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Ligand-Mediated Spin-State Changes in a Cobalt-Dipyrrin-Bisphenol Complex

Nicolaas P. van Leest, Wowa Stroek, Maxime A. Siegler, Jarl Ivar van der Vlugt, and Bas de Bruin

**ABSTRACT:** The influence of a redox-active ligand on spin-changing events induced by the coordination of exogenous donors is investigated within the cobalt complex [CoII(DPP−2−)], bearing a redox-active DPP−2− ligand (DPP = dipyrrin-bis(p,d-di-tert-butylphenolato)) with a pentfluorophenyl moiety on the meso-position. This square-planar complex was subjected to the coordination of tetrahydrofuran (THF), pyridine, tBuNH2, and AdNH2 (Ad = 1-adamantyl), and the resulting complexes were analyzed with a variety of experimental (X-ray diffraction, NMR, UV–visible, high-resolution mass spectrometry, superconducting quantum interference device, Evans’ method) and computational (density functional theory, NEVPT2-CASSCF) techniques to elucidate the respective structures, spin states, and orbital compositions of the corresponding octahedral bis-donor adducts, relative to [CoII(DPP−)]. This starting species is best described as an open-shell singlet complex containing a DPP−2− ligand radical that is antiferromagnetically coupled to a low-spin (S = 1/2) cobalt(II) center. The redox-active DPP−2− ligand plays a crucial role in stabilizing this complex and in its facile conversion to the triplet THF adduct [CoII(DPP−2−)(THF)2] and closed-shell singlet pyridine and amine adducts [CoIII(DPP−)(L)2] (L = py, tBuNH2, or AdNH2). Coordination of the weak donor THF to [CoII(DPP−2−)] changes the orbital overlap between the DPP−2− ligand radical π-orbitals and the cobalt(II) metalloradical d-orbitals, which results in a spin-flip to the triplet ground state without changing the oxidation states of the metal or DPP−2− ligand. In contrast, coordination of the stronger donors pyridine, tBuNH2, or AdNH2 induces metal-to-ligand single-electron transfer, resulting in the formation of low-spin (S = 0) cobalt(III) complexes [CoIII(DPP−)] containing a fully reduced DPP3− ligand, thus explaining their closed-shell singlet electronic ground states.

**INTRODUCTION**

Spin-state changes (spin crossover) can play an important role in chemistry and material research, among others in biochemistry (respiration, enzymatic conversions),1 development of molecular magnets2 and spintronics,3 and as a potential rate-accelerating process in organometallic catalysis and catalysis.4 Purely metal-centered spin-state changes of coordination complexes can be explained in terms of the coordination and geometry-dependent energy difference between partially filled and empty d-orbitals, as described by the ligand-field splitting parameter Δ.5

The respective roles of the metal and traditional (redox-innocent) ligands are well-understood in these cases. However, when a redox-active ligand, capable of bearing unpaired electrons, is present in the coordination sphere of the metal, the relative contributions and the roles and influence of metal and ligand to changes in the total spin state of the overall complex are far less well-understood. The main four modes of action of redox-active ligands that have been studied thoroughly can be summarized as (i) changing the Lewis acidity/basicity of the metal, (ii) acting as an electron reservoir, (iii) generation of a reactive ligand-centered radical, and (iv) radical-type activation of a substrate.6 We wish to expand upon these functions by investigating the role of a redox-active ligand in spin-changing events. Specifically, by keeping the redox-active ligand and metal center constant we set out to investigate how the coordination of different additional redox-innocent donors to the metal center influences the total spin state of the complex, which is governed by the interactions of the metal d-orbitals and the redox-active ligand orbitals of π-symmetry.

In this context, we became interested in the family of dipyrrin-bisphenol ligands (DPP, Figure 1), known since the 1970s.7 Different substitution patterns on the backbone were explored since 2009, and complexes of Al,8,9 B,8 Ga,9 In,9 Ti,10 Zr,10 Ge,10 Sn,9 and Mn11 have been reported. The DPP ligand scaffold was first described as being redox-active in 2012 after the synthesis of cobalt- and nickel-DPP complexes.12
Hereafter, the redox activity was further studied in Mn, Pt, Cu, and Au complexes. Catalytic applications have been reported for the Ti, Zr, Gn, and Sn complexes (copolymerization of epoxides with CO2) and Cu (aerobic alcohol oxidation). Contrarily, cobalt(III)-DPP complexes proved catalytically inactive in the epoxide ring-opening reaction with alcohols, which was attributed to the low Lewis acidity of the cobalt center.

Initial studies on cobalt-DPP complexes were mainly focused on the comparison of their (redox) properties and (catalytic) reactivity with cobalt-porphyrin, -salen, and -corrole analogues. The ligand was predominantly found to coordinate as a dianionic (radical) ligand to a low-spin cobalt(II) center in neutral Co-DPP complexes. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations indicated that the triplet and broken-symmetry open-shell singlet (BSS) ground state (inferring (anti)ferromagnetic coupling between the metal- and ligand-centered unpaired electrons) are energetically close (~1 kcal mol⁻¹). Although a BSS (S = 0) spin state was inferred based on experimental data for a Co-DPP complex, the DFT calculations indicated that the triplet state was slightly favored (~1.0 kcal mol⁻¹). Furthermore, monocoordination of benzonitrile, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and pyridine was observed, with conversion to the octahedral (bis-axially coordinated) complexes in neat DMSO and pyridine. Biscoordination of two pyridine molecules to afford the octahedral complex was indicated by UV–visible (UV–vis) studies, and DFT calculations revealed orbital compositions expected for a trianionic ligand coordinated to a low-spin (B3LYP functional) or intermediate-spin (OLYP functional) cobalt(III) center. However, the exact electronic structure of the investigated species remains largely unknown at this point.

The aforementioned studies on cobalt-DPP complexes indicate that intermediate- and low-spin configurations on cobalt are energetically close and that the DPP ligand is redox-active on cobalt. Because of these properties we selected the Co-DPP system as a suitable candidate to evaluate the influence of the redox-active ligand on the total spin state of the cobalt complex in the presence and absence of axial redox-innocent donor ligands. Specifically, in this work we study the electronic configuration of a neutral [Co⁹(DPP⁻²)] complex (with Ar = C₆F₅; R₁ = R₃ = tBu; R₂ = H, Figure 1), bearing a new DPP ligand derivative, upon coordination of different axial donor ligands with experimental (X-ray diffraction (XRD), μeff, NMR, high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS), UV–vis) and computational (DFT, NEVPT2-CASSCF) techniques. We thereby describe how the molecular orbitals are influenced by
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**Scheme 1**

(A) Synthesis of DDPH₃ and [Co⁹(DPP⁻²)]. (B) Displacement ellipsoid plot (50% probability level) of DDPH₃. (C) Displacement ellipsoid plot (50% probability level) of [Co⁹(DPP⁻²)]. H atoms (except NH and OH) and disorder are omitted for clarity. (D) Selection of active orbitals, occupancies in parentheses, and electronic structure from a NEVPT2-CASSCF(18,14) calculation on [Co⁹(DPP⁻²)]. Isosurface set at 80.
coordination of tetrahydrofuran (THF), pyridine, and primary amines and elucidate the exact electronic structures of these complexes and the influence of the redox-active ligand on the orbital changes upon coordination of axial donors.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Synthesis and Open-Shell Singlet Electronic Ground-State Configuration of \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\)

The dipyrin-bisphenol ligand DPPH₂, bearing two tert-butyl groups on the phenol ring and a pentafluorophenyl substituent on the meso-position, was obtained via a four-step synthesis in 65% overall isolated yield according to modified literature procedures (see Scheme S1 in the Supporting Information and Scheme 1A). Coordination of cobalt(II) and in situ oxidation to the neutral complex was achieved according to an adapted literature procedure by employing \(\text{Co}(\text{OAc})_2\cdot4(\text{H}_2\text{O})\) and NET₃ under aerobic conditions to afford \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\) as a purple powder in 88% isolated yield.

Slow evaporation of a concentrated solution of DPPH₂ in CH₂Cl₂ afforded single crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination (Scheme 1B). Single crystals suitable for XRD analysis of \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\) were also obtained in a similar manner. The molecular structure of the latter is depicted in Scheme 1C and shows a slightly distorted square planar geometry around cobalt. This distortion is most likely caused by the steric repulsion between the ortho-tert-butyl substituents on the phenolate rings. Comparison of the bond lengths in \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\) with those found in the fully aromatic DPPH₂ ligand shows alternating elongation and shortening of the C–C bond lengths (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information), consistent with the loss of aromaticity due to the oxidation of the DPP ligand in the complex. The bond lengths are similar to a previously described DPP ligand in the dianionic (radical) state on cobalt(II) (Scheme 1B), thus supporting the proposed DPP²⁻ oxidation state of the ligand. The two 2-pyrylophenolato fragments in \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\) have similar bond metrics, indicating a fully conjugated ligand and a delocalized ligand-centered radical coordinated to a cobalt(II) center in the neutral \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\) complex.

\(^1\text{H}\) NMR analysis of \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\) in CD₂Cl₂ showed two remarkably downfield-shifted resonances at \(\delta = 12.82\) (2H) and 4.29 (18H) ppm. Note that these signals are observed at, respectively, \(\delta = 7.03\) and 1.54 ppm in DPPH₂. All other resonances are shifted \(~1\) ppm relative to the free ligand. These unusual shifts are suggestive of (minor) paramagnetic contributions to the observed chemical shift in the \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum, which seems to correlate with the experimentally determined bond lengths from XRD that suggest a ligand-centered radical (DPP²⁻) and consequently a cobalt(II) (radical) center. However, whether these apparent paramagnetic contributions are best explained by an open-shell singlet ground state (with temperature-independent paramagnetic contributions are best explained by an open-shell (radical) center. However, whether these apparent paramagnetic contributions are best explained by an open-shell singlet ground state (with temperature-independent paramagnetic contributions are best explained by an open-shell (radical) center. However, whether these apparent paramagnetic contributions are best explained by an open-shell singlet ground state (with temperature-independent paramagnetic contributions are best explained by an open-shell (radical) center. However, whether these apparent paramagnetic contributions are best explained by an open-shell singlet ground state (with temperature-independent paramagnetic contributions are best explained by an open-shell (radical) center. However, whether these apparent paramagnetic contributions are best explained by an open-shell singlet ground state (with temperature-independent para-

To study the electronic structure, we initially performed DFT calculations at the B3LYP/de2-SVP//B3LYP/de2-TZVP level of theory, employing an m4 grid and Grimme’s version 3 dispersion corrections (see the Supporting Information for more details). The calculated bond metrics for \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\) in the open-shell singlet state closely resemble those found in the crystal structure (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information) and show similar alternating C–C bond lengths, consistent with oxidation of the ligand to the DPP²⁻ redox state. The relative energies of the open-shell singlet (\(\Delta G^0_{298 \text{K}} = +1.3\) kcal mol⁻¹), triplet (\(\Delta G^0_{298 \text{K}} = 0.0\) kcal mol⁻¹), and closed-shell singlet (\(\Delta G^0_{298 \text{K}} = +14.8\) kcal mol⁻¹) are consistent with the proposed open-shell (biradical) character of \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\), but they fail to reproduce the experimentally observed (open-shell singlet) spin state being the ground state of the molecule.

Distinguishing between a triplet and a multireference broken-symmetry singlet (BSS) electronic structure is (nearly) impossible when relying on single-reference DFT calculations. We therefore investigated the electronic structure of \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\) with multiconfigurational N-electron valence state perturbation theory (NEVPT2)-corrected complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) calculations (see the Supporting Information), a method we previously used successfully to study the orbital compositions of cobalt complexes bearing a redox-active ligand. A NEVPT2-CASSCF(18,14) calculation, employing 18 electrons in 14 active orbitals on \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\), converged on the singlet surface and showed a dominant (>96%) contribution from a multireference open-shell singlet (OSS) electronic configuration of \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\). A pure triplet spin-state solution could not be found in this, nor in a reduced, active space. State averaging of the singlet and triplet state in a 50:50 mixture in the active space did afford a solution for the triplet spin state, but this triplet state was found to be +6.5 kcal mol⁻¹ less stable than the OSS state.

A selection of the active orbitals and their occupations derived from the NEVPT2-CASSCF(18,14) calculation on \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\) is depicted in Scheme 1D. The \(d_{x^2-y^2}\), \(d_{xz}\), \(d_{yz}\), and a ligand (L) orbital of \(\pi\) symmetry are doubly filled, whereas the \(d_{z^2}\) orbital is empty. The two main contributors to the multireference OSS solution are described by the \(d_{x^2}\) orbital, which has a bonding and antibonding combination with the \(\pi\)-frame of the ligand (\(L_χ+\pi\rightarrow L_π^-\) or is nonbonding (\(d_π\)). Specifically, 50.6% of the total wave function is described by a doubly filled \(L_π+\pi\rightarrow L_π^-\) orbital (and empty \(d_π\)), while 45.5% of the wave function is described by a doubly filled \(d_π\) (and empty \(L_π+\pi\rightarrow L_π^-\) orbital). The electronic structure of \([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{DPPH})^2]^-\) is thus best described as an open-shell singlet based on the combined experimental (XRD, \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR}, \mu_{\text{eff}}\) and computational (NEVPT2-CASSCF) studies. Effectively, one unpaired electron resides in the \(d_{x^2}\) orbital on cobalt, and another unpaired electron is fully delocalized over the ligand with a small contribution from the \(d_{z^2}\) orbital on cobalt. As such, this complex is best described as a system containing antiferromagnetically coupled cobalt(II)- and DPP⁻² ligand-centered unpaired electrons.
Spin-Flip to a Triplet State upon Coordination of THF on \([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})]\) to Generate \([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})](\text{THF})_2\).

Whereas \([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})]\) is purple in non-coordinating solvents (CH₂Cl₂, toluene) we noticed a distinct color change to green upon solvation of the complex in coordinating solvents (THF, MeOH, MeCN), indicative of solvent coordination. The UV–vis spectra of \([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})]\) in THF (solid green line, \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 318, 409, 423, 474, 632, \) and 833 nm) and CH₂Cl₂ (solid purple line, \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 326, 374, 556, \) and 755 nm) are shown in Figure 2 left. Titration of THF (guest) to a CH₂Cl₂ solution of \([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})]\) (host) afforded spectral changes in the UV–vis spectra characteristic for multiple binding events (see Figure 2 left and Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). Isosbestic points are found in two regimes; between 0 and 1.3 \times 10^{-2} equiv of THF (solid purple to solid light green line, \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 413, 488, 600, 667, 771 \) nm) and between 5.2 \times 10^{-2} and 1.4 \times 10^{-1} equiv of THF (solid orange to solid brown line, \(\lambda = 393, 489, 593, 679, 776 \) nm). Between these two regimes the spectral crossing points are found between the isosbestic points, suggestive of the simultaneous presence of three species.

The titration data could be fitted to weak noncooperative host–guest–host binding with an overall association constant (\(K_{\text{ass}}\)) of 1.2 M⁻¹ for binding of two THF molecules (see Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). The data are therefore consistent with the initial (predominant) formation of a mono-THF adduct (first regime), followed by the formation of a bis-THF adduct (second regime, see Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). Full conversion to this latter species is not reached at the end of the depicted titration curve (brown solid line, Figure 2 left) and is only observed in neat THF (solid green line, Figure 2 left), as indicated by the increased absorbance of various spectral bands and the shoulder at 474 nm. Similar mono- and bis-coordination of solvent has been described in the literature for related Co-DPP complexes, and in combination with the titration data in Figure 2 we thus propose the formation of a bis-THF adduct \(([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})](\text{THF})_2)\) in neat THF (Scheme 2).

To further study the coordination of THF to \([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})]\) we followed the spectral changes in the \(^1H\) NMR spectrum upon addition of THF to a 5.89 mM CD₂Cl₂ solution of \([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})]\) (Figure 2 right). The presence of 1.1, 2.0, or 3.8 M THF (spectra B, C, D) led to signal broadening and gradual downshifting of one resonance (labeled as a red circle), while three other resonances (labeled as a blue square, orange triangle, and yellow diamond) are strongly shifted upfield, approaching the shifts observed in neat THF, \(d_8\) (spectrum E). The observed sharp paramagnetically shifted resonances in the −65 to +45 ppm region in neat THF, \(d_8\) clearly indicate conversion towards a new open-shell species. Interestingly, concentrating and thoroughly drying the sample obtained in neat THF, \(d_8\), followed by dissolution in CD₂Cl₂, afforded a purple solution for which the spectral data (\(^1H\) NMR, UV–vis) exactly matched that of \([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})]\) (spectrum F). These combined data thus point to weak and reversible binding of THF to the square planar complex, consistent with the low \(K_{\text{ass}}\) as derived from the UV–vis spectroscopic titration study.

No THF adducts were observed by HRMS, presumably due to the reversible weak binding and low boiling point of THF, which is likely easily lost in the ionization process. Attempts to crystallize a THF adduct of \([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})]\) were unfortunately unsuccessful. Determination of the effective magnetic moment of \([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})](\text{THF})_2\) in THF, \(d_8\) by the Evans method afforded \(\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.91 \mu_B\), indicating the formation of a triplet (\(S = 1\)) complex.

Figure 2. (left) UV–Vis spectra of \([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})]\) in pure THF (\(([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})](\text{THF})_2, 32.27 \mu M, green solid line),\) in CH₂Cl₂ (32.27 \(\mu M,\) purple solid line), and titration of THF to this CH₂Cl₂ solution (dashed lines and solid light green, orange and brown line). (right) \(^1H\) NMR spectra of \([\text{Co}^{II}(\text{DPP}^{-Z})]\) dissolved in pure CD₂Cl₂ (5.89 mM, A, F, purple), CD₂Cl₂ with 1.1 M THF (B), THF/THF-\(d_8\) = 1:9, 2.0 M THF (C), THF/THF-\(d_8\) = 1:20, 3.8 M THF (D), THF/THF-\(d_8\) = 1:45 and pure THF-\(d_8\) (E, green). The sample was concentrated and thoroughly dried between the measurements (E, F).
DFT calculations (B3LYP/def2-SVP//B3LYP/def2-TZVP, m4 grid, Grimme’s version 3 dispersion corrections) indicated that both the square pyramidal mono-THF adduct ([CoII(DPP-3)·(THF)]) and the octahedral bis-THF complex ([CoII(DPP-3)·2(THF)]) have a triplet spin (S = 1) ground state, consistent with the experimentally determined spin state.26 To obtain more insight in the electronic structure of [CoII(DPP-3)·2(THF)] and to investigate possible multi-reference contributions to the ground-state wave function, we performed NEVPT2-CASSCF(18,15) calculations on the singlet, triplet, and quartet spin surfaces. The triplet state was again found to be the lowest in energy, with the (open-shell) singlet and quartet states being disfavored by +32.2 and +33.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively. Dominant multireference character was observed in the triplet spin state, leading to an interesting electronic structure wherein cobalt retains the +II oxidation state and is ferromagnetically coupled to a ligand-centered radical on the DDP-3 ligand (Figure 3).

With the $d_{xy}$, $d_{zx}$, and $d_{yz}$ orbitals on cobalt doubly filled, the unpaired (and uncorrelated) $\alpha$-spin electron on cobalt resides in the $d_{z^2}$ orbital (Figure 3). The other $\alpha$-spin electron mainly resides in the strongly correlated antibonding combinations of the $d_{x^2}$ orbital with the ligand pyrrole $\pi$-framework ($d_{x^2}$-$\text{PyrL}$, $-0.262$ S4 $E_{\text{hh}}$, 46.1% only $\alpha$-spin, 1.51 total electron occupation) and the complete ligand $\pi$-system ($L_{\text{Py}}$-$d_{x^2}$, $-0.208$ S7 $E_{\text{hh}}$, 34.9% only $\alpha$-spin, 1.59 total electron occupation).27 The energetically slightly higher lying $L_{\text{Py}}$-$d_{x^2}$ orbital is more diffuse over the ligand $\pi$-system, thus leading to a smaller electron-electron repulsive interaction (i.e., pairing energy of the $\alpha$- and $\beta$-spin electrons) upon filling of this orbital in comparison to the more localized (less diffuse) $d_{x^2}$-$\text{PyrL}$ orbital. Consequently, in the multiconfigurational description of the total triplet spin state wave function, the ligand-centered unpaired electron is mainly (46.1%) localized on the least-diffuse orbital ($d_{x^2}$-$\text{PyrL}$).

The spin−flip in the transition from [CoII(DPP-3)·2(THF)] (OSS) to [CoII(DPP-3)·2(THF)] (triplet) can be understood by looking at the composition of the SOMOs. The $\alpha$- and $\beta$-spin electrons in [CoII(DPP-3)·2(THF)] are located in the $d_{z^2}$-based orbitals ($d_{z^2}$ and $L_{\text{Py}}$+$d_{x^2}$-$L_{\text{Py}}$). A triplet state would lead to severe ($\alpha$) spin−$\sigma$ repulsion in this cobalt-centered orbital, and consequently an OSS solution is favored. This is not the case for [CoII(DPP-3)·2(THF)], wherein the two unpaired electrons reside in spatially different orbitals ($d_{z^2}$ and $d_{x^2}$-based). In this case Hund’s rule28 applies, which states that the maximization of the total spin is favored for a given electronic configuration, thus leading to the observed triplet spin state.

**Closed-Shell Singlet Spin State via Metal-to-Ligand Single- Electron Transfer Induced by Coordination of Stronger Donors.** We next set out to explore the influence of replacing the weak-field ligand THF with the stronger-field ligand pyridine. Addition of excess (100 equiv) pyridine to [CoII(DPP-3)·2(THF)] in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ afforded quantitative formation of the bis-pyridine adduct [CoII(DPP-3)·2(Py)$_2$] as a green powder after workup (Scheme 3A). The six-coordinate complex was characterized inter alia by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy and positive mode cold-spray ionization (CSI) HRMS. Single crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination were grown by slow evaporation of a concentrated solution of the complex in a 5:1 mixture of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and MeOH. Three octahedral [CoIII(DPP-3)·2(Py)$_2$] complexes are present in the unit cell (see Figure S6 in the Supporting Information), one of which (the left structure) is depicted in Scheme 3B. The bond metrics of all three [CoIII(DPP-3)·2(Py)$_2$] molecules are similar, although the relative rotation of the pyridine ligands differs from nearly parallel to perpendicular (see Table S2 in the Supporting Information).

The experimentally determined C−C bond lengths of the DPP moiety (see the Supporting Information) in the crystal structure of [CoIII(DPP-3)·2(Py)$_2$] resemble the aromaticity that is also observed in the free DPPH$_1$ ligand, thus suggesting a fully reduced trianionic DPP$^{3-}$ redox state for the ligand and consequently a cobalt(III) center in the neutral complex. The $^1$H NMR resonances of [CoIII(DPP-3)·2(Py)$_2$] do not show any paramagnetic shifts and are found entirely in the diamagnetic region ($\delta = 8.04$−1.25 ppm), suggesting a closed-shell single-electron configuration, that is, a low-spin Co$^{III}$ center. The SQUID analysis of solid [CoIII(DPP-3)·2(Py)$_2$] did not show significant magnetization in the 4−290 K range, again consistent with a singlet ground state (see Figure S7 in the Supporting Information).

DFT calculations (B3LYP/def2-SVP//B3LYP/def2-TZVP, m4 grid, Grimme’s version 3, dispersion corrections) indicated that formation of the closed-shell singlet octahedral bis-pyridine [CoIII(DPP-3)·2(Py)$_2$] complex is more exergonic ($\Delta G^{0}_{298 K} = -14.2$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) than the formation of the square pyramidal monopyridine adduct [CoII(DPP-3)·2(Py)] ($\Delta G^{0}_{298 K} = -9.1$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, $S = 1$). Orbital analysis clearly showed that coordination of pyridine in [CoII(DPP-3)·2(Py)$_2$] (Scheme 3C) leads to a strongly destabilized $d_{z^2}$ orbital ($d_{x^2}$−2N$_{Py}$), resulting in a quite large gap between the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of 0.10346 $E_{\text{hh}}$ and,
therefore a low-spin (CSS) configuration. The \( L_z - d_{xy} \) (HOMO) is doubly filled, reflecting the reduction of the ligand to the \( \text{DPP}^{3-} \) state. Cobalt adopts the +III oxidation state in \([\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{DPP}^{3-})(\text{Py})_2]\) with doubly filled \( d_{xy}, d_{xz}, \) and d_{yz} electrons.
pyridine (σ-donor, weak π-acceptor), tBuNH₂ or AdNH₂ (σ-donors) afforded the closed-shell singlet octahedral complexes via metal-to-ligand single-electron transfer. The redox-active DPP ligand is reduced to the trianionic redox state, and cobalt adopts a low-spin +III oxidation state.

Concluding, we have described that a redox-active DPP ligand on cobalt can accommodate three different spin states of the complex within an integer spin system. The spin-state changes are induced via coordination of axial ligands to the square-planar complex, but the relative energy and overlap of the ligand- and cobalt-centered orbitals determines the most stable spin state. The capability of the redox-active ligand to stabilize unpaired electrons and accommodate intramolecular electron transfer was found to be crucial in this context.

### CONCLUSIONS

The electronic ground state of [CoIII(DPP⁺⁻⁻)] is characterized as a multiconfigurational open-shell singlet, which is best described as a system containing antiferromagnetically coupled cobalt(II)- and ligand-centered unpaired electrons. Solvation of this complex in THF (sp³-hybrid donor) affords the clean formation of a THF-adduct, [CoIII(DPP⁺⁻⁻)(THF)₂], which resides in the triplet spin state. The origin of this spin flip is the orbital overlap of the redox-active ligand π-framework with the cobalt d-orbitals, which leads to the population of two ligand-dₓₒ orbitals in a multiconfigurational triplet solution to reduce spin–spin repulsion. Coordination of pyridine (σ-donor, weak π-acceptor), tBuNH₂ or AdNH₂ (σ-donors) afforded the closed-shell singlet octahedral complexes via metal-to-ligand single-electron transfer. The redox-active DPP ligand is reduced to the trianionic redox state, and cobalt adopts a low-spin +III oxidation state.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**General Considerations.** All reagents were of commercial grade and used without further purification, unless noted otherwise. All reactions were performed under an inert atmosphere in a N₂-filled glovebox or by using standard Schlenk techniques (under Ar or N₂), unless noted otherwise. CH₂Cl₂ and MeOH were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Detailed information regarding the NMR, HRMS, UV–vis, SQUID, and XRD measurements is included in the Supporting Information. XRD- and DFT-derived bond lengths are also included in the Supporting Information. The magnetic moments in solution were determined via the Evans method.22
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Synthesis and Characterization. DPPH$_2$. Synthesized in four steps (overall isolated yield 65%) according to adapted literature procedures. Characterized by $^1$H and $^{19}$F NMR, HRMS-FD$^*$, elemental analysis, and XRD (see the Supporting Information).

[Co$_3$(DPP$^{3-}$)]. Prepared in 88% isolated yield according to a literature procedure for the insertion of cobalt in a DPP ligand. Characterized by $^1$H and $^{19}$F NMR, HRMS-FD$^*$, UV–vis, elemental analysis, $\mu_{eff}$ (Evans method and SQUID), and XRD (see the Supporting Information).

[Co$_3$(DPP$^{3-}$)(THF)]. Quantitatively prepared by solvation of [Co$_3$(DPP$^{3-}$)] in THF. Characterized by $^1$H NMR, $\mu_{eff}$ (Evans method), and UV–vis (see the Supporting Information).

[Co$_3$(DPP$^{3+}$)](Py)$_2$. Obtained in quantitative isolated yield by the addition of pyridine (100 equiv) to a solution of [Co$_3$(DPP$^{3-}$)] in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Characterized by $^1$H and $^{19}$F NMR, HRMS-CS1, UV–vis, elemental analysis, $\mu_{eff}$ (SQUID), and XRD (see the Supporting Information).

[Co$_3$(DPP$^{3+}$)(NH$_2$Ad)$_2$]. Obtained in quantitative isolated yield by the addition of iBuNH$_2$ (100 equiv) to a solution of [Co$_3$(DPP$^{3-}$)] in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Characterized by $^1$H and $^{19}$F NMR, HRMS-CS1, UV–vis, and XRD (see the Supporting Information).

[Co$_3$(DPP$^{3+}$)(NH$_4$Ad)$_2$]. Obtained in 43% isolated yield by addition of AdNH$_2$ (2.0 equiv) to a solution of [Co$_3$(DPP$^{3-}$)] in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Characterized by $^1$H and $^{19}$F NMR, HRMS-CS1, and XRD (see the Supporting Information).

Computational Studies. DFT. Calculations were conducted on full atomic models at the B3LYP/def2-SVP$^{30}$/B3LYP/def2-TZVP$^{31}$ level of theory on an m4 grid with Grimme's version $^{31}$ (“zero-damping”) dispersion corrections with the TURBOMOLE 7.3$^{32}$ software package coupled to the PQS Baker optimizer$^{33}$ via the BOpt package.$^{34}$ Orbital interpretation was performed by Löwdin population analysis of quasi-restricted orbitals (QRO) generated with the ORCA 4.1$^{35}$ software package at the B3LYP/def2-TZVP level, using the coordinates from the structures optimized in TURBOMOLE as the input and using the UNO keyword. Graphical representations of orbitals were generated using IboView.$^{36}$ Energies, xyz coordinates, and more details on the calculations are included in the Supporting Information.

NEVPT2-CASSCF. The NEVPT2-corrected CASSCF calculations were performed with the ORCA 4.1$^{35}$ software package on the geometries optimized in TURBOMOLE. The def2-TZVP$^{30}$ basis set was used together with the RIJCOSX$^{37}$ approximation in conjunction with the def2-TZVP/C fitting the basis set to reduce computational cost. The single-root spin state was calculated. The NEVPT2$^{38}$ calculations using the RI approximation were performed on converged CASSCF wave functions. Energies, contributions to the wave functions, full representations of the active spaces, and more details on the calculations are included in the Supporting Information.
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