H.E.S.S. Limits on Linelike Dark Matter Signatures in the 100 GeV to 2 TeV Energy Range Close to the Galactic Center
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A search for dark matter line-like signals is performed in the vicinity of the Galactic Center by the H.E.S.S. experiment on observational data taken in 2014. An unbinned likelihood analysis is developed to improve the sensitivity to line-like signals. The upgraded analysis along with newer data extend the energy coverage of the previous measurement down to 100 GeV. The 18 h of data collected with the H.E.S.S. array allow one to rule out at 95% C.L. the presence of a 130 GeV line (at \( \theta = -1.5^\circ, b = 0^\circ \)) and for a dark matter profile centered at this location) previously reported in

\[ \text{DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.151302} \]

**Introduction.**—Weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) are among the most studied candidates to explain the long-standing elusive nature of dark matter (DM) and have been the target of a large number of searches (see Ref. [1] for a review). In particular, the indirect detection of DM using gamma rays is considered one of the most promising avenues as it can probe both its particle properties and distribution in the Universe. WIMP annihilations produce a continuum energy spectrum of gamma rays up to the DM mass as well as one or several gamma-ray lines. Although the fluxes of such monoenergetic features are mostly suppressed compared to the continuum, a line
A previous search for line signatures using H.E.S.S. in phase I (H.E.S.S. I) has been published [3] with 112 h of observation time. As no significant excess was found, the study presented upper limits on the flux and velocity-averaged annihilation cross section $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ at the level of $10^{-6}$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ and $10^{-27}$ cm$^{3}$ s$^{-1}$ for WIMP masses between 500 GeV and 20 TeV. The space-borne Fermi Large Area Telescope (Fermi-LAT) [4] was until recently the only instrument capable of probing a DM induced gamma-ray line signal in the direction of the Galactic Center of around 100 GeV in energy. Analyses based on public data have found indications of an excess signal at around 130 GeV in the vicinity of the Galactic Center, finding a best fit position for the centroid of the excess at $(l = −1.5^\circ, b = 0^\circ)$ [5–8]. Later, revised analyses of the Fermi-LAT team found background-compatible results [9,10]. In order to resolve the controversy with an independent measurement, H.E.S.S. Collaboration performed dedicated observations of the Galactic Center vicinity using its newly commissioned fifth telescope. The larger effective area and lower energy threshold allow us to eliminate the energy gap between previously reported Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S. I results.

The present Letter is organized as follows: first, the H.E.S.S. experiment and event reconstruction are briefly described, then the analysis method is discussed, followed by the presentation of the results and concluding remarks.

**H.E.S.S. experiment and line scan event reconstruction.**—The H.E.S.S. experiment [11] covers a wide range of astrophysical and fundamental physics topics, including indirect DM searches. Between 2002 and 2012, H.E.S.S. consisted of four 12 m diameter telescopes (CT1–4). A fifth telescope (CT5) with a larger mirror diameter of 28 m and newly designed camera [12] augmented the array in 2012, reducing the energy threshold significantly to below 100 GeV. This array configuration constitutes H.E.S.S. phase 2 (H.E.S.S. II). H.E.S.S. triggers on two different types of events: monoscopic single-telescope events from CT5 and stereoscopic CT1–5 events. The former exclusively rely on the information from CT5, whereas the latter require at least two telescopes to record an individual shower. In the standard observation mode, both monoscopic and stereoscopic events are recorded at the same time and CT5 participates in more than 95% of the events that are triggered by more than one telescope.

Throughout the past years, several existing H.E.S.S. analysis chains have been extended to reconstruct monoscopic events and those recorded with two different types of telescopes [13–17]. The search for a gamma-ray line feature around 130 GeV requires a selection of event cuts that allows for a reasonably low energy threshold and an excellent energy resolution. For this purpose the reconstruction technique described in Refs. [13,18] has been chosen with stereoscopic events considered in the analysis. An analysis with monoscopic events (CT5 only) [15] has also been prepared as a cross-check, which we describe later. To efficiently suppress the charged cosmic-ray background, analysis requirements have been defined and tested on a priori independent data sets obtained from observations of standard calibration sources such as PKS 2155–304 or the Crab nebula. The chosen configuration of event cuts for this analysis setup achieves the desired low energy threshold of 80 GeV, a better background rejection efficiency than for monoscopic events and an excellent relative energy resolution of 14% for gamma rays of energies below 300 GeV.

Because of uncertainty in the position of the 130 GeV excess, the H.E.S.S. II observations were implemented in a scanning mode of the Galactic plane, with pointing positions ranging from $−2.5^\circ$ to $0.5^\circ$ in longitude $l$ in steps of $0.7^\circ$ and at $b = ±0.8^\circ$. A total of 18 h of data has been accumulated from April to July 2014: 2.8 h were used to choose the event reconstruction mode, for the studies related to the background probability density function (PDF) determination, employed in the likelihood fit, and the study of systematic effects. The remaining 15.2 h were used for the final results for the gamma-ray line DM signal search between 100 GeV and 2 TeV. Data quality checks were performed based on the global array and the individual telescope status. Cuts have been applied on the telescope trigger rates, the trigger rate stability, and the broken pixel fraction of the camera. The resulting data sample covers observations at zenith angles ranging from $10^\circ$ to $30^\circ$. Gamma-ray candidate events passing all of the aforementioned cuts and falling into either the signal region (ON source) or in any of the defined background control regions (OFF source) are then utilized in the likelihood-based line-search analysis as described in the next section.

**Analysis methodology.**—The results presented in this Letter were obtained with a likelihood fit of the linelike signal in the ON-source region with modeling of the background contribution with OFF-source data. The fit was performed using an event-by-event likelihood procedure optimized for DM searches in the Galactic Center region. Here, no background subtraction was performed in order to preserve maximal sensitivity to the DM signal. Since measured energy distributions were considered in the likelihood fit, there is no need for acceptance corrections on the background measured spectra, strongly limiting the associated systematic uncertainties, which are discussed in the section presenting the results. Additional systematic uncertainties may be introduced by night sky background differences between the background control and signal regions. To minimize these uncertainties, the OFF-source regions associated directly with a given ON-source position were chosen close to the ON-source region. The measured energy distributions in these OFF-source regions were used.
for the construction of the background PDF, a major component in the likelihood discussed below.

The likelihood function is composed of a Poisson normalization term (based on the total number of events in the signal and background regions) and a spectral term related to the expected spectral contribution of the signal and the background component in the analysis region of interest (ROI). A description of this approach, called the full likelihood method below, is given in Ref. [19].

The likelihood formula reads

$$
\mathcal{L}(N_{\text{signal}}, N_{\text{bckg}} | N_{\text{ON}}, N_{\text{OFF}}, E_i) = \frac{(N_{\text{signal}} + N_{\text{bckg}})^{N_{\text{ON}}}}{N_{\text{ON}}!} e^{-(N_{\text{signal}} + N_{\text{bckg}})} \times \frac{(\alpha N_{\text{bckg}})^{N_{\text{OFF}}}}{N_{\text{OFF}}!} e^{-\alpha N_{\text{bckg}}}
$$

$$
\times \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\text{ON}}} \left( \eta \times \text{PDF}_{\text{signal}}(E_i) + (1 - \eta) \times \text{PDF}_{\text{bckg}}(E_i) \right),
$$

where $N_{\text{ON}}$ and $N_{\text{OFF}}$ are the measured number of events in the signal and background regions, $\alpha$ is the exposure ratio between the background and signal regions, $E_i$ (with $i \in [1, N_{\text{ON}}]$) represents a vector of energies of events measured in the signal region, and $\eta = N_{\text{signal}} / (N_{\text{signal}} + N_{\text{bckg}})$ is the line signal fraction in the ON region sample. PDF$_{\text{signal}}$ and PDF$_{\text{bckg}}$ are the probability density functions for the signal and background components that refer to measured energy spectra, that is, photon energies smeared by the instrument response functions (IRFs). PDF$_{\text{signal}}$ is obtained from dedicated monoenergetic gamma-ray simulations of signals for each DM particle mass considered in the analysis. PDF$_{\text{bckg}}$ corresponds to the best fit of the normalized energy distribution of events reconstructed in the OFF regions. No additional term corresponding to the fit of PDF$_{\text{bckg}}$ was added to the likelihood formula (1). The number of signal ($N_{\text{signal}}$) and background ($N_{\text{bckg}}$) events are free parameters of the model, while additional information on the signal and background spectral shape is included in the fit. The line energy position $E_{\text{line}}$ is kept fixed, and the line signal fraction $\eta$ that represents the relative contribution of the signal in the analyzed region is fitted.

The IRFs were obtained from the full gamma-ray MC simulations of the gamma-ray showers and of the H.E.S.S. instrument. They were employed in the dedicated MC simulations to derive the expected measured energy distributions leading to PDF$_{\text{signal}}$ and PDF$_{\text{bckg}}$. An optimal circular signal region of 0.4° radius was found using the method of Rolke et al. [20], corresponding to a solid angle of $\Delta\Omega = 1.531 \times 10^{-4}$ sr.

The resulting sensitivity estimates computed with MC simulations for a line scan between 100 GeV and 2 TeV as well as the 95% confidence level (C.L.) limits derived from the data sample are presented below.

Results.—At first, a search for an excess in the ON-source region was performed by using OFF-region empty field data. It should be noted that despite the signal region being displaced from the Galactic Center (GC) position, the 130 GeV excess ROI may still be subject to contributions from surrounding astrophysical sources. In particular, the bright extended source HESS J1745-303 [21] was excluded (a mask of 0.4°) while the contribution from HESS J1741-302 [22] was estimated to be negligible. The significance map shown in Fig. 1 was reconstructed with an annular background region [11] around the signal region for the 15.2 h data set. In the absence of any genuine gamma-ray signal in the field of view, the significances derived from background fluctuations follow a Gaussian distribution with a width of 1, as is the case once the significant excess at the position of HESS J1745-290 [23] is excluded, coincident with the supermassive black hole SgrA*.

![Significance map presented in Galactic coordinates (top) and emission angle square $\theta^2$ distribution (bottom) in the considered ROI.](image-url)
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- $N_{\text{excess}} = 94.3$, $N_0 = 0.7$, $S/B = 0.01$
Therefore, upper limits were derived for a linelike signal in the energy range from 100 GeV to 2 TeV.

The number of measured background events in the ROI of 0.4° and the PDF_{bkg} parametrization were derived from the measured energy distributions in the data control OFF-source regions symmetrically surrounding the 130 GeV excess. The likelihood fits covered two predefined energy ranges from 80 GeV to 1 TeV and from 200 GeV to 3 TeV, which allowed our observations to probe line signals with energy from 100 to 500 GeV and from 500 GeV to 2 TeV, respectively, ensuring a large energy lever arm in the fit in each case. For each line energy, upper limits on η and subsequently on the number of excess events N were obtained using Eq. (1). The η_{95% C.L.} upper-limit value was obtained from a one-sided cut on the log-likelihood function corresponding to its increase by 2.71. To derive the sensitivity expectations, we use the median of the 95% C.L. upper limit distributions obtained from a large number of simulations performed assuming 15.2 and 112 h of time exposure.

The limits on the flux Φ and on the DM velocity averaged annihilation cross section $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ were derived as

$$\Phi_{95\% \text{ C.L.}} = \frac{N_{95\% \text{ C.L.}}}{T_{\text{OBS}}} \times \int_{E_{\min}}^{E_{\max}} \int_{E_{\gamma}} \frac{dN}{dE_{\gamma}} \frac{dE_{\gamma}}{dA_{\text{eff}}} \, \frac{dE}{dE_{\gamma}},$$

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{95\% \text{ C.L.}} = \left( \frac{8\pi m_{\text{DM}}^2}{2\Phi_{\text{astro}}} \right) \times \Phi_{95\% \text{ C.L.}},$$

where $T_{\text{OBS}}$ is the observation time, $A_{\text{eff}}$ and $dN/dE$ are, respectively, the effective area for gamma rays and the differential energy spectrum of the expected DM signal expressed as functions of the true energy, $m_{\text{DM}}$ is the DM particle mass, and $[E_{\min}, E_{\max}]$ are the bounds of the energy range. The astrophysical factor $\Phi_{\text{astro}}$ is given by the integral of the squared DM density along the line of sight and solid angle $\Omega$. A dark matter distribution following an Einasto profile [24] with halo parameters given in Ref. [3] has been considered at the center of the ROI resulting in the value of $\Phi_{\text{astro}} = 2.46 \times 10^{21}$ GeV$^2$ cm$^{-5}$. For DM annihilating into two gamma rays, the differential energy spectrum is $dN/dE_{\gamma} \sim 2\delta(E_{\gamma} - m_\gamma)$, where the factor of 2 results from the annihilation of DM particles into two photons.

Limits on the flux per steradian and on $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ obtained from MC simulations and those calculated with the 15.2 h of data are presented in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively, and show the potential of the applied method for the DM line signal detection. The measured limits are in good agreement with the expected sensitivity. The limits obtained with H.E.S.S. II for a DM density profile centered on the 130 GeV excess position efficiently complement previous limits of H.E.S.S. I [3] and cover the gap in mass between 300 and 500 GeV, even though the H.E.S.S. II results are derived for a different location in the sky. Because of differences in the analysis methods and a limited size of the current data sample a combination of the results obtained by H.E.S.S. phase I and phase II was not performed.

The case of the DM halo centered on the GC was also analyzed and the results are shown in Fig. 3, keeping the ROI on the 130 GeV excess position. The decrease in sensitivity by a factor of 8 to 10 can be explained by a decrease in the $\Phi_{\text{astro}}$ value by a factor of 4.3 ($\Phi_{\text{astro}} = 5.6 \times 10^{20}$ GeV$^2$ cm$^{-5}$). In this case the DM signal leakage into the OFF regions was 40%, adding another factor of 2 in the total loss in sensitivity for the line search studies with a data sample dedicated to the 130 GeV excess.

For the particular case of the 130 GeV excess, the likelihood method yielded the 95% C.L. limit on the line signal fraction $\eta$ of 0.0083 leading to an $N_{\gamma}^{95\% \text{ C.L.}}$ of 102.8 events. The 95% C.L. upper limits on the flux and $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ for data and MC simulations are summarized in Table I for both Einasto [24] and Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) [26] DM halo profiles.

The cross-check studies with independent calibration and reconstruction, here in monoscopic mode, confirmed the conclusion of no significant excess at 130 GeV and the exclusion at 95% C.L. for the 130 GeV excess. Because of the large extension of the galactic DM halo, a fraction of the expected DM signal leaks into the background regions, found to be at the level of 25% of the DM signal in the ROI. The presented $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ limits account for this effect. The
impact of various systematic uncertainties was evaluated with full MC simulations including those of radial acceptance effects within the signal region and were found to only affect the limits obtained at the few percent level. As the signal region is sufficiently large there is no effect due to the point spread function. Finally, to estimate the impact of systematic uncertainties in the limits calculation for the considered sources of errors such as IRF values, the global energy scale, the background PDF shape, and the diffuse emission component included in the background regions, nuisance parameters modeled with Gaussian functions were introduced in the full likelihood calculations. The impact of each systematic effect was studied with 500 MC simulations providing statistically calibrated results. The background PDF shape has been identified as the dominant source of systematic uncertainties, changing 95% C.L. limits by 10% to 15% depending on the line energy probed.

Summary and conclusions.—Analysis of data from dedicated H.E.S.S. II observations of 18 h towards the vicinity of the Galactic Center lead to the 95% C.L. exclusion of the $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ value associated with the 130 GeV excess reported in Ref. [7] in the Fermi-LAT data. The likelihood method developed for this study has been successfully applied to estimate for the first time the sensitivity for a DM line search with the five telescope configuration of the H.E.S.S. experiment. New constraints on linelike DM signals have been obtained in the line scan in the energy range between 100 GeV and 2 TeV, bridging the gap between previously reported H.E.S.S. phase I and Fermi-LAT results. The analysis reported here has been performed under the hypothesis of the DM halo centered at the 130 GeV excess position, displaced with respect to the gravitational center of the Galaxy. Moving the center of the DM halo to $l = 0$, $b = 0$ implies a loss of sensitivity by a factor of at least 8 for the line search studies. The conclusions about the sensitivity of H.E.S.S. in phase II remain valid for explorations close to the Galactic Center and the current method will be employed on larger observational data sets in the future.
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### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Phi^{95}%$ C.L. $/ \Delta \Omega$</th>
<th>$\langle \sigma v \rangle^{95}%$ C.L.</th>
<th>$\langle \sigma v \rangle^{95}%$ C.L.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-27} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$10^{-27} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$10^{-27} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 3. $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ limits at 95% C.L. (red points) for the line scan between 100 GeV and 2 TeV, derived from 15.2 h of data and using an Einasto DM profile with a $\Phi$ value calculated with the CLUMPY package [25] ($\rho_s = 20$ kpc, $r_s = 0.17$). The MC estimations are presented with the same conventions as in Fig. 2. The former limits from H.E.S.S. I [3] obtained in the CGH region and the Fermi-LAT [10] are represented by blue and black data points, respectively. The $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ value corresponding to the 130 GeV line feature reported as R16 in Ref. [7] is shown in green. The limits extracted with the assumption of the DM halo position at the GC are shown with a continuous blue line (see the text). It should be noted that the comparison of the limits on the hot spot obtained in this work cannot be directly done with the H.E.S.S. I results as the DM halo was centered on the Galactic Center position in the sky. In case of the Fermi LAT, the red curve can still be compared to the Fermi LAT limits as the latter would only be marginally modified (at the level of 1%) by the displacement of the DM halo, given the very large size of the ROI ($16^\circ$ of radius) in use.
Deceased.

Corresponding authors.
contact.hess@hess-experiment.eu