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Summary

In *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici*, all effector genes reported so far – also called SIX genes – are located on a single accessory chromosome which is required for pathogenicity and can also be horizontally transferred to another strain. To narrow down the minimal region required for virulence, we selected partial pathogenicity chromosome deletion strains by fluorescence-assisted cell sorting of a strain in which the two arms of the pathogenicity chromosome were labelled with GFP and RFP respectively. By testing the virulence of these deletion mutants, we show that the complete long arm and part of the short arm of the pathogenicity chromosome are not required for virulence. In addition, we demonstrate that smaller versions of the pathogenicity chromosome can also be transferred to a non-pathogenic strain and they are sufficient to turn the non-pathogen into a pathogen. Surprisingly, originally non-pathogenic strains that had received a smaller version of the pathogenicity chromosome were much more aggressive than recipients with a complete pathogenicity chromosome. Whole genome sequencing analysis revealed that partial deletions of the pathogenicity chromosome occurred mainly close to repeats, and that spontaneous duplication of sequences in accessory regions is frequent both in chromosome deletion strains and in horizontal transfer strains.

Introduction

Accessory chromosomes, also called supernumerary chromosomes, B chromosomes, or lineage-specific chromosomes, were first discovered in *Hemiptera* in 1907 (Camacho et al., 2000). However, it was only in 1991 that they were first reported in a fungus; the plant-pathogenic fungus *Nectria haematococca* (*Fusarium solani*) (Miao et al., 1991). Since then, accessory chromosomes have been found in more than 20 different species of fungi (Bertazzoni et al., 2018), including the plant pathogens *F. oxysporum* (Fo) (Ma et al., 2010; Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b; Williams et al., 2016; van Dam et al., 2017), *F. solani* (Coleman et al., 2009) and *Zymoseptoria tritici* (Croll et al., 2013; Schotanus et al., 2015; Habig et al., 2017). Accessory chromosomes are generally distinguished from core chromosomes by their relatively high number of repeats, lower gene density, distinct codon usage, different evolutionary trajectories and dispensability (Galazka and Freitag, 2014).

Although accessory chromosomes are dispensable, they can play an important role under specific conditions, such as conferring pathogenicity to specific plant species (Bertazzoni et al., 2018). For example, in *Alternaria*, host-selective toxin genes are located on accessory chromosomes which are responsible for causing disease on certain plant species (Tsuge et al., 2013; Akimitsu et al., 2014; Meena et al., 2017). Recent findings in the hemibiotrophic plant pathogen *Colletotrichum higginsianum* showed that mutants without chromosome 11 are arrested during the biotrophic phase of infection (Plaumann et al., 2018). In contrast, loss of this chromosome had no clear effect on vegetative fitness, suggesting that this chromosome plays a specific role during infection (Plaumann et al., 2018). One of the most well-documented examples is the pathogenicity chromosome of *Fo* f.sp. *lycopersici* (Fol) (Ma et al., 2010; Schmidt et al., 2013; Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016a). While this pathogenicity chromosome can be lost without affecting normal growth, strains without this pathogenicity chromosome do not cause disease on tomato plants (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b). All 14 known effector genes (*Secreted In Xylem* genes, SIX genes) are located on this pathogenicity chromosome (Schmidt et al., 2013), and some of these effector genes were shown to contribute to virulence towards tomato plants, including SIX1 (AVR3) (Rep et al., 2004), SIX3 (AVR2) (Houterman...
et al., 2009) and SIX5 (Ma et al., 2015). Further studies on this pathogenicity chromosome showed that loss of (most of) the long arm (q arm) of this chromosome, including SIX6, SIX9 and SIX11, did not significantly affect virulence (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b).

Apart from conferring advantages in a certain environment, at least some accessory chromosomes can also be horizontally transferred from one strain to another (He et al., 1998; Ma et al., 2010; Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016a; van Dam et al., 2017). The first molecular evidence for horizontal chromosome transfer (HCT) in fungal plant pathogens was reported in Colletotrichum gloeosporioides (Masel et al., 1996). It was suggested that a 2 Mb chromosome in the biotype B isolate Bx most likely originated by a relatively recent transfer from biotype A. Shortly after, He and colleagues experimentally demonstrated horizontal transfer of a 2 Mb chromosome from biotype A to biotype B, however, no pathogenicity phenotype was transferred (He et al., 1998).

HCT has also been observed in Fo (Ma et al., 2010; Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016a; van Dam et al., 2017; Li et al., 2020). When co-incubating a Fol strain with a non-pathogenic strain, the pathogenicity chromosome of Fol can be transferred to a non-pathogenic strain, turning the latter into a tomato-infecting strain (Ma et al., 2010; Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016a). In some cases, a second accessory chromosome was co-transferred (Ma et al., 2010). Similarly, from Fo f.sp. radicis-cucumerinum (Forc), a single chromosome chrRC can be transferred to a non-pathogen, turning the recipient into a cucurbit-infecting strain (van Dam et al., 2017). The mechanisms behind HCT are largely unknown, but it is most likely that HCT happens through heterokaryosis, which was supported by the observation that transfer is not always restricted to accessory chromosomes, but a core chromosome (~4 Mb) could also be transferred (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016a).

In a previous study, we showed that the short arm (p arm) of the pathogenicity chromosome in Fol can be sufficient for causing disease on tomato plants (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b). In order to test this hypothesis and narrow down the genes or regions that are essential for infection in Fol, we selected partial pathogenicity chromosome deletion strains. To achieve this, we inserted the RFP gene in the short arm (p arm) of the pathogenicity chromosome of a strain with the GFP gene on the q arm (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b), and used fluorescence-assisted cell sorting (FACS) to select spores without GFP or RFP (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2015). By testing the virulence of these deletion mutants, we show that less than half of the chromosome is sufficient for causing disease. In addition, we demonstrate that smaller versions of the pathogenicity chromosome can also be transferred to a non-pathogenic strain, with concomitant transfer of pathogenicity towards tomato.

Results

Construction of a Fol strain with GFP and RFP on either arm of the pathogenicity chromosome

To be able to select for partial pathogenicity chromosome deletion strains in Fol, we set out to create a strain with the RFP gene on the short arm (p arm) and the GFP gene on the long arm (q arm) of the pathogenicity chromosome. FACS with this strain could then be used to select spores without either green fluorescence or red fluorescence. To construct this strain, the strain 14HG6B with GFP on the q arm of the pathogenicity chromosome was used as a starting point (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b). To insert the RFP gene on the p arm, single copy genes FOXG_14135 and FOXG_16428 with relatively low expression during colonization of tomato plants (van der Does et al., 2016) were selected for homologous recombination. With the additional purpose to investigate whether the SIX10/12/7 gene cluster contributes to virulence, this gene cluster was also targeted for homologous recombination. The location of these genes is shown in Fig. 1A. In this study, Fol4287 was resequenced with Pacbio SMRT sequencing, and contig 14 in the new SMRT assembly of Fol4287 largely corresponds to the pathogenicity chromosome (chromosome 14 in (Ma et al., 2010)).

To replace genes with RFP, Agrobacterium-mediated transformation was performed. For SIX10/12/7, no in locus transformant was found after checking 433 transformants in two rounds of transformation, but two spontaneous SIX10/12 deletion mutants, 14HG6BΔSIX10_12#1 and 14HG6BΔSIX10_12#2, were found. Since these were derived from the same experiment, it could be that they are not independent but arose from a single event. In any case, SIX10 and SIX12, as part of a ~20 kb region, were lost in these strains, but SIX7 was retained (data not shown). In the effort to replace FOXG_16428 with RFP, one in locus transformant, 14HG6BΔFOXG_16428, was obtained out of 945 transformants in three rounds of transformation. However, this transformant also contained (an) ectopic insertion(s) of the RFP construct. For the third gene, FOXG_14135, 390 transformants in two rounds of transformation were checked, and we found one in locus transformant without ectopic insertion, 14HG6BΔFOXG_14135 (called 14HGPR from hereon). Strain 14HGPR was confirmed microscopically to have both red and green fluorescence, and in locus insertion was confirmed by PCR using a primer just outside the flanking region in the Fol genome and another primer...
inside the inserted fragment (Fig. S1). Fol transformations are summarized in Table S1.

To assess the virulence of 14HGPR, bioassays were performed. Disease Index (DI) and fresh weight were scored 3 weeks after inoculation, and no significant reduction of virulence was observed when comparing 14HGPR with the original strain 14HG6B and an ectopic control (Fig. S2). Thus, 14HGPR was used for FACS experiments to obtain partial deletions of the Fol pathogenicity chromosome from both arms. In addition, bioassays were performed to assess virulence of 14HG6B_ΔSIX10/12#1, 14HG6B_ΔSIX10/12#2 and 14HG6B_ΔFOXG_16428, and no significant reduction in virulence was observed (data not shown).

GFP fluorescence is much more frequently lost in spores of 14HGPR than RFP fluorescence

To obtain spontaneous deletions of the Fol pathogenicity chromosome from both arms, FACS of strain 14HGPR was performed to select spores without green or red fluorescence. In total, 26 different cultures were started from single spore colonies in four different FACS experiments, and from these experiments 43 GFP deletion strains and 18 RFP deletion strains were kept for further analysis (Table S2).

The first FACS experiment served to determine the approximate rate of loss of green or red fluorescence in cultures of the 14HGPR strain. Six single colonies of 14HGPR were separately inoculated into NO3 medium (0.17% yeast nitrogen base, 3% sucrose, 100 mM KNO3). After growing for 5 days, spore suspensions were obtained by filtering cultures through a double layer of miracloth and directly used for FACS. We observed that all the six cultures showed a similar pattern, with a large population of spores still containing both green and red fluorescence and a very small fraction without green or red fluorescence (Fig. 1B). Strikingly, in all cultures, more spores had lost green fluorescence than spores that had lost red fluorescence. Axis labels show the detection channel (X-axis: λ = 488 nm; Y-axis: λ = 561 nm).

directly into \( NO_3 \) medium and allowed to grow for 5 days. This time 250 spores without green fluorescence and 226 spores without red fluorescence were deflected on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) plates and allowed to form colonies (Table S3). Only 27 out of 250 (11%) colonies emerged on the plates on which spores without green fluorescence were deflected, while 199 spores selected for loss of red fluorescence (87%) formed colonies (Table S3). It turned out that 18 out of the 27 (66.7%) ‘red-selected’ colonies were confirmed to be GFP negative when checked by microscopy, but only 3 out of 199 (1.5%) ‘green-selected’ colonies were truly RFP negative (Table S3). Since spores without red fluorescence were extremely rare, the gating was set close to spores containing both red and green fluorescence, and this apparently resulted in many false negative spores. The details from all five cultures are shown in Table S4. For the 18 ‘red’ and the 3 ‘green’ colonies, PCR was used to confirm loss of the \( GFP \) or \( RFP \) gene and other regions of the Fol pathogenicity chromosome (Table S2).

To obtain more independent deletion strains, a third FACS experiment was performed. With the aim of increasing the frequency of spontaneous loss of \( RFP \), five single colonies of 14HGPR were grown on PDA plates for 10 days before collecting and inoculating spores from plates into \( NO_3 \) medium. We suspected that the Fol pathogenicity chromosome could undergo more changes during vegetative growth on rich medium (PDA) for a relatively long time (Möller et al., 2018). After 5 days incubation at 25°C in the \( NO_3 \) medium, the spores were transferred to 4°C for 5 days and 1 ml of these suspensions were transferred into new \( NO_3 \) medium and allowed to grow for another 7 days at 25°C before being subjected to sorting. Of spores without green fluorescence deflected, 74 out of 250 (30%) formed colonies, while of spores without red fluorescence deflected, 335 out of 375 (89%) formed colonies (Table S3). Fluorescence microscopy revealed that 63 out of the 74 (85%) ‘red’ colonies were truly green fluorescence negative. However, only 10 out of 335 (3.0%) ‘green’ colonies were red fluorescence negative (Table S3). These 63 ‘red’ and 10 ‘green’ colonies were also confirmed by PCR to have lost \( GFP \) or \( RFP \) respectively. The details from all five cultures are shown in Table S5. Twenty-four out of 63 ‘red’ and 9 out of 10 ‘green’ deletion strains were further checked for loss of other regions of the pathogenicity chromosome (Table S2). Concluding, using a longer culturing regime including incubation at 4°C, an increase in the frequency of loss of \( GFP \) was observed for all the five cultures, but no significant increase in the frequency of loss of \( RFP \) was observed when compared with the second FACS experiment (Tables S3–S5).

So far, we obtained a large variety of partial deletions from the \( p \) arm of the pathogenicity chromosome, but from the \( p \) arm only 13 partial deletion strains with limited variation were found (Table S2). In a final attempt to obtain more partial deletions from the \( p \) arm of the pathogenicity chromosome, a fourth FACS experiment was performed to only select spores without red fluorescence. In this case, 10 single colonies of 14HGPR were grown on PDA plates for 1 month at 25°C, then the spores were collected from the plates and inoculated into \( NO_3 \) medium. The cultures were incubated for 5 days in \( NO_3 \) medium before being used for FACS. Out of 246 single colonies growing from deflected ‘green’ spores, only 5 (2%) had truly lost red fluorescence when checked microscopically (Table S3). PCR of these five single colonies confirmed that the \( RFP \) gene was lost in all cases (Table S2). The details from all 10 cultures are shown in Table S6.

Illumina whole genome sequencing confirms partial deletions of the Fol pathogenicity chromosome and reveals multiplications

To more accurately assess which sequences of the pathogenicity chromosome had been lost and whether changes had also occurred in other parts of the genome, we selected 10 deletion strains with different deletion patterns for Illumina whole genome sequencing (Table S7). Of these 10 deletion strains, six strains had lost part of the \( q \) arm, and four strains had lost part of the \( p \) arm. To determine sequence changes in the deletion strains, Illumina short-read mapping of both \( GFP \) deletion strains and \( RFP \) deletion strains was performed to the SMRT assembly of Fol4287 (Figs 2A, 3A, S3 and S4). In addition, genome sequence reads from three previously obtained deletion strains, named 14-2, 14-4 and 14-7 (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b) were mapped to the newly generated SMRT assembly of Fol4287 (Figs 2A and S3). As a reference, Fol4287 Illumina sequencing reads were retrieved from SRA and were also mapped (Figs 2A, 3A, S3A and S4A). The location of \( SIX \) genes is indicated as black bars (Figs 2A and 3A), and a schematic representation of the pathogenicity chromosome is shown in Figs 2B and 3B. The end of contig 14, as indicated between the solid and dashed lines in Figs 2 and 3, was originally assembled as part of contig 58. Since this part showed the same read density pattern as contig 14, in contrast to the rest of contig 58, we concluded it is part of the pathogenicity chromosome (Figs 2A and 3A). The location of the centromere is determined by assessing the GC content (Figs 2C and 3C).

All nine \( GFP \) deletion strains were confirmed to have lost part or complete \( q \) arm of the pathogenicity chromosome (Fig. 2A). Strains that had completely lost the \( q \) arm of the pathogenicity chromosome include 14-4, 14-7, \( \triangle GFP \#20 \) and \( \triangle GFP \#26 \). These deletions had
happened close to or in the centromere region of the pathogenicity chromosome. Three $SIX$ genes are located on the $q$ arm, $SIX9$, $SIX6$ and $SIX11$, which were lost in all these four deletion strains. Strains 14-4 and 14-7 were probably derived from the same deletion event since these two deletion strains showed exactly the same read density pattern (Fig. 2A). The deletion strains 14-2 and $\Delta GFP\#22$ still contain a small part of the $q$ arm, but no $SIX$ genes are present in this part. The truncation of the pathogenicity chromosome in strain $\Delta GFP\#8$ is quite close to $SIX6$, and $SIX6$ was lost in this strain (Table S2). $SIX6$ and $SIX11$ are present in the deletion strain $\Delta GFP\#27$, while $SIX9$, $SIX6$ and $SIX11$ are all present in $\Delta GFP\#29$.

In addition to partial or complete deletion of the $q$ arm, multiplication of certain regions of the remaining part of the pathogenicity chromosome had also occurred for seven out of nine $GFP$ deletion strains (Fig. 2A). For all newly obtained deletion strains in this study, multiplication had occurred in the region where $RFP$ was inserted, and this probably happened during insertion of $RFP$ into this location. Part of the population of $\Delta GFP\#8$ used to prepare genomic DNA for sequencing probably had lost the multiplication in the $RFP$ region as lower read
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densities were observed in this region. Duplication of the q arm was only observed for GFP#29. Except multiplication of the RFP region, other large multiplications of the p arm of the pathogenicity chromosome were observed for deletion strains 14-2, GFP#20, GFP#26 and GFP#27. Surprisingly, the whole contig 58 was duplicated in the deletion strains 14-4 and 14-7.

Lastly, to further assess whether deletions or multiplications could be linked to repeats, the distribution of repeats on the pathogenicity chromosome were determined (Fig. 2D). Except for the deletion in strain GFP#22, the remaining eight deletions had occurred next to repeats as indicated by the high peaks (Fig. 2A and D). Among them, four deletions had occurred in the centromeric region, and the other four deletions had occurred in different locations. No large changes were observed in the core genome of the GFP deletion strains (Fig. S3). Interestingly, however, four out of the six newly generated deletion strains of the q arm showed the same deletion in contig 47 (Fig. S3). Additional deletions and duplications in the core genome were only observed for GFP#29, including a relatively large deletion at the end of contig 3, a smaller deletion at the end of contig 7, and a duplication at the end of contig 61 (Fig. S3).

The four RFP deletion strains all showed different deletion patterns (Fig. 3A). Strain ΔRFP#11 had only lost a small region of the p arm of the pathogenicity chromosome, including the SIX10/SIX12/SIX7 gene cluster. The end of the p arm of this deletion strain was still present. Strain ΔRFP#12 had lost a larger part of the p arm and this lost region including SIX14, SIX1, SIX2, SIX3, SIX5, SIX13 and SIX10/12/7. Interestingly, ΔRFP#14 not only had lost part of the p arm, but it also had lost the end of the q arm. In this deletion strain, only SIX14, SIX1 and SIX2 are still present on the p arm of the pathogenicity chromosome. Complete loss of the p arm of the pathogenicity chromosome was observed for ΔRFP#16. In contrast to the common multiplications observed for most GFP deletion strains, multiplications were only observed for one RFP deletion strain, ΔRFP#12.

In the RFP deletion strains, all deletions and multiplications had also occurred next to repeats (Fig. 3A and D). Surprisingly, all four RFP deletion strains had the same deletion in contig 47 as the GFP deletion strains (Fig. S4).
Moreover, strain ΔRFP#12 contains one relatively large deletion at the end of contig 2 (Fig. S4).

To conclude, partial deletions of the pathogenicity chromosome were confirmed for all the deletion strains. In addition, multiplications and additional deletions were also observed on the pathogenicity chromosome as well as other parts of the genome in some strains. Lastly, almost all deletions and multiplications had occurred in or next to repetitive regions.

Partial Fol pathogenicity chromosomes can be transferred to a non-pathogenic strain

To test which parts of the Fol pathogenicity chromosome can be horizontally transferred, chromosome transfer experiments were performed (van der Does and Rep, 2012) by co-incubating each of the selected 24 deletion strains containing different partial deletions with hygromycin or zeocin-resistant transformants of non-deletion strains containing different partial deletions with the ability to transfer their partial pathogenicity chromosome to Fo47 (Table S8). Horizontal transfer of partial pathogenicity chromosomes was confirmed by PCR using primers specific to the recipient strains and primers targeting different parts of the pathogenicity chromosome (Table S10). For donor strains ΔGFP#26 and ΔRFP#1, seven double drug-resistant colonies were found for each, designated HCT_ΔGFP#26-1 to -7 and HCT_ΔRFP#1-1 to -7. For donor strains ΔGFP#8 and ΔGFP#29, 10 and 6 double drug-resistant colonies were obtained, designated HCT_ΔGFP#8-1 to -10 and HCT_ΔGFP#29-1 to -6. Among these donor strains, ΔGFP#8, ΔGFP#26 and ΔGFP#29 had lost different parts of the q arm, while ΔRFP#1 had lost a small part of the p arm. Transfer of partial chromosomes with large deletions of the p arm were not obtained, despite several attempts (Table S8).

It was observed earlier that chromosome size can change during HCT (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016a; van Dam et al., 2017). To assess karyotypes of HCT-strains and donor strains, Contour-clamped homogeneous electric field (CHEF) gel analysis was performed (Fig. 4). One progeny strain from each donor strain (HCT_ΔRFP#1-7, HCT_ΔGFP#29-2, HCT_ΔGFP#8-2 and HCT_ΔGFP#26-1) was selected. As expected, all HCT-strains showed the karyotype of the recipient strain (Fo47) with an extra chromosome. For HCT_ΔRFP#1-7 and HCT_ΔGFP#8-2, the size of the extra chromosome is similar to the presumable pathogenicity chromosome in donor strains ΔRFP#1 and ΔGFP#8, respectively, which is consistent with the PCR results (Table S10) and the sequencing data (Fig. 2A). However, in donor strain ΔGFP#29, instead of an expected smaller version of the pathogenicity chromosome as suggested by the PCR and sequencing.
Illumina whole genome sequencing confirms transfer of partial pathogenicity chromosomes and reveals multiplications during chromosome transfer

To identify the sequences involved in karyotype changes (observed from the CHEF gel) during HCT, whole genomes of the HCT-strains HCT_ΔGFP#29 to Fo47, an even larger chromosome (around 5 Mb) was found in the background of Fo47. In donor strain ΔGFP#26, which had lost the whole q arm of the pathogenicity chromosome (Fig. 2A), a larger version (~2.5 Mb) of the pathogenicity chromosome was observed, which can be explained by the multiplication of the remaining part of the pathogenicity chromosome (Fig. 2A). This ~2.5 Mb chromosome apparently became smaller after HCT (around 2 Mb in HCT_ΔGFP#26-1).

Single chromosome sequencing confirms partial pathogenicity chromosomes in both donor and HCT strains

To further confirm that we correctly identified the putative pathogenicity chromosomes in the CHEF gel from the donor and HCT strains, we cut the putative pathogenicity chromosome bands from the gel and isolated DNA from the gel pieces for sequencing. In total, eight strains were selected, including three donor GFP deletion strains (ΔGFP#29, ΔGFP#8, ΔGFP#26), three HCT strains (HCT_ΔGFP#29, HCT_ΔGFP#8, HCT_ΔGFP#26), and two RFP deletion strains (ΔRFP#11 and ΔRFP#12). First, a CHEF gel was run (Fig. S6), bands were cut from the gel and 11 samples (Table S7) were sent for Illumina sequencing. Reads obtained from each sample were mapped to the SMRT assembly of Fo4287 (Fig. S7). Most bands were successfully sequenced and contained sequences from the pathogenicity chromosome, as expected (Figs 6 and S7). For example, band ΔGFP#8_SC in donor strain ΔGFP#8 and band HCT_ΔGFP#8_SC in the recipient strain HCT_ΔGFP#8 were confirmed to both contain the partial pathogenicity chromosome. Similarly, the bands in the donor strain ΔGFP#26 and the recipient strain HCT_ΔGFP#26 were also confirmed to contain the partial pathogenicity chromosome. For the third pair of donor and recipient strain, ΔGFP#29 and HCT_ΔGFP#29-2, we observed three extra bands in the donor (ΔGFP#29) and two extra bands in the recipient strain (HCT_ΔGFP#29-2). Therefore, five bands were cut and the isolated DNA was sequenced. Probably because of low DNA yield from the smallest band, ΔGFP#29_SC_XS, no reads of this sample could be mapped to the SMRT assembly. For the other two bands from the donor strain, ΔGFP#29_SC_L contained the partial pathogenicity chromosome (low read densities), while ΔGFP#29_SC_S contained sequences from contig 7 and part of the pathogenicity chromosome. It is most likely, therefore, that the partial pathogenicity chromosome was partially duplicated and translocated to core contig 7. For the corresponding recipient strain HCT_ΔGFP#29-2, the extra band HCT_ΔGFP#29_SC_L contained sequences of the pathogenicity chromosome as well as contig 58, and part of contig 47, and all these sequences were originated from the donor strain. This is consistent with the whole genome mapping data, which showed that these sequences were transferred (Fig. S5). We suspect that the partial pathogenicity chromosome, contig 58 and part of contig 47 formed one single chromosome that was transferred to Fo47. For the second band in the recipient strain, HCT_ΔGFP#29_SC_S, reads mapped...
abundantly to core contig 5 and much fewer reads mapped to the pathogenicity chromosome, which we suspect to be background. Finally, the bands \( \Delta \text{RFP#11} \) and \( \Delta \text{RFP#12} \) from RFP deletion strains \( \Delta \text{RFP#11} \) and \( \Delta \text{RFP#12} \), respectively, were confirmed to contain the expected partial pathogenicity chromosome (Fig. S7).
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**Partial pathogenicity chromosomes are sufficient to cause disease on tomato**

To investigate which parts of the pathogenicity chromosome of Fol are required for virulence, 22 deletion strains (Fig. 6) with different deletions in either arm of the pathogenicity chromosome were selected to assess pathogenicity. In addition, strains 14-2 and 14-7 obtained earlier, with large deletions in the q arm of the pathogenicity chromosome, and showing no reduced virulence on tomato in an earlier investigation, were included as controls (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b). Again, we did not observe reduced virulence with these strains. Consistently, deletion strain ΔGFP#26, generated in this study and with complete loss of the q arm of the pathogenicity chromosome (Figs 2 and 6), showed no reduced virulence compared with the parental strain, 14HGPR. We conclude from this that the entire q arm is not required for virulence under the tested conditions. Strain ΔRFP#11, which had lost part of the p arm of the pathogenicity chromosome (Figs 3 and 6), including the SIX10/12/7 gene cluster, also showed no reduced virulence, suggesting that this part of the chromosome is also not required for virulence. In contrast, strain ΔRFP#14, with a larger deletion of the p arm (Fig. 3), did not show any virulence (Fig. 6). Compared with ΔRFP#11, SIX3, SIX5 and SIX13 were lost in ΔRFP#14. Strains ΔRFP#12 and ΔRFP#16, which had lost an even larger part of the p arm, also could not cause any disease on tomato plants. Since SIX3 and SIX5 have been shown to contribute to contribute to virulence (Houteman et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2015), we transformed these two genes together to strain ΔRFP#14, but this did not lead to regaining of virulence (results not shown), indicating that SIX13 may also be required for causing disease on tomato plants.

**Partial pathogenicity chromosomes can turn an endophyte into a pathogen**

To investigate whether the endophytic strain Fo47 becomes a pathogen on tomato plants after receiving a partial Fol pathogenicity chromosome, three HCT-strains derived from each donor strain were selected to assess their virulence. For the four donor strains, ΔRFP#1, ΔGFP#29, ΔGFP#8 and ΔGFP#26, there was no significant difference in virulence compared with the parental strain 14HGPR (Fig. 7). Fo47 could not cause any disease symptoms on tomato plants. However, all the HCT-strains were pathogenic to tomato plants with some.
variations in DI. For HCT-strains derived from ΔRFP#1, HCT_ΔRFP#1-5, HCT_ΔRFP#1-6 and HCT_ΔRFP#1-7, which acquired an almost complete pathogenicity chromosome, a relatively low DI was observed (Figs 7 and 8), which is consistent with the results from previous studies (Ma et al., 2010; Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b). Surprisingly, for HCT_ΔGFP#29-1, HCT_ΔGFP#29-2 and HCT_ΔGFP#29-3, much higher virulence was observed, comparable to, or even stronger than, the donor strain ΔGFP#29 (Fig. 7). These HCT strains had large multiplications of the remaining part of the pathogenicity chromosome as well as co-transfer of contig 58 and part of contig 47 (Fig. 8), but these co-transferred contigs do not contain any known SIX genes (Ma et al., 2010). Interestingly, for HCT_ΔGFP#8-1, HCT_ΔGFP#8-2 and HCT_ΔGFP#8-3, derived from ΔGFP#8 and HCT_ΔGFP#26-1, HCT_ΔGFP#26-2 and HCT_ΔGFP#26-3, derived from ΔGFP#26, all strains in which only a partial pathogenicity chromosome was present, higher virulence was also observed compared with HCT strains containing the complete pathogenicity chromosome (Figs 7 and 8). In these cases, no extra sequences were co-transferred, and in ΔGFP#8-derived strains no multiplication of pathogenicity chromosome sequences was observed.

**Discussion**

The pathogenicity chromosome in Fol is required for causing disease on tomato plants (Ma et al., 2010; Plaumann et al., 2018), and can be horizontally transferred to a non-pathogenic strain, turning the latter into a tomato pathogen (Ma et al., 2010; Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b). Here, we narrow down the regions and genes on the Fol pathogenicity chromosome that are required for virulence. Furthermore, we demonstrate that partial pathogenicity chromosomes can still be horizontally transferred to a non-pathogenic strain, and this is sufficient to turn that strain into a pathogen. Surprisingly, transfer of a partial pathogenicity chromosome leads to higher virulence than transfer of a complete pathogenicity chromosome. Possibly, sequences in the missing (q) arm suppress virulence in the genetic background of the non-pathogenic recipient strain, Fo47.

**How many effector genes does Fol need to cause disease on its host?**

Effector genes have been predicted and studied in many different plant pathogenic fungi (Stergiopoulos and de Wit, 2009; Petre et al., 2014; Zhang and Xu, 2014; Lo Presti et al., 2015; de Wit, 2016; Selin et al., 2016), including Cladosporium fulvum (Joosten et al., 1997; Hammond-Kosack et al., 1998; Kruger, 2002; Rooney, 2005; de Jonge et al., 2010; Sánchez-Vallet et al., 2013), F. oxysporum (Rep et al., 2004, 2005; Houterman et al., 2008, 2009; van der Does et al., 2008, Ma et al., 2015), Leptosphaeria maculans (Gout et al., 2006; Van de Wouw et al., 2014; Ghanbarnia et al., 2015, 2018; Plissonneau et al., 2016, 2018; Petit-Houdenot et al., 2018).
Previously, several SIX genes have been shown to contribute to virulence, including SIX1 (Rep et al., 2004), SIX3 (Houterman et al., 2009) and SIX5 (Ma et al., 2015). Moreover, Vlaardingerbroek and coworkers (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b) have shown that most of the long (q) arm of the pathogenicity chromosome, including SIX9, SIX6 and SIX11, is not required for virulence. Here, we confirm that the complete q arm of the pathogenicity chromosome is dispensable for pathogenicity. We further demonstrate that a large part of the p arm is also not required for virulence. This part contains SIX10/12/7 gene cluster, indicating that the xylem secreted proteins Six7, Six10 and Six12 are not required for virulence. Deletion strains with a larger deletion of the p arm are not able to infect tomato plants, suggesting that the remaining part of the p arm of the pathogenicity chromosome is required for virulence. This
region contains SIX14, SIX1, SIX2, SIX3, SIX5 and SIX13. We conclude that, although all SIX genes are highly expressed during infection (van der Does et al., 2016) and the corresponding Six proteins are abundant in the xylem sap of infected tomato plants (Schmidt et al., 2013), only a subset of these proteins are required for virulence.

Except effector genes, what else on the pathogenicity chromosome does Fol need to cause disease on tomato?

Effectors secreted by Fol during host colonization determine the outcome of Fol-tomato interactions. The expression of effector genes is regulated by transcription factors encoded on both core and accessory chromosomes (van der Does et al., 2016). It has been shown that a homologue of transcription factor gene FTF1 (FOXG_17084), which is located close to SIX2, can induce expression of most SIX genes when overexpressed (van der Does et al., 2016). Two additional homologues of FTF1 are present on the pathogenicity chromosome – one is close to SIX11 and another one is close to the SIX10/12/7 gene cluster. Deletion strains without either of the latter two FTF1 homologues are still fully virulent on tomato plants, indicating that they are dispensable for virulence. All deletion strains that are still virulent, however, contain the FTF1 homologue FOXG_17084, so this homologue may be important for virulence (van der Does et al., 2016).

In addition, a predicted secondary metabolite gene cluster, including seven genes, is located close to the SIX10/12/7 gene cluster (Schmidt et al., 2013). This cluster can also be lost without affecting virulence. Besides SIX14, SIX1, SIX2, SIX3, SIX5, SIX13 and the FTF1 homologue FOXG_17084, approximately 70 additional predicted protein-coding genes reside within the part of the pathogenicity chromosome that all virulent deletion strains have in common, and some of these might contribute to virulence.

Why are HCT strains with a partial pathogenicity chromosome more virulent than HCT strains with a complete pathogenicity chromosome?

Here, we show that HCT strains with a complete pathogenicity chromosome only caused mild virulence on tomato plants, which is consistent with previous studies (Ma et al., 2010; Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b). This indicates that other factors are needed for full virulence. Indeed, HCT strains with a second co-transferred chromosome in Fo007 are more aggressive (Ma et al., 2010), which is also confirmed in this study. Virulence is higher in HCT_△GFP#29-2 with co-transferred contig 58 and part of contig 47 (which correspond to the second co-transferred chromosome in Fo007). The increased virulence in these HCT strains may be due to the presence of transcription factor genes on the co-transferred regions (van der Does et al., 2016). In addition, higher virulence was also observed for HCT_△GFP#26-1, and duplication of almost the whole p arm could contribute to this higher virulence (Fig. 5). Surprisingly, in HCT_△GFP#8-2 no extra sequences were co-transferred and no multiplication was observed, but it still caused severe disease on tomato plants. It could be that sequences in the missing (q) arm suppress virulence in the genetic background of the non-pathogenic recipient strain, Fo47. It was proposed earlier that some transcription factors encoded on the pathogenicity chromosome could suppress the expression of effector genes and maintain a saprotrophic state (van der Does et al., 2016). It would be interesting to investigate whether the transcription factor genes located on the missing part of the q arm indeed suppress the expression of effector genes.

How stable is the Fol genome?

The genomes of many plant pathogenic fungi have a high level of structural variation (Johnson et al., 2001; Coleman et al., 2009; Raffaele and Kamoun, 2012; Dong et al., 2015; Strom and Bushley, 2016; Vanheule et al., 2016), including conserved core genome and lineage-specific regions or chromosomes that are characterized by a relatively high number of repetitive elements. The core genome is generally rather stable, but the lineage-specific regions are much more dynamic (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b; Habig et al., 2017; Möller et al., 2018; Plaumann et al., 2018). In Fol strain 4287, lineage-specific regions include four accessory chromosomes (3, 6, 14 and 15), part of core chromosome 1 and part of core chromosome 2 (Ma et al., 2010). The differences in stability (loss and duplication) between the core genome and the accessory genome has been investigated previously (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b). For example, the loss frequency of lineage-specific chromosomes was estimated to be approximately 1 in 35 000 in spores in a liquid culture. Surprisingly, core chromosome 12 in Fo4287 can also be lost but at a very low rate of 1 in 190 000 spores (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016b; Fokkens et al., 2018). In Z. tritici, spontaneous accessory chromosome loss rate was much higher with chromosome loss in 2% to >50% of cells during 4 weeks of incubation (Möller et al., 2018). In the present study, we observed small deletions and duplications in core chromosomes, tending to occur at the end of chromosomes, as has also been observed in Z. tritici (Möller et al., 2018). Surprisingly, we observed recurrent deletion in contig 47 in 8 out of 10 deletion strains (Figs S3 and S4). We also observed that (part of) the pathogenicity chromosome can be lost frequently (around 6 in 100 000 spores) (Fig. 1B). It appeared that the p-arm of the pathogenicity
chromosome is more stable than the q-arm (Fig. 1B). However, this could be explained by multiplication of the region where RFP was inserted in the p-arm (Fig. 2). Presumably, this region has undergone multiplication following homologous recombination in the original strain. To conclude, in Foli, we can confirm that the core genome is rather stable except for the telomeric regions, while the accessory chromosomes are relatively dynamic.

In the present study, a large variety of deletion and multiplication patterns have been observed for the pathogenicity chromosome, and almost all the deletions or multiplications have occurred in or next to repetitive elements. It is well known that repetitive elements can lead to intra- or interchromosome homologous recombination, resulting in deletions or translocations (Hedges and Deininger, 2007). It has also been shown that in some fungi the facultative heterochromatin mark H3K27me3 is present in both the subtelomeric regions of the core chromosomes and accessory chromosomes (Galazka and Freitag, 2014; Fokkens et al., 2018). This difference in histone modification compared with the core chromosomes may play a role in the difference in chromosome stability (Fokkens et al., 2018; Möller et al., 2019).

A highly dynamic accessory genome may accelerate the evolution of the pathogen in the arms race with its host (Croll and McDonald, 2012). Many effector genes are located in the accessory part of the genome in many plant pathogenic fungi (Coleman et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2010; Peng et al., 2019). However, effectors can be recognized by R proteins in plants, resulting in an immune response. Mutation or loss of effector genes can help to avoid recognition and regain virulence. The accessory part of the genome may provide a niche for rapid diversification of effector genes without influencing basic cellular functions.

**Experimental procedures**

**Cloning**

To replace FOXG_14135, FOXG_16428 or SIX10/12/7 with RFP, three constructs pRW1p_Pfem1_RFP_FOXG_14135, pRW1p_Pfem1_RFP_FOXG_16428 and pRW1p_Pfem1_RFP_SIX10/12/7 were made. Each of them contains a right border (facilitating Agrobacterium tumefaciens mediated transformation), the flanking sequences of each gene, the FOXG_14135 promoter, the RFP open reading frame (ORF), the SIX1 terminator, the trpC terminator, the phleomycin ORF resistance cassette, the gpd promoter, another flank of each gene, and the left border. First, pRW2h_Pfem_RFP_Tsix1 was constructed by amplifying the RFP ORF from pPK2-HPH-RFP (van der Does et al., 2008) using primers FP6992 (AAAtctagaATGGCCTCCTCCGGAGGAGC) and FP6993 (TTTtagatCTTAGGCCCGTGAGTAGG) followed by XbaI-BglII digestion and inserting it into the XbaI-BglII site of pRW2h_Pfem_MCS_Tsix1 (van der Does et al., 2016). Then the hygromycin resistance cassette of pRW2h_Pfem_RFP_Tsix1 was replaced by the phleomycin resistance cassette of pRW1p_Pfem_MCS_Tsix1, which was modified from pRW1p (Houterman et al., 2008; van der Does et al., 2016). This resulted in pRW1p_Pfem_RFP_Tsix1. For the FOXG_14135 deletion construct, around 1 kb flanking regions of FOXG_14135 were amplified using primers listed in Table S11. The two fragments were introduced into pRW1p_Pfem_RFP_Tsix1 using the HiFi cloning kit [New England Biolabs (UK)]. The same method was used to make FOXG_16428 and SIX10/12/7 deletion constructs (Table S11). All constructs were checked by sequencing.

**Gene replacement in Foli**

14HG6B was transformed via Agrobacterium mediated transformation (Table S1), as described previously (Takken et al., 2004). Transformants were monospored by pipetting 10 μl of sterile water on the emerging colony, and spreading this on a fresh PDA plate supplemented with cefotaxime and Phleomycin. After 2 days of growth at 25°C, single colonies were picked and transferred to fresh plates. From these plates, glycerol stocks were made and these are the transformants we worked with.

**Fluorescence-assisted cell sorting**

FACS was used to select independent chromosome 14 deletion strains (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2015). First, 14HGPR was mono-spored and single colonies were transferred to flasks with NO3 medium (0.17% yeast nitrogen base, 3% sucrose, 100 mM KNO3) either directly or grown on PDA plates for some time before transferring to the NO3 medium. After growing for 5–7 days, spore suspensions were obtained by filtering cultures through a double layer of mira-cloth. To select spores without green or red fluorescence, 25 red (not green) and 25 green (not red) fluorescent spores were deflected on each plate and allowed to form colonies for 2–3 days at 25°C. The colonies were observed using the AMG Evos FL digital inverted microscope to confirm loss of red fluorescence or green fluorescence. Confirmed colonies were transferred to new plates and allowed to grow for at least 2 weeks before DNA extraction. To determine which parts of the pathogenicity chromosome (chromosome 14) were lost, PCR primers (Table S12) were used to scan the chromosome.
Bioassays

To test virulence of Fol transformants, deletion strains or HCT strains on tomato (line C32), the root dip method was used (Rep et al., 2004). Briefly, spores were collected from 5-day-old cultures NO3 medium (0.17% yeast nitrogen base, 3% sucrose, 100 mM KNO3) by filtering through miracloth (Merck; pore size of 22–25 μm). Spores were centrifuged, resuspended in sterile MilliQ water, counted, brought to a final concentration of 1 x 10^7 spores ml^-1 and used for root inoculation of 10-day-old tomato seedlings. The seedlings were then potted individually and kept at 25°C. Three weeks after inoculation, plant weight above the cotyledons was measured, and the extent of browning of vessels in the remaining part of the stem was scored. DI was scored on a scale of 0–4 (0, no symptoms; 1, one brown vessel below the cotyledons; 2, one or two brown vascular bundles at cotyledons; 3, three brown vascular bundles and growth distortion; 4, all vascular bundles are brown, plant either dead or very small and wilted).

Horizontal chromosome transfer

To test whether partial pathogenicity chromosomes can be transferred or not, HCT experiments were performed (van der Does and Rep, 2012). In total, 24 deletion strains (Table S8) were selected to co-incubate with Fo47pGRB1 (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016a) or Fo47-H1 (Ma et al., 2010). Strains were grown in minimal liquid medium (3% sucrose, 0.17% yeast nitrogen base and 100 mM KNO3) for 3–5 days, after which 10^5 or 2 x 10^5 microconidia from the donor and recipient strains were mixed in different ratios and co-incubated on PDA or CDA plates for 8 days. Spores were collected from these plates using 2 ml sterile MilliQ, filtered through sterile miracloth and pipetted on a double selective PDA plate containing 0.1 M Tris pH 8 supplemented with 100 μg ml^-1 hygromycin (Duchefa) and 100 μg ml^-1 zeocin (Invitrogen). Double drug resistant colonies were selected after 3 days and monospored on a new plate supplemented with both drugs. After 2–3 days of growth, colonies were selected and transferred to new plates supplemented with zeocin and hygromycin. Fluorescence of double drug-resistant colonies was checked with an AMG Evos FL digital inverted microscope. Strains with both red and green fluorescence were allowed to grow for 2 weeks before DNA isolation. Both selection markers and other genes (Table S10) were used to confirm HCT by PCR.

CHEF electrophoresis

To confirm HCT, CHEF electrophoresis was performed. Preparation of protoplasts and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis were performed as described previously (Ma et al., 2010). Fusarium strains were cultured in 100 ml NO3 medium (0.17% yeast nitrogen base, 100 mM KNO3 and 3% sucrose) for 5 days at 25°C. Then, conidia were collected by filtering through a double-layer of miracloth and the concentration of spores were measured. 5 x 10^9 conidia were transferred to 40 ml PDB (BD biosciences). After approximately 16 h of growth at 25°C, germinated spores were re-suspended in 10 ml MgSO4 solution (1.2 M MgSO4, 50 mM sodium citrate, pH 5.8) supplemented with 50 mg ml^-1 Glucanex (Sigma) + 5 mg ml^-1 diselase (Sigma, D9515) and incubated for approximately 17 h at 30°C in a shaking water bath (65 r.p.m.). The protoplasts were filtered through a double layer of miracloth, collected by centrifugation and casted in InCert agarose (Lonza) at a concentration of 2 x 10^8 protoplasts ml^-1. Plugs were treated with 2 mg ml^-1 pronase E at 50°C. Chromosomes were separated by pulsed-field electrophoresis for 260 h in 1% Seakem Gold agarose (Lonza) at 1.5 V cm^-1 in a CHEF-DRII system (Bio-Rad) in 0.5 x TBE at 4°C, with switch times between 1200 and 4800 s. The gels were stained with 1 μg ml^-1 ethidium bromide in 0.5 x TBE.

Single chromosomes recovery from a CHEF gel

Chromosome DNA recovery from CHEF gels were performed according to the method described previously (Kashiwa et al., 2017). Chromosome bands of interest were excised from the gel and were placed in 2 ml micro-centrifuge tubes, then heated at 100°C while shaking at 350 r.p.m. for at least 10 min to melt the agarose. After the melting step, six units of thermostable β-agarase (Nippon gene, Tokyo, Japan) were added to the gel solution, and held at 57°C, 350 r.p.m. for 15 min. After enzyme treatment, tubes were kept on ice for 15 min to confirm the agarose was completely digested. If remaining agarose was observed in the reaction mixture, melting (100°C for 10 min) and subsequent steps were repeated. The concentration of DNA in the reaction mixture was checked by a Qubit 3.0 fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay kit (Invitrogen).

Illumina single chromosome and whole genome sequencing

Genomic DNA isolation was performed on freeze-dried mycelium ground in liquid nitrogen as starting material, using multiple rounds of phenol-chloroform extraction and precipitation, as well as the Purelink plant total DNA purification kit (Invitrogen). Illumina sequencing (150 bp paired-end, insert size ~500 bp) was performed on a HiSeq 2500 machine at
the Hartwig Medical Foundation (Amsterdam, the Netherlands) at ~100X coverage, resulting in 5.0–5.6 Mb of sequence data per sample.

Raw reads were trimmed to remove low-quality bases and adapter sequences using fastq-mcf v1.04.807 (-q 20). PCR duplicates were removed using PicardTools MarkDuplicates v2.7.1 with standard settings.

To assess partial deletions of the pathogenicity chromosome, reads of deletion strains were mapped directly to the SMRT assembly of Fol4287.

Reads from single chromosomes were also mapped directly to the SMRT assembly of Fol4287.

To confirm HCT, trimmed reads were directly mapped to SMRT assembly of Fol4287, and only reads that mapped once with 100% coverage and 100% identity were selected (with SAMtools view -q 42) when calculating read densities.

For visualization of the reads counts in 10 kb non-overlapping sliding windows, SAMtools bedcov was used. SAMtools version 1.8 was used in all above-mentioned cases.
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**Supporting Information**

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s web-site:

**Fig S1. In locus insertion of RFP confirmed by PCR.** To confirm homologous recombination, a primer just outside the flanking region in the Fol genome and another primer inside the inserted fragment were used for both left and right flanking regions. Ectopic insertion(s) of T-DNA was also assessed using a primer outside the flanking region in the construct and another primer inside of the flanking region. Loss of FOXG_14035 was confirmed by using a primer between the two flanks in the Fol genome and another primer in one flanking region. The *in loco* transformant
without ectopic insertion(s) is outlined with a green rectangle. NC: negative control; 14HG6B: original strain; Construct: FOXG_14135 deletion construct; 14HGPR: in locus transformant; Ectopic#1, #2: ectopic transfectants.

**Fig S2.** Deletion of FOXG_14135 does not result in reduced virulence.

Fresh weight (A) and disease index (DI) (B) of infected tomato plants were scored 3 weeks after inoculation. When 10 days old tomato seedlings were inoculated with \(1 \times 10^5\) spores ml\(^{-1}\) at 25°C, the FOXG_14135 deletion strain 14HGPR showed similar disease index and fresh weight as the original strain 14HG6B. As control, disease symptoms of an ectopic transformant 14HG6B#ect (T-DNA strain 14HGPR showed similar disease index and fresh weight. Kruskal–Wallis test was performed on disease index.

**Fig S3.** Illumina whole genome read mapping of GFP deletion strains reveals a few minor changes in the core genome.

(A) Whole genome reads of nine GFP deletion strains were mapped to the SMRT assembly of Fol4287. As reference, Illumina reads of Fol4287 itself were also mapped. For comparison of differences within and between deletion strains, genome coverage was normalized. No obvious changes were observed in the core genome of the three previously generated strains 14-4, 14-7 and 14-2. For all the deletion strains generated in this study, the same small deletion was observed at the end of contig 0. In addition, \(\Delta GFP#20, \Delta GFP#22, \Delta GFP#8\) and \(\Delta GFP#29\) all showed the same deletion in contig 47. For \(\Delta GFP#29\), deletions at the end of contig 3 and contig 7 and one duplication at the end of contig 61 were also observed. GC content (B) and repeat distribution across the genome (C) are also displayed.

**Fig S4.** Illumina whole genome read mapping of RFP deletion strains reveals a few minor changes in the core genome.

(A) Whole genome reads of four RFP deletion strains were mapped to the SMRT assembly of Fol4287. As reference, Illumina reads of Fol4287 itself were also mapped. For comparison of differences within and between deletion strains, genome coverage was normalized. For all the deletion strains, the same small deletion was observed at the end of contig 0 in the middle of contig 47. For \(\Delta RFP#12\), a deletion at the end of contig 2 was observed. GC content (B) and repeat distribution across the genome (C) are also displayed.

**Fig S5.** Stringent selection of mapped Illumina reads of HCT strains and donor strains to the SMRT assembly of Fol4287 shows absence of core chromosome transfer and confirms transfer of accessory regions.

(A) Illumina reads of HCT strains (HCT_\(\Delta GFP#29\)–2, HCT_\(\Delta GFP#8\)–2 and HCT_\(\Delta GFP#26\)–1) and their respective donor strains (\(\Delta GFP#29, \Delta GFP#8\) and \(\Delta GFP#26\)–1) were mapped to the SMRT assembly of Fol4287, and only those reads that mapped completely and without any mismatches were selected. In the case of transfer of core chromosomes, a high density of perfectly mapped reads was expected, even in the subtelomeric regions as shown for the reference donor strains. No core chromosome transfer was observed for any HCT strain. (Partial) pathogenicity chromosome transfer was confirmed for all HCT strains. In HCT_\(\Delta GFP#29\)–2, co-transfer of contig 58 and part of contig 47 was observed. GC content (B) and repeat distribution across the genome (C) are also displayed.

**Fig S6.** Single chromosomes cut from a CHEF gel for sequencing.

Eight strains were selected for chromosome separation in a CHEF gel. In total, 11 bands were cut from the gel (1 to 11) and sent for sequencing. The numbers are indicated on the respective bands. The same number indicates corresponding bands from the same strain. The name used in the main text for each number is listed below the gel. Chromosomes of S. cerevisiae were used as marker.

**Fig S7.** Single chromosome sequencing confirms partial pathogenicity chromosomes in both donor and HCT strains.

(A) Illumina reads of 11 bands cut from a CHEF gel (see Fig. S5) were mapped to the SMRT assembly of Fol4287. As reference, Illumina reads of Fol4287 itself were also mapped. Except band \(\Delta GFP#29\)–SC XS, which was not successfully sequenced, the remaining 10 bands indeed contained sequences of the pathogenicity chromosome (contig 14). For example, the same partial pathogenicity chromosome is present in donor strains \(\Delta GFP#8\) and \(\Delta GFP#26\) and the respective recipient strains (HCT_\(\Delta GFP#8\)–2 and HCT_\(\Delta GFP#26\)–1). Band \(\Delta GFP#29\)–SC S contained sequences from contig 7 and part of the pathogenicity chromosome. Instead of band HCT_\(\Delta GFP#29\)–SC S, band HCT_\(\Delta GFP#29\)–SC L was confirmed to be the transferred chromosome, containing sequences of the pathogenicity chromosome as well as contig 58 and part of contig 47. GC content (B) and repeat distribution across the genome (C) are also displayed.

**Table S1.** Summary of Agrobacterium-mediated Fusarium transformations.

**Table S2.** Fol pathogenicity chromosome deletion strains obtained.

Symbols used in the table: + for positive PCR result, – for negative PCR result; grey regions without symbol for presumed absence, white regions without symbols for presumed presence.

**Table S3.** Summary of three fluorescence assisted cell sorting (FACS) experiments.

**Table S4.** Details of the second fluorescence assisted cell sorting (FACS) experiment.

**Table S5.** Details of the third fluorescence assisted cell sorting (FACS) experiment.

**Table S6.** Details of the fourth fluorescence assisted cell sorting (FACS) experiment.

**Table S7.** Strains and single chromosomes sent for sequencing.
Table S8. Summary of Horizontal Chromosome Transfer (HCT) experiments.
No: no successful transfer; Yes: successful transfer. Only strains for which transfer was attempted are shown in this table.

Table S9. Different strain ratios and media used in five HCT experiments.
PDA: potato dextrose agar; CDA: Czapek Dox Agar. CAT medium: 0.17% YNB, 25 mM KNO₃.

Table S10. Horizontal chromosome transfer was confirmed by PCR.
Symbols used in the table: + for positive PCR result, − for negative PCR result; black regions without symbol are presumed to be present, white regions without symbols are presumed to be absent.

Table S11. Primers used for cloning.

Table S12. Markers on the pathogenicity chromosome.