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Ageing of Polymer Frictional Interfaces: The Role of Quantity and Quality of Contact


ABSTRACT: When two objects are in contact, the force necessary for one to start sliding over the other is larger than the force necessary to keep the sliding motion going. This difference between static and dynamic friction is thought to result from a reduction in the area of real contact upon the onset of slip. Here, we resolve the structure in the area of contact on the molecular scale by means of environment-sensitive molecular rotors using (super-resolution) fluorescence microscopy and fluorescence lifetime imaging. We demonstrate that the macroscopic friction force is not only controlled by the area of real contact but also controlled by the “quality” of that area of real contact, which determines the friction per unit contact area. We show that the latter is affected by the local density of the contacting surfaces, a parameter that can be expected to change in time at any interface that involves glassy, amorphous materials.
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1. INTRODUCTION

At most solid-on-solid interfaces, the static friction force that resists the sliding motion of the bodies increases with the logarithm of contact time.1−7 When a macroscopic slip is initiated, the static friction force drops to its dynamic value. If the reduction in friction force with sliding distance is much sharper than that in the driving force, a stick−slip instability results. Unwanted stick−slip instabilities can cause destructive vibrations in, for example, earthquakes,8 articular joints,9 brakes,10 drilling of oil and gas,11 and boat propulsion systems.12 The reduction in friction at the onset of macroscopic sliding is often attributed to a reduction in the area of real contact A when the sliding starts.1 At rest, the contacts between the two surfaces age: plastic flow,13,14 of the asperities cause a gradual increase in A. The area of real contact is however reduced again when the interface starts to slip because microcontacts that have aged are replaced by new, younger contacts.1 The friction force $F_f$ is widely assumed to be proportional to the area of real contact.15,16 Therefore, as A is reduced, so is the friction force.17−19 Exceptions to this behavior have also been observed, notably by Bureau et al.,20 who observed that polymer-on-glass interfaces display glassy behavior which involves a varying interfacial shear stress. More recently, lateral force microscopy experiments have demonstrated that the interfacial shear stress can also increase because of the formation of chemical bonds across a frictional interface during contact ageing.4,21 Furthermore, recent results for polymer−glass interfaces,22 suggest that the proportionality between the macroscopic friction force and the microscopic area of real contact may not always hold; visualization of the contact area during slide-hold-slide experiments demonstrates that the increase in the static friction coefficient with ageing time is larger than that of the area of real contact: the friction force per unit contact area increases.23 The difference between static and dynamic friction is then not only a consequence of the increase in contact area but also an increase in the shear strength of the contacts. This behavior is in line with the earlier observation of strain-hardening plasticity of such polystyrene (PS)-on-glass contacts; the PS surface is plastically compacted upon contact with glass22,23 and hardens as it is strained. In other words, the PS becomes mechanically stronger as it is compacted in the asperities, which could also lead to the increased shear strength, as the sliding is accommodated by the yielding PS surface.22,23

Clearly, reliable experimental measurement of the area of real contact is of critical importance to distinguish between the size of the contact (area, measured in $n^2$) and the quality of the contact (shear strength, measured in Pa). In our previous work, the area of real contact was measured through diffraction-limited fluorescence intensity imaging.22,23 Here, we critically evaluate the precision of this type of contact area measurement by means of super-resolution microscopy and fluorescence lifetime imaging (FLIM).26

Our results indicate that diffraction-limited imaging is sufficient to resolve the PS-on-glass contacts. We also show that the strain-hardening behavior is observed not only at the PS-on-glass interfaces that are primarily studied here but also at other amorphous interfaces: the shear strength generated by
poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA)-on-glass contacts also grows in time. We find that for both PS and PMMA-on-glass contacts, the interfacial polymer density increases in time when the interface is loaded, suggesting that densification of glassy polymer surfaces in contact may be a universal phenomenon. Other glassy polymers can also be expected to display contact ageing driven by an evolution of the shear strength or friction per unit contact area.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

For the friction and visualization experiments, a rheometer measuring head is mounted on top of a laser scanning confocal microscope, with a sphere glued to the rheometer tool (Figure 1). Lowering the rheometer tool creates an interface between the sphere and the glass coverslip that is loaded with an imposed normal force N. The rheometer tool can also be rotated, which allows the measurement of the friction force through the torque measurement on the rotation axis.

The fluorescence intensity of a viscosity-sensitive molecular rotor—2-(1-(4-(4-cyano-5-(dicyanomethylene)-2,2-dimethyl-5-di-hydrofuran-3-yl)phenyl)-piperidin-4-yl) acetic acid (DCDHF)—is used as a local molecular environment sensor at the interface. A glass coverslip with a covalently attached monolayer of DCDHF is inserted into the inverted confocal microscope setup. The fluorophores at the interface are excited—and their emission is detected—through the transparent substrate by the inverted microscope. At the solid–solid interface, fluorescence arises because the rotations around the β-bond or the γ-bond of the DCDHF molecules in the monolayer, which give rise to rapid nonradiative decay, are suppressed.

We perform slide-hold-slide friction experiments in which we first bring a rough PS sphere of 600 μm diameter in contact with a smooth float glass substrate at a contact force of approximately 400 mN. It is important to note that in this rough-on-smooth contact geometry, during the slip the sphere will continuously touch the substrate with the same asperities. The static friction force is measured as a function of waiting time: for each slip event, the sphere is forced to slide over the glass for a total sliding distance of 12 μm. During and in between the friction measurements, the normal force is held fixed. A single slide-hold-slide series consists of up to 13 friction measurements, conducted at increasing waiting times which are measured relative to the moment at which the normal force was first applied. After the very first “run-in” friction measurement, the measured friction forces are reproducible, see Figure 2 (error bars indicate deviations between independent experiments). During the first measurement, there is a significant increase in the area of real contact which results from the fact that this is the first moment at which the interface is subjected to large shear stresses. These shear stresses, similar to the normal stress, induce an increase of the area of real contact in time; shear stresses are known to accelerate contact ageing due to plastic flow. The subsequent friction measurements show that the static friction force grows logarithmically with time, an observation that has been made in many frictional systems. 

Figure 1. (A) Molecular structure of the DCDHF probe molecules. (B) Schematic presentation of the experiments in which a sphere is brought into contact with a glass coverslip with normal force N. The enhanced fluorescence of the probe molecules indicates contact. To suppress strong light scattering, contacts are immersed in formamide, a low viscosity liquid that does not affect the friction behavior. Figure recreated from ref 27.

Figure 2. Ageing of the static friction force for PS on glass (in blue). All data sets show pronounced ageing: the static friction force increases logarithmically by almost a factor of 1.5 over the duration of the experiment. The black lines are semilogarithmic fits to the data. Red data points depict the growth of the PS-on-glass contact area with time as determined from molecular probe fluorescence images (see Figure 4) taken during a slide-hold-slide experiment and taken during a static ageing experiment, in which the contact is only loaded in the normal direction.

To understand what drives the logarithmic increase of the friction force with time, we performed atomic force microscopy measurements of the PS surface before and after contact with the smooth glass substrate. As shown in Figure 3, creep flow of the asperities of the PS occurs during the contact, causing the area of real contact between the sphere and the substrate to increase over time.

To quantify the contact area growth, we performed fluorescence experiments using our pressure-sensitive molecular probe. By applying an Otsu threshold on the fluorescence intensity images (see Figure 4), we plot the number of bright pixels (indicating real contact) multiplied with the pixel area as a function of waiting time in red in Figure 2. We find that the growth rate of the contact area in time is significantly smaller than that of the friction force; the creep growth in contact area is not strong enough to explain the observed increase in the static friction force. In other words, the quality of contact—or friction per unit contact area—must be increasing as the experiment progresses.

Critically, this conclusion only holds if the observed growth of the area of real contact is not affected by the limitation of the in-plane imaging resolution; the fluorescence observations of the area of real contact are molecularly sensitive in the axial direction but diffraction-limited (point spread function with a full width at half-maximum (fwhm) of 450 nm2 in the in-plane direction. To experimentally test the diffraction-limited observations, we apply super-resolution microscopy to the PS-on-glass contacts.

To image the exact same contact using a diffraction-limited and a super-resolved imaging technique, we first record a diffraction-limited fluorescence image of the aged contact immersed in dimethyl sulfoxide using widefield microscopy (Figure 5). After that, we expose the functionalized coverslip to intense laser light (5 kW/cm2) for a few hours. In this way, we bleach the majority of the molecules.
Figure 3. AFM images of the PS surface before and after contact with glass (400 mN normal force for 30 min). Comparison of the two images reveals that plastic flow of the asperities has occurred, resulting in a flattened overall topography. The AFM images were recorded at a pixel size of 32 nm, larger than the scale at which convolution of the image with the tip radius is expected to impact the image.32

Figure 4. Molecular probe fluorescence images of a PS-on-glass contact after ageing for 30 s (left) and 3000 s (right, the same contact). An increase in contact area and coarsening of the contact structure due to the plastic flow in the asperities can be observed. Images are 90 μm × 90 μm.

Figure 5. Area of real contact between a rough PS sphere and a smooth glass coverslip at a normal force of 30 mN imaged using confinement-sensitive DCDHF molecules. (A) Area of real contact measured through super-resolution microscopy. (B) Area of real contact measured through super-resolution microscopy. (C) Uncertainty of the Otsu thresholding for confraction-limited fluorescence imaging: by reducing the threshold value green contacts appear, by increasing the threshold value red contacts disappear. (D) Uncertainty of the contact area estimation for super-resolution microscopy images. By reducing the threshold value, green contacts appear, and by increasing the threshold value, red contacts disappear. To construct the super-resolved image, we represent each molecule that was located by a bright pixel and convolve the resulting image with the resolution fwhm 152 nm. The pixel size is 20 nm. The images were recorded approximately 1 and 4 h after contact formation.

and only a few fluorescent single molecules remain within the observed area during a single time frame of 100 ms. Many of the molecules that were photobleached, however, spontaneously recover and switch several times between a fluorescent and a dark state, until they finally remain dark. This switching behavior is used for super-resolution imaging.33 Importantly, the single molecules retain the contact sensitivity; that is, only those molecules confined by contact between the PS sphere and the glass substrate light up.34 Thus, by recording and statistically analyzing 4000 images,35 we obtain a super-resolved image of the same PS-on-glass contact area (Figure 5). The resolution of this super-resolved image depends on the density of fluorescent molecules, which can vary locally. Nonetheless, it is clear from the edges of the contact patches that the resulting resolution is significantly better than the confraction-limited microscopy image (Figure 5). We have quantified this improved resolution through the method described in ref 36 to be 152 nm. To quantify the area of real contact in the super-resolved fluorescence image, we set an Otsu threshold, as in the regular microscopy images. Thus, the area of contact measured by confraction-limited microscopy is 194 ± 15 μm², while the area of real contact measured through super-resolution imaging is 193 ± 13 μm²: there is no significant difference between the results of the two techniques. We want to emphasize that indeed the main difference between the confraction-limited and super-resolved images lies in the roughness of the edges of the contact patches and the appearance of many tiny contact patches. Both observations confirm that while high-frequency roughness does exist on the sphere and does lead to contact area structure that cannot be resolved by confraction-limited microscopy, this fine structure does not strongly influence the total area of real contact. Persson’s theory of the elastic contact of randomly rough solids has illustrated that lateral scales down to the atomic scale can—and do—influence the area of real contact.37 However, in the elastoplastic version of the contact theory, a cutoff magnification emerges below which the area of real contact no longer depends on the resolution with which the interface is observed/analyzed.38 Based on our previous analysis, in which we investigated the area of real contact as a function of imaging resolution,39 and based on the present super-resolution observations, we therefore argue that in the PS-on-glass system, the cutoff length scale below which the structure in the area of real contact diminishes lies within the reach of optical imaging techniques. In other words, the contact patches observed using both imaging techniques in Figure 5 represent regions of the interface that are in full contact. Although earlier modeling work has indicated that such contact regions may contain atomic-scale structure,39 we argue that the large (100 nm) plastic deformation associated with the strain-hardenning contact mechanics of the interfaces considered here32 precludes such effects. Our interpretation is further supported by fluorescence lifetime measurements, which will be discussed later in the paper. For the PS-on-glass contacts that are
considered here, fluorescence intensity imaging therefore suffices, and
furthermore, this technique is much faster than super-resolution
imaging.

It follows from these results that the evolution of the friction force
with waiting time cannot be accounted for solely by changes in the
area of real contact; there must be an additional evolution of the
quality of contact. To elucidate the nature of this evolution, we
investigate in more detail the (diffraction-limited) fluorescence
fingerprint of a contact between a rough PS sphere and a smooth
glass substrate using FLIM (Figure 6). The contact is loaded with a
normal force of 150 mN and subsequently imaged both 20 s and 20
min after the normal force was applied.

During the 20 min waiting time, we observe a substantial increase
in the fluorescence intensity recorded at the microscopic contacts; not
only does the area of real contact grow but also the fluorescence
intensity observed within this area of real contact increases.
Fluorescence intensity is a concentration-dependent quantity; an
increase in fluorescence intensity can in principle indicate both that
more molecules are fluorescing (due to a larger area of contact) and
that the degree of confinement (fluorescence) per molecule is
increasing. Fluorescence lifetime, on the other hand, does not depend
on how many molecules are excited within the diffraction-limited spot
but indicates the degree to which the molecular rotors are confined;
that is, how much free volume is available to perform twists along
the intramolecular bonds: the more confined the molecules are, the
slower the nonradiative decay and the longer the average lifetimes are.
Importantly, we find that the measured increase in local fluorescence
intensity is accompanied by an increase in fluorescence lifetime
(Figure 6). We conclude that the fluorescence intensity does not
increase because more molecules contribute to the fluorescence
signal, that is, the contact area increases, but because the fluorescence
intensity per molecule is going up as the contact ages. This enhanced
fluorescence is a consequence of changes in the local environment of
the probe molecules that inhibit intramolecular twists. As the contacts
are fully resolved, the probe molecules signal that the density of their
local environment increases as the contact ages under the influence of
the normal force, thereby inhibiting intramolecular twists. Surpris-
ingly, this compaction occurs while the average interfacial normal
stress decreases because the normal force is constant and the contact
area increases. We therefore interpret the observations in the context
of an ageing glassy PS film, which becomes denser and mechanically
stronger in time. An alternative interpretation of the data could be
that chemical bonds are formed across the interface through a
mechanism analogous to that demonstrated in recent atomic force
microscopy (AFM) experiments.22,23 However, we observed that the
transition from static to dynamic friction at the PS-on-glass interfaces
considered here occurs over a sliding distance that is too large to be
associated with the stretching of covalent bonds.23

It has been previously shown22,23 that strain hardening of the PS
surface enables accommodation of an externally applied normal force;
the PS surface becomes mechanically stronger as its strain hardens
when it contacts a glass counter surface: the further the PS is strained,
the larger the local normal stress it can withstand. Our results indicate
that an analogous mechanism must apply for the shear strength of the
PS, as the PS surface compacts in time—as indicated by the measured
fluorescence lifetimes—under the influence of the normal force, the
shear strength it can withstand before yielding increases: the friction
per unit contact area goes up, as observed in the combined
visualization/friction experiments.

The compaction-induced changes in the quality of contact are not
exclusively observed at PS-on-glass interfaces. Previous experiments
have demonstrated that the hardening behavior that defines the PS-
on-glass contact formation process also applies to PMMA-on-glass
interfaces.22 In Figure 7, we show the ageing of the quality of contact
for both PS and PMMA spheres in contact with smooth glass
substrates. The measurements demonstrate that the PMMA contacts
behave qualitatively similar to PS contacts: (i) the relative increase in
friction with contact age is greater than that in contact area and (ii)

![Figure 6.](image)

**Figure 6.** Fluorescence intensity images (FIM, top) and fluorescence
lifetime imaging (FLIM, bottom) of the contact area between a PS
sphere and a glass coverslip. Bright areas correspond to contact;
elsewhere, the monolayer is immersed in formamide and remains
dark. (A) FIM 20 s after contact formation. (B) FIM 20 min after
contact formation. (C) FLIM 20 s after contact formation. (D) FLIM
20 min after contact formation.

![Figure 7.](image)

**Figure 7.** Contact shear strength as a function of contact age for different polymer beads on glass. The shear strength is defined as the static friction
force, measured in slide-hold-slide experiments, divided by the area of real contact, measured by diffraction-limited fluorescence microscopy. (A)
PS on glass. (B) PMMA on glass. The red lines serve as a guide to the eye. Friction and contact experiments were conducted as shown in Figure 2
(also see the Supporting Information). The inset in (B) shows the average fluorescence intensity within the area of real contact as a function of
contact age, measured during a static ageing test in which the contact is only loaded in the normal direction.
the fluorescence intensity observed within the area of real contact increases with contact age, signaling compaction of the interfacial polymers (Figure 7). Interestingly, the relative growth in shear strength for PMMA is roughly half that of PS. PS indeed can be compacted more easily than PMMA:24 by comparing strain-hardening contact calculations to fluorescence observations of the area of real contact between PMMA or PS spheres and glass, we previously showed that the strain-hardening parameter—defined as the increase in hardness with compaction—is 4 MPa/nm for PS and 7 MPa/nm for PMMA.

To independently link our fluorescence observations to the degree to which the interfacial PMMA is compacted, we also perform fluorescence lifetime measurements in which we either spin-coat a PMMA film on one of the DCDHF glass surfaces used in the contact experiments or dissolve DCDHF molecules in a PMMA matrix that is spin-coated onto a glass surface (i.e., not functionalized). For a polymer film—spin-coated on a glass surface—the layer closest to the interface is denser than the rest of the film because of the attractive van der Waals interaction between the polymer film and the glass surface.25 When such a film is spin-coated onto a functionalized coverslip, all DCDHF molecules are located within the dense region of the film, while the DCDHF molecules are present everywhere in the film if they are dissolved in the PMMA. We indeed observe that for the functionalized coverslips, the densification at the interface leads to an increased fluorescence lifetime—and thus fluorescence intensity—with respect to that measured when the DCDHF molecules are placed in bulk PMMA (Figure 8). Moreover, we find that within the area of real contact, the fluorescence lifetime increases as the contact ages. The fluorescence lifetime is insensitive to changes in the area of real contact but reflects the density of the direct environment of the probe molecules. We therefore conclude that the increase of static friction with contact age is caused by a combination of contact area and contact “quality” growth. The quality of the contacts is the shear stress they can withstand before yielding; as the polymer glass at the interface ages, it becomes denser and mechanically stronger. This time- and stress-dependent hardening behavior is expected to be strongly temperature-dependent; thus, temperature is an interesting parameter to probe in future experiments. Understanding the nature of static friction is of paramount importance to many applications in which friction plays a crucial role and plastics are widely used, that is, bearings, boat propulsion systems and the aviation industry.

3. CONCLUSIONS

We show that at polymer-on-glass interfaces, the static friction force increases linearly with the logarithm of the contact time. To understand which role the area of real contact plays in this frictional ageing, we visualize the polymer-on-glass interface using confinement-sensitive fluorescent probe molecules that are immobilized on the glass surface. We critically evaluated our imaging techniques and conclude that diffraction-limited fluorescence microscopy can sufficiently resolve the area of real contact for the strain-hardening interfaces that were studied. While we do observe substantial growth of the area of real contact in time, this growth is not sufficiently strong to explain the evolution of the friction force with waiting time: the friction increases faster than the area of real contact. We find that the fluorescence lifetime measured at mechanical PMMA/glass contacts is still lower than that of the spin-coated film. The aged contacts therefore do not yet reach the density limit of dense polymer films (Figure 8).

| Figure 8. Time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) traces of the monolayer of DCDHF on glass with the PMMA film spin-coated on top of it (A, red curve, decay time 2.7 ns) and DCDHF dispersed in the spin-coated PMMA film (A, black curve, 2.2 ns). Each curve was obtained by averaging 20 TCSPC decays recorded at different locations at the interface. (B) FLIM (lifetime in ns) of the monolayer of DCDHF in contact with a PMMA sphere after 20 min of ageing. |
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