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Abstract

We report on simultaneous radio and X-ray observations of the repeating fast radio burst source FRB 180916.J0158+65. Using the Canadian Hydrogen Intensity Mapping Experiment (CHIME), Effelsberg, and Deep Space Network (DSS-14 and DSS-63) radio telescopes and the Chandra X-ray Observatory. During 33 ks of Chandra observations, we detect no radio bursts in overlapping Effelsberg or Deep Space Network observations and a single burst during CHIME/FRB source transits. We detect no X-ray events in excess of the background during the Chandra observations. These non-detections imply a 5σ limit of <5 × 10^{-10} erg cm^{-2} for the 0.5–10 keV fluence of prompt emission at the time of the radio burst and 1.3 × 10^{-9} erg cm^{-2} at any time during the Chandra observations. Given the host-galaxy redshift of FRB 180916.J0158+65 (z ~ 0.034), these correspond to energy limits of <1.6 × 10^{49} erg and <4 × 10^{49} erg, respectively. We also place a 5σ limit of <8 × 10^{-15} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} on the 0.5–10 keV absorbed fluence of a persistent source at the location of FRB 180916.J0158+65. This corresponds to a luminosity limit of <2 × 10^{40} erg s^{-1}. Using an archival set of radio bursts from FRB 180916.J0158+65, we search for prompt gamma-ray emission in Fermi/GBM data but find no significant gamma-ray bursts, thereby placing a limit of 9 × 10^{-9} erg cm^{-2} on the 100–100 keV fluence. We also search Fermi/LAT data for periodic modulation of the gamma-ray brightness at the 16.35 days period of radio burst activity and detect no significant modulation. We compare these deep limits to the predictions of various fast radio burst models, but conclude that similar X-ray constraints on a closer fast radio burst source would be needed to strongly constrain theory.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Radio transient sources (2008); High energy astrophysics (739); Neutron stars (1108); X-ray bursts (1814)

1. Introduction

Fast radio bursts (FRBs) are a new class of radio transient with unknown origins (see Cordes & Chatterjee 2019; Petroff et al. 2019, for reviews). They are millisecond-long, bright (peak flux densities ∼0.1–10 Jy at ∼1 GHz) bursts and have been observed at frequencies from 300 MHz (Chawla et al. 2020) to 8 GHz (Gajjar et al. 2018). Their distances, both based on their dispersion measure (DM) excesses (in comparison to the expected Milky Way contributions; Cordes & Lazio 2002; Yao et al. 2017) and measured host-galaxy redshifts for a few sources (Chatterjee et al. 2017; Bannister et al. 2019; Ravi et al. 2019; Prochaska et al. 2019; Marcote et al. 2020), are extragalactic, and the most distant sources appear to come from cosmological distances (i.e., z ≳ 0.5; Thornton et al. 2013). The extreme luminosities and short duration of FRBs point to coherent emission originating from a compact object. Prior to the discovery of repeat bursts from some FRB sources, most models invoked cataclysmic phenomena to explain the extreme energetics of FRBs (for a catalog of models, see Platts et al. 2018). However, since the discovery of repeat bursts from FRB 121102 (Spitler et al. 2016), models that can account for
repetition have become increasingly the focus of theoretical work.

One central engine in particular has garnered a lot of attention: the millisecond magnetar. In this model, an FRB is powered by a young, recently formed millisecond magnetar (e.g., Lyubarsky 2014; Beloborodov 2017; Metzger et al. 2017) and may be associated with short duration or persistent emission in X-rays or gamma-rays. The older, much less energetic, magnetars in our Galaxy are known to power X-ray and gamma-ray bursts and flares on timescales of milliseconds to seconds (see Kaspi & Beloborodov 2017, for a review), which are similar to the duration of FRBs. The high-energy burst emission of magnetars comes in at least two classes: giant flares and short X-ray bursts. To date, only three magnetar giant flares have been detected in our Galaxy and the Magellanic Clouds (Evans et al. 1980; Hurley et al. 1999, 2005) with X-ray peak luminosities in the range $10^{34}$ to $10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Short X-ray bursts from magnetars are emitted much more frequently but are much fainter than giant flares (peak X-ray luminosities of $\sim 10^{36}$ to $10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$; e.g., Göğüş et al. 1999, 2000; Scholz & Kaspi 2011).

Scholz et al. (2017) undertook several campaigns of coordinated X-ray and radio observations of FRB 121102, to probe for coincident high-energy emission during the radio bursts. With these observations, upper limits were placed on X-ray ($0.5$–$10$ keV) and gamma-ray ($10$–$100$ keV) emission at the time of radio bursts. Owing to the relatively large distance to FRB 121102 ($z \sim 0.193$; luminosity distance of 972 Mpc; Tendulkar et al. 2017), these limits were found to be $\sim 10\times$ above what is expected for a magnetar giant flare (Scholz et al. 2017).

The recent success of the CHIME/FRB Collaboration in discovering repeating FRBs has led to several sources that could be much closer than FRB 121102, based on their low DM excesses (CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. 2019). One of these sources, FRB 180916.J0158+65, was subsequently localized with milliarcsecond precision to a spiral galaxy at $z = 0.0337 \pm 0.0002$ (luminosity distance of 149 Mpc) using observations from the European VLBI Network (EVN; Marcote et al. 2020). Recently, a 16.35 days periodicity in the burst activity of FRB 180916.J0158+65 was found, where the source seems to be active in a $\sim 5$ day window (CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. 2020), although an aliased, shorter period cannot presently be excluded. Armed with this localization, and knowledge of the periodic activity level, we were able to perform a deep, targeted, search for X-ray emission using the Chandra X-Ray Observatory coordinated with radio observations at times when the detection of radio bursts from the source were highly probable. The greater proximity of FRB 180916.J0158+65 compared to FRB 121102 allows us to probe $\sim 40\times$ deeper in energy for such emission. Previously, limits have been placed on the high-energy emission of FRB 180916.J0158+65 during its active phases using INTEGRAL (Panessa et al. 2020), Swift/XRT (Tavani et al. 2020a), and Chandra (Kong et al. 2020). Other studies have also placed limits on the gamma-ray emission of a large sample of FRB sources (e.g., Tendulkar et al. 2016; Cunningham et al. 2019).

Here we present simultaneous deep X-ray and radio observations on 2019 December 3 and 18 performed with the goal of detecting or constraining any X-ray counterparts to the radio bursts from FRB 180916.J0158+65. Using a larger archival set of radio burst times, we also present a search for gamma-ray emission at the times of radio bursts from FRB 180916.J0158+65. We describe the Chandra (X-ray), Fermi (gamma-ray), Effelsberg, Deep Space Network, and CHIME (radio) observations in Section 2. In Section 3 we present the results of our search for bursts in the radio observations as well as X-ray (Chandra) and gamma-ray (Fermi) emission both at the time of radio bursts and at any time during the high-energy observations. We discuss the significance of these results in Section 4.

2. Observations

2.1. Chandra X-Ray Observatory

FRB 180916.J0158+65 was observed by Chandra on 2019 December 3 (ObsID 23081) and 2019 December 18 (ObsID 23082) at epochs consistent with the “on-phase” of the periodic activity of FRB 180916.J0158+65, identified by CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. (2020). The ACIS-S3 detector was operated in VFaint mode with a 1/8 sub-array read out providing a $8' \times 1'$ field of view and a 0.4-s frame time. The exposure times were both $\sim 16$ ks, as listed in Table 1. Figure 1 shows a timeline of the Chandra observations and how they overlap with radio observations.

The resulting data were analyzed using CIAO$^{22}$ version 4.12 (Fruscione et al. 2006) following standard procedures recommended by the Chandra X-ray Center. Source events were extracted from a $1''$-radius region (95% encircled energy) centered on the position of FRB 180916.J0158+65 and arrival times were corrected to the Solar-System Barycenter using the source position measured by Marcote et al. (2020) to a precision of $\sim 2$ milliarcseconds with the EVN.

2.2. CHIME/FRB

The CHIME/FRB backend continuously searches total intensity, polarization-summed time series from each of the 1024 beams formed across CHIME’s $2^3 \times 120^0$ field of view. The time series have a 0.98304-ms time resolution and 16,384 frequency channels across the 400–800 MHz band. The backend uses real-time radio-frequency interference (RFI) mitigation and a tree dedispersion algorithm to search over a wide range of trial DMs. Dispersed signals with integrated S/N values greater than the system’s configurable threshold are forwarded to a post-detection pipeline to classify sources as RFI, known Galactic sources, or unknown Galactic or extragalactic signals (by comparing to predicted Galactic foreground contributions to DM). Signals are classified as FRBs (i.e., unknown extragalactic) if they are not associated with any known Galactic sources, and their observed DMs exceed the maximum values predicted by Galactic DM models (Cordes & Lazio 2002; Yao et al. 2017). See CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. (2018) for a detailed description of the CHIME/FRB system.

On 2019 December 3, CHIME was offline for upgrades and so was unable to search for bursts at that time. On 2019 December 18, FRB 180916.J0158+65 was within the FWHM (at 600 MHz) of the CHIME/FRB beams for 14.7 min (see

---

Table 1
Summary of Joint X-Ray/Radio Observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telescope</th>
<th>ObsID/ Frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>Start time (UTC)</th>
<th>End time (UTC)</th>
<th>Exposure time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chandra</td>
<td>23081</td>
<td>2019 Dec 03 01:33:03</td>
<td>2019 Dec 03 07:01:53</td>
<td>16390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23082</td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 03:47:29</td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 09:13:36</td>
<td>16300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIME/FRB*a</td>
<td>400–800</td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 04:06:36</td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 04:21:22</td>
<td>886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS-14</td>
<td>1360–1720</td>
<td>2019 Dec 2 05:37:29</td>
<td>2019 Dec 2 07:01:29</td>
<td>5040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Dec 2 07:09:03</td>
<td>2019 Dec 2 07:50:17</td>
<td>2474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 02:22:05</td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 03:51:05</td>
<td>5340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 04:01:13</td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 05:35:13</td>
<td>5640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 07:30:17</td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 09:04:17</td>
<td>5640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 09:11:47</td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 10:45:47</td>
<td>5640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS-63</td>
<td>2200–2310 and</td>
<td>2019 Dec 2 02:10:35</td>
<td>2019 Dec 2 03:49:35</td>
<td>5940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8180–8575</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Dec 2 22:58:41</td>
<td>2019 Dec 3 00:37:41</td>
<td>5940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Dec 3 02:32:47</td>
<td>2019 Dec 3 03:43:30</td>
<td>4243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Dec 18 22:36:31</td>
<td>2019 Dec 19 00:10:31</td>
<td>5640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Dec 19 00:18:27</td>
<td>2019 Dec 19 01:52:27</td>
<td>5640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Dec 19 02:00:35</td>
<td>2019 Dec 19 03:43:15</td>
<td>6160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2205–2310 and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8180–8575</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.
* Start, end, and exposure times based on time spent by source within the 600 MHz FWHM of the CHIME/FRB formed beams.

Table 1). During that period, the source moved through the four columns of synthesized beams. As such, the sensitivity to FRB 180916.J0158+65 varied significantly over the course of the transit.

2.3. Effelsberg Radio Telescope

The Effelsberg 100-m radio telescope observed FRB 180916.J0158+65 with the 7-beam receiver (P217mm) at a center frequency of 1.36 GHz. The central beam was pointed at the precise position measured by the EVN localization (Marcote et al. 2020). These observations spanned the full extent of the Chandra observations (see Figure 1) and their start times, end times, and total on-source time are given in Table 1. The PFFTS digital backend recorded total intensity spectral data with a time resolution of 54.6 μs, 512 frequency channels, and a bandwidth of 300 MHz (Δν = 0.586 MHz). Before processing, the PFFTS data were converted from 32-bit floats to 8-bit unsigned integers in sigproc filterbank format.

The data were searched using the PRESTO search software (Ransom 2011). Broadband, impulsive RFI was removed by identifying statistical outliers within a user-specific block of time. First, each frequency channel was rescaled using the standard deviation and median of that channel, and then the zero-DM time series was calculated. Time samples in this time series that were above the S/N threshold were considered likely to be RFI contaminated. All of the frequency channels associated with the contaminated samples were replaced by Gaussian noise with the statistics of that channel. The cleaned filterbank was then passed to rffind for further RFI excision. The data were then downsampled by a factor of eight in time and dedispersed with 100 trial DMs ranging from 300 pc cm$^{-3}$ to 400 pc cm$^{-3}$ (FRB 180916.J0158+65 has a DM of 349 pc cm$^{-3}$; CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. 2019) in steps of 1 pc cm$^{-3}$ with prepsubband. Each time series was convolved with a template bank of boxcar matched filters yielding effective time resolutions of 0.44 ms to 17.5 ms, and candidate bursts were identified in each time series by applying a detection threshold of S/N > 6 (single_pulse_search.py). The results were inspected by eye, and promising candidates were further investigated by looking at a time-frequency snapshot around each candidate.

2.4. Deep Space Network

The Deep Space Network (DSN) observed FRB 180916. J0158+65 for a total of ~22 hr, partially overlapping with the Chandra observations (see Figure 1), using DSS-14 and DSS-63, two 70-m diameter radio antennas located in Goldstone, California and Robledo, Spain. FRB 180916.J0158+65 was observed at L-band (center frequency of 1.5 GHz; data recorded in left circular polarization) using DSS-14 for a total of 11 hr over eight separate scans. DSS-63 observed FRB 180916. J0158+65 simultaneously at S-band (center frequency of 2.3 GHz) and X-band (center frequency of 8.4 GHz) with data recorded in both left and right circular polarization for a total of 11 hr in seven separate scans (see Table 1). The L-band system on DSS-14 spans roughly 500 MHz of bandwidth, but only 250 MHz of the total bandwidth was usable during our observations after RFI mitigation. At S-band, the first three DSS-63 observations were recorded with a bandwidth of 110 MHz and the last four observations were recorded with 105 MHz. The X-band data were recorded with a bandwidth of 395 MHz.

Data were recorded using pulsar backends that record channelized power spectral density measurements in filterbank

---

Note.

*a* Start, end, and exposure times based on time spent by source within the 600 MHz FWHM of the CHIME/FRB formed beams.

---

23 https://github.com/scotransom/presto
format. The L-band data were recorded with a time and frequency resolution of 102.4 μs and 0.625 MHz, respectively. The S-band and X-band data were recorded with a time and frequency resolution of 2.2 ms and 0.464 MHz, respectively. We performed short observations of a bright pulsar (PSR B0329+54) at various times throughout the observing campaign to validate the quality of the data. The data were flux calibrated by measuring the $T_{\text{sys}}$ at each frequency band while the antenna was in the stow position. We then corrected the $T_{\text{sys}}$ values for elevation effects, which were minimal since all of our observations occurred when the source elevation was above 20°.

The data processing procedures followed those described in previous DSN studies of pulsars (e.g., Majid et al. 2017; Pearlman et al. 2018, 2019). In each data set, we corrected for the bandpass slope across the frequency band. Bad frequency channels corrupted by RFI were identified using the PSRCHIVE software (Hotan et al. 2004) and masked. We also subtracted the moving average from each data point using 0.5 s around each time sample in order to remove any long timescale temporal variability. The cleaned data from each epoch were then dedispersed with trial DMs between 300 and 400 pc cm$^{-3}$ with trial spacings of 2 pc cm$^{-3}$ at L-band, 5 pc cm$^{-3}$ at S-band, and 50 pc cm$^{-3}$ at X-band. We searched for FRBs using a matched filtering algorithm, where each dedispersed time-series was convolved with logarithmically spaced boxcar functions with widths ranging between 1–300 times the native time resolution. FRB candidates with detection S/N > 6 were saved and classified using a GPU-accelerated machine-learning pipeline based on the FETCH (Fast Extragalactic Transient Candidate Hunter) package (Agarwal et al. 2020).

2.5. Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope

The Fermi telescope has two sets of detectors on board, the Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM; Meegan et al. 2009) and the Large Area Telescope (LAT; Atwood et al. 2009). The GBM consists of 12 sodium iodide (NaI; 8 keV–40 MeV) and 2 bismuth germanate (BGO; 300 keV–40 MeV) scintillators pointed in various directions to provide all-sky coverage to gamma-rays. In this work we use only the NaI detectors. The GBM instrument records data in several different data products, but here we use only the time-tagged events (TTE) data which provides event data with 2-μs time resolution and 128 energy channels. The LAT is a pair-conversion telescope providing sensitivity to gamma-ray photons in the range 20 MeV–300 GeV in a 2.4 sr (20% of sky) field of view. The LAT images the sky with a time resolution of 10 μs or better. The LAT collaboration periodically releases improved reprocessing of their gamma-ray events. Here we use the most recent release, Pass 8.

3. Analysis and Results

3.1. Radio Bursts

During the 2019 December 18 transit of FRB 180916.J0158+65 over CHIME, which was simultaneous with a Chandra observation, a single radio burst was detected by CHIME/FRB. The burst was detected at MJD 58835.17721035 (barycentric after correcting for dispersive delay), 446 s after the start of the Chandra observation, with a band-averaged S/N of 12.8 which corresponds to a peak flux density of 0.4 ± 0.2 Jy and fluence of 2.9 ± 0.7 Jy ms (see CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. 2020, for additional details on this burst).

In the simultaneous Effelsberg observations, no bursts with S/N > 6 were identified by the PRESTO search. Assuming a system equivalent flux density of 20 Jy for the P217mm receiver and S/N > 6, the fluence threshold is 0.15 Jy ms $\sqrt{(w/1 \text{ ms})}$, where w is the burst duration in ms. The Effelsberg time series were also manually inspected around the time of detected CHIME/FRB bursts and no excess was found. In the DSN observations listed in Table 1, no radio bursts were detected. For a pulse width of w, the average fluence thresholds (for S/N > 6) on the peak flux densities during these epochs are: 0.29 Jy ms $\sqrt{(w/1 \text{ ms})}$ at L-band, 0.25 Jy ms $\sqrt{(w/1 \text{ ms})}$ at S-band, 0.14 Jy ms $\sqrt{(w/1 \text{ ms})}$ at X-band.

3.2. Limits on Prompt X-Ray Emission

We searched the Chandra observations both for X-ray photons arriving nearby in time to the CHIME/FRB-detected radio burst and at any time during the observations. In the 2019 December 3 Chandra observation, a single photon was detected at the source position, but there were no detected radio bursts in overlapping radio observations. In the 2019 December 18
Chandra observation, a single photon was detected at the source position, 4.7 hr after the CHIME/FRB-detected radio burst and 500 s before the end of the Chandra observation. We take into account the dispersion delay of the radio bursts (9 s at 400 MHz) when comparing to the times of high-energy photons. The background count rate in the source extraction region during the Chandra observation was consistent with the background count rate. Cutoff PL 10 24 0.5 180 20 90 b

Notes. Blackbody 10 24 10 200 20 7 b
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Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>( N_{\text{H}} ) (cm(^{-2}))</th>
<th>( kT/\Gamma ) (keV/( \Gamma ))</th>
<th>Absorbed 0.5–10 keV Fluence Limit ((10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2}))</th>
<th>Unabsorbed 0.5–10 keV Energy Limit(^a) ((10^{45} \text{ erg}))</th>
<th>Extrapolated 10 keV–1 MeV Energy Limit(^a) ((10^{46} \text{ erg}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blackbody</td>
<td>( 10^{22} )</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackbody</td>
<td>( 10^{24} )</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>( 7^{\circ} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutoff PL</td>
<td>( 10^{22} )</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutoff PL</td>
<td>( 10^{24} )</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>( 90^{\circ} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft PL</td>
<td>( 10^{22} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft PL</td>
<td>( 10^{24} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. 5\( \sigma \) confidence upper limits. See Section 3.2 for details.

\(^a\) Assuming the measured luminosity distance to FRB 180916.J0158+65, 149 Mpc (Marcote et al. 2020).

\(^b\) More stringent limits on these models are available from Fermi/GBM. See Section 3.4.

3.3. Limits on Persistent X-Ray Emission

To place the best-possible limits on a persistent source we combined the two Chandra observations for a total of 33 ks of exposure time. In these two observations, only two events were detected in a 1\( " \)-radius region centered on the position of FRB 180916.J0158+65. We measure a 0.5–10 keV background count rate in a 25\( " \)-radius region chosen to be away from the source of 0.7 counts s\(^{-1}\) arcsec\(^{-2}\). Given this background rate, the two detected counts are consistent with the background in the combined observations. Using these detected and measured background rates, we measure a 5\( \sigma \) count rate limit of 5.5 \times 10^{-3} counts s\(^{-1}\), using the Bayesian method of Kraft et al. (1991). Assuming a photoelectrically absorbed power-law source spectrum with \( \Gamma = 2 \) and \( N_{\text{H}} \approx 1 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), the 5\( \sigma \) upper limit on the persistent 0.5–10 keV X-ray absorbed flux from FRB 180916.J0158+65 or its host galaxy is \( 8 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \). At the luminosity distance of FRB 180916.J0158+65 this corresponds to an isotropic luminosity limit of \( 2 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \).

3.4. Limits on Prompt Gamma-Ray Emission

We searched data from the Fermi/GBM for gamma-ray counterparts at the time of radio bursts from FRB 180916. J0158+65 using a similar analysis to that in Scholz et al. (2017). We searched the TTE GBM data in the energy range 10–100 keV for NaI detectors that were pointed \( \approx < 60^\circ \) from the source position. The 2018 December 18 bursts in this work were not visible to GBM as the source was occulted by the Earth at the time. However, of the 28 bursts in CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. (2020), 12 bursts occurred at a time when TTE data were available and the source was \( < 60^\circ \) from at least one NaI detector and not occulted by the Earth. For these
bursts, we searched each TTE time series for excess counts in 1 and 5 ms bins in a 20 s window centered on the arrival time of the CHIME/FRB-detected radio burst (after correcting for the dispersive delay). We find no signals that are not attributable to Poisson fluctuations from the background count rate at a 5σ confidence level. Taking into account the effective area of the NaI detectors\(^{24}\) toward the source position at the time of each event, the background count rate, the number of trials searched in each 20 s window, and assuming a burst timescale of 0.1 s, the Astrophysical Journal, the sidereal day and rays is emitted at the time of each radio burst, and thus consider FRB models. For those highly absorbed hard constraining than the extrapolated limits for prompt emission of each burst, the 5σ 10–100 keV fluence limit of 3 × 10\(^{-6}\) erg at the measured luminosity distance of FRB 180916.J0158+65. If we assume a burst of gamma-rays is emitted at the time of each radio burst, and thus consider the product of the posterior probability distributions of the fluence of each burst, the 5σ 10–100 keV fluence limit becomes 9 × 10\(^{-9}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\). At the measured luminosity distance of FRB 180916.J0158+65, this corresponds to a 10–100 keV burst energy limit of 3 × 10\(^{46}\) erg. These limits are more constraining than the extrapolated limits for prompt emission from the Chandra observations presented in Table 2 for the highly absorbed hard (10 keV blackbody and cutoff power-law) models. For those fiducial models the 10 keV to 1 MeV energy limits are 1 × 10\(^{47}\) erg and 2 × 10\(^{48}\) erg, respectively. No bursts from this work or CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. (2020) occurred in the Fermi/LAT field of view.

3.5. Search for Periodic Gamma-Ray Emission

All Fermi/LAT photons with energies above 1 GeV and within a 5° radius region around the coordinates of the source were selected, conservatively reflecting the ~3\(^{\oplus}\) 95% containment radius for the point-spread function at 1 GeV. We then filtered the data based on event class and zenith angle to ensure data quality and exclude Earth-limb photons. Each of the remaining photons was then assigned a weight according to its distance from the source based on an empirical approximation to the LAT PSF described in (Bruel 2019). We correct for exposure in each phase bin before performing a weighted H-test on the resultant pulse profile as described in (Kerr 2011). These data span all 11 yr from MJD 54683 to MJD 58907. However, we also perform the H-test on the data restricted only to the last 5 yr as well as restricted only to after the source was first detected by CHIME/FRB (MJD 58377). We repeat this analysis method for eight potential aliased frequencies, f\(_{\text{ali}}\), for N ≤ 4 in f\(_{\text{ali}}\) = N\(_{\text{sid}}\) ± f\(_{\text{sid}}\); (where f\(_{\text{sid}}\) is the frequency of a sidereal day and f\(_{\text{ds}}\) = (16.35 days)\(^{-1}\); see CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. 2020) and for each time span. In the 27 combinations we find no single-trial false alarm probability lower than 1%, except for in the case of N = 3 which had a significance of approximately 3σ (single-trial false alarm probability 0.08%) in the 11 yr sample. We attribute this to random noise given the 27 trial periods searched. Further, in the truncated data sets, since initial CHIME/FRB detection and in the last 5 yr, we find a false alarm probability of 16.7% and 32.4% respectively for the N = 3 case.

\(^{24}\) Generated using the GBM Response Generator https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/multif/DOCUMENTATION.html.

4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison to Previous Limits

The limits determined here can be compared to the similar campaign performed for FRB 121102 using XMM-Newton and Chandra observations that were simultaneous with radio observations (Scholz et al. 2017). Figure 2 shows the limits, in burst energy, as a function of photon energy for both FRB 121102, from Scholz et al. (2017), and FRB 180916.J0158+65, from this work. As FRB 180916.J0158+65 is 6.5 times closer than FRB 121102, the single-burst energy limits from Chandra ACIS and Fermi GBM observations are ~40× more constraining. However, the campaign on FRB 121102 included several Chandra and XMM-Newton observations during which 11 radio bursts were detected, compared to the single burst detected for FRB 180916.J0158+65 in this work. This means that the (flat-model) single-burst 0.5–10 keV energy limit for prompt emission from FRB 180916.J0158+65, 1.6 × 10\(^{45}\) erg, is only ~3× more constraining than the combined limit for FRB 121102, 4 × 10\(^{45}\) erg, which was derived under the assumption that an X-ray burst of similar fluence was emitted near the time of each radio burst.

The N\(_{\text{H}}\) values assumed in the above calculations are the same as those taken for FRB 121102 (Scholz et al. 2017), but may not be applicable for FRB 180916.J0158+65. From the DM budget presented by Marcote et al. (2020) and the DM–N\(_{\text{H}}\) relation from He et al. (2013), we can estimate what the N\(_{\text{H}}\) toward FRB 180916.J0158+65 could be. The total DM measured for FRB 180916.J0158+65 is 349 pc cm\(^{-3}\). Assuming the intergalactic medium (IGM) does not contribute significantly to N\(_{\text{H}}\), we subtract the IGM contribution to the DM, determined from the DM–z relation (Inoue 2004), 34 pc cm\(^{-3}\). This leaves a Milky Way plus host DM of 291 pc cm\(^{-3}\), which from the DM–N\(_{\text{H}}\) relation roughly corresponds to N\(_{\text{H}}\) = 10\(^{22}\) cm\(^{-2}\), as used above. The high N\(_{\text{H}}\) value, 10\(^{24}\) cm\(^{-2}\), was used in Scholz et al. (2017) to simulate extreme X-ray absorption local to the source due to a high ratio of atomic metals to free electrons, which could occur in a decades-old supernova remnant (Metzger et al. 2017). However, Chawla et al. (2020) argues against such a young remnant for FRB 180916.J0158+65 because of their recent detection of FRB 180916.J0158+65 at 300 MHz. This detection limits the size, and thus age, of a remnant due to the requirement that the environment is optically thin to free–free absorption at 300 MHz. As such, we consider this highly absorbed scenario unlikely for FRB 180916.J0158+65, though still consider it here for comparison to past limits on FRB 121102.

Our burst limits can be compared to those placed for FRB 180916.J0158+65 using other telescopes. Tavani et al. (2020a) place a 3σ persistent 0.3–10 keV X-ray flux of 5.5 × 10\(^{-14}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) using 10 ks of Swift/XRT observations during active periods of FRB 180916.J0158+65. For our corresponding limit we use a more stringent 5σ confidence interval. Our 3σ limit, however, would be 4 × 10\(^{-15}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), just over an order of magnitude deeper than the Swift/XRT limit. Using INTEGRAL/IBIS, Panessa et al. (2020) place 3σ upper limits on the 28–80 keV gamma-ray flux of 3.4 × 10\(^{-8}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) for 100-ms-long bursts at any time during the INTEGRAL observations. This is very similar to the 10–100 keV Fermi/GBM limit placed here on gamma-ray emission at the time of radio bursts (translated to a 3σ limit on flux it is 7 × 10\(^{-8}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\)).
4.2. Comparison to FRB Models

We can compare our X-ray and gamma-ray energy limits to the energy emitted by the 2004 giant flare of SGR 1806–20, the most energetic event detected from a Galactic magnetar. Though most interesting in the context of the magnetar model, this event is the most luminous transient event yet detected in our Galaxy, so is therefore interesting in a model-agnostic context as well. The bright onset of the flare had a spectrum similar to that of our canonical giant flare model, an isotropic gamma-ray luminosity of $\sim 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (measured in the $\sim 20$ keV–10 MeV band; Mazets et al. 2005; Palmer et al. 2005), and a duration of $\sim 100$ ms. This gives an emitted energy in a 10 keV–1 MeV band of $\sim 10^{46}$ erg. Our gamma-ray extrapolated isotropic energy limit for the giant-flare-like cutoff power-law model in Table 2 is still an order of magnitude higher than this energy emitted by SGR 1806–20. Further, Galactic magnetar activity includes much fainter events. The giant flares from magnetars SGR 0526–66 and SGR 1900+14 had peak luminosities of $10^{44}$–$10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$, over 100$\times$ lower than the SGR 1806–20 giant flare. Short X-ray bursts from magnetars span far fainter luminosities ($\sim 10^{36}$–$10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$; e.g., Göğüş et al. 1999, 2000; Scholz & Kaspi 2011).

For the synchrotron blast wave model of FRBs, Metzger et al. (2019) and Margalit et al. (2020) predict an expected maximum fluence for a gamma-ray flare of $\sim 10^{-13}$ – $10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ for FRB 180916.J0158+65. This is far below the detection threshold of either our extrapolated X-ray limits (which would depend heavily on what the spectrum of the gamma-ray flare would be in the soft X-ray band) or our Fermi limits. The above shows that although the distance to FRB 180916.J0158+65 is low for a FRB, it is still much too distant to probe the energies expected for magnetar-like activity.

Figure 2. Limits on the energy of X-ray and gamma-ray bursts at the time of radio bursts from FRB 180916.J0158+65 (in black; this work) and FRB 121102 (in blue; from Scholz et al. 2017). The limits in the 0.5–10 keV range are from Chandra, and in the 10–100 keV range are from Fermi/GBM. Dashed and solid lines show the $5\sigma$ upper limits as a function of X-ray photon energy, at the time of a single radio burst and stacking those limits (see Section 4.1), respectively. The dotted–dashed lines show different burst spectra that are photoelectrically absorbed, assuming $N_{H} = 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, plotted at their 0.5–10 keV fluence limits that result from a stacked search of the times of the radio bursts. The dotted lines show the same spectral models but with $N_{H} = 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$ to show the effects of possible heavy absorption local to the source. Orange lines represent a blackbody model with $kT = 10$ keV, green curves shows a cutoff power-law model with $\Gamma = 0.5$ and $E_{\text{cut}} = 500$ keV, and the gray curves show a soft power law with $\Gamma = 2$ in order to illustrate how different underlying spectra affect the interpretation of the X-ray observations.
The discovery of a 16.35 days periodicity in the radio burst activity of FRB 180916.J0158+65 (CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. 2020) has recently led to models in which the source—still in many models a neutron star—is in an orbit or precessing. However, the current models do not clearly predict X-ray or gamma-ray emission that would be detectable using current instruments, given the distance to FRB 180916.J0158+65. For example, Mottez et al. (2020) describe a situation in which the relativistic wind of a pulsar or magnetar impinges on an orbiting planetary companion, creating an Alfvén wing that if viewed downstream could be a source of FRBs. Given that this scenario does not require powerful flares from the neutron star itself, observable X-ray emission at the distance of FRB 180916.J0158+65 is not expected. Ioka & Zhang (2020) present a binary “comb” model in which FRBs are produced when the magnetosphere of a neutron star interacts with the wind of a massive stellar companion, but no specific predictions for the brightness of high-energy emission. Levin et al. (2020) note that a hyper-active magnetar that is driven by fast ambipolar diffusion in the core is expected to precess freely with a period of hours to weeks. This could explain the periodicity of observed burst activity, but there is no reason to think that the magnetar flares themselves would be intrinsically brighter or dimmer compared to those we have considered above.

Persistent X-ray emission from FRB sources could arise from a pulsar wind nebula (if the FRB source is a rotation or magnetically powered pulsar). We therefore compare our limit to the X-ray luminosity of the Crab Nebula, 10^{37}\text{erg s}^{-1}. This is three orders-of-magnitude lower than our persistent X-ray luminosity limit of 2 \times 10^{39}\text{erg s}^{-1}. We can also compare our X-ray luminosity limit to the luminosities of the brightest X-ray sources. It is comparable to the luminosities of low-luminosity active galactic nuclei (Terashima & Wilson 2003), bright high-mass X-ray binaries (Sazonov & Khabibullin 2017), and ultraluminous X-ray sources (Earnshaw et al. 2019). For all of these sources, their luminosity distributions extend well below our limit so we cannot rule out any such association with the source of FRB 180916.J0158+65. However, it shows that future observations of FRBs closer than FRB 180916.J0158+65 have the potential to make a detection if any of these objects are associated with the source.

Note that when translating our flux and fluence limits here to limits on luminosity or energy, we assume an isotropic energy release. If the high-energy emission from an FRB source is beamed, the energy emitted would of course be lower as its emitted over a narrower solid angle.

For both prompt and persistent emission, we are only just beginning to probe the brightest of possible counterparts to repeating FRBs. Even for the closest sources, say at <100 Mpc, ruling out high-energy activity from most models, such as that expected from a magnetar, is challenging. It is, however, important to place the most stringent possible limits for closer sources, in case there are much more energetic counterparts to repeating FRBs.

Late in the preparation of this work, we became aware of the works of Pilia et al. (2020) and Tavani et al. (2020b) where limits were placed on the high-energy emission of FRB 180916.J0158+65 during its active phases using XMM-Newton, Swift/XRT, and AGILE. The deep XMM-Newton limits placed on the X-ray emission by Pilia et al. (2020) at the time of radio bursts using are similar to ours placed here with Chandra. The AGILE limits probe a higher energy range than we considered here with Fermi/GBM. The persistent X-ray emission limits from Swift (Tavani et al. 2020b) and XMM-Newton (Pilia et al. 2020) are consistent with those we place here.
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