A novel Axin2 knock-in mouse model for visualization and lineage tracing of WNT/CTNNB1 responsive cells

van de Moosdijk, A.A.A.; van de Grift, Y.B.C.; de Man, S.M.A.; Zeeman, A.L.; van Amerongen, R.

DOI
10.1002/dvg.23387

Publication date
2020

Document Version
Final published version

Published in
Genesis

License
CC BY

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)
A novel Axin2 knock-in mouse model for visualization and lineage tracing of WNT/CTNNB1 responsive cells

Anoeska Agatha Alida van de Moosdijk | Yorick Bernardus Cornelis van de Grift | Saskia Madelon Ada de Man | Amber Lisanne Zeeman | Renée van Amerongen

Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Correspondence
Renée van Amerongen, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Postbus 1212, 1000 BE, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
Email: r.vanamerongen@uva.nl

Funding information
KWF Kankerbestrijding, Grant/Award Numbers: ANW 2013-6057, UVA 2015-8014; Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek, Grant/Award Number: VIDI 864.13.002; Universiteit van Amsterdam, Grant/Award Number: MacGillavry fellowship

Summary
Wnt signal transduction controls tissue morphogenesis, maintenance and regeneration in all multicellular animals. In mammals, the WNT/CTNNB1 (Wnt/β-catenin) pathway controls cell proliferation and cell fate decisions before and after birth. It plays a critical role at multiple stages of embryonic development, but also governs stem cell maintenance and homeostasis in adult tissues. However, it remains challenging to monitor endogenous WNT/CTNNB1 signaling dynamics in vivo. Here, we report the generation and characterization of a new knock-in mouse strain that doubles as a fluorescent reporter and lineage tracing driver for WNT/CTNNB1 responsive cells. We introduced a multi-cistronic targeting cassette at the 3' end of the universal WNT/CTNNB1 target gene Axin2. The resulting knock-in allele expresses a bright fluorescent reporter (3xNLS-SGFP2) and a doxycycline-inducible driver for lineage tracing (rtTA3). We show that the Axin2P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2 strain labels WNT/CTNNB1 responsive cells at multiple anatomical sites during different stages of embryonic and postnatal development. It faithfully reports the subtle and dynamic changes in physiological WNT/CTNNB1 signaling activity that occur in vivo. We expect this mouse strain to be a useful resource for biologists who want to track and trace the location and developmental fate of WNT/CTNNB1 responsive stem cells in different contexts.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

WNT/CTNNB1 (Wnt/β-catenin) signaling controls multiple stages of development in the mammalian embryo, ranging from primary axis formation (Liu et al., 1999) to mammary gland morphogenesis (Chu et al., 2004). Postnatally, WNT/CTNNB1 responsive stem cells regulate tissue homeostasis, regeneration and wound healing (Nusse & Clevers, 2017). Over the years, different genetically engineered mouse strains have been generated to capture these dynamic processes in vivo (Figure 1a).

Multiple reporter lines allow visualization of cells with active WNT/CTNNB1 signaling, either directly (e.g., GFP) or indirectly (e.g., lacZ). Some strains report active WNT/CTNNB1 signaling using...
**FIGURE 1** Design and generation of the **Axin2**\textsuperscript{P2A\textendash}rtTA3\textendash}T2A\textendash}3xNLS\textendash}SGFP2 knock-in allele. (a) Overview of WNT/CTNNB1 reporter and lineage tracing strains that are available from public repositories. Information was retrieved from the International Mouse Strain Resource (IMSR) at http://www.findmice.org. Strains are subdivided by four criteria: whether it is a random transgenic insertion (Tg) or a targeted insertion (**Rosa26** or **Axin2** locus); whether the targeted **Axin2** allele still produces a functional AXIN2 protein; whether the strain carries a reporter that can directly visualize WNT/CTNNB1 responsive cells; whether the strain can be used for lineage tracing experiments. n.a., not applicable; FP, fluorescent protein; asterisk * requires Cre-mediated removal of a stop-cassette before the reporter becomes functional. (b) Schematic representation of the mouse **Axin2** locus (chr11:108920349-108950781, mm10 coordinates). Most existing **Axin2** knock-in strains target the 5′ end of the gene by introducing the knock-in cassette at the start codon (ATG) in exon 2. This disrupts the endogenous **Axin2** coding sequence. (c) Cartoon depicting the **Axin2**\textsuperscript{P2A\textendash}rtTA3\textendash}T2A\textendash}3xNLS\textendash}SGFP2 targeting construct. A multicistronic targeting cassette was cloned immediately upstream of the **Axin2** stop codon (TGA) in exon 11. The **PGK-Neo-polyA** cassette, flanked by FRT sites (indicated by triangles), was used for selection of embryonic stem cells and was removed prior to establishing the colony. (d) Targeted locus after removal of the **PGK-Neo-polyA** selection cassette. The approximate location of the primers used for the genotyping PCR depicted in (g) is indicated with equilibrium arrows. (e) The 3′ knock-in allele is designed to give rise to a single transcript in which the **Axin2** 5′ UTR, coding sequence and 3′ UTR are left intact. (f) Following translation, the self-cleaving P2A and T2A sequences ensure that the polypeptide is cleaved into a fully functional AXIN2 protein, a doxycycline activatable rtTA3 driver for lineage tracing, and a bright green fluorescent protein that localizes to the nucleus (3xNLS\textendash}SGFP2). (g) Genotyping PCR of wildtype, heterozygous and homozygous animals. Wildtype allele = 257 bp, knock-in allele = 461 bp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mouse strain</th>
<th>endogenous target</th>
<th>functional allele</th>
<th>reporter</th>
<th>lineage tracing</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tg TOPGAL</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>LacZ</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Maretto et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg BATGAL</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>LacZ</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>DestGupta and Fuchs (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg TCF/LEF H2BGFP</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>H2B-FP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Ferrer-Vaquer et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg Axin2 rtTA2</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>LacZ</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Yu et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axin2 \textsuperscript{LacZ}</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>Lustig et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axin2 \textsuperscript{mtTg}</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>de Roo et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axin2 \textsuperscript{CreERT2}</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>van Amerongen et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axin2 \textsuperscript{P2A\textendash}rtTA3\textendash}T2A\textendash}3xNLS\textendash}SGFP2</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>NLS-FP</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>this report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
an artificial reporter construct with concatenated TCF/LEF sites, analogous to the first in vivo WNT/CTNNB1 reporter mouse, TOP-GAL (Cho, Smallwood, & Nathans, 2017; DasGupta & Fuchs, 1999; Ferrer-Vaquer et al., 2010; Maretto et al., 2003). Although these transgenic strains continue to be highly useful, they likely do not fully recapitulate the endogenous pattern of WNT/CTNNB1 signaling activity due to integration of this reporter cassette into a random or exogenous locus (Cho et al., 2017; Yu, Liu, Costantini, & Hsu, 2007). Moreover, different expression patterns can be detected when multiple lines are compared in the same tissue (Al Alam et al., 2011). Although truly universal WNT/CTNNB1 target genes are rare, the negative feedback regulator Axin2 has been shown to reliably report cells with active WNT/CTNNB1 signaling (Lustig et al., 2001). Accordingly, it can be used to track the developmental fate of WNT/CTNNB1 responsive stem cells in postnatal tissues (Van Amerongen, Bowman, & Nusse, 2012).

All existing models suffer from some drawbacks. Specifically, none of the available strains combine the expression of a sensitive fluorescent reporter gene and a lineage tracing driver from an unperturbed, physiological locus. Therefore, we generated a novel fluorescent reporter gene and a lineage tracing driver from an none of the available strains combine the expression of a sensitive fluorescent reporter gene and a lineage tracing driver from an unperturbed, physiological locus. Therefore, we generated a novel fluorescent reporter gene and a lineage tracing driver from an Axin2 knock-in allele that has been reported in homozygous Axin2lacZ mice (Yu et al., 2005). This suggests that our 3’ knock-in allele retains full functionality, in contrast to 5’ Axin2 knock-in alleles, which by design disrupt endogenous Axin2 expression (de Roo et al., 2017; Lustig et al., 2001; Van Amerongen, Bowman, et al., 2012) and which may be haploinsufficient depending on the genetic background (Table S2).

For functional validation of the allele, we isolated primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) from E13.5 embryos that were heterozygous for the Axin2<sup>P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2</sup> allele. Only cells with activated WNT/CTNNB1 signaling are predicted to induce Axin2 and to express rtTA3, as well as a bright green fluorescent protein in the nucleus (Figure 2a,b). Quantitative RT-PCR analysis confirmed that Axin2, rtTA3 and SGFP2 were all induced upon WNT/CTNNB1 pathway activation (Figure 2c–f). As expected, nuclear SGFP2 expression was induced upon dose-dependent activation of WNT/CTNNB1 signaling, as demonstrated by exposing the cells to increasing concentrations of CHIR99021 (a small molecule GSK3 inhibitor that activates WNT/CTNNB1 signaling downstream of the WNT receptor complex) (Figure 2g,h, Figure S2).

Next, we tested if rtTA3 was capable of activating a tetO-responsive promoter in a doxycycline and CTNNB1-dependent manner (Figure 2i). In MEFs heterozygous for both the Axin2<sup>P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2</sup> allele and a tetO-responsive FLAG-tagged transgene, FLAG-tagged protein expression was only induced in the presence of both CHIR99021 and doxycycline, but not by either treatment alone (Figure 2i).

To determine if the knock-in allele properly reports WNT/CTNNB1 signaling during embryonic development, we isolated embryos from stages at which defined Axin2 expression domains were previously determined using RNA in situ hybridization (Jho et al., 2002). A dim SGFP2 signal could be detected in freshly isolated heterozygous or homozygous, but not wildtype embryos under a dissecting microscope (Figure S3). Homozygous embryos consistently showed a brighter GFP signal than heterozygous embryos, suggesting bi-allelic expression of Axin2.

At E8.5, SGFP2 was expressed in the head folds and the posterior neural tube (Figure 3a–c). At E10.5, we detected SGFP2 expression in the developing limb bud, in the branchial arches, and along the dorsal neural tube, including the roof plate of the developing brain (Figure 3d–f). These are the same sites where endogenous Axin2 was previously shown to be expressed via RNA in situ hybridization (Jho et al., 2002). At E12.5, prominent SGFP2 expression was visible in the mammalian placodes (Figure 3g–i), recapitulating expression of the Axin2<sup>lacZ</sup> reporter at this site (Van Amerongen, Bowman, et al., 2012). Using wholemount confocal microscopy, individual SGFP2-positive nuclei could readily be distinguished in these embryos at the indicated sites (Figure 3c,e,f,i, Movies S1 and S2). Together, these experiments confirm that Axin2<sup>P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2</sup> reports endogenous WNT/CTNNB1 signaling in the developing embryo.

To test functionality of the lineage tracing module in vivo, we generated compound Axin2<sup>P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2</sup>; tetO-Cre; Rosa26<sup>mTmG</sup>
mice and labeled WNT/CTNNB1-responsive cells in E7.5 embryos by a single intraperitoneal injection of doxycycline into timed pregnant females. At E10.5, triple-heterozygous embryos showed prominent recombination of the Rosa26<sup>mTmG</sup> reporter in the posterior half of the embryo (Figure 3j–l), consistent with posterior expression of Axin2 at the time of induction at E7.5 (Jho et al., 2002). Thus,
Axin2P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2 allows direct visualization and efficient lineage tracing of WNT/CTNNB1 responsive cells in the developing embryo.

Postnatally, SGFP2 expression was readily visualized in the small intestinal crypt. The strongest signal was found at the crypt bottom in so-called crypt-base-columnar cells (CBCs), which are known to be WNT/CTNNB1 responsive (Barker et al., 2007). These cells are readily identified by their distinct shape and presence among SGFP2-negative Paneth cells (Figure 4a, b). In adult mice, a gradient of SGFP2 signal extended into the upper portion of the crypt (Figure 4a), Axin2 expressing cells in the intestine could also be detected by immunohistochemical staining of formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue sections with an anti-GFP antibody (Figure S4).

In adult triple-heterozygous Axin2P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2; tetO-Cre; Rosa26mTmG mice, Cre/lox mediated recombination of the Rosa26mTmG reporter allele in fast dividing, long-lived WNT/CTNNB1 responsive intestinal stem cells was efficiently induced by a single intraperitoneal injection of doxycycline in both pubertal and adult mice. Recombined cells first became visible 24–48 hr after doxycycline injection (Figure S5), after which the progeny of fast dividing, long-lived WNT/CTNNB1-responsive stem cells could be traced and seen to populate the crypt and villus compartments within 3–6 days (Figure S6). Prolonged tracing of the WNT/CTNNB1 responsive cell lineage resulted in sustained labeling of the entire crypt villus compartment as expected (Figure 4c–d), confirming that Axin2P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2 labels intestinal stem cells (Van Amerongen, Bowman, et al., 2012).

We and others have previously reported the presence of Axin2-positive, WNT/CTNNB1 responsive cells in multiple endodermal and ectodermal tissues (Lim et al., 2013; Lim, Tan, Yu, Lim, & Nusse, 2016; Van Amerongen, Bowman, et al., 2012; Wang, Zhao, Fish, Logan, & Nusse, 2015). Contrary to what is observed in the intestine, tracing of cells in other tissues was less efficient in the experimental set up used. Nevertheless, scarce labeling of cells in the liver (Figure 4e,f, Movie S3) and prominent labeling of cells in the hair follicle (Figure 4g–i, Figure S7) could be detected, either by imagingwhelomount tissues or FFPE sections.

Finally, we established primary 3D small intestinal organoid cultures from Axin2P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2 animals. One heterozygous and one homozygous organoid line were maintained for extended cultures of more than 6 months, during which the reporter remained stably expressed and did not get silenced (Figure 5, Figure S8). Similar to what we observed in embryos, the levels of SGFP2 were higher in homozygous than in heterozygous organoids (Figure S8a–d). Strongest expression of the reporter allele was observed in CBCs at the bottom of the crypt-like compartment. Expression gradually decreased along the crypt axis in the transit amplifying compartment, similar to what is observed in vivo (Figures 4a and 5a–d).

Intestinal organoids provide a relevant physiological context to study the bidirectional response of the reporter, since WNT/CTNNB1 signaling can be both hyperactivated and inhibited in this setting. Direct modulation of the activity of the WNT/CTNNB1 pathway with small molecule inhibitors influenced both the intensity and the number of SGFP2-positive cells present per organoid (Figure 5e–j). Exposure to CHIR99021 resulted in a prominent increase in Axin2-positive cells along the crypt axis within 24 hr (Figure 5f,i). In contrast, incubation with the PORCN inhibitor IWP-2, which blocks the secretion of endogenous WNT proteins, completely abolished SGFP2 expression within 48 hr (Figure 5g,j). This confirms that the Axin2P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2 reporter is sensitive to direct changes in WNT/CTNNB1 signaling and dynamically reports endogenous WNT/CTNNB1 signaling in individual cells.

In conclusion, our Axin2P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2 model offers multiple advantages over existing mouse models (Figure 1a) and as such we expect it to be useful for investigations into the role of WNT/CTNNB1 signaling in embryonic development and adult tissue stem cells. We show that the fluorescent 3xNLS-SGFP2 reporter is expressed at multiple embryonic and postnatal stages of development (Figures 3 and 4) and dynamically reports changes in WNT/CTNNB1 signaling (Figures 2 and 5). Signal intensity of the reporter can be increased by using homozygous rather than heterozygous mice.

We also demonstrate that the new, doxycycline-inducible lineage tracing driver is suitable for embryonic and postnatal traces (Figures 3 and 4). At the same time, our model does not fully
recapitulate the results that we previously obtained with the 5’ Axin2lacZ or 5’ Axin2CreERT2 knock-in models (Lim et al., 2013; Van Amerongen, Bowman, et al., 2012). Specifically, both lacZ reporter gene expression and CreERT2-driven recombination were detected in a larger set of adult cell types than sGFP2 reporter expression and rtTA3-driven Cre/lox recombination in our new 3’ knock-in model.

**FIGURE 3** Legend on next page.
This once again underscores that care should be taken when interpreting results from genetic reporters and, in general, when comparing expression patterns across different mouse strains. The discrepancy between the different Axin2 models can be explained by one or more of the following reasons. First, our new knock-in model preserves both 5' and 3' transcriptional and translational regulatory control. It thus fully recapitulates endogenous Axin2 expression. The direct detection of a fluorescent reporter reveals how low these expression levels really are, especially after birth. This is in agreement with the low-level and low-amplitude oscillations of Axin2 expression detected by others (Sonnen et al., 2018) and something that may be obscured by the enzymatic amplification that occurs during X-gal staining in Axin2 ΔlacZ mice. It may also explain reduced labeling efficiency in postnatal lineage tracing experiments. Second, the tetO-Cre line used for our studies is not leaky, but may display a high threshold to activation. In combination with the low Axin2 (and thus low rtTA3) expression this may result in insufficient Cre activity to recombine the Rosa26 mTmG allele. Whether rtTA3 or tetO-Cre, or a combination of both, is the rate limiting factor remains to be tested. Labeling efficiency might be increased in homozygous Axin2 P2A rtTA3 T2A 3xNLS-SGF22 animals or in combination with a different tetO-Cre strain.

Summarizing, our bright fluorescent nuclear SGFP2 reporter offers straightforward detection of Axin2 expression and is expected to be compatible with live cell imaging, nuclear segmentation and thus automated cell tracking in embryonic tissues and organoids. The Axin2 P2A rtTA3 T2A 3xNLS-SGF22 reporter is sensitive to direct changes in endogenous WNT/CTNNB1 signaling and allows monitoring of WNT/CTNNB1 sensitive cells. As such, our model can be a useful tool for the scientific community to simultaneously visualize and trace cells with active WNT/CTNNB1 signaling both in vivo and in vitro.

### 3 METHODS

#### 3.1 Generation of Axin2 P2A rtTA3 T2A 3xNLS-SGF22 mice

The following considerations were made in designing the Axin2 P2A rtTA3 T2A 3xNLS-SGF22 targeting construct: P2A and T2A self-cleaving peptides were selected to ensure optimal separation of Axin2, rtTA3, and 3xNLS-SGF22 (Daniels, Rossano, Macleod, & Ganetzky, 2014). The rtTA3 (Tet3G) transactivator was selected to ensure sensitive and tight (i.e., low background) doxycycline-dependent control for lineage tracing purposes (Das et al., 2004; Zhou, Vink, Klaver, Berkhout, & Das, 2006). The SGFP2 fluorescent protein was selected for its bright and monomeric properties (Kremers, Goedhart, Van Den Heuvel, Gerritsen, & Gadella, 2007). In anticipation of low expression levels, a triple nuclear localization signal (3xNLS) was employed to concentrate the fluorescent reporter signal for improved detection (Chertkova et al., 2017).

The Axin2 P2A rtTA3 T2A 3xNLS-SGF22 mouse line was generated by Ozone Ltd (Bentley WA, Australia). The final targeting construct, containing 5.5 kb and 2.8 kb homology arms, was linearized and electroporated into Bruce 4 (B6.Cg-Thy1) ES cells (Köntgen, Süss, Stewart, Steinmetz, & Blutemann, 1993). Homologous recombining ES cell clones (7/95) were identified by Southern hybridization and 2 independent clones were injected into goGermline blastocysts (Koentgen et al., 2016). Male chimeric mice were obtained and crossed to FLP females (O2Fip; a Rosa26 transgenic FLP deleter strain) to establish heterozygous germline offspring on a pure C57BL/6 background. The final colony was established from one of these ES cell derived chimeras. Cloning, sequencing and targeting details are provided as supplementary files available via https://osf.io/6cyab/.

---

**FIGURE 3** Axin2 P2A rtTA3 T2A 3xNLS-SGF22 reports embryonic WNT/CTNNB1 signaling. (a and b) Wholemount E8.5 embryo after fixation, imaged under a dissecting microscope using brightfield (a) or fluorescent (b) settings. Arrowheads indicate the headfolds and posterior neural tube. Scalebar is 100 μm. (c) Maximum intensity projection of a whole mount confocal microscopy Z-stack of the same embryo as in (a and b). Scalebar is 100 μm. Arrowheads point to regions with individual SGFP2-positive nuclei in the headfolds and posterior neural tube. Scalebar is 500 μm. The same embryo is depicted in Figure S2. (e and f) Wholemount confocal microscopy images of the roof plate (e) and forelimb bud (f) of an E10.5 heterozygous embryo. Individual SGFP2-positive nuclei can be distinguished and are indicated with arrowheads. Scalebar is 50 μm for (e) and 100 μm for (f). (g) Wholemount E12.5 homozygous embryo imaged under a fluorescence dissecting microscope. Arrowheads indicate expression in the developing limb bud and branchial arches, along the dorsal neural tube, and in the roof plate of the developing brain. Scalebar is 500 μm. The same embryo is depicted in Figure S2. (a and b) Wholemount E8.5 embryo after fixation, imaged under a dissecting microscope using brightfield (a) or fluorescent (b) settings. Arrowheads indicate the headfolds and posterior neural tube. Scalebar is 100 μm. (c) Maximum intensity projection of a whole mount confocal microscopy Z-stack of the same embryo as in (a and b). Arrowheads point to regions with individual SGFP2-positive nuclei in the headfolds and posterior neural tube. Scalebar is 500 μm. (i) Maximum intensity projection of a whole mount confocal microscopy Z-stack of the E12.5 mammary bud, showing the difference in GFP intensity between a heterozygous (HET, top) and homozygous (HOM, bottom) embryo. Nuclear SGFP2 signal can be detected in both the epithelial bud and the surrounding mesenchyme. A 3D rotation of the entire Z-stack is provided in Movies S1 (HET) and S2 (HOM). (j) Timeline for the experiment depicted in (f). Lineage tracing in WNT/CTNNB1-responsive cells was induced in utero at E7.5 by administering a single intraperitoneal injection of doxycycline (DOX) to pregnant females. Embryos were isolated and imaged at E10.5. (k) Lineage tracing principle using the GFP signal for improved detection (Chertkova et al., 2017). The Axin2 P2A rtTA3 T2A 3xNLS-SGF22 mouse line was generated by Ozone Ltd (Bentley WA, Australia). The final targeting construct, containing 5.5 kb and 2.8 kb homology arms, was linearized and electroporated into Bruce 4 (B6.Cg-Thy1) ES cells (Köntgen, Süss, Stewart, Steinmetz, & Blutemann, 1993). Homologous recombining ES cell clones (7/95) were identified by Southern hybridization and 2 independent clones were injected into goGermline blastocysts (Koentgen et al., 2016). Male chimeric mice were obtained and crossed to FLP females (O2Fip; a Rosa26 transgenic FLP deleter strain) to establish heterozygous germline offspring on a pure C57BL/6 background. The final colony was established from one of these ES cell derived chimeras. Cloning, sequencing and targeting details are provided as supplementary files available via https://osf.io/6cyab/.
3.2 | Mice

All mice used for this study were maintained under standard housing conditions. Animals were housed in open or IVC cages on a 12 hr light/dark cycle and received food and water ad libitum. All experiments were performed in accordance with institutional and national guidelines and regulations and approved by the Animal Welfare Committee of the University of Amsterdam.

Axin2P2A-rTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2 mice were backcrossed to C57BL/6JRccHsd (Envigo) or FVB/NHan®Hsd (Envigo). These mice are currently being deposited with a public repository (official strain nomenclature: B6(Cg)-Axin2<tm1Rva>/Rva MGI:6360059). Most experiments were performed on a mixed C57BL/6 and FVB background, unless noted otherwise.

Other strains used: Rosa26mTmG [mixed background, official strain name B6.129(Cg)-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm4(ACTB-tdTomato,-EGFP)Luo/J, Jackson labs stock number 007676, (Muzumdar, Tasic, Miyamichi,

FIGURE 4 Legend on next page.
thus also not showing recombination of the experiments, we used littermates lacking the allele, and reporter. Arrowhead indicates a non-recombined crypt neighboring two traced crypts. Scalebar is 20 μm. In mice carrying the knock-in allele itself is not detected. Asterisk indicates a non-recombined crypt neighboring two traced cells. Scalebar is 20 μm. (e) Timeline for the experiment depicted in (f). Lineage tracing in WNT/CTNNB1-responsive cells was induced in adult mice at P84 via a single intraperitoneal injection of doxycycline (DOX). The WNT/CTNNB1-responsive lineage was traced for 3 days. (f) Confocal microscopy images of FFPE liver sections, showing the immunofluorescent detection of the recombined mTmG allele (left) in sporadic cells adjacent to the central vein. Nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (middle). Scale bar is 50 μm. (g) Timeline for the experiment depicted in (h and i). Lineage tracing in WNT/CTNNB1-responsive cells was induced in adult mice at P33 via a single intraperitoneal injection of doxycycline (DOX). The WNT/CTNNB1-responsive lineage was traced for 8 days. (h) Confocal microscopy images of FFPE skin section, showing the immunofluorescent detection of the recombined mTmG allele in multiple hair follicles. Scale bar is 20 μm. (i) Close up of the boxed area in (h), showing patches of recombined cells expressing membrane-localized mG (arrowhead) in the hair follicle (green, left). Nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (gray, right). Scale bar is 50 μm. In these qualitative lineage tracing experiments, no difference was observed between male and female mice.

3.4 | Isolation and culture of mouse embryonic fibroblasts

Mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) were isolated at E13.5 as described previously (Van Amerongen et al., 2005). MEFs were cultured in DMEM supplemented with Glutamax (ThermoFisher Scientific), 10% FBS (ThermoFisher Scientific), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific) and 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol (Mercck) at 37°C and 5% CO2. To induce WNT/CTNNB1 signaling in these cells, CHIR99021 (BioVision) was dissolved in DMSO (Sigma Aldrich) at 6 mM and added at the concentrations described.

3.5 | Isolation and culture of mouse intestinal organoids

Mouse intestinal organoids were established as previously described (Sato et al., 2009). Crypts were isolated from the entire length of the intestine using standard protocols.

![Figure 4](image-url)
Visualization and manipulation of endogenous WNT signaling in small intestinal organoids. (a and b) Confocal microscopy (transmission) image of a fixed Axin2^P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2 HOM; Rosa26^mTmG HET (red) small intestinal organoid stained with anti-Lysozyme (cyan). SGFP2 expression (depicted in red) is restricted to the crypt bottom, but does not co-localize with lysozyme staining in the large granular Paneth cells. The asterisk indicates dead material excreted into the organoid lumen that gives autofluorescence in the SGFP2 channel. SGFP2 is depicted in a red look up table for better visual representation of the subtle differences in combination with nuclear and membrane stainings. Note that this organoid line is also heterozygous for the Rosa26^mTmG allele, which is used as a membrane marker in panels (c–j). (b) Close-up of the highlighted crypt section from (a). Scalebars are 30 μm (a) and 15 μm (b). For (a and b) a total of 17 organoids were imaged in a single experiment. A representative image is shown. (c) Confocal microscopy image of two crypts from a fixed Axin2^P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2 HOM; Rosa26^mTmG HET small intestinal organoid. Membrane-tdTomato is depicted in gray and 3xNLS-SGFP2 expression in red. 3xNLS-SGFP2 is expressed in a gradient along the crypt-axis, the highest expression is located at the crypt bottom and gradually declines when cells move upward. Scalebar is 30 μm. (d) Heat map showing the relative Axin2 expression superimposed on the confocal image in (c). The heat map depicts the 3xNLS-sGPF2 signal relative to a DRAQ5 nuclear staining (not shown) and is imposed on the area of the nuclei. This overlay corrects for signal intensity differences due to imaging depth and reveals differences in gene expression. Scalebar is 30 μm. For panels (c and d), a total of 14 organoids were imaged in two independent experiments. A representative image is shown. (e and f) Confocal microscopy images of crypts from fixed Axin2^P2A-rtTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2 HOM; Rosa26^mTmG HET (3xNLS-SGFP2, red) small intestinal organoids stained with nuclear dye DRAQ5 (gray). The organoids were treated with either DMSO for 24 hr (e), CHIR99021 for 24 hr (f), or IWP2 for 48 hr (g) before fixation. Scalebar is 30 μm. (h–j) Heat map showing relative Axin2 reporter levels superimposed on the confocal images of (e and f). The dotted lines indicate the cutoff for which the nuclei were excluded from further analysis. Note that this relative scale is not directly comparable to the one depicted in (d). Scalebar is 30 μm. For panels (e–j) a total of 51 organoids were imaged in two independent experiments (n = 14 for DMSO, n = 29 for CHIR99021, n = 8 for IWP2). Representative images of all conditions are shown.
small intestine of one Axin2P2A-rTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2(HOM); Rosa26mTmG(HET) animal (used for Figure 5), one Axin2P2A-rTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2(HOM) animal and one Axin2P2A-rTA3-T2A-3xNLS-SGFP2(HET) animal (used for the comparison of heterozygous and homozygous organoids in Figure S8) and used to establish individual organoid lines. Organoids were cultured in 10 μl Matrigel droplets (Corning) in culture medium containing advanced DMEM/F12 (ThermoFisher Scientific) supplemented with 100 U/ml Penicillin/Streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific), 2 mM Glutamax (ThermoFisher Scientific), 10 mM HEPES (ThermoFisher Scientific), 1x B27 supplement (ThermoFisher Scientific) and 1.25 mM N-acetylCysteine (Sigma Aldrich), freshly added EGF (50 ng/ml, PeproTech), recombinant murine Noggin (100 ng/ml, PeproTech) and recombinant murine R-spondin 1 (500 ng/ml, Sino Biological Inc.) at 37°C and 5% CO2. For passaging, cell culture medium was removed and Matrigel was broken into small pieces by scraping, followed by vigorous pipetting with ice-cold advanced DMEM/F12. Crypts were centrifuged at 200g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was carefully removed, the pellet was resuspended in Matrigel and plated on pre-warmed plates. Medium was refreshed every other day and organoids were split once a week in a 1:3 ratio. To modulate the levels of WNT/CTNNB1 signaling at day 5 after plating, 10 μM CHIR99021 (BioVision), or 2 μM IWP-2 (Calbiochem) were added for 24 or 48 hr before fixation.

3.6 | RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and qRT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated from confluent MEF cultures using TRizol (ThermoFisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. Residual genomic DNA was removed by RQ1 RNase-free DNase treatment (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA concentration was determined using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer. cDNA was synthesized from 2 μg RNA using SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and Random Hexamers (ThermoFisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RiboLock RNase-inhibitor (ThermoFisher Scientific) was added during the reverse transcriptase reaction. The resulting cDNA was diluted 10-fold for subsequent qRT-PCR analysis.

qRT-PCR was performed using a QuantStudio 3 (Applied Biosystems). PCR reactions (total volume 20 μl) were set up containing 13 μl RNase-free H2O, 4 μl 15x HOT FIREPol EvaGreen qRT-PCR Mix Plus ROX (Solis Biodyne), 0.5 μl of each specific forward and reverse primer (10 μM stock) and 2 μl of diluted cDNA template. The reactions were set up in technical triplicates in 96-well qPCR plates. One negative control (no-RT) reaction was included for each sample/primer combination. Thermal cycling was performed, starting with a hold stage at 50°C for 2 min, an initial step at 95°C for 15 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s and annealing at 60°C for 1 min. Each run was completed with a melting curve analysis. Primer sequences are detailed in Table S3.

3.7 | Protein isolation and western blot analysis

Cells were harvested by lysis in RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0) supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche). Protein concentration was determined using a Pierce BCA protein kit (BioRad), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were prepared in equal amounts of protein in loading buffer [125 mM Tris–HCl (pH 6.8), 50% glycerol, 4% SDS, 0.2% Orange-G, 10% betamercaptoethanol (Merck)] and boiled at 95°C. Denatured samples were run on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to a 0.2 μm nitrocellulose membrane (Biorad) at 30 V for 16 hr; or at 260 mA for 3 hr, both at 4°C. The blot was blocked for 1 hr at room temperature in Odyssey Blocking Buffer (LI-COR) diluted 1:1 in TBS, followed by overnight incubation with primary antibody at 4°C. Primary antibodies were used to recognize GFP (1:1,000, Thermo Fisher, A-6455), HSP90α/β (1:1,000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-13119), Flag M1 (1:2,000, Sigma, F3040), and active CTNNB1 (1:1,000, Cell Signaling Technology, 8814). After incubation with the primary antibody, blots were washed extensively with TBS-Tween (0.1%) before incubating with the secondary antibodies for 1 hr at room temperature. Secondary antibodies were IRDye 680LT antimouse (1:20,000, LI-COR) and IRDye 800CW anti-rabbit (1:20,000, LI-COR). For Flag M1 antibody, 1 mM calcium was added to all solutions from the addition of the first antibody on. Blots were imaged with an Odyssey Fc Dual-Model Imaging System (LI-COR). Image visualization/representation for Western blots was performed using LI-COR Image Studio Lite software. Panels were cropped in Photoshop. Original Western blots are available via https://osf.io/6cyab/.

3.8 | Immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry

GFP expression was assessed using standard immunostaining methods. 4% PFA-fixed (Merck) and paraffin-embedded tissues were cut into 15 μm sections (IHC) or 5 μm sections (IF) and floated on water. Tissues sections were picked up onto a superfrost plus slide (Thermo Scientific), deparaffinized, and then rehydrated. Antigen retrieval was performed in Sodium Citrate buffer (10 mM Sodium Citrate, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 6.0) by incubating the slides for 2.5 hr at 85°C, followed by cooling on ice. The slides were then washed with PBS for 5 min.

For immunohistochemistry, the slides were rinsed with demi water. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubation in 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 30 min, and then the tissues sections were rinsed with PBS. Next, tissues were circled with an ImmEdge pen (Vector labs) and incubated for 20 min with diluted normal blocking serum from Vectastain Elite ABC kit (PK-6101 Vector labs). Diluted GFP antibody (rabbit, GFP polyclonal antibody, A-6455 Invitrogen), was prepared by using 1:5,000 GFP antibody in blocking serum. Without rinsing the slides, this antibody was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. As a negative control, samples were only stained with the secondary antibody. The slides were washed with
Intestinal organoids were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for histology, samples were cultured and imaged on glass chamber slides (Ibidi) by microscopy on a Leica SP8 with the LasX software. For imaging, the fluorophores were excited as follows: DAPI at 405 nm, SGFP2 at 488 nm, and tdTomato at 561 nm. Emission was detected as follows: DAPI 425–480 nm, SGFP2 500–555 nm, tdTomato 567–625 nm, Alexa Fluor 647/anti-lysozyme and DRAQ5 at 633 nm. Emission was detected as follows: SGFP2 Hyd 494–540 nm, tdTomato Hyd 568–625 nm, Alexa Fluor 647/anti-lysozyme PMT 642–696 nm, DRAQ5 PMT 665–740 nm. All images were acquired using a 40x oil or 63x water objective. For imaging immunofluorescence slides, pictures were taken using an Axio scope A1 microscope with a Nikon RI2 camera and NIS F freeware. For imaging immunofluorescence slides, Pictures were taken using a Nikon A1 confocal microscope and NIS elements AR software.

Wholemount images of embryos were taken on a Leica stereomicroscope MZFLIII equipped with a Nikon Digital sight DS-Fi2 and NIS elements F freeware software.

### 3.10 | Microscopy image analysis

Fluorescence microscopy images were processed in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) using the Image 5D plugin and custom built approaches. For the quantification of SGFP2 expression in MEFs (Figure 2c,d and Figure S2), ROIs surrounding single nuclei were selected manually in the DAPI channel using the magic wand tool. The SGFP2 nuclear signal was normalized over DAPI intensity. For each condition, three images were analyzed. This was repeated for three independent MEF lines. Data for one of the lines is shown in Figure 2, data for the other two lines are shown in Figure S2. For Figure 5, heatmaps were drawn as follows: ROIs surrounding single nuclei were selected manually in the DRAQ5 channel using the Freehand selection tool. The SGFP2 nuclear signal was normalized over DRAQ5 intensity. To determine the relative Axin2 expression a ratio of SGFP2 over DRAQ5 was calculated in Excel and imported in Fiji. An overlay was made that draws a heat map in selected ROIs based on this ratio with the ROI Color Coder plugin (Ferreira et al., 2017).

Color scheme choices: SGFP2 signal is shown in green, except for Figure 5 and Figure S6, where a red LUT was chosen to be able to overlay the SGFP2 and the nuclear signal. All lineage tracing experiments are depicted in green and red to maintain the original mTmG reporter set up (i.e., a switch from tdTomato to eGFP).

### 3.11 | Software, statistics, and online databases

The following software was used: Fiji (https://imagej.net/Fiji/Downloads) for image analysis (Schindelin et al., 2012), R.
(R Development Core Team, 2017) and R studio (https://rstudio.com/products/rstudio/download/) to generate the dotplots and violin plots in Figure 2 using the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2009), ThermoFisher Cloud software and Microsoft Excel for qRT-PCR analysis using the ddCt method, LI-COR Image Studio Lite for Western blot analysis, Graphpad PRISM for generating graphs. Final figures were compiled in Adobe Illustrator. For Figure 1a, information was retrieved from http://www.findmice.org on the seventh of January 2020.
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**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.