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In its recent "newspaper" from 1866, the French Cour de Cassation found that the form of contract used by the factory to employ some workers was unlawful under the law of 1854. The factory had employed these workers under this contract, which was one of many cases through which they had failed to properly honour the law. The Cour de Cassation found that the contract was void and that the workers were entitled to compensation for any losses they had suffered.
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