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ABSTRACT

We present low-radio-frequency follow-up observations of AT 2017gfo, the electromagnetic counterpart of GW170817, which was the first binary neutron star merger to be detected by Advanced LIGO–Virgo. These data, with a central frequency of 144 MHz, were obtained with LOFAR, the Low-Frequency Array. The maximum elevation of the target is just 13°7 when observed with LOFAR, making our observations particularly challenging to calibrate and significantly limiting the achievable sensitivity. On time-scales of 130–138 and 371–374 d after the merger event, we obtain 3σ upper limits for the afterglow component of 6.6 and 19.5 mJy beam⁻¹, respectively. Using our best upper limit and previously published, contemporaneous higher frequency radio data, we place a limit on any potential steepening of the radio spectrum between 610 and 144 MHz: the two-point spectral index \( \alpha_{610 \text{--} 144} \gtrsim -2.5 \). We also show that LOFAR can detect the afterglows of future binary neutron star merger events occurring at more favourable elevations.
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1 INTRODUCTION

On 2017 August 17, a network comprising the Advanced Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory and the Advanced Virgo interferometer (Advanced LIGO–Virgo; Acernese et al.2015; LIGO Scientific Collaboration et al. 2015) detected gravitational waves (GWs) from the binary neutron star merger GW170817 (Abbott et al. 2017c). The subsequent discovery and initial characterization of the electromagnetic counterpart, AT 2017gfo (Abbott et al. 2017a and references therein), located in the galaxy NGC 4993 (heliocentric redshift \( z = 0.00978 \); distance \( \approx 40 \text{ Mpc} \); Hjorth et al. 2017), was truly a landmark event in multimessenger astrophysics.

Following the short gamma-ray burst (sGRB) associated with this event, GRB 170817A (Abbott et al. 2017a,b; Goldstein et al. 2017), radio emission was anticipated as the associated merger outflow interacted with the circum-merger medium. Monitoring the radio emission could therefore provide crucial information on the
energetics and geometry of the outflow, as well as the ambient environment. At radio frequencies, telescopes were observing the Advanced LIGO–Virgo probability region for GW170817 within 29 min post-merger (Callister et al. 2017a), and subsequent monitoring of AT 2017gfo resulted in an initial radio detection 16 d after the event (Abbott et al. 2017a; Hallinan et al. 2017). Further monitoring, predominantly at frequencies between 0.6 and 15 GHz, has since taken place (e.g. Alexander et al. 2017, 2018; Corsie et al. 2018; Dobie et al. 2018; Margutti et al. 2018; Mooley et al. 2018a,b,c; Resmi et al. 2018; Troja et al. 2018, 2019). At these frequencies, a general picture emerged in which the radio light curve was first observed to steadily rise, before it turned over and began a more rapid decay. Using a compilation of 0.6–10 GHz radio data from 17 to 298 d post-merger, Mooley et al. (2018c) derived both a fitted time for the radio peak of 174$^{+9}_{-8}$ d and a fitted 3-GHz peak flux density of 98$^{+8}_{-5}$ μJy (also see similar analyses in Dobie et al. 2018 and Alexander et al. 2018). The fitted radio spectral index $\alpha$ from this study is $-0.53 \pm 0.04$, consistent with broad-band spectral indices determined using radio, optical, and X-ray data at various epochs, where the typical value is approximately $-0.58$ (e.g. Alexander et al. 2018; Margutti et al. 2018; Troja et al. 2018, 2019; Hajela et al. 2019). Mooley et al. (2018c) also found power-law dependencies for the rise and decay phases of approximately $\propto \nu^{0.8}$ and $\propto t^{-2.4}$, respectively, where $t$ is the time since the merger. Within the associated uncertainties, these results are consistent with the broad-band evolution of AT 2017gfo (e.g. Alexander et al. 2018; Hajela et al. 2019; Lamb et al. 2019; Troja et al. 2019).

Two competing models emerged to explain the radio light curve: either the jet successfully broke through the surrounding cocoon of ejected material (also known as a ‘structured’ jet) but was observed off-axis, or the jet was ‘choked’ by the cocoon, in which it deposited all of its energy (e.g. Kasliwal et al. 2017; Troja et al. 2017). The observed evolution of the radio light curve, and very-long-baseline interferometric measurements of both apparent superluminal motion and a sufficiently compact apparent source size, confirmed that a jet was successfully launched for GW170817 with opening angle $<5^\circ$ and observed from a viewing angle of approximately 15–20° (Alexander et al. 2018; Mooley et al. 2018b,c; Ghirlanda et al. 2019).

Although predicted faint flux density levels and slow light curve rise times (e.g. Hotokezaka et al. 2016) may make late-time, low-radio-frequency ($\lesssim$200 MHz) detections challenging, such flux density measurements can help to discriminate between competing models for the radio emission following a compact binary merger. In addition, the current generation of low-frequency aperture arrays have rapid electronic beam steering, as well as very large fields of view that can cover at minimum a significant fraction of the Advanced LIGO–Virgo probability region for a GW event. Therefore, there is the interesting potential to use low-frequency aperture arrays to search for prompt, coherent radio emission from a compact binary merger (e.g. Callister et al. 2019; also see Obenberger et al. 2014, Yancey et al. 2015, Kaplan et al. 2015, 2016, Chu et al. 2016, Anderson et al. 2018, Rowlinson & Anderson 2019, James et al. 2019 and Rowlinson et al. 2019).

At low frequencies, GW170817 was followed up with both the first station of the Long Wavelength Array (LWA1; Ellingson et al. 2013) and the Murchison Widefield Array (MWA; Tingay et al. 2013). Details of the LW A1 observations can be found in 1

\footnote{We use the convention that $S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$, where $S_\nu$ is the flux density at frequency $\nu$.}

Callister et al. (2017a,b,c) and Abbott et al. (2017a), including the aforementioned observation 29 min post-merger, as well as additional observations up to approximately 13 d after the event. Similarly, details of the MWA observations, occurring 0.8–4.9 d post-merger, can be found in Kaplan et al. (2017a,b), Abbott et al. (2017a), and Andreoni et al. (2017). At the position of NGC 4993, 26- and 45-MHz LWA1 observations approximately 8 h after the event yielded 3σ upper limits of 200 and 100 Jy, respectively, for persistent emission (Callister et al. 2017c). At 185 MHz, 0.8 d post-merger, the 3σ MWA upper limit was 51 mJy beam$^{-1}$, albeit with only 40 of the 128 tiles operational at the time (Andreoni et al. 2017; Kaplan et al. 2017b).

In this paper, we present late-time (130–138 and 371–374 d post-merger), low-radio-frequency follow-up observations of AT 2017gfo, obtained with the high-band antennas (HBA) of the Low-Frequency Array (LOFAR; van Haarlem et al. 2013). In Section 2, we describe these observations, and how the data were calibrated and imaged. Our results are presented in Section 3, which is followed by a discussion in Section 4 on the additional constraints that our 144-MHz upper limits place on the properties of the radio emission from GW170817, as well as future prospects for LOFAR when observing new GW events. We then conclude in Section 5. All uncertainties reported in this paper are quoted at the 68 per cent confidence level.

## 2 LOFAR OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Table 1 presents a log of the two observing runs. In both cases, we used the ‘HBA Dual Inner’ configuration, with 24 core stations and either 13 or 14 Dutch remote stations. Because the location of AT 2017gfo on the sky (Dec = $-23.4^\circ$) is very far south relative to LOFAR (latitude of core = 52.9 N), both runs were split into 4 × 2-h observations on separate days, each centred as closely to transit as possible, so as to maximize the elevation of the target. Nonetheless, the maximum elevation as viewed from the LOFAR core is only 13°/7, which significantly affected the sensitivity that we could achieve due to the small projected station area, as well as making our observations far more susceptible to ionospheric effects. Both sets of observations comprised 380 × 195.3-kHz sub-bands that spanned the frequency range 115–189 MHz, although in this study we made use of the most sensitive part of the bandpass between 120 and 168 MHz (246 sub-bands). All 2-h observations were preceded by a 10-min scan of the flux density calibrator 3C 295.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observing run</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Days post-merger</th>
<th>RMS noise level (mJy beam$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Observation IDs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2017 December 25, 27, 28, 2018 January 2</td>
<td>130–138</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>632609–632637$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2018 August 23–26</td>
<td>371–374</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>664578–664604$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$IDs increase in steps of 4.
$^b$IDs increase in alternate steps of 2 and 6.
Pre-processing consisted of flagging and averaging (in time and/or frequency) steps. The former made use of AOFLAGGER (Offringa et al. 2010; Offringa, van de Gronde & Roerdink 2012a; Offringa, de Bruyn & Zaroubi 2012b), with 14.3 and 15.9 per cent of data flagged per sub-band on average for Runs 1 and 2, respectively. After pre-processing, the temporal and frequency resolutions for Run 1 were 1 s and 12.2 kHz (i.e. 16 channels per sub-band), respectively; the corresponding values were 4 s and 48.8 kHz (i.e. 4 channels per sub-band), respectively, for Run 2. These differing resolutions between Runs 1 and 2 were due to the fact that Run 2 was obtained as part of a larger LOFAR GW follow-up project with different pre-processing settings (Gourdji et al. in preparation). In principle, however, Run 2 still had sufficiently fine temporal and frequency resolutions to permit proper calibration, as was the case for Run 1 (e.g. see Shimwell et al. 2017, 2019).

The reduction steps after pre-processing were direction-independent calibration, followed by direction-dependent calibration and imaging. A detailed description of the procedure, which was developed for the LOFAR Two-metre Sky Survey (LoTSS), can be found in Shimwell et al. (2017, 2019). The direction-independent pipeline removed the effects outlined in de Gasperin et al. (2019), following the procedure described in van Weeren et al. (2016) and Williams et al. (2016); it made use of the BBS (Pandey et al. 2009) and DPPP (van Diepen & Dijkema 2018) software packages. We used a model for 3C 295 that is consistent with the Scaife & Heald (2012) flux density scale. The sky model used to calibrate the target data was derived from the TIFR Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT; Swarup 1991) Sky Survey First Alternative Data Release (TGSS ADR1; Intema et al. 2017).

The direction-dependent step made use of KMS (Tasse 2014a,b; Smirnov & Tasse 2015) and DDFACET (Tasse et al. 2018) for calibration and imaging, respectively. The calibrated data per 2-h observation comprised 25 blocks of 10 sub-bands each; the highest-frequency block had four empty sub-bands because we used 246 sub-bands in total. The final temporal and frequency resolutions were 8 s and 97.7 kHz (i.e. 2 channels per sub-band), respectively, and the central frequency was 144 MHz.

3 RESULTS

In the left-hand panel of Fig. 1, we show our LOFAR map from Run 1, centred on the position of AT 2017gfo. The corresponding map from Run 2 has a lower dynamic range. When imaging, we had to take into account a primary beam that is very elongated in the north–south direction (FWHM ≈ 23.6 × 4.8). The angular resolution is as good as 15 arcsec, with full details of the synthesized beams given in Fig. 1.

At the angular resolution of our observations, any emission from AT 2017gfo and the active galactic nucleus of NGC 4993 could be partially blended, depending on the brightnesses of the sources. In neither of our maps is this potentially blended emission detected.

—in particular, we used version 2.2 of DDF-PIPELINE, which can be found at https://github.com/mhardcastle/ddf-pipeline.
nor are there detections at the two separate sets of coordinates (right-hand panels of Fig. 1). In terms of establishing upper limits for the target flux density, the largest source of uncertainty is related to a standard frequency-dependent error in the flux density scale (see references on LOFAR calibration in Section 2 for further details), which we have corrected for, to first order, by bootstrapping to TGSS within a 1° radius from the position of AT 2017gfo. We used an integrated flux density to peak flux density ratio of $\leq 1.5$ in TGSS to restrict the bootstrapping procedure to sources within the search radius that are not too extended, while retaining a sufficient number of sources for a reasonable statistical comparison. A small adjustment was also made for the slightly different central frequency of TGSS (147.5 MHz), assuming a canonical spectral index of $-0.7$. Source finding in the LOFAR maps made use of PYBDSF (Mohan & Rafferty 2015).

The multiplicative correction factors to apply to our LOFAR maps were found to be $1.3 \pm 0.3$ and $3.3 \pm 1.0$ for Runs 1 and 2, respectively. Moreover, in this region of sky, we found that the TGSS flux density scale is consistent to within about 10 per cent on average with the corresponding scale from the Galactic and Extragalactic All-sky Murchison Widefield Array Survey (GLEAM; Hurley-Walker et al. 2017). Using appropriate error propagation, we combined each bootstrapping uncertainty with a 10 per cent absolute flux density calibration uncertainty. After doing this, the RMS noise levels ($\sigma$) are $2.1 \pm 0.6$ and $6.2 \pm 1.9$ mJy beam$^{-1}$ for Runs 1 and 2, respectively, in the vicinity of the target position. To obtain $3\sigma$ upper limits that are correct to first order, we then combined each RMS value with its respective uncertainty, in quadrature, before multiplying by three. Therefore, our $3\sigma$ upper limits for AT 2017gfo (and NGC 4993) are $6.6$ and $19.5$ mJy beam$^{-1}$ for Runs 1 and 2, respectively.

As is apparent in Fig. 1, the dynamic range is limited in the north and north-east of the map, but the image quality nearer the centre of the map is relatively unaffected. Moreover, to first order, there is a good correspondence between the source morphologies and positions in the LOFAR and TGSS images. While a detailed comparison is beyond the scope of this paper, it is worth noting that the noise level reported above for Run 1 is the deepest value obtained thus far in the literature for LOFAR interferometric observations significantly south of the celestial equator. Unfortunately, however, the noisier map from the second run does not share the same overall consistency with TGSS, and the correction factor reported above for Run 2 is unusually large. Poorer ionospheric conditions are likely to be a contributing factor. The upper limit from this run should therefore be viewed with caution, although we note that this does not affect any subsequent discussion in this paper.

## 4 DISCUSSION

### 4.1 Low-frequency constraints on the radio spectrum of AT 2017gfo

We now discuss the additional constraints that can be placed on the radio spectrum of AT 2017gfo, as well as NGC 4993. First, Resmi et al. (2018) presented 610- and 1390-MHz GMRT flux densities for both AT 2017gfo and the nucleus of NGC 4993. In the case of NGC 4993, the flux densities are relatively faint, and the radio spectrum relatively flat. After averaging the reported flux densities using inverse-variance weighting, we find that $S_{610} \approx 0.99$ mJy, $S_{700} \approx 0.78$ mJy, and the mean two-point spectral index $\alpha_6^{700} \approx -0.29$. Therefore, our best $3\sigma$ upper limit at 144 MHz only provides a very weak, additional constraint of $\alpha_{144} \gtrsim -1.3$.

In the case of AT 2017gfo, our first set of observations is either bookended by or close in time to a selection of the 610- and 1390-MHz GMRT observations, as well as Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA; Frater, Brooks & Whiteoak 1992; Wilson et al. 2011) observations carried out at 5500 and 9000 MHz (Dobie et al. 2018). For the 1390-MHz observations that bracketed our data, we averaged the corresponding flux densities using inverse-variance weighting; we also did this for the ATCA data, but using the 7250-MHz flux densities with a correction factor applied from Mooley et al. (2018c). We can then combine these (averaged) flux densities with our LOFAR $3\sigma$ upper limit from Run 1 to calculate approximate constraints on a number of two-point spectral indices, roughly 125–150 d post-merger. The constraints are $\alpha_{144} \gtrsim -2.5$, $\alpha_{700} \gtrsim -1.8$, and $\alpha_{610} \gtrsim -1.2$. These limits are still significantly steeper than the fitted 0.6–10 GHz radio spectral index of $-0.53 \pm 0.04$ as determined by Mooley et al. (2018c) (see Section 1). Therefore, we can only rule out that the radio spectrum of AT 2017gfo does not steepen below 610 MHz to an extreme degree.

Coherent radio emission can result in an ultra-steep spectrum component that is only observable at low frequencies. An overview of the physical mechanisms by which coherent radio emission may arise from a compact binary merger was given by Rowlinson & Anderson (2019). In the case of GW170817, and on a time-scale of 130–138 d after the merger, there are two immediate considerations. First, two-point spectral indices similar to those calculated above would have to be flatter than our lower limits. Secondly, a long-lived neutron star merger remnant would be required. Whether such a stable remnant was, and remains, present, or collapsed to a black hole on a much shorter time-scale, has been the subject of considerable discussion in the literature (e.g. Ai et al. 2018; Metzger, Thompson & Quataert 2018; Yu, Liu & Dai 2018; Radice et al. 2018b; Gill, Nathaniel & Rezzolla 2019; Piro et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2019).

### 4.2 Forecasts for future LOFAR observations of GW events

The predicted afterglow light curve of GW170817 at 144 MHz is shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 2. Here, we extrapolate the light curve at higher radio frequencies using a spectral index of $-0.53 \pm 0.04$ (Mooley et al. 2018c), corresponding to the assumption that both the characteristic synchrotron frequency, $\nu_a$, and self-absorption frequency, $\nu_s$, are lower than 144 MHz. Indeed, following Hotokezaka et al. (2016), we find $\nu_s \leq 36$ MHz (for circum-merger density $n \leq 0.01$ cm$^{-3}$, kinetic energy $E = 10^{49}$ erg, fraction of internal energy given to the electrons $\epsilon_e = 0.1$, fraction of internal energy contained in the magnetic field $\epsilon_B = 0.01$, power-law index of the electron distribution $p = 2.2$, and the initial velocity of the ejecta in units of the speed of light $\beta_o = 1$; see discussion later in this section), which is well below the LOFAR HBA observing band. The aforementioned competing cocoon model, which has now been ruled out (see Section 1) is also included. We use the cocoon model described in Mooley et al. (2018a): we use

---

4The TGSS image archive can be found at https://vo.astron.nl/tgssadr/q_imgs/info.

5If this assumption fails, then the predicted flux density at 144 MHz is lower than that in Fig. 2, and the peak of the light curve may be delayed; see e.g. Nakar & Piran (2011).
In the right-hand panel of Fig. 2, we use a dynamical ejecta light curve (model ‘DNSm’) taken from Hotokezaka et al. (2016). The dynamical ejecta may be partly responsible for the kilonova emission at optical and infrared wavelengths, but are unlikely to be the major component in terms of mass. However, since this component is faster than the disc outflow, i.e. the afterglow is brighter, we also consider the dynamical ejecta here.

In this discussion, we have assumed that the host galaxy flux density is negligible at LOFAR frequencies. This will not always be the case. Future GW events that are followed up by LOFAR will include an observation at roughly one week post-merger, when early persistent emission and late-time afterglow emission are negligible. This observing strategy provides a comparison image to enable identification of the afterglow, but also a constraint on any host galaxy emission at the location of the GW event.

Future LOFAR observations will be particularly important to determine or constrain $v_a$, which can be above 144 MHz (i.e. significantly higher than our calculation earlier in this section) and is sensitive to the velocity of the outflow (e.g. Nakar & Piran 2011). Measuring $v_a$ will enable us to break the degeneracy between the model parameters, leading to a better estimate of the velocity and kinetic energy of the outflow. Not only will such measurements provide us with a better understanding of the afterglow, but will also help constrain the neutron star equation of state if the afterglow of the dynamical ejecta is detected (e.g. Radice et al. 2018a).
5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we presented LOFAR follow-up observations of the compact binary merger event GW170817, which was detected by Advanced LIGO–Virgo. Our conclusions are as follows.

(i) In two sets of 4 × 2-h observations, occurring 130–138 and 371–374 d post-merger, we determined 3σ upper limits of 6.6 (Run 1) and 19.5 (Run 2) mJy beam$^{-1}$ for the 144-MHz flux density of the electromagnetic counterpart, AT 2017gfo.

(ii) Using previously published GMRT and ATCA flux densities at higher radio frequencies, we placed constraints on a number of two-point spectral indices for both AT 2017gfo, and the host galaxy NGC 4993, about 4.5 months post-merger. In particular, for AT 2017gfo, $\alpha_{\text{140}} \gtrsim -2.5$. The presence of ultra-steep-spectrum coherent radio emission at low frequencies would necessitate a long-lived neutron star remnant.

(iii) We showed that, for declinations at or near zenith, LOFAR will be able to detect various possible radio afterglows for a subset of future merger events.

(iv) We also demonstrated that it is possible to obtain images with LOFAR significantly south of the celestial equator, albeit a factor of about 1.5 dex less sensitive and at an angular resolution 2.5–5.3 times coarser than what is achievable at or near zenith, in this particular case. If LoTSS were to be extended below the celestial equator, with an angular resolution at or near the usual target value of 6 arcsec (Shimwel et al. 2017, 2019), this would allow high-resolution, low-frequency sky models to be developed at declinations that will be readily accessible with the first phase of the low-frequency component of the Square Kilometre Array (i.e. SKA1–LOW). For example, Hale et al. (2019) recently presented LOFAR HBA observations of the XMM Large-Scale Structure (XMM-LSS) field, which is centred at a declination of $-4.5$. The angular resolution of their map is 8.5 arcsec × 7.5 arcsec, and the RMS noise level at the centre of the map is 280 mJy beam$^{-1}$.

Further LOFAR follow-up is planned for binary neutron star and black hole – neutron star mergers that are detected in the current Advanced LIGO–Virgo observing run (‘O3’). Follow-up will occur not only on the time-scales investigated in this paper, but also on time-scales as short as several minutes once an alert is received, using the LOFAR responsive telescope mode (see Rowlinson & Anderson 2019 for a review of the current rapid-response capabilities of a selection of low-frequency radio facilities, including the MWA and LWA). We can therefore expect to obtain further insight into the role that low-radio-frequency data will play in understanding the physical processes that occur following a compact binary merger containing at least one neutron star.
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