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We present a detailed study of the kinetic cluster growth process during gelation of weakly attractive colloidal particles by means of experiments on critical Casimir attractive colloidal systems, simulations, and analytical theory. In the experiments and simulations, we follow the mean coordination number of the particles during the growth of clusters to identify an attractive-strength independent cluster evolution as a function of mean coordination number. We relate this cluster evolution to the kinetic attachment and detachment rates of particles and particle clusters. We find that single-particle detachment dominates in the relevant weak attractive-strength regime, while association rates are almost independent of the cluster size. Using the limit of single-particle dissociation and size-independent association rates, we solve the master kinetic equation of cluster growth analytically to predict power-law cluster mass distributions with exponents $-\frac{3}{2}$ and $-\frac{5}{2}$ before and after gelation, respectively, which are consistent with the experimental and simulation data. These results suggest that the observed critical Casimir-induced gelation is a second-order nonequilibrium phase transition (with broken detailed balance). Consistent with this scenario, the size of the largest cluster is observed to diverge with power-law exponent according to three-dimensional percolation on approaching the critical mean coordination number.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Under sufficient attraction, suspensions of colloidal particles undergo a transition from a sol of individual particles to a gel state, where particles aggregate across the system leading to system-spanning rigidity [1–7]. This transition, imparting solidlike properties to colloidal suspensions at low particle volume fraction, plays an important role in applications such as consumer products, food technology, and the processing of polymers. It also plays an important role in the fundamental understanding of dynamical arrest in systems of low particle concentrations, in which a rigid structure arises due to particle attractions larger than several $k_B T$ that drive the system out of equilibrium [1,8]. This process has been well studied in the limit of strong attraction and vanishingly low particle volume fraction [9–11], where particles stick as soon as they collide, leading to diffusion-limited particle aggregation with a robust fractal dimension. In the regime of weak attractions where particles continually detach and restructure, the situation is less clear.

In this regime, system-spanning arrested structures can still form if the particle attractions are larger than some volume-fraction-dependent threshold [1,2]. Colloidal systems with tunable interactions, in particular colloidal depletion systems, have been used to obtain basic insight into this gelation process and to map the phase boundaries of this transition as a function of volume fraction and particle interaction strength [1,2,4–7,12,13]. The transition has been studied from various points of view, including dynamic arrest [2,3], phase separation [14], cluster-glass [15], and spinodal decomposition [2,3,16,17]. As a function of the particle attractive strength, structures have been observed to change topology, becoming more compact for lower attraction due to particle rearrangement. Such particle rearrangement has been recently studied by direct particle tracking experiments on colloidal gels [18]. As a consequence of the rearrangement, the structures become more compact and exhibit increasing fractal dimension [19,20]. The resulting morphologies have important applications in product design such as cosmetics and foods, where the structure and associated rheological properties determine...
product stability and consumer perception. Indeed, for the design of such products, it is important to understand and ultimately control the relation between attractive strength and resulting gel structure.

However, neither the onset of a space-spanning structure on a certain attractive strength nor the attractive-strength-dependent final structures are fully understood. This is the case both for physical gels, where the constituent units are colloidal particles, and chemical gels, where the constituent particles are molecules. Because interaction energies are larger than several $k_B T$, these structures form in an out-of-equilibrium process: Detailed balance is typically broken as detachment and attachment rates of particles and particle clusters do no longer balance. A full understanding of the cluster evolution and resulting structure thus need to take into account the full kinetic growth process of attachment and break-up of particles and particle clusters. As this is an excessive task that is no longer analytically manageable, physically meaningful choices need to be made for simplifying approximations that in turn can be checked against experimental and simulation results. Experimentally, colloidal gels have been mostly investigated using colloidal depletion systems that allow for good realization of a tunable colloidal attraction yet typically involve phase separation of the colloids and depletant into colloid-rich, depletant-poor and colloid-poor, depletant-rich phases. Another complication arises due to the unavoidable effect of gravity that, despite the use of closely density-matched model systems, is known to eventually lead to sedimentation of large clusters altering their growth process. It is therefore important to compare both experiments and simulations with master kinetic equation results to identify the underlying generic process and distinguish generic from gravity-related effects.

Here we combine experiments with simulations and kinetic master equation modeling to elucidate the out-of-equilibrium process in the gelation of short-range, weakly attractive particles. We directly follow the gelation process for colloidal particles interacting with temperature-dependent critical Casimir forces that provide an effective particle attraction set by the solvent correlation length. This is the resulting gel structure. We use a fast laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 5 Live, line scanning system) equipped with a 63× objective lens with a numerical aperture of 1.4 to image individual colloidal particles in a 108×108×60 μm3 volume. Three-dimensional image stacks with a distance of 0.2 μm between images are acquired every 60 s over a time interval of at least 60 min to follow the gelation process in three dimensions from the initial cluster formation to gelation and beyond. During this process, the temperature is kept strictly constant by using a specially designed water heating setup that controls the temperature of both the sample and the coupled oil-immersion objective with a stability of ~0.01 °C. Particle positions are determined from the three-dimensional image stacks with an iterative tracking algorithm to optimize feature finding and particle locating accuracy.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

A. Critical Casimir colloidal system

We study gelation in systems of colloidal particles interacting with critical Casimir forces. The attractive critical Casimir forces between the particles arise in a binary solvent close to its critical point from the confinement of solvent fluctuations between the particle surfaces [21,22]. The strength of the interaction is controlled by the solvent correlation length, which adjusts with temperature in a reversible and universal way [22–25]. Thus, temperature provides a unique control parameter to tune the strength and range of the attraction and has been used previously to induce equilibrium gas-liquid-solid phase transitions in the colloidal system [26–28], as well as quench the system into well-defined out-of-equilibrium states [19,20]. We here focus on the out-of-equilibrium case achieved for higher critical Casimir attraction, i.e., smaller $\Delta T_c$ for which equilibrium phases are not observed, and the system arrests in a gel. The colloids are fluorescently labeled copolymer particles made of 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl methacrylate [29] with radius $r_0 = 1 \mu m$ and a polydispersity of 5%. The particles are suspended at a volume fraction $\phi \sim 0.12$ in a binary mixture of lutidine and water, with weight fraction of lutidine $c_L = 0.25$. Sugar was added to match the solvent refractive index and density with that of the particles, while only slightly affecting the binary solvent phase diagram. We also added salt (5 mM KCl) to screen the electrostatic repulsion of the charge-stabilized particles, as in previous studies [19,25]. The Brownian diffusion time based on the estimated viscosity of $\eta = 13.2$ mPa s is $t_B = 9.9$ s, in which the particle diffuses its own radius. Phase separation of this solvent occurs at $T_c = 31.0$ °C, with a critical composition of $c^*_C = 0.26$ as determined by systematic investigation of the solvent phase diagram over a range of compositions. To study the out-of-equilibrium gelation process, we heat the suspension to $\Delta T = T_c - T \leq 1.2$ °C below $T_c$. We jump from room temperature to $\Delta T = 1.2$, 1, 0.7, and 0.5 °C, increasing the attractive strength with each new experiment, and follow the subsequent aggregation process at the particle scale by confocal microscopy.

B. Microscopic observation of gelation

We use a fast laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 5 Live, line scanning system) equipped with a 63× objective lens with a numerical aperture of 1.4 to image individual colloidal particles in a 108×108×60 μm3 volume. Three-dimensional image stacks with a distance of 0.2 μm between images are acquired every 60 s over a time interval of at least 60 min to follow the gelation process in three dimensions from the initial cluster formation to gelation and beyond. During this process, the temperature is kept strictly constant by using a specially designed water heating setup that controls the temperature of both the sample and the coupled oil-immersion objective with a stability of ~0.01 °C. Particle positions are determined from the three-dimensional image stacks with an iterative tracking algorithm to optimize feature finding and particle locating accuracy.

The resulting particle
positions have an accuracy of ~20 nm in the horizontal and ~40 nm in the vertical direction. To show this, we used several layers of particles stuck to a cover slip, which we imaged and located repeatedly to determine histograms of particle positions, see Fig. 1. From this, we determine the positional accuracy of particle positions from the determined average position in the layers of particles stuck to a cover slip, which we imaged repeatedly to determine histograms of particle positions, bonded particles are identified as those separated by less than \( d_0 = 2.6 \sigma \), corresponding to the first minimum of the pair correlation function. We subsequently group bonded particles into connected clusters using a clustering algorithm based on a threshold distance of \( d_c = 3.5 \sigma \).

III. SIMULATION METHOD

Our simulation computes the dynamics of \( N = O(10^4) \) spherical particles according to the Langevin equation

\[
\frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{\chi}{\zeta}v - \frac{dU}{d\mathbf{r}} + f_B(t),
\]

for particles of mass \( m \), velocity \( v \), and coefficient of friction \( 1/\zeta \), interacting with a background fluid that is implicitly modelled by random Brownian forces \( f_B(t) \) satisfying \( \langle f_B(t)f_B(t') \rangle = 2m\kappa_B T\delta(t-t')/\zeta \). Particles with centers separated by a distance \( r \) interact through a truncated and shifted Mie potential with the general form

\[
U(r) = C \epsilon \left( \left( \frac{\sigma_a}{r} \right)^{\gamma_a} - \left( \frac{\sigma_b}{r} \right)^{\gamma_b} - \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_a}{r} \right)^{\gamma_a} - \left( \frac{\sigma_b}{r} \right)^{\gamma_b} \right] \right),
\]

where \( C \) is given by

\[
C = \left( \frac{\gamma_a}{\gamma_a - \gamma_b} \right) \left( \frac{\gamma_a}{\gamma_b} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_a}{\sigma_b} \right)^{\gamma_b/(\gamma_a - \gamma_b)},
\]

\( \epsilon \) is a prefactor that sets the energy scale, and \( r_c \) is the spatial cutoff beyond which the interaction is not computed. To give an attractive range comparable to that arising in the experiment due to Casimir forces, we set \( \sigma_a = 30 \) and \( \gamma_b = 20 \). This gives an attractive range of \((31/14)^{0.1}\sigma_a \approx 0.08\sigma_a \), that is, the distance from the zero crossing of the potential to its inflection point. The potential effectively acts as a steepened Lennard-Jones potential, see Fig. 2(a).

We consider an equal mixture by number of particles with size ratio \( \sigma_a/\sigma_b = 1 : 1.1 \) to simultaneously approximate the polydispersity of the experimental system and to avoid crystallization [31]. As such, three potential neighbor permutations arise, for which \( \chi \) takes values of \( 3.0, 6.0, \) and \( 12.0 \). The domain has total volume \( V \) (in 3D) such that the volume fraction of particles \( \phi \) approximates that in the experimental system, that is,

\[
\phi = \frac{N \pi}{2} \left( \frac{1}{6} \chi_a^3 + \frac{1}{6} \chi_b^3 \right) / V
\]

To check the generality of the results, we also simulate volume fractions \( \phi = 6 \) and 16%. Periodic boundaries are used in \( x, y, \) and \( z \).

We operate with Lennard-Jones units throughout, setting \( \kappa_B T \) as the energy scale, \( \sigma_a \) as the length scale, and letting \( m = \frac{1}{2} \pi (\frac{\sigma_a^3}{\rho})^3 \rho \) with \( \rho \) the density scale. The time unit is thus \( t_s = \sqrt{m\zeta_0}/\epsilon \), and we set \( \zeta = t_s \) and use \( dt = 0.0025 t_s \) as the numerical time step. The simulation is implemented in LAMMPS [32]. Similarly to the experiments, we vary the attractive strength, \( \epsilon/\kappa_B T \), where \( \epsilon \) is the prefactor of the potential and \( \kappa_B T \) is the thermal energy. We find that this has a dominant influence on the state of the system near steady state, as shown in Figs. 2(b)–2(d), where we present snapshots of the system at three values of \( \epsilon/\kappa_B T \).

To test the generality of the results predicted computationally, we additionally performed simulations on particles with an approximated square-well potential. We adopt the “continuous square-well” model described by Ref. [33], writing the potential as

\[
U_{\text{cw}}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{1}{r} \right)^n + \frac{1}{1 + e^{-m(r-1)(r-w)}} - 1 \right],
\]

using a binary form for the width of the well \( w \) (potential range) to match our \( \sigma_a/\sigma_b \) ratio as described for the Mie potential. The dimensionless well steepnesses \( m \) and \( n \) are set as 7000 and 700, respectively, leading to a second virial coefficient that matches that of the Mie potential at \( \epsilon/\kappa_B T = 3 \).
FIG. 3. Rate constants for dissociation and association. Sketches in (a) and (b) illustrate examples of dissociation events associated with rate constant $K_{ij}$ for $i = 4, j = 6$ (a) and $i = 1, j = 9$ (b). In our kinetic model, $K_{ij}$ is set to zero if $i, j \neq 1$. Panels (c) and (d) show examples of association events $K_{ij}$ for $i = 4, j = 6$ (c) and $i = 1, j = 9$ (d). In the model, $K_{ij}^+$ is set to be independent of $i$ and $j$. Simulation data support these assumptions for $K_{ij}^+$ and $K_{ij}^-$. Systems are first equilibrated in the liquid state by setting $\epsilon/k_B T = 1$ and allowing the particle trajectories to evolve for $O(10^5)$ time units. We then switch $\epsilon/k_B T$ to larger values, in practice keeping $k_B T = 1$ and varying $\epsilon$. The rate of the time evolution following this change is set by $\zeta$. We find that percolation is absent at long subsequent times when $\epsilon/k_B T \lesssim 2.5$.

A. Calculation of rate constants

To calculate association and dissociation rates, we first define directly contacting particles as those whose centers lie within the inflection point of the potential (where $\frac{dU}{dx} = 0$), which for the values of $\gamma_a$ and $\gamma_b$ in this case occurs at $(31/14)^{1/3} \chi_a$. Based on these criteria, we define a particle as belonging to a cluster if there exists a continuous series of direct contacts between that particle and all other particles in the cluster. Outputting the particle coordinates with very fine time resolution then allows us to monitor the temporal evolution of cluster sizes throughout the system as successive dissociation and association events occur and thus to compute the rate constants $K_{ij}^{+/−}$ in the kinetic master equation, see below. Here $K_{ij}^+$ means the association rate of clusters that have, respectively, $i$ and $j$ particles, while $K_{ij}^−$ indicates the split-up or dissociation rate of a larger cluster into clusters of $i$ and $j$ particles. Some examples of such dissociation and association events are illustrated in Fig. 3. We determine the rate of dissociation events involving clusters of size 4, for example, by averaging dissociation rate $K_{ij}^−$ over $j$. As a result, we find that the rate of dissociation events depicted in Fig. 3(a) is considerably smaller than that of events as depicted in Fig. 3(b), where a single particle detaches from a cluster, while the rates of association events depicted in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) are comparable.

IV. CLUSTER KINETIC MODEL

The starting point of our theoretical model is a master kinetic equation for the time evolution of the cluster population $c_k$ which denotes the number of clusters with $k$ particles per unit volume, starting with a sol of isolated colloidal particles at $t = 0$:

$$
\frac{dc_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} K_{ij}^+ c_i c_j - c_k \sum_{j \geq 1} K_{ij}^+ c_j
$$

$$
+ \sum_{j \geq 1} K_{ij}^- c_j + \sum_{i+j=k} K_{ij}^- c_k.
$$

In this master equation, the first term on the right-hand side represents the creation of clusters with $k$ units due to aggregation of one cluster with $i$ units with another with $j$ units (where $i + j = k$); the second term represents the “annihilation” of clusters with $k$ units due to aggregation of a cluster with $k$ units with a cluster of any other size in the system; the third term represents “creation” of a cluster with $k$ units due to the breakage of a larger cluster which splits into a cluster with $k$ units and another of $j$ units, where $j$ can take any value; the fourth term represents “annihilation” of a cluster with $k$ units due to fragmentation into two fragments $i$ and $j$, subjected to mass balance. There is a set of $k$ such differential equations for each cluster size, thus forming a system of ordinary differential equations that has to be solved in order to obtain the cluster mass distribution as a function of time. The rate coefficients $K_{ij}^+$ represent the aggregation rates between two clusters $i$ and $j$, whereas terms of the type $K_{ij}^−$ represent the fragmentation rates of a cluster $i + j$ into two fragments $i$ and $j$. Here fragmentation is due solely to thermally activated breaking of bonds across the aggregate.

The above master equation in its most general form can only be solved numerically. However, analytical solutions are possible for certain models. Based on physical intuition, in colloidal aggregation particles that are on the surface of the cluster can more easily detach by thermal motion, since they are bonded to a smaller number of other particles beneath, whereas particles in the inner part of the cluster have many more connections and therefore those bonds are much more difficult to break by thermal energy.

This consideration motivates us to consider the following schematic model of aggregation relying on two basic assumptions: (i) Aggregation takes place between any two clusters of arbitrary size, with a rate constant $K_{ij}^+$ independent of cluster size, and (ii) dissociation involves detaching of dangling particles only (onefold coordinated). In other words, breakup events leading to two fragments, each of them larger than one particle, are excluded. Under these assumptions, the rate coefficients are given by:

$$
K_{ij}^+ = \text{const}, \quad \forall i, j
$$

$$
K_{ij}^- = \lambda K_{ij}^+, \quad \text{if } i = 1, \text{ or } j = 1
$$

$$
K_{ij}^- = 0, \quad \text{if } i \neq 1, \text{ or } j \neq 1.
$$

Clearly, the last condition breaks the detailed balance: There is no linear dependence between aggregation and fragmentation rates for all processes involving $i$ and $j$ both larger than unity, or, in other words, these aggregation processes are irreversible. The basic implication of this condition is that any stationary state (for which cluster mass distribution reaches a steady state in time) is a nonequilibrium stationary state. In
turn, a transition from one such nonequilibrium steady state to another nonequilibrium state is a nonequilibrium phase transition.

On using Eq. (7) in Eq. (6) and introducing the generating function (a procedure similar to a discrete Laplace transformation) \( C(z,t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} (z^j - 1)c_j(t) \), where \( z \) is a dummy variable as usually defined in generating functions, the system of ordinary differential equations is reduced to the following Riccati equation:

\[
\frac{dC}{dt} = C^2 + 2\lambda \frac{1 - z}{z} C + 2\lambda \frac{(1 - z)^2}{z} N(t),
\]

where \( N(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} c_j(t) \) and we took \( K_{ij}^+ = 2 \) for ease of notation and without any loss of generality [34,35]. At steady state, \( dC/dt = 0 \) or \( t \to \infty \), the second-order algebraic equation is solvable, and differentiating \( C \) with respect to \( z \) and setting \( z = 1 \) gives \( N \) as a function of \( \lambda \). A continuous phase transition at the critical point \( \lambda_c = 1 \) is found, which separates the sol state with \( N = 1 - (2\lambda)^{-1} \) from the gel (spanning network) state with \( N = \lambda/2 \).

This is best seen by looking at the cluster mass distribution (CMD). By expanding \( C(z) \) in powers of \( z \) one obtains the cluster mass distribution in the sol phase and in the gel phase. In the precritical sol phase, the power law is accompanied by an exponential cutoff [34,35],

\[
c_j(t \to \infty) \sim k^{-3/2} e^{-k/j_k}.
\]

The presence of the exponential cutoff implies that all clusters are finite in size. However, the cut-off size \( k_c \) diverges at \( \lambda \to 1^+ \), according to [34,35]

\[
k_c = \left[ 2 \log (\lambda/\lambda_c) - \log (2(\lambda/\lambda_c - 1)) \right]^{-1}.
\]

In the gel phase \( \lambda \geq 1 \), the steady-state cluster mass distribution is

\[
c_j(t \to \infty) \sim k^{-5/2},
\]

now without an exponential tail, which signals the existence of a giant system-spanning cluster via the divergence of the first-moment of the distribution.

Hence, this model predicts gelation as a continuous (second-order) phase transition, with a cluster-mass distribution that exhibits two distinct power-law exponents, namely \( \tau = -3/2 \), with an exponential tail, in the sol phase, and \( \tau = -5/2 \), without the exponential tail, in the gel phase.

Furthermore, we can link the breakage rate with the attraction energy. For a purely attractive potential well, the breakup rate \( K_{ij}^+ \) is given by the Kramers escape rate of the individual particle detaching from the cluster. The precise shape of the potential in the experimental system is not known, but we can still get an order of magnitude estimate for a simple square-well attraction, \( K_{ij}^+ = (D/\delta^2) e^{-\epsilon/2kT} \), where \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient of one particle, \( \delta \) is the range of attraction, and \( \epsilon \) is the interaction potential [36]. Together with the condition \( \lambda_c = 1 \), in units of \( c_0 \), and with the assumption that the aggregation rate is diffusion limited, \( K_{ij}^+ = (8/3) kT/\mu \), with \( \mu \) the solvent viscosity, this leads to a rough estimate for the critical attraction energy [36]

\[-\epsilon_c/k_BT \sim \log[12(\delta/\lambda)^2] \phi_0,\]

where \( \phi_0 = (4/3) \pi a^3 c_0 \) is the solid volume fraction. This equation provides an order of magnitude estimate of the minimum attraction energy between two colloidal particles to have gelation in steady state. For example, at a volume fraction at \( \phi_0 = 0.12 \) and \( \delta/a = 0.08 \), this formula gives \( \epsilon_c \approx 4.7k_BT \). This value is in the same order of magnitude (and within a factor 2) of the value \( \epsilon_c \approx 2.5k_BT \) determined in simulations.

Assuming that the scaling hypothesis holds, i.e., that the various quantities are power-law functions of the distance from the critical point, as is expected for continuous phase transitions (even though this cannot be rigorously proven because a suitable free energy cannot be defined in this case), the hyperscaling relation of critical phenomena [37] is then also expected to hold: \( \tau = (d/d_f) + 1 \). Here \( \tau \) is the power-law exponent of the CMD at the critical point, \( d_f \) is the fractal dimension of the system (hence of the clusters forming the gel), and the spatial dimension \( d = 3 \). Using the critical exponent \( \tau = 5/2 \) gives the prediction \( d_f = 2.0 \) for the fractal dimension, also to be verified below in comparison with experiments and simulations. One should note that this estimate does not account for ageing phenomena due to restructuring of the clusters into denser aggregates which typically leads \( d_f \) to increase at low attractive strength [19,20].

The above model predictions for \( \tau \) and \( d_f \) can now be tested experimentally in a well-controlled system. We note that the hypothesis in Eq. (7) that only individual particles (on the surface of the clusters) break off, leading to breaking of detailed balance, presents a limit. We will see in the numerical simulations that the detachment of larger clusters is simply much less likely than that of single particles but not impossible; we thus argue that while this assumption presents a limit, it is a reasonable approximation.

V. RESULTS

A. Cluster growth and bond evolution

We follow the growth of particle clusters in experiments under well-defined critical Casimir attractions, which we induce by heating the suspension to well-defined temperatures \( \Delta T \) below \( T_c \). Reconstructions show the time evolution of the colloidal system at \( \Delta T = 0.5 \) K in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). Particle color indicates the local coordination number, i.e., the number of bonded neighbors of a particle. Initially, particles exhibit no or only very few bonded neighbors, while at later stages, as clusters grow and the particles become increasingly connected, the number of bonds increases. To quantify this change of bonding configuration, we plot the relative frequency as a function of number of bonds \( N_b \) in Fig. 4(c). Initially, low-bonded configurations are most prominent, indicating prevalence of monomers and small clusters. As clusters grow, the bond probability distribution shifts to the right, where it eventually saturates. Results for \( \Delta T = 1.2 \) K corresponding to significantly lower attractive strength are shown in Figs. 4(d)–4(f). In this case, the particles no longer reach a space-spanning structure as shown by the absence of a networklike structure in the late-stage reconstruction in Fig. 4(e). Particles are more distributed over space, leading to disconnected clusters and nonbonded or single-bonded particles. This is reflected in the probability distributions of bonds shown in Fig. 4(f) that are shifted to the left with respect to those in Fig. 4(c). The data shows a trend toward lower \( N_b \).
FIG. 4. Observation of gelation in experiments and simulations. [(a)–(f)] Reconstructions and bond histograms of aggregating colloidal particles in experiments at $\Delta T = 0.5$ K [(a)–(c)] and $\Delta T = 1.2$ K [(d)–(f)]. Particle color indicates the number of bonded neighbors, see color bar. [(c) and (f)] Bond histograms for $\Delta T = 0.5$ K (c) and 1.2 K (f). Color indicates aggregation time in minutes (see legend). With time, distributions shift toward higher number of bonds, indicating increasingly connected structures. The aggregation time interval of $t = 50$ min corresponds to $\sim 300 t_B$. [(g)–(l)] Snapshots and bond histograms of colloidal aggregation in simulations at $\epsilon = 4 k_B T$ [(g)–(i)] and 2 $k_B T$ [(j)–(l)]. Time is given in units of $t_s$, indicated in color in (i) and (l), see legend. Similar trends as in the experiments are observed in the aggregate topology and bond distributions.

which remains low over time, indicating fewer bonds and less well-connected particles.

Similar change of topology and bond configuration is observed in the simulations performed at the different attractive strength. Reconstructions show the growing aggregates at $\epsilon = 4 k_B T$ in Figs. 4(g) and 4(h), where again the number of bonds per particle is indicated with color. Similarly to experiments, the distribution of bonds shift to the right as clusters grow [Fig. 4(i)], reflecting an increasing fraction of bulk particles that sit deeper in the structure. At lower attractive strength, $\epsilon = 2 k_B T$, space-spanning structures no longer form, as shown by the reconstructions in Figs. 4(j) and 4(k), in qualitative agreement with experiments.

B. Coordination number

To investigate the emergence of space-spanning structures as a function of the growing number of bonds, we define the mean coordination number, $\langle Z \rangle = \langle 1/N \rangle \sum_{i=1}^{N} N_{b,i}$, where $N_{b,i}$ is the number of bonds of particle $i$, and $N$ is the number of particles. The coordination number increases monotonically as structures grow as shown in Fig. 5, rising to a unique, attractive-strength-dependent value, where it saturates. This growth of the mean coordination number reflects the emergence of increasingly connected clusters spanning increasing portions of space, as illustrated by the reconstructions in Fig. 4. The same trend is observed in the simulations based on the square-well potential, as shown in the inset of Fig. 6(a).

We plot the fraction of particles in the largest cluster as a function of time for the different attractive strengths in Fig. 5(b). At sufficiently large attractive strength, this fraction grows sharply until the largest cluster has absorbed almost all particles. The rate of growth depends on the attractive strength: Higher (lower) attraction leads to faster (slower) growth. This is true for both the Mie potential as well as the square-well potential simulations, showing that this behavior
is robust. At the lowest attraction, the largest cluster no longer absorbs a major fraction of particles and its size remains rather limited. Indeed, the real-space reconstructions show that, in this case, clusters no longer span the field of view, in contrast to the situation at larger attraction.

Remarkably, when we plot the size of the largest cluster as a function of mean coordination number, we find that all Mie potential curves collapse onto a single master curve, indicating a common underlying mechanism of growth, characterized by a single parameter, the mean coordination number, see Fig. 5(c). We hence consider the mean coordination number as the order parameter of the growth and gelation transition process, which is justified in view of the linear relation between $\langle Z \rangle$ and the shear modulus $G$ [38], with the latter being identically zero in the sol phase and nonzero (positive) in the gel phase. The square-well potential data shows the very same trend, albeit slightly shifted, due to the slightly modified definition of nearest neighbors for this different form of the potential. We will see below that this will not change the overall scaling, which turns out to be identical. Interestingly, while the different attractive strengths follow the same master curve, data for the lowest attractive strength remain limited to the lowest part of the curve, as the mean coordination number saturates at its attractive-strength-dependent value [Fig. 5(a)].

Similar behavior is observed in the experiments. The mean coordination number as a function of time shows a similar attractive-strength-dependent growth and saturation [Fig. 5(d)], while the fraction of particles in the largest cluster shows a similar rapid increase [Fig. 5(e)]. Specifically, the largest cluster again absorbs almost all particles, given sufficient attractive strength. For the lowest attraction in experiments ($\Delta T = 1.2$ K), the system does not gel yet and the largest cluster remains very small. For all higher attractions, the system gels, and the data shows divergence of the largest cluster. This is shown by plotting the size of the largest cluster as a function of mean coordination number in Fig. 5(f), where the data for the two highest attractive strengths ($\Delta T = 0.5$ and 0.7 K) overlap; for the next weakest attraction ($\Delta T = 1.0$ K), the gel is just marginally stable and is most affected by gravity, leading to some deviation at later stages (yellow stars), due to sedimentation of the largest cluster, as confirmed by direct observation of the cluster in the confocal microscope. At the weakest attraction ($\Delta T = 1.2$ K, violet lying triangle), the data still fall on top of the Master curve but no longer curve up as the clusters remain small and the system does not gel. Nevertheless, the data show good collapse (besides the discrepancies caused by gravity) also in the experiments, and these data collapse supports a common mechanism of growth, governed by the mean coordination number.

To investigate the occurrence of gelation as a function of attraction in more detail, we plot the mean coordination number $\langle Z \rangle$ (i.e., the saturation value that $\langle Z \rangle$ approaches at
strength difference to the critical attraction the critical mean coordination number as a function of attractive potential simulations (gray squares). Inset shows the approach of data sets in (a) indicate Mie potential (yellow dots) and square-well coordination number of a function of temperature difference number as a function of attractive strength in simulations (a) and as long times) as a function of attractive strength in Fig. 6. The two data sets in (a) indicate Mie potential (yellow dots) and square-well potential simulations (gray squares). Inset shows the approach of the critical mean coordination number as a function of attractive strength difference to the critical attraction $\epsilon_c$ when approaching $\epsilon_c$ from below. Critical scaling with exponent $\sim 1/6$ is observed.

Qualitatively, a similar plot of mean coordination number versus attraction is observed in experiments. However, in the experiments, the late stages are affected by gravity. We therefore chose values of $\langle Z \rangle$ for an intermediate stage at which the coordination number has reached close saturation, but gravitational disturbances are still small. The resulting mean coordination number as a function of the parameter $\Delta T$ controlling the attraction is shown in Fig. 6(b). The data show a qualitatively similar increase of $\langle Z \rangle$ as in the simulations, which is also in qualitative agreement with data on colloid-polymer mixtures presented in [18]. A full quantitative relaxation would require detailed elaboration of the critical Casimir force as in Ref. [25], and a gravity-free environment, which is not within reach of this study.

C. Association and dissociation rates

The evolution of the mean coordination number is the result of dynamic association and dissociation processes governing the nonequilibrium growth of the structure: Their balance determines the kinetic pathway of growth of the clusters. To obtain insight into the kinetics of attachment and break-up processes, we determine association and dissociation rates in the simulations (see simulation section) and plot them as a function of attractive strength $\epsilon$ in Fig. 7. We show data for the association and dissociation of clusters consisting of one, two, and three particles. While the association rate does not show a strong dependence on the cluster size, the dissociation rate depends systematically on cluster size: It decreases with $\epsilon$ roughly in an exponential manner as is expected for simple Arrhenius behavior, while the exponent reflects the number of broken bonds, leading to steeper exponential decay for larger clusters, in agreement with the experimental observations in Ref. [18]. This is most clearly seen in the inset: While the dissociation rate decreases with cluster size (bottom data), the association rate is not so much affected by it (top data). This observation motivates us to assume a limit in which the cluster kinetic model can be solved analytically. In this limit, we assume that only single-particle detachment occurs, and the association rate is independent of the cluster size.

D. Cluster kinetic modeling and nonequilibrium phase transition

We can now model the time evolution of clusters based on the full kinetic growth equation Eq. (6) describing the joining and splitting up of clusters. In order to do this analytically, we solve the system of coupled differential equations under the simplifying assumptions [Eq. (7)] that the association rate is constant (independent of the cluster size), and the dissociation is governed by single-particle detachment alone, neglecting detachment of any larger clusters consisting of two or more particles. In this case, the model predicts power-law cluster mass distributions with exponents $-3/2$ before percolation, and $-5/2$ thereafter. To compare with simulations and experiments, we plot cluster-mass distributions before and after percolation for the different attractive strength in Fig. 8. In all cases, the data follow closely the predicted power-law
FIG. 7. Association (a) and dissociation rate (b) in simulations 
(Mie potential) as a function of attractive strength for clusters of 
$N = 1, 2$, and $3$ particles [see the legend in panel (b)]. The dissociation 
rates depend strongly on the size of the detaching cluster, decreasing 
with attractive strength proportional to $\exp(-NE/k_{B}T)$, with $N$ the 
number of particles in the dissociating cluster. Inset in (a) shows 
association (top) and dissociation rates (bottom) as a function of 
cluster size. Curves from top to bottom indicate increasing $\epsilon/k_{B}T = 
2$ (black), 2.2 (red), 2.4 (blue), and 2.6 (green), across the gelation 
transition.

slopes before and after gelation. Specifically, we find slopes 
of $-1.60 \pm 0.12$ and $-1.65 \pm 0.21$ for the Mie simulations 
at 3 and $4k_{B}T$, respectively, before gelation, and slopes of 
$-2.30 \pm 0.35$ and $-2.62 \pm 0.26$ after gelation, consistent 
with the predictions of the model. Similar consistency is 
obtained for the square-well potential simulations and even 
for the lowest attractive strength, for which no gelation occurs, 
and cluster mass distributions can only be determined before 
gelation [Fig. 8(a)]. While thus the scatter of the data does 
not allow to precisely pinpoint the power-law slopes, the data 
are consistent with the model predictions. As the model is 
based on idealized limits that are not precisely fulfilled in 
the simulations, an exact agreement between predicted and 
measured slopes may not be expected. Equally good consist-
ency is observed in the experiments, see Figs. 8(d)–8(f). The data 
are well described by the predicted power-law slopes for all attractive strengths. For example, at $\Delta T = 0.7 \, K$, we 
determine slopes of $-1.6 \pm 0.2$ before gelation, and $-2.4 \pm 0.6$ after, while at $\Delta T = 0.5 \, K$, we find $-1.55 \pm 0.15$ before 
gelation, and $-2.1 \pm 0.5$ after, both consistent with the model 
predictions, while the scatter does not allow us to make this 
statement more precise. On the other hand, the reasonable 
agreement of all attractive strength, and of both simulation 
models and experiments points to some underlying generality. 
The model thus gives reasonable predictions of cluster mass 
distributions over the relevant range of attractive strength, 
where single-particle detachment prevails.

A crucial prediction of the model is a nonequilibrium criti-
cal point, at which cluster sizes diverge, and the largest cluster 
spans the entire system. Indeed, the rapid growth of the largest 
cluster absorbing almost all particles [Figs. 5(b) and 5(e)] and 
the observation of power-law cluster size distributions 
support this scenario. To address this crucial point directly, 
we investigate the divergence of the largest cluster and the 
corresponding correlation length on approaching the critical 
mean coordination number $Z_c$. We compute the 
correlation length $\xi$ of clusters using $\xi^2 = 2 \sum R_i^2 N_i^2 / \sum N_i^2$, where $R_i$ is the radius of gyration of clusters of size $N_i$ [37]. We 
then plot the fraction of particles in the largest cluster, and 
the correlation length $\xi$ as a function of the order parameter 
$\langle Z \rangle$ in Fig. 9 for all attractive strength, experiments (top), 
and simulations (center and bottom). Indeed, cluster sizes diverge 
as the mean coordination number reaches the critical value, $Z_c$. 
For the lowest attraction in experiments ($\Delta T = 1.2 \, K$), 
the system does not gel yet and the largest cluster remains 
very small. For all higher attractions, the system gels, and the 
data shows divergence of the largest cluster. This is shown by 
plotting the cluster size and correlation length as a function of 
distance to the critical coordination number, $Z_c - (\langle Z \rangle)$, in 
the inset. We find for the highest attraction ($\Delta T = 0.5 \, K$) a 
divergence with exponent $\gamma = 1.67 \pm 0.14$, while the correlation 
length diverges as $\nu = 0.78 \pm 0.09$. Both are consistent with 
predictions from three-dimensional percolation theory of $\nu \sim 
1.6$ and $\gamma \sim 0.8$. Some scatter is observed in the experiments 
at low attraction ($\Delta T = 1 \, K$, yellow stars) where the gel 
is just marginally stable and thus most strongly affected by 
gravity, and a slope cannot be determined. Similar divergence 
is observed in the simulations, which are also consistent with 
each other: We show the size of the largest cluster in the Mie 
simulations at a few different attractions and volume fractions 
in Fig. 9(c). Divergence at a volume-fraction-dependent criti-
cal coordination number $Z_c$ is observed. However, the curves 
collapse when we scale the coordination number by $Z_c$, 
as shown in Fig. 9(d). The data show a characteristic scaling 
with slope $\gamma = 1.7 \pm 0.2$, again consistent with percolation 
theory. Similar scaling collapse is observed for the correlation 
length, see Figs. 9(e) and 9(f). Again, divergence is observed 
at the volume-fraction-dependent $Z_c$, which collapses onto 
similar scaling with exponent $\nu = 0.78 \pm 0.06$, consistent 
with 3D percolation. This scaling is also observed in the 
square-well simulations, see Figs. 9(g) and 9(h), where we 
show results for the volume fraction $\phi = 12\%$, and different 
attractive strength. Altogether, these data suggest that the
observed short-range attractive gelation is associated with a continuous nonequilibrium phase transition. The collapse of the different attractions and volume fractions studied, and its consistent observation in experiments, Mie- and square-well simulations suggests that this finding may be more general, in line with recent simulations of the jamming of attractive spheres [39,40].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

By investigating the growth kinetics of clusters close to gelation of short-range, weakly attractive colloidal particles in experiments, simulations and cluster kinetic modeling, we have identified a general underlying kinetic growth mechanism that is independent of the attractive strength and volume fraction in the investigated regime. While the bond and cluster evolution are clearly attractive-strength dependent, exhibiting higher coordinated, faster growth of structures for higher attractive strength, we find that all growth curves can be uniquely parameterized in terms of the mean coordination number, i.e., the mean number of bonds per particle, as a function of which all growth curves overlap. Gelation occurs when the steady-state value of this mean coordination number reaches a volume-fraction-dependent critical value, above which particle clusters grow to system size. The mean coordination number, which results from a dynamic balance of association and dissociation of particles and particle clusters, reaches its critical value at gelation in a critical fashion as a function of the attractive strength. Detailed analysis of the association and dissociation rates show that in the studied weakly attractive regime, dissociation is dominated by single-particle detachment from clusters, while association appears to be almost independent of the size of the attaching cluster. Assuming the limit of only single-particle dissociation and equal association probability for all cluster sizes, we solve the general kinetic equation of cluster growth analytically. The analytical solution shows the occurrence of a critical (percolation) point, below which clusters remain finite, and above which the cluster sizes diverge. Indeed, in both experiments and simulations, we find divergence of cluster sizes and correlation lengths consistent with three-dimensional percolation theory as a function of the underlying order parameter, the mean coordination number, that characterizes the connectivity of the structure.

This divergence is observed in all our data, colloidal experiments with different critical Casimir attractive strengths, and in Mie- and square-well potential simulations of different attractive strength, and volume fractions in the regime of 6–16%, indicating that it may be a general feature of the gelation of short-range, weakly attractive particles. As the range of attraction of our work is similar to the work in Refs. [2,3], the question arises how the results are related. We emphasize that we investigate our system toward the nonequilibrium gel state, while Refs. [2,3] show cluster distributions in the equilibrium cluster phase. Hence, the description here starts from a different angle, from a purely nonequilibrium kinetic point of view; in this gelation regime, our results suggest that the observed gelation is associated with a nonequilibrium critical point, associated with a kinetic percolation phenomenon of the attaching weakly bonded particles.

The discovery of a nonequilibrium second-order phase transition [41] which underlies the colloidal gelation transition may open up new perspectives and opportunities for the understanding and modeling of liquid-solid transitions in nano and soft-matter systems.
FIG. 9. Evolution of cluster size and correlation length in experiments [(a) and (b)], Mie-potential simulations [(c) and (f)], and square-well simulations [(g) and (h)]. (a) Fraction of particles in the largest cluster, $f_p$, as a function of the total mean coordination number in experiments. Inset: Divergence of $f_p$ on approaching the critical coordination number $Z_c$. Line indicates exponent $-1.6$. (b) Correlation length $\xi$ as a function of the mean coordination number for experiments. Inset: Divergence of $\xi$ on approaching the critical coordination number $Z_c$. Line indicates exponent $-0.8$. [(c) and (d)] Fraction of particles in the largest cluster, $f_p$, as a function of the mean coordination number $\langle Z \rangle$ (c) and normalized mean coordination number $\langle Z \rangle/Z_c$ (d) in Mie-potential simulations for different volume fractions and attractions, see legend. Inset: Divergence of $f_p$ on approaching the critical coordination number $Z_c$. Dashed line indicates exponent $-1.6$. [(e) and (f)] Correlation length, $\xi$, as a function of mean coordination number $Z_c$ (e) and normalized mean coordination number $\langle Z \rangle/Z_c$ (f) for Mie simulations. Inset: Divergence of $\xi$ on approaching the critical coordination number $Z_c$. Dashed line indicates exponent $-0.8$. [(g) and (h)] Same quantities for simulations using a square-well potential. Good agreement with the other simulations and experiments is observed.