hence \(\zeta M = M\).

Let \(\varphi(i) := \bar{i}\) for \(i \in [3k]\). We show that for each \(a \in K_1:\)

\[
c_2 \varphi c_1^{-1}(a) = \zeta^{-1} c_4 \varphi c_3^{-1} \zeta(a).
\]

This implies \(\text{sgn}(c_2c_1^{-1}) = \text{sgn}(c_4c_3^{-1})\), and hence \(\text{sgn}(c) = 1\).

As both \(c_2 \varphi c_1^{-1}\) and \(\zeta^{-1} c_4 \varphi c_3^{-1} \zeta\) are bijections \(K_1 \to K_2\), it suffices to show \([21]\) for all
\[ a \in K_1 \setminus \{ c_1(1) \} \]. Therefore, choose \( a \in K_1 \) with \( i := c_1^{-1}(a) \neq 1 \). Let \( b := c_2(\bar{i}) = c_2 \varphi c_1^{-1}(a) \). Note that \( b \in K_2 \), \( \zeta(a) \in K_3 \), and \( \zeta(b) \in K_4 \). We must show that \( c_4^{-1}\zeta(b) = \varphi c_3^{-1}\zeta(a) \), that is, \( c_3^{-1}(a) \) and \( c_4^{-1}\zeta(b) \) belong to the same row of \( T \).

First assume that \( \{ i, \bar{i} \} \in r \cdot F \). Then \( \{ a, b \} \in cr \cdot F = M \), hence, by the \( \zeta \)-invariance of \( M \), \( \{ \zeta(a), \zeta(b) \} \in M \). So \( \{ c_3^{-1}(a), c_4^{-1}\zeta(b) \} \) belongs to \( r \cdot F \), and hence it is contained in a single row of \( T \).

Second assume that \( \{ i, \bar{i} \} \notin r \cdot F \). Since \( i \neq 1 \), this implies that \( i \) and \( \bar{i} \) are matched in \( r \cdot F \) with elements of \( K_3 \cup K_4 \). So \( a \) and \( b \) are matched in \( M \) with elements of \( K_3 \cup K_4 \). Hence, by the \( \zeta \)-invariance of \( M \), \( \zeta(a) \) and \( \zeta(b) \) are matched in \( M \) with elements of \( \zeta(K_3 \cup K_4) \), which is the first row of \( T \) outside \( K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3 \cup K_4 \). So \( c_3^{-1}(a) \) and \( c_4^{-1}\zeta(b) \) are matched in \( r \cdot F \) with elements of the first row of \( T \), and hence they both also belong to the first row of \( T \).

\[ \blacksquare \]

4. The polynomial \( p_n(G) \)

Choose \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and let \( W \) be the linear space

\[ W := ((\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes 3})^C_3. \]

As usual, \( \mathcal{O}(W) \) denotes the algebra of polynomials on \( W \). For each 3-graph \( G \), define the polynomial \( p_n(G) \in \mathcal{O}(W) \) by \( p_n(G)(c) := f_c(G) \) for any \( c \in W \) (defined in \([\text{1}]\)). This can be extended uniquely to an algebra homomorphism \( p_n : \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{G}] \to \mathcal{O}(W) \).

For any \( q \in \mathcal{O}(W) \), let \( dq \) be its derivative, being an element of \( \mathcal{O}(W) \otimes W^* \). So \( d^kq \in \mathcal{O}(W) \otimes (W^*)^\otimes k \). Note that the standard inner product on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) induces an inner product on \( W \), hence on \( W^* \), and hence it induces a product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : (\mathcal{O}(W) \otimes (W^*)^\otimes k) \times (\mathcal{O}(W) \otimes (W^*)^\otimes k) \to \mathcal{O}(W) \), by

\[ \langle p \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_k, q \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_k \rangle = pq \langle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_k, g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_k \rangle = p q \langle f_1, g_1 \rangle \cdots \langle f_k, g_k \rangle. \]

The following lemma will be used several times in our proof.

**Lemma 3.** For all \( G, H \in \mathcal{G}' \) and all \( k, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \):

\[ p_n(G \downarrow \downarrow H) = \langle d^k p_n(G), d^k p_n(H) \rangle. \]

**Proof.** Let \( b_1, \ldots, b_n \) be the standard basis of \( \mathbb{R}^n \), with dual basis \( b_1^*, \ldots, b_n^* \). For \( i, j, k = 1, \ldots, n \), let \( y_{i,j,k} \) be the element \( b_i^* \otimes b_j^* \otimes b_k^*|W \) of \( W^* \).

Consider some \( G \in \mathcal{G}' \). For \( \varphi : E(G) \to [n] \) and \( v \in V(G) \), denote

\[ \widehat{\varphi}_v := y_{\varphi(e_1)\varphi(e_2)\varphi(e_3)}, \]

where \( e_1, e_2, e_3 \) are the edges incident with \( v \), in order. Then

\[ p_n(G) = \sum_{\varphi : E(G) \to [n]} \prod_{v \in V(G)} \widehat{\varphi}_v. \]

Hence \( d^k p_n(G) \) expands as:

\[ \text{...} \]
Indeed, for each $i, j : [3] \to [n]$, 

\begin{equation}
\langle y_{i(1)i(2)i(3)}; y_{j(1)j(2)j(3)} \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \{ \pi \in C_3 \mid j(s) = i(\pi(s)) \text{ for } s \in [3] \}.
\end{equation}

Indeed, for each $i : [3] \to [n]$ and $x \in W$, by the $C_3$-invariance of $x$:

\begin{equation}
y_{i(1)i(2)i(3)}(x) = \langle b_{i(1)} \otimes b_{i(2)} \otimes b_{i(3)}, x \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\pi \in C_3} b_{i(\pi(1))} \otimes b_{i(\pi(2))} \otimes b_{i(\pi(3))}, x \rangle.
\end{equation}

Hence, as $\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\pi \in C_3} b_{i(\pi(1))} \otimes b_{i(\pi(2))} \otimes b_{i(\pi(3))}$ belongs to $W$, the left-hand side of (28) is equal to

\begin{equation}
\langle \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\pi \in C_3} b_{i(\pi(1))} \otimes b_{i(\pi(2))} \otimes b_{i(\pi(3))}, \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\rho \in C_3} b_{j(\rho(1))} \otimes b_{j(\rho(2))} \otimes b_{j(\rho(3))} \rangle,
\end{equation}

which is equal to the right-hand side of (28), as the $b_i$ form an orthonormal basis.

So for any $\varphi : E(G) \to [n]$ and $\psi : E(H) \to [n]$ and any $u \in V(G)$ and $v \in V(H)$, 

\[ \langle \tilde{\varphi}_u, \tilde{\psi}_v \rangle \] is equal to $1/3$ of the number of bijections $\beta : \delta(u) \to \delta(v)$ such that $\psi \circ \beta = \varphi|_{\delta(u)}$ that preserve the cyclic order. ($\delta(w)$ is the set of edges incident with a vertex $w$.) This being in conformity with (2), we have (24). 

By the first fundamental theorem of invariant theory for $O(n)$ (cf. [8], and Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 4.5 in [22]),

\begin{equation}
p_n(\mathbb{R}[G]) = \mathcal{O}(W)^{O(n)},
\end{equation}

the latter denoting the space of $O(n)$-invariant elements of $\mathcal{O}(W)$.

5. Proof of the Theorem

To see necessity in the theorem, let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $(c_{ijk})_{i,j,k=1}^n \in W (= (\mathbb{R}^n)^3 C_3)$. Then the positive semidefiniteness of $M_{f,c,k}$ follows from

\begin{equation}
f_{c}(G \uparrow H) = p_n(G \uparrow H)(c) = \langle d^k p_n(G)(c), d^k p_n(H)(c) \rangle,
\end{equation}

using Lemma 3.

To prove sufficiency, let $f : \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ be weakly reflection positive. By Lemma 2, $f(\emptyset)$ belongs to $\mathbb{Z}_+$. Set $n := f(\emptyset)$. We show that $f = f_c$ for some $c \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^3 C_3$. First:

\begin{equation}
\text{there is an algebra homomorphism } F : p_n(\mathbb{R}[G]) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } f = F \circ p_n.
\end{equation}

Otherwise, as $p_n$ and $f$ (extended to $\mathbb{R}[G]$) are algebra homomorphisms, there is a $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}[G]$ with $p_n(\gamma) = 0$ and $f(\gamma) \neq 0$. We can assume that $\gamma$ is homogeneous, that is, all graphs in $\gamma$ have the same number of vertices, $k$ say. So $\gamma \uparrow \gamma$ has no vertices, that is, it is a polynomial in $\mathcal{O}$. As moreover $f(\emptyset) = n = p_n(\emptyset)$, we have $f(\gamma \uparrow \gamma) = p_n(\gamma \uparrow \gamma) = 0$, the latter equality because of Lemma 3. By the weak reflection positivity of $f$ this implies that $f(\gamma \uparrow H) = 0$.
for each $H \in \mathcal{G}'$. Hence, by applying $f$ to both sides of the linearization of (8) (substituting $\gamma$ for $G$), $f(\gamma) = 0$. This proves (33).

As in [4], (33) with (31) implies the existence of $c$ in the complex extension of $W$ satisfying $F(q) = q(c)$ for each $q \in \mathcal{O}(W)^{O(n)} = p_n(\mathbb{R}[G])$. To prove that we can take $c$ real, we apply the Procesi-Schwarz theorem [16]. For all $G, H \in \mathcal{G}$, using Lemma 3

\begin{equation}
F((dp_n(G), dp_n(H))) = F(p_n(G \triangledown H)) = f(G \triangledown H) = (M_{f,1})_{G,H}.
\end{equation}

Since $M_{f,1}$ is positive semidefinite, (34) implies that for each $q \in p_n(\mathbb{R}[G])$: $F((dq, dq)) \geq 0$, and hence by [16] we can take $c$ real.

Concluding, $f(G) = F(p_n(G)) = p_n(G)(c) = f_c(G)$ for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$, as required. \hfill \blacksquare

6. Uniqueness of $c$

We finally observe that if $f$ is a partition function, then $f = f_c$ for some unique $c$, up to the natural action of $O(n)$ on $c$ (which action leaves $f_c$ invariant (cf. (31))). To see this, let $b \in ((\mathbb{R}^m)^{\otimes 3})^G$ and $c \in ((\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes 3})^G$ with $f_b = f_c$. Then $m = f_b(\emptyset) = f_c(\emptyset) = n$. We show that there exists $U \in O(n)$ such that $b = U^c$ (where $x \mapsto U^x$ is the natural action of $U$ on $x \in W$).

Suppose to the contrary that $b \neq U^c$ for each $U \in O(n)$. Then the sets $\{b^U \mid U \in O(n)\}$ and $\{c^U \mid U \in O(n)\}$ are disjoint compact subsets of $W$. So, by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, there exists a polynomial $q \in \mathcal{O}(W)$ such that $q(b^U) \leq 0$ for each $U \in O(n)$ and $q(c^U) \geq 1$ for each $U \in O(n)$. As $O(n)$ is compact, we can average $q$ to make it $O(n)$-invariant. Hence by (31), $q \in p_n(\mathbb{R}[G])$, say $q = p_n(\gamma)$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}[G]$. Then $f_b(\gamma) = p_n(\gamma)(b) = q(b) \leq 0$ and $f_c(\gamma) = p_n(\gamma)(c) = q(c) \geq 1$. This contradicts $f_b = f_c$.
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