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Distributional learning seems to play a role in categorizing new visual stimuli in infancy. Chládkova et al. (2018) found that distributional learning also contributes to the formation of novel visual object categories in infants. Junge et al. (2018) found that distributional learning also plays a role in novel visual category learning in older children.

**DISTRIBUTIONAL LEARNING**

- Distributional learning is a type of statistical learning based on the frequency distributions of tokens along a continuum.
- Distributional learning plays a role in forming phonetic categories (e.g. Maye et al., 2002).
- Junge et al. (2018) found that distributional learning also contributes to the formation of novel visual object categories in infants.
- Distributional learning in children participates based on the statistical properties of the input in the familiarization phase and contributes to preferences in the test phase.

**RESEARCH QUESTION**

Do school-aged children learn novel object categories based on distributional information?

**METHOD: FAMILIARIZATION PHASE**

Experiment based on Junge et al. (2018), adapted to school-aged children. Design familiarization phase based on Chládkova et al. (2020).

- **Participants**
  - 49 neurotypical Dutch-speaking children (7-9 years old)
  - An 11-step novel object continuum was constructed.
  - Participants were familiarized with tokens from the continuum (288 tokens in total, duration +/- 8 minutes).
  - Between-participant design: PPs did one of two familiarization conditions.
  - The conditions differed in the position of the distribution peaks along the continuum.

**METHOD: TEST PHASE**

- Eight 2A-FC test questions
- Does token D1 or D2 look more like token S?

**RESULTS**

A generalized logistic linear mixed effect model in R was used to test whether familiarization condition influenced stimulus choice.

In line with our prediction, participants in Condition 1 were 3.29 (95% CI 1.23 - 9.54) times more likely (odds ratio) to choose stimulus D2 than participants in Condition 2, and this effect of Condition was significant: $z = 2.85, p = 0.0171$.

Familiarization condition significantly influenced whether participants preferred the combination S + D1 or S + D2.

**Hypotheses**

- PPs in Condition 1 learn that tokens S and D2 belong to one category.
- PPs in Condition 2 learn that tokens S and D1 belong to one category.

**DISCUSSION**

- Familiarization condition influenced the preference for combining token S with token D1 or D2, indicating that the distributional properties of the input in the familiarization phase influenced categorization of the stimuli.
- Distributional learning seems to play a role in categorizing new visual stimuli in school-aged children.
- Familiarization condition influenced whether familiarization condition influenced stimulus choice. There seems to be an inherent preference for the combination S + D1. Perhaps the visual continuum should be changed in future studies.
- Currently, children with developmental language disorders (DLD) participate in this research. As children with DLD have difficulties with statistical learning (e.g. Obeyd et al., 2016), we are analysing their performance on the same task to investigate their distributional learning in the visual domain and to understand whether this ability correlates with vocabulary knowledge.
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