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ABSTRACT

We present results from six epochs of quasi-simultaneous radio, (sub-)millimetre, infrared, optical, and X-ray observations of the black hole X-ray binary MAXI J1535–571. These observations show that the source transitioned through the hard–intermediate X-ray state towards the soft–intermediate X-ray state, the jet underwent dramatic and rapid changes. We observed the frequency of the jet spectral break, which corresponds to the most compact region in the jet where particle acceleration begins (higher frequencies indicate closer to the black hole), evolves from the infrared band into the radio band (decreasing by ∼3 orders of magnitude) in less than a day. During one observational epoch, we found evidence of the jet spectral break evolving in frequency through the radio band. Estimating the magnetic field and size of the particle acceleration region shows that the rapid fading of the high-energy jet emission was not consistent with radiative cooling; instead, the particle acceleration region seems to be moving away from the black hole on approximately dynamical time-scales. This result suggests that the compact jet quenching is not caused by local changes to the particle acceleration, rather we are observing the acceleration region of the jet travelling away from the black hole with the jet flow. Spectral analysis of the X-ray emission shows a gradual softening in the few days before the dramatic jet changes, followed by a more rapid softening ∼1–2 d after the onset of the jet quenching.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Accreting stellar-mass black holes (BHs) in X-ray binaries (XRBs) are able to launch powerful, collimated outflows, or jets, which dominate the observed emission at radio to infrared (IR) wavelengths. While there is an observable connection between the processes of accretion and jet production in BH XRBs (e.g. Corbel et al. 2004; Fender, Belloni & Gallo 2004), at present, precisely how these jets are launched and the detailed coupling with the accretion flow remain important yet poorly understood astrophysical questions.

During periods of increased mass accretion on to the BH, XRBs go through phases of bright outburst where they evolve through their distinct modes of accretion on time-scales of weeks to months (or even years). During such outbursts, the observed properties of the jets change dramatically (e.g. Fender 2006). Therefore, simultaneous multiwavelength observations of these systems that monitor both the jets and the accretion flow (observed at optical, X-ray, and higher frequencies) during their outbursts provide a unique view of the accretion–jet evolution, probing the structural changes in the jet and helping to constrain the underlying jet physics (e.g. Corbel et al. 2000, 2003; Markoff, Nowak & Wilms 2005; Chaty, Dubus & Raichoor 2011; Russell et al. 2014b; Ceccobello et al. 2018).

In an outburst, BH XRBs are initially in a hard X-ray spectral state (see Belloni 2010 for a review of the X-ray accretion states), where the X-ray emission is dominated by a power-law component from inverse Compton scattering by hot electrons in the innermost regions (e.g. Narayan & Yi 1995). As the accretion rate increases, the source transitions towards a soft X-ray spectral state, first moving through the hard–intermediate and soft–intermediate states (HIMS and SIMS, respectively). This evolution occurs as the X-ray spectrum becomes progressively more dominated by soft X-ray emission from the accretion disc, while the hard power-law component becomes steeper (softens), due to either the emission region contracting (Kara et al. 2019) or a change in the spectrum as the jet evolves (e.g. Plotkin, Gallo & Jonker 2013). After a few weeks to months (or even years), the mass accretion rate drops and the outburst begins to fade. The XRB then transitions back through the intermediate states to the hard state as the disc cools and the power-law component begins to dominate once again.

Over the transition between the different accretion states, the observed jet properties change dramatically. The hard state is characterized by a steady, partially self-absorbed compact jet (e.g. Corbel et al. 2000; Dhawan, Mirabel & Rodríguez 2000; Fender 2001; Stirling et al. 2001; Fender et al. 2004). Observed from radio
to IR wavelengths, the compact jet exhibits a flat-to-inverted radio to mm spectrum ($\alpha \gtrsim 0$, where the observed flux density, $S$, scales with frequency, $\nu$, such that $S \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$; e.g. Fender 2001). The flat spectrum extends up to $\sim 10^{13}$ Hz (e.g. Corbel & Fender 2002; Russell et al. 2013a), above which the jet becomes optically thin and the spectrum is steep ($\alpha \approx -0.6$; e.g. Russell et al. 2013a). This jet spectral break corresponds to the most compact region in the jet where particle acceleration begins (the first acceleration zone; e.g. Markoff, Falcke & Fender 2001; Corbel & Fender 2002; Markoff et al. 2005; Markoff 2010; Romero et al. 2017). The frequency ($\nu_{\text{break}}$) and flux density of the jet spectral break are likely set by internal jet plasma properties, and its frequency scales with its distance from the BH (with higher frequencies probing regions closer to the BH; Blandford & Königl 1979). Determining the break’s location and evolution can help to reveal key properties of the jet outflow (e.g. Heinz & Sunyaev 2003; Chaty et al. 2011; Polko, Meier & Markoff 2014; Russell et al. 2014b; Cecchobello et al. 2018).

In the early phase of a typical outburst, as the source transitions through the hard and then the intermediate states, the jet break is believed to gradually shift to lower frequencies, eventually moving through the radio band. One interpretation of this evolution is that the particle-accelerating region moves away from the BH$^1$ (e.g. Chaty et al. 2011; Russell et al. 2014b; Kylafis & Reig 2018), due to changes in the jet internal properties that in turn dictate where particle acceleration happens (e.g. Malzac 2014). However, the detailed behaviour of the jet break during the rise has not yet been well determined, where the evolution of $\nu_{\text{break}}$ during the outburst rise has only been inferred from observations of the radio spectrum changing from flat to steep as the jet break moves from above to below the radio band (e.g. Corbel et al. 2013a), van der Horst et al. (2013) presented radio monitoring of MAXI J1659–152 showing that a single power law did not well represent the radio data. Their results suggested that the spectral break was moving from higher frequencies into the radio band and back to higher frequencies on ∼day time-scales as the source transitioned back and forth between the HIMS, SIMS, and soft states.

At some point during the transition from the hard to soft state, the compact jet emission switches off (e.g. Fender et al. 2004), being quenched by at least 3.5 orders of magnitude (Russell et al. 2019). $\nu_{\text{break}}$ evolving to lower frequencies signals the progressive quenching of the higher energy emission from the compact jet (Miller-Jones et al. 2012; Corbel et al. 2013a; Russell et al. 2014b). However, the complete evolution has not been directly observed. Around the transition to the soft state, a bright, transient jet can also be launched (e.g. Mirabel & Rodríguez 1994; Hjellming & Rupen 1995; Tingay et al. 1995), which is characterized by rapid flaring and an optically thin radio spectrum (Hjellming & Rupen 1995; Fender et al. 1999; Fender 2001). While no compact jet is observed in the soft state, residual radio emission may be observed from ejecta launched from the system around the state transition (transient jet; e.g. Corbel et al. 2002, 2004; Fender et al. 2004; Russell et al. 2019; Bright et al. 2020). Additionally, from IR monitoring of the BH XRB 4U 1543–47, Russell et al. (2020) reported an IR flare as the source briefly returned to the SIMS from the soft state. This flare suggested that the compact jet emission switched back on during this brief (∼5 d) return to the SIMS, before the source transitioned back to the soft state.

Towards the end of an outburst, the source moves back towards the hard state and the compact jet gradually re-establishes over a period of several weeks (Kalemci et al. 2013; Russell et al. 2014b). The jet first brightens at lower frequencies before brightening at IR and optical frequencies (Miller-Jones et al. 2012; Corbel et al. 2013b). This progressive brightening is associated with X-ray spectral hardening, where $\nu_{\text{break}}$ has been observed to shift to higher frequencies, first through the radio band (as shown by the radio spectrum evolving from steep to flat/inverted; e.g. Corbel et al. 2013b), and then gradually up to IR frequencies (Russell et al. 2013b, 2014b). The best sampled evolution of $\nu_{\text{break}}$ to date was observed during this phase of an outburst from MAXI J1836–194, where the break was observed to shift gradually by ∼3 orders of magnitude from low to higher frequencies over ∼6 weeks, possibly connected to changes in the source hardness (Russell et al. 2014b).

MAXI J1535–571 is a Galactic BH candidate XRB that was first discovered in 2017 September, in the early phase of its ∼1-yr-long outburst (Markwardt et al. 2017; Negoro et al. 2017). This outburst was extensively monitored at radio (Chauhan et al. 2019; Parikh et al. 2019; Russell et al. 2019), sub-mm (Tetarenko et al. 2017b), IR, and optical (Baglio et al. 2018), and X-ray (Huang et al. 2018; Nakahira et al. 2018; Stevens et al. 2018; Tao et al. 2018; Bhargava et al. 2019; Sreehari et al. 2019, Sridhar et al. 2019) wavelengths. See Tao et al. (2018) and Nakahira et al. (2018) for a full discussion on the X-ray states during the outburst. MAXI J1535–571 is located 4.1$^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$ kpc away (determined via an H I absorption study; Chauhan et al. 2019).

In this work, we present six epochs of quasi-simultaneous (typically ±0.5 d)$^2$ multiwavelength radio, mm, IR, optical, and X-ray observations of MAXI J1535–571 as it softened through the HIMS and transitioned into the SIMS. To understand the full evolution of the jet and how it is connected to the accretion flow, we model the broad-band spectral evolution of this source. For the first time, we have been able to track the time evolution of the jet spectral break during the rise of an outburst. Our results show a sudden decrease in $\nu_{\text{break}}$ close to the HIMS to SIMS transition, indicating the onset of rapid compact jet quenching. We discuss the physical implications that arise from this rapid evolution.

2 OBSERVATIONS

2.1 Radio observations

MAXI J1535–571 was monitored in the radio band by the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) throughout its 2017/2018 outburst and rebrightenings (project codes C2601 and C3057, PI: Russell). See Russell et al. (2019) and Parikh et al. (2019) for the full details of the complete ATCA monitoring of the source during this outburst. In this paper, we used only the radio observations that had quasi-simultaneous sub-mm, IR, and optical observations to infer the evolution of the jet spectral break as the source evolved. Therefore, we discuss six ATCA observations taken between 2017 September 12 and 21 (MJD 58008–58018), during the outburst rise. All observations were taken at central frequencies of 5.5 and 9.0 GHz, 17.0 and 19.0 GHz, or at all four frequencies, where each frequency pair (5.5/9 or 17/19 GHz) was recorded simultaneously. Errors on the absolute flux density scale include conservative systematic uncertainties of 4 percent (see e.g. Murphy et al. 2010; Partridge et al. 2016).

To explore rapid intra-observational source variability during the September 17 ATCA radio observation, we used UVMULTIFIT (Marí-

---

1 A change in the location of the jet spectral break has also been inferred in the neutron star XRB Aquila X-1 (Díaz Trigo et al. 2018).

2 While this was adhered to at times of rapid changes, at times where the jet and accretion properties were not evolving as rapidly, observations were within 1 d.
Vidal et al. 2014). Fitting for a point source in the $uv$-plane, we explored flux density variations of the source down to 2 min timescales, separating the 5.5 and 9 GHz data into equally spaced 512 MHz sub-bands, and the 17 and 19 GHz data into 1 GHz sub-bands. For these data, uncertainties were estimated by treating the inner two 17/19 GHz scans of the secondary calibrator as a target and comparing the flux densities of those ‘target’ scans to the calibrator scans. For each of those two scans, the flux density remained within 0.5 per cent of the expected value. Therefore, we apply a 0.5 per cent relative uncertainty to the intra-observational data on September 17. All radio data used in this work are tabulated in Table A1.

2.2 Millimetre/sub-millimetre observations

The Atacama Large Millimetre/submillimetre Array (ALMA) observed MAXI J1535−571 (PI: Tetarenko, project code: 2016.1.00925.T) on 2017 September 11 (MJD 58007.9017–58007.9711) and September 21/22 (MJD 58017.9296–58018.0319 and MJD 58018.9272–58018.9641). Data were taken sequentially in Bands 3, 4, and 6, at central frequencies of 97.5, 145, and 236 GHz, respectively. The ALMA correlator was set up to yield $4 \times 2$ GHz wide base bands. During our observations, the 12-m array was in its Cycle 4 C8 configuration, with between 39 and 45 antennas, spending $\sim 9.8/12.1/18.9$ min total on the target source in Bands 3, 4, and 6. The median precipitable water vapour during the observations was 1.01 mm on September 11 and 1.26 mm on September 21/22. We reduced and imaged the data with the Common Astronomy Software Application package (CASA, version 5.1.1; McMullin et al. 2007), using standard procedures outlined in the CASA Guides for ALMA data reduction. We used J1617−5848, J1427−4206, and J2056−4714 as bandpass and flux calibrators, and J1631−5256 as a phase calibrator for all the observations. To image the continuum emission, we performed multifrequency synthesis imaging on the data with the tclean task within CASA, with natural weighting to maximize sensitivity. Multiple rounds of phase-only self-calibration were implemented, down to solution intervals of 20 s. We measured flux densities of the source by fitting a point source in the image plane (with the imfit task).

For the September 12 and 21 ALMA data, the sub-mm emission was variable during the observation. This variability arises from short time-scale brightening and fading of the flat-spectrum compact jet. To account for the full range of flux densities observed, we applied errors of $\pm 10, 15$, and 20 mJy to the 97.5, 145, and 236 GHz ALMA data on September 12, respectively. On September 21, we applied errors of 10 and 3 mJy to the 97.5 and 145 GHz data, respectively. These errors are larger than the expected 5 per cent uncertainty for ALMA bands $<350$ GHz. All ALMA mm/sub-mm fluxes are tabulated in Table A1.

2.3 Near-IR and optical monitoring

Baglio et al. (2018) presented and discussed dense optical, near-IR, and mid-IR monitoring of MAXI J1535−571 during the rise phase of its outburst. In this paper, we take optical and IR observations that were close in time (typically $\pm 0.5$ d) to our radio and sub-mm observations. All IR and optical data were dereddened with an $N_{H}$ of $(3.84 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ (see Baglio et al. 2018 for full details). Data used are provided in Table A1.

2.4 X-ray observations

The Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory X-ray telescope (XRT) monitored MAXI J1535−571 every $\sim 1–2$ d during the rise of the 2017 outburst. For full details of the Swift-XRT monitoring, see Tao et al. (2018). In this work, we only used the observations closest in time to our ATCA monitoring (± 0.5 d). Swift-XRT data were prepared, extracted, and analysed with the standard tools in HEASOFT (version 6.25). We ran the xrtpipeline to apply the newest calibration. The target source was extracted with xselect (version 2.4) with a box along the WT read-out strip with a length of 35 (82.506 arcsec). The inner 20 pixels (47.146 arcsec) were excluded in order to eliminate pile-up. The background was extracted with an annulus region centred on the source, with radii of 46 pixels (108.436 arcsec) and 100 pixels (235.731 arcsec).

We note that there appeared to be some discrepancy between spectral results from different X-ray telescopes, in particular an offset in the slope of the X-ray photon index and normalization between Swift-XRT, NuSTAR (Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array), and XMM–Newton X-ray telescopes (for further discussions, see e.g. Kolehmainen, Done & Díaz Trigo 2014; Ingram et al. 2016; Ludlam et al. 2016; Sanna et al. 2017; van den Eijnden et al. 2017). However, the evolution trend of each parameter was the same regardless of the X-ray telescope. Therefore, as we focus on relative changes to the accretion flow properties, our key findings remain unchanged across all X-ray telescopes. Due to its high observing cadence and more complete monitoring, in this work we used X-ray observations taken with Swift-XRT.

3 BROAD-BAND SPECTRAL MODELLING

For the six epochs with quasi-simultaneous, multiwavelength coverage, the broad-band spectral energy distribution (SED) was modelled with the Interactive Spectral Interpretation System (ISIS, version 1.6.2-35; Houck & Denicola 2000). We fit the radio to IR data with a broken power law (representing the optically thick and thin synchrotron emission from the compact jet); we include an artificial exponential cut-off so as not to assume that the optically thin synchrotron emission from the jet contributes to the X-ray emission. The X-ray data were modelled with an absorbed irradiated inner and outer disc (Gierliński, Done & Page 2009). The full multiwavelength model is tbnw* (highcutoff*bknpower+diskir).

The data were fit with theISIS implementation of a Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm, based on the PYTHON package by Foreman-Mackey et al. (2013). We use 20 walkers per free parameter and evolve the chain for 10 000 loops, taking the first 4000 to be the ‘burn-in’ period to ensure convergence of the algorithm. We found that this was roughly the time required for the chain to converge, which we define as the point past which the posterior distribution of the parameters stops evolving, and the acceptance rate of the chain stabilizes. We define the best-fitting values as the median of the one-dimensional posterior distribution, and the 1σ uncertainties as the intervals of the posterior distribution in which 68 per cent of the walkers are found. Fits are shown in Fig. 1, with best-fitting parameters given in Table 1.

The broad-band data from each epoch were fit independently, with the exception of the optically thin jet spectral index, $\alpha_{\text{thin}}$, which was tied across the epochs from September 12 to 16, and the fraction of disc luminosity reprocessed in the outer disc, $f_{\text{out}}$, which was tied
the HIMS, while the source was in the SIMS on September 21 (Tao et al. 2018). The solid lines represent the broad-band models for each epoch, where different colours depict different observational epochs. The horizontal bars at the top of the figure show the full ranges of $v_{\text{break}}$ for the given dates. Fit residuals are shown in the lower panel. Parameters are provided in Table 1. This plot highlights the rapid change to the jet around September 17, after it had remained relatively steady for the previous ~5d. On September 17, however, $v_{\text{break}}$ rapidly decreased by ~3 orders of magnitude in frequency, residing within the radio band. For plotting purposes only, the plotted X-ray data have been de-absorbed.

Table 1. Best-fitting parameters from the broad-band radio-to-X-ray modelling of MAXI J1535−571 (Fig. 1). The September 12–17 epochs were taken during the HIMS, while the source was in the SIMS on September 21 (Tao et al. 2018). $\alpha_{\text{thick}}$ is the spectral index of the optically thick synchrotron emission, while $\alpha_{\text{thin}}$ is the index of the optically thin synchrotron emission. $v_{\text{break}}$ is the frequency of the jet spectral break, $kT_{\text{disc}}$ is the disc temperature, $\Gamma$ is the photon index of the high-energy X-ray emission, and $L_d/L_{\text{accretion}}$ is the fraction of the luminosity emitted in the corona to the total accretion flow luminosity. $f_{\text{out}}$ is the fraction of disc luminosity reprocessed in the outer disc, was tied across all epochs providing a best-fitting value of $1.6^{+2.9}_{-0.8} \times 10^{-7}$. $N_H$, the line-of-sight equivalent hydrogen column density, was also tied across all epochs, giving a best-fitting value of $5.15^{+0.03}_{-0.02} \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. All quoted uncertainties are 1σ. The fits are shown in Fig. 1. The best-fitting statistic is $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 2785.075/1490 = 1.87.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\text{thick}}$</th>
<th>$v_{\text{break}}$ (Hz)</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\text{thin}}$</th>
<th>$kT_{\text{disc}}$ (keV)</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$L_d/L_{\text{accretion}}$</th>
<th>$\log_{10}(N_H)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017 September 12</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.02</td>
<td>$\pm 0.83 \pm 0.09$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.234 \pm 0.004$</td>
<td>$\pm 1.74 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 September 14</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>$(1.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.83 \pm 0.09$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.245 \pm 0.003$</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 September 15</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.02</td>
<td>$(7.2 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.83 \pm 0.09$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.236 \pm 0.003$</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 September 16</td>
<td>$-0.010 \pm 0.005$</td>
<td>$(3.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.83 \pm 0.09$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.244 \pm 0.003$</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 September 17b</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.01</td>
<td>$(7.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.26 \pm 0.06$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.241 \pm 0.003$</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 September 21c</td>
<td>$\pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$\leq 4.5 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.52 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.09 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.01</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\alpha_{\text{thick}}$, $\alpha_{\text{thin}}$, and $v_{\text{break}}$ were determined from our detailed radio analysis (see Section 4.2), although we note that leaving these parameters free in our broadband modelling produced similar results.

Figure 1. Broad-band multiwavelength modelling of MAXI J1535−571 during the rise phase of its 2017 outburst (as the source transitioned from the HIMS to the SIMS; states identified in the legend). The horizontal bars at the top of the figure show the full ranges of $v_{\text{break}}$ for the given dates. Fit residuals are shown in the lower panel. Parameters are provided in Table 1. This plot highlights the rapid change to the jet around September 17, after it had remained relatively steady for the previous ~5d. On September 17, however, $v_{\text{break}}$ rapidly decreased by ~3 orders of magnitude in frequency, residing within the radio band. For plotting purposes only, the plotted X-ray data have been de-absorbed.

Table 1. Best-fitting parameters from the broad-band radio-to-X-ray modelling of MAXI J1535−571 (Fig. 1). The September 12–17 epochs were taken during the HIMS, while the source was in the SIMS on September 21 (Tao et al. 2018). $\alpha_{\text{thick}}$ is the spectral index of the optically thick synchrotron emission, while $\alpha_{\text{thin}}$ is the index of the optically thin synchrotron emission. $v_{\text{break}}$ is the frequency of the jet spectral break, $kT_{\text{disc}}$ is the disc temperature, $\Gamma$ is the photon index of the high-energy X-ray emission, and $L_d/L_{\text{accretion}}$ is the fraction of the luminosity emitted in the corona to the total accretion flow luminosity. $f_{\text{out}}$ is the fraction of disc luminosity reprocessed in the outer disc, was tied across all epochs providing a best-fitting value of $1.6^{+2.9}_{-0.8} \times 10^{-7}$. $N_H$, the line-of-sight equivalent hydrogen column density, was also tied across all epochs, giving a best-fitting value of $5.15^{+0.03}_{-0.02} \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. All quoted uncertainties are 1σ. The fits are shown in Fig. 1. The best-fitting statistic is $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 2785.075/1490 = 1.87.
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<td>5.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 September 14</td>
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<td>$(1.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.83 \pm 0.09$</td>
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<td>2017 September 17b</td>
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<td>$\pm 0.26 \pm 0.06$</td>
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<td>$\leq 4.5 \times 10^{9}$</td>
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$\alpha_{\text{thick}}$, $\alpha_{\text{thin}}$, and $v_{\text{break}}$ were determined from our detailed radio analysis (see Section 4.2), although we note that leaving these parameters free in our broadband modelling produced similar results.

For this final multiwavelength epoch, the radio and sub-mm emission originated from optically thin synchrotron emission from the transient jet (Russell et al. 2019).
of the corona was fixed at $T_\text{e} = 100$ keV. The remaining parameters of disnkir were frozen to $R_\text{in} = 1.2$, $f_\text{in} = 0.1$, and $\log(R_\text{out}) = 4.5$. In principle, the Compton component from disnkir can be made up of thermal electrons, non-thermal electrons, or synchrotron self-Compton (due to the presence of a magnetic field). In this work, we do not attempt to distinguish or identify the contribution from different Compton components. Additionally, due to the high line-of-sight absorption, the companion was not detected. Therefore, the IR and optical excess, which exceeded the jet contribution, is due to irradiation of the inner and outer accretion disc.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Source evolution

During the first epoch of multiwavelength monitoring (on 2017 September 12), MAXI J1535−571 was in the HIMS with a relatively hard X-ray spectrum ($\Gamma \approx 1.74^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$) and a flat to slightly inverted radio spectrum (Table 1). The radio, mm, and IR broad-band data indicated that during this epoch the optically thick synchrotron emission extended beyond the mm band, such that $v_\text{break} \approx 10^{13}$ Hz.

Our next four multiwavelength epochs were taken on consecutive days, running from 2017 September 14 to 17. For all of these, the source remained within the HIMS. While the X-ray spectrum had softened from the first multiwavelength epoch, during these four consecutive observations the X-ray photon index remained relatively steady ($\Gamma \approx 1.85$−1.95), and, aside from the first epoch, the disc temperature was also stable (where $kT_{\text{disc}} \approx 0.24$ keV). Between September 14 and 16, the compact jet appeared steady, with $\alpha_\text{thick}$ remaining flat to slightly inverted and $v_\text{break}$ around $\approx 10^{13}$ Hz. However, for the September 17 epoch, we observed a sudden and dramatic change in the jet emission. Our observations showed $v_\text{break}$ had decreased to $7.9^{+1.0}_{-1.4} \times 10^{12}$ Hz, lying within the radio band with evidence that it was decreasing throughout the radio observation (see Section 4.2).

For our final multiwavelength epoch on 2017 September 21, MAXI J1535−571 had transitioned into the SIMS (Tao et al. 2018). The X-ray spectrum had softened ($\Gamma \approx 2.17$ ± 0.01). During this epoch, we detect only steep spectrum radio emission originating from a discrete ejection associated with the transient jet (see Russell et al. 2019 for further details).

4.2 $v_\text{break}$ within the radio band on 2017 September 17

The radio spectrum on 2017 September 17 was not well represented by a single power law. To investigate this further, we performed a more detailed timing and frequency analysis of these radio data, where we determined the flux densities of the target on 2 min time intervals, and on finer frequency scales (512 MHz sub-bands for the 5.5 and 9 GHz data, and 1 GHz sub-bands for the 17 and 19 GHz radio data; see Appendix B for the full table of results from the short-time interval study). This analysis shows that during our September 17 radio observation the 4.5−6.5 GHz radio emission was inverted, such that $\alpha_{4.5\text{GHz}} \approx 0.2$ (Fig. 2). While the 4.5−6.5 GHz emission was time variable, the variability did not show any clear trends in time or across different frequency bands. At the same time, the 8−10 GHz emission exhibited a steep radio spectrum ($\alpha \approx -0.27$) and the emission appeared to fade towards the end of the 15 min observation (from $\approx 143$ to $\approx 130$ mJy). The steep spectrum 16−20 GHz emission appeared steady for the first part of the observation, but was observed to be fading steadily during the second part. To check that the variability and observed radio spectrum were intrinsic to the source, for the 4.5−6.5 and 8−10 GHz observations we compared our results to a comparison source that was within

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{Intra-observation variability of MAXI J1535−571 on 2017 September 17, where each frequency band was separated into finer frequency sub-bands. To show that the observed changes (both in time and in frequency) were intrinsic to the source and not instrumental, we show the emission from a comparison/check source. The top panel shows the target flux densities recorded in the 5.5 GHz band, which was separated into 500 MHz sub-bands. The second panel provides the 5.5 GHz band flux densities of a nearby field source, which was broken into 1 GHz sub-bands (due to the lower source brightness than the target). The third and fourth panels are same as the first two panels but for the 9 GHz observations, respectively. The fifth panel presents the 17 and 19 GHz radio observing bands separated into 1 GHz sub-bands. The sixth panel provides the flux densities of two scans of the phase calibrator when treated as the target, where the symbols and colours are the same as the fifth panel. The seventh and eighth panels show the spectral index for the 4.5−6.5 and >8 GHz radio data, respectively. The intraband spectral and time variability implies that there was a spectral break within the radio band during this observation, and that break was decreasing in frequency over time.}
\end{figure}

\footnotetext[5]{\(R_\text{in}\) is the radius of the illuminated disc in terms of the inner disc radius, \(f_\text{in}\) is the fraction of the Compton luminosity thermalized in the inner disc, and $\log(R_\text{out})$ is the log of the outer disc radius in terms of the inner disc radius. The set values are typical values for these parameters.}
the field⁶ and did not detect similar behaviour. For the 16–20 GHz observations, we did not detect this check source, or any others in the field. Therefore, to test whether the observed variability was intrinsic to MAXI J1535–571 we recalibrated the data treating the inner two scans of the phase calibrator as target scans. As shown in Fig. 2, these two ‘target’ scans remained steady, indicating that the variability was related to MAXI J1535–571 (see Section 2.1 for further details). The shape of the radio spectrum and behaviour of the radio emission during our September 17 ATCA observation implied that the jet spectral break was within or between the 4.5–6.5 and 8–10 GHz observing bands and decreasing in frequency during the September 17 radio observation (Fig. 3 and Table 2).

There was a mid-IR VISIR (J8.9 band, 3.44 × 10¹³ Hz) observation taken ≈12 h before our radio observation on 2017 September 17 (observed between 00:52 and 01:27 UT; see Baglio et al. 2018). This bright mid-IR detection had a dereddened integrated flux density of 141 ± 12 mJy, which indicated that the jet was still bright at mid-IR frequencies (~3 × 10¹³ Hz) at this time. However, this mid-IR emission faded from ~180 to ~110 mJy over the 35 min observation (see fig. 3 in Baglio et al. 2018), implying that the IR and optical jet emission was rapidly fading. As a check, assuming that this mid-IR emission was decaying exponentially, fitting and extrapolating the VISIR flux densities to the time of our radio observation suggests that we would expect no jet contribution at mid-IR frequencies by the time of our radio observation. Therefore, we do not include this mid-IR data point in our multiwavelength fit for the September 17 data; instead, we chose to include it in our September 16 data, when the compact jet was still on. Baglio et al. (2018) reported a 45 ± 5 mJy dereddened M-band (6.19 × 10¹³ Hz) detection of the source ~11 h after our September 17 radio epoch. While the detected IR emission at this epoch had faded considerably, there may be some contribution from a jet. However, the authors suggest that the observed IR variations detected appear to be associated with an intermittent jet or flaring from the jet base, and not the steady compact jet detected in the days before (see Baglio et al. 2018 for further discussion). These two mid-IR observations taken ~11–12 h before and after our radio observation show the jet had faded at IR frequencies over this time, in agreement with our radio results, although the jet base may have been flaring.

We note that the rapid radio variability during our 2017 September 17 epoch will have had some effect on our SED modelling results. However, the key finding of the break residing in the radio band remains.

5 DISCUSSION

With our multiwavelength observations of MAXI J1535–571, we detected the rapid evolution of the compact jet during the HIMS as the source transitioned to the SIMS. In particular, our observations show νbreak decreased suddenly as the source transitioned from the hard to soft states. In this section, we discuss the implications of this finding for how the compact jet emission is believed to quench.

5.1 Time evolution of νbreak

Previously, the time evolution of νbreak during the rise phase of an outburst has only been implied by the change from an optically thick

⁶The nearby field source is located at right ascension 15h35m11s462 and declination: −57°10′46.3″ (~160 arcsec to the north-north-west of MAXI J1535–571).
to optically thin radio spectrum, or the rate at which the IR emission fades as the source transits from the hard state to the soft state (through the intermediate states). IR rates of decay have suggested an evolution of $\sim 1$–2 weeks (see Jain et al. 2001; Saikia et al. 2019).

With our radio-to-IR coverage, we have been able to accurately track the evolution of $\nu_{\text{break}}$ as the source moved from the HIMS to the SIMS. Our observations show that $\nu_{\text{break}}$ decreased in frequency by $\sim 3$ orders of magnitude (evolving from $\sim 10^{13}$ to $\sim 10^{16}$ Hz) in <24 h (Table 1 and Fig. 1). In fact, the bright, jet-dominated mid-IR detection $\sim 12$ h before our September 17 radio observation suggests that the jet break evolved from the IR to radio band in $\sim 12$ h or less, implying a minimum rate of $\sim 1$ order of magnitude in frequency in <4 h. However, detailed time and frequency analysis of our September 17 radio observation shows $\nu_{\text{break}}$ within the radio band, where we were able to place more stringent constraints, estimating $\nu_{\text{break}}$ to be decreasing at a rate of $\sim 1.8$ GHz over the $\sim 15$ min observation (which approximates to one order of magnitude in 1.4 h assuming it was decreasing linearly with time).

To estimate the rate at which $\nu_{\text{break}}$ was evolving within the radio, within ISIS, we fit a broken power law to each 2 min time segment of the strictly simultaneous 4.5–10 GHz data (Fig. 3 and Table 2). The changes in the radio data imply that $\nu_{\text{break}}$ decreased by $1.83_{-0.45}^{+0.50}$ GHz over the 15 min observation (Fig. 3 and Table 2).

Recent studies have inferred rapid changes of $\nu_{\text{break}}$ in two other BH candidates, MAXI J1659–152 (van der Horst et al. 2013) and GX 339–4 (Gandhi et al. 2011). In the 2010 outburst of MAXI J1659–152, the jet spectral break was found to be intermittently in the observed radio bands and at (unobserved) higher frequencies, as the source switched back and forth between the SIMS and HIMS, before settling into the soft state. In one such oscillation, it was possible to infer that $\nu_{\text{break}}$ decreased by at least one order of magnitude in less than 3 d (van der Horst et al. 2013). However, $\nu_{\text{break}}$ could not be more precisely constrained at all the epochs in which it fell above the radio bands, because of the lack of mm and IR observations. For GX 339–4, the IR spectrum in the $10^{13}$–$10^{14}$ GHz band changed from optically thick to optically thin between two Wide-Field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) observations taken 1.58 h apart in 2010 (Gandhi et al. 2011). This spectral change implies that $\nu_{\text{break}}$ decreased by more than one order of magnitude in <1.5 h, but in that case, too, it was not possible to constrain the rate more precisely because there were no observations above and below the WISE band.

With our multiband study of MAXI J1535–571, we have identified an extremely rapid rate of change of $\nu_{\text{break}}$, equivalent to one order of magnitude in $\sim 1.4$ h, and a total of three orders of magnitude in $\sim 3$ orders of magnitude (evolving from $\sim 10^{13}$ to $\sim 10^{16}$ Hz) in $\sim 1$ d. Most importantly, we have been able to, for the first time, pinpoint its location before, during, and after such a rapid transition.

### 5.2 Radius and magnetic field of the particle acceleration zone

As outlined by Chaty et al. (2011), following Rybicki & Lightman (1979) and Longair (2011), with the frequency and flux density of the jet spectral break we can estimate the radius ($R_F$) and magnetic field strength ($B_F$) of the first acceleration zone, which is where particle acceleration begins (Fig. 4). Assuming equipartition between the particle energy and magnetic field energy density, $B_F$ and $R_F$ can be approximated using the frequency and flux density of the spectral break, such that

$$B_F \propto S_{\nu_b}^{-2/(2p+1)} \nu_b^{-1} \quad (1)$$

and

$$R_F \propto S_{\nu_b}^{(p+b)/(2p+1)} \nu_b^{-1} \quad (2)$$

### Table 2. Best-fitting parameters from the broken power-law fits of the 2 min interval data from the 2017 September 17 ATCA radio data (shown in Fig. 3). Here, we report the spectral indices and $\nu_{\text{break}}$ for each time segment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epoch (MJD)</th>
<th>Time (UT)</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\text{thick}}$ (GHz)</th>
<th>$\nu_{\text{break}}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\text{thin}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58013.5494</td>
<td>13:11:09</td>
<td>$0.18 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$8.6 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$-0.25 \pm 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58013.5508</td>
<td>13:13:09</td>
<td>$0.19 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$8.52 \pm 0.15$</td>
<td>$-0.26 \pm 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58013.5522</td>
<td>13:15:09</td>
<td>$0.19 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$8.25_{-0.15}^{+0.25}$</td>
<td>$-0.19 \pm 0.04$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58013.5536</td>
<td>13:17:09</td>
<td>$0.24 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$7.90 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$-0.27 \pm 0.03$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58013.5550</td>
<td>13:19:09</td>
<td>$0.24 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$7.66_{-0.15}^{+0.15}$</td>
<td>$-0.23 \pm 0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58013.5564</td>
<td>13:21:09</td>
<td>$0.27 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$7.40 \pm 0.15$</td>
<td>$-0.24 \pm 0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58013.5575</td>
<td>13:22:50</td>
<td>$0.13 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$6.77_{-0.30}^{+0.25}$</td>
<td>$-0.17 \pm 0.02$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where $\nu_b$ is the break frequency, $S_{\nu,b}$ is the flux density at the break frequency, and $p$ is the slope of the electron energy spectrum, such that $p = 1 - 2\alpha_{\text{min}}$. See Appendix C for the full equations.

From equations (1) and (2), our broad-band modelling implies that during the HIMS when the compact jet appeared to be relatively steady, $R_F \sim 10^4 - 10^5 R_g$ and $B_F \sim 10^3 G$ (Fig. 4). Then, as the compact jet began to rapidly fade during the early stages of the jet being quenched, $R_F$ and $B_F$ changed significantly in the space of $\approx 1$ d. Between September 16 and 17, we estimate that $R_F$ increased from $\sim 10^4 R_g$ to $\sim 10^7 R_g$ (assuming a $10 M_\odot$ BH). Over the same time, $B_F$ decreased from $\sim 10^4$ to $\sim 10^3 G$.

MAXI J1836–194 is the only other case where the time evolution of $R_F$ and $B_F$ has been determined (Russell et al. 2014b), in that case during the outburst decay. In that system, the compact jet was observed to re-establish after the peak of the outburst; hence, while the values of $R_F$ and $B_F$ are comparable, their evolution was reversed. However, in MAXI J1836–194 that evolution occurred over a period of $\sim 6$ weeks, as opposed to the $\lesssim 1$ d we determine for MAXI J1535–571.

5.2.1 Adiabatic and radiative cooling time-scales

From the magnetic field and radius estimates (Fig. 4), we can estimate the cooling (adiabatic and radiative) time-scales of the particles in the jet. Assuming a conical jet with a constant jet opening angle and expansion speed (of the ejected material) of the jet. Assuming a conical jet with a constant opening angle, the emission height above the BH $z = z_{\text{em}} = \frac{R_F}{\beta_{\text{exp}} c}$, we define the adiabatic time-scale as

$$t_{\text{ad}} = \frac{R_F}{\beta_{\text{exp}} c} = 0.48 \left( \frac{0.1}{\beta_{\text{exp}}} \right) \left( \frac{R_F}{10^4 R_g} \right) \left( \frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{10 M_\odot} \right) \text{s}, \tag{3}$$

where $R_F$ is the radius of the emitting region, $c$ is the speed of light, and $M_{\text{BH}}/10 M_\odot$ is the mass of the BH in units of $10 M_\odot$. For a conical jet with a constant opening angle, the emission height above the BH $z_{\text{em}} = R_f/\beta_{\text{exp}}$ (this can also be thought of as the light traveltime from the BH to the emitting region including a beaming factor). For a given magnetic field $B_F$, the synchrotron radiative time-scale is

$$t_{\text{syn}} = \frac{3 m_e c^2}{4 \sigma_T c U_{\gamma} \gamma^2} = \frac{6 \sigma_T m_e c}{\sigma_T B_F^2 \gamma} = 7.8 \left( \frac{10^4 G}{B_F} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \text{s}, \tag{4}$$

where $m_e$ is the mass of the electron, $\sigma_T$ is the Thomson cross-section, $U_{\gamma} = B_F^2/8 \pi$ is the magnetic energy density, and $\gamma$ is the electron Lorentz factor. We neglect inverse Compton losses for simplicity and due to lack of observational constraints, although we expect them to be small (Miller-Jones et al. 2004). Fig. 5 shows the evolution over all multiwavelength epochs. During September 12–16, both adiabatic and radiative time-scales are very short, as the optically thin emitting region is very close to the BH. However, this changes dramatically on September 17 as the break frequency shifts into the radio band. On this epoch, the time over which the compact jet was fading at higher frequencies was consistent with our estimates for the dynamical/adiabatic time-scales, while the radiative time-scales are longer by two to three orders of magnitude (even for modest electron Lorentz factors, $\gamma = 100$, where the Lorentz factors suggested by our calculated values of $B_F$ are $\sim 0.56$–$56$). This result implies that the evolution of $\nu_{\text{break}}$ and hence the high-energy quenching of the compact jet, was not driven by some local phenomena, like the details of particle acceleration. Instead, a more likely explanation is some major change in the internal properties of the jet, such that the particle acceleration region suddenly shifted away with the jet flow, possibly disconnected from the accretion flow.

On two separate occasions, radio observations of the BH XRB GRS 1915+105 have shown a similarly rapid shutting off of the compact jet emission. Punsly & Rodríguez (2016) analysed radio observations of the two events, taken $\sim 1$ yr apart with the Ryle Telescope. During both of these 15 GHz radio observations, the source was in its high plateau state, which is associated with the steady, compact jet. Separating the 4 h long radio observations into 32 s time intervals showed the radio emission fading rapidly from $\sim 100$ and $\sim 70$ mJy down to a few mJy in 2003 April and 2004 April, respectively. The decay of the radio emission was attributed to the turning off, or rapid reduction in power, of the jet emission, creating a discontinuity propagating outwards along the jet (Punsly & Rodríguez 2016). Such a scenario could result from the particle acceleration region rapidly shifting away from the central object, effectively switching off the compact jet emission in the same way our results suggest for MAXI J1535–571.

5.2.2 The optically thin synchrotron spectrum

The spectral indices we measured for the optically thin synchrotron emission (Table 1) are also suggestive of shorter synchrotron cooling time-scales during the first four epochs (September 12–16), and longer for the September 17 epoch.$^8$ For the first four epochs, $\alpha_{\text{min}} \approx -0.83$, while on September 17 $\alpha_{\text{min}} \approx -0.3$. The steeper indices during September 12–16 may indicate the presence of a second spectral break arising from the rapid radiative cooling of the synchrotron emitting electrons in the jet spectrum at $\sim$IR frequencies.

$^7$Emitting at 9 GHz.

$^8$Radio observations on September 21 show emission from the transient jet and not the compact jet.
(close to – or even below – the jet spectral break). This would result in the optically thin spectrum appearing steeper by $\alpha \sim 1/2$. Then, as the jet emission began to switch off (on September 17) and the cooling time-scales became much longer, the frequency of the synchrotron cooling break, $\nu_{\text{cool}}$, would be above the observed radio and sub-mm bands, such that $\alpha_{\text{rad}}$ would appear shallower (consistent with uncooled optically thin synchrotron emission). Our understanding of the location and evolution of $\nu_{\text{cool}}$ is not well constrained. Studies have suggested that it may lie in the ultraviolet (e.g. Plotkin et al. 2013), at optical/IR

spectrum then continued to soften considerably in the few days after, entering the SIMS $\sim1$–2 d after our September 17 epoch. These changes suggest that whatever was driving the changes to the hardness may also play a role in the launching of the compact jet. Speculatively, the increase of soft X-ray photons leading up to and triggering the state change could play a role in the quenching of the compact jet emission, possibly by cooling/depleting the corona such that it was no longer able to sustain the jet. Russell et al. (2014a) suggested variability, it is plausible for the synchrotron cooling break to lie at IR frequencies during our September 12–16 observations, evolving to higher energies as the source transitioned towards the HIMS (on September 17 and beyond). However, we are not able to place any constraints on its specific frequency during the observations presented here.

5.3 Connection to the X-ray emission

Interestingly, at the time that the jet dissipation region moved away, we did not detect any sudden and remarkable changes to the soft X-ray count rate, disc temperature, and X-ray photon index (Table 1), although the radio event was rapid, so we may have missed any clear but brief changes in the X-ray emission that occurred outside of the daily Swift X-ray observations. The closest-in-time Swift-XRT observation (which was used for our multiwavelength SED fitting) was taken $\sim10$ h before we detected the jet spectral break within the radio band. If directly connected, we may expect that those X-ray observations may already have shown a change as the IR observations around the same time as this X-ray observation indicate that the high-energy jet emission was already fading (see IR discussion in Section 4.2, and discussions in Baglio et al. 2018). We also compared our result to the Swift-XRT observation taken on September 18 (Tao et al. 2018), finding that while the disc temperature remained similar, the X-ray photon index did soften from $\Gamma = 1.87^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$ on September 17 to $\Gamma = 2.17^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$ on September 18 (results from Tao et al. 2018, to remain consistent) and the soft X-ray flux increased. The X-ray spectrum then continued to soften considerably in the few days after we detected the changes in the jet spectrum, transitioning from the HIMS to the SIMS between September 18 04:20 UT and September 19 08:52 UT (Tao et al. 2018).

High-cadence X-ray monitoring with the Neutron Star Interior Composition Explorer at the same time as the onset of the compact jet quenching (Stevens et al. 2018) showed similar results. The (1–10 keV) source hardness continued to decrease steadily and the (3–10 keV) X-ray variability remained approximately stable (with an rms between 15 and 12 percent) until $\sim1$ d after our September 17 radio observation ($\sim1.5$–2 d after the IR appeared to initially fade). Again, the soft X-ray variability and hardness also changed rapidly between September 18 and 20 (Stevens et al. 2018). Furthermore, a detailed analysis of the higher energy X-ray observations from AstroSat (Bhargava et al. 2019) showed a short-lived (half a day long) decrease in the 30–80 keV count rate on September 16 (although the change in count could be related to spectral shape evolution). Over the same time, there was an increase and then decrease in the X-ray quasi-periodic oscillation frequency, from 2.1 to 3 Hz (measured in the 3–80 keV band). However, these hard X-ray features occurred $\sim12$ h before the IR emission began to fade and $\sim1$ d before we detected $\nu_{\text{break}}$ within the radio band. While these events may be related, the expected time delay between the X-ray–IR ($\sim0.1$ s; see Gandhi et al. 2017; Russell et al. 2020) and X-ray–radio ($\sim30$ min; see Tetarenko et al. 2019) changes should be much shorter.

Therefore, from the X-ray monitoring available, the clearest change to the emission from the accretion flow seems to be related to the X-ray hardness: the X-ray spectrum softened gradually leading up to the jet changes before it softened considerably in the few days after, entering the SIMS $\sim1$–2 d after our September 17 epoch. These changes suggest that whatever was driving the changes to the hardness may also play a role in the launching of the compact jet. Speculatively, the increase of soft X-ray photons leading up to and triggering the state change could play a role in the quenching of the compact jet emission, possibly by cooling/depleting the corona such that it was no longer able to sustain the jet. Russell et al. (2014a) suggested a potential correlation between the location of $\nu_{\text{break}}$ and the source hardness. While we did not observe a correlation during the jet quenching, it is plausible that the onsets of these two events are connected but occur on different time-scales during the jet quenching and re-ignition phases; i.e. during the quenching phase the change occurs rapidly once the source reaches some critical X-ray hardness (or softness), while during the decay the change is more gradual as the corona builds up. A change in $\nu_{\text{break}}$ was observed in the neutron star XRB Aquila X-1,9 which may have also been connected to the X-ray spectral hardness of the source (Diaz Trigo et al. 2018). If such a connection is present, it would imply a similar process may be responsible for jet key changes in the jet acceleration in both neutron star and BH systems.

An empirical correlation between $\nu_{\text{break}}$ and the X-ray photon index, $\Gamma$, has been proposed for both accreting stellar-mass and supermassive BHs (Koljonen et al. 2015). This relation implies that the internal properties of the jet are connected to the conditions of the plasma close to the BH (see also, Kylafis & Reig 2018). Exploring this connection for MAXI J1535$-$571 shows that $\Gamma$ and $\nu_{\text{break}}$ from the first four multiwavelength epochs of MAXI J1535$-$571 (September 12–16) agree well with the broader population of BH XRBs and active galactic nuclei (AGNs, at lower values of $\Gamma$; Fig. 6). However, as the source softened (on September 17) and the radio jet began to rapidly evolve, $\nu_{\text{break}}$ appeared lower than expected for that value of $\Gamma$, which continued for our observation on September 21, when the break was below the radio band.10 Interestingly, our result for this epoch lies in the same region as the results determined for MAXI J1659$-$152 (van der Horst et al. 2013) during a similar phase of the outburst (Fig. 6), as opposed to the majority of the other measurements that have been taken during the outburst decay phase when the jet is re-igniting. The similarities in the evolution of $\nu_{\text{break}}$ for both MAXI J1535$-$571 and MAXI J1659$-$152 suggest that the process driving the changes may be the same between these two sources. However, we note that the relation proposed by Koljonen et al. (2015) used only observations with broad X-ray coverage (much greater than 10 keV) to ensure a well-constrained X-ray photon index. Our X-ray data were only taken between 0.5 and 10 keV and we find discrepancies in $\Gamma$ with some X-ray telescopes (but not others;
and quenching of the compact jet would be directly connected, such that the compact jet emission switches off when the corona disappears and a transient jet is launched. However, during the 2011 outburst of MAXI J1836−194, \( v_{\text{break}} \) was observed to shift to lower frequencies as the compact jet faded despite the system remaining in the hard/hard–intermediate X-ray spectral states with no ejection events (Russell et al. 2013b, 2014b, 2015). Similarly, despite showing similar \( v_{\text{break}} \) behaviour, no ejecta were launched from the BH XRB MAXI J1659−152 (Paragi et al. 2013). Radio monitoring also showed \( v_{\text{break}} \) to move down into the radio band before shifting back to higher frequencies (van der Horst et al. 2013), highlighting its non-linear evolution. IR observations of GX 339−4 (Gandi et al. 2011) also implied that \( v_{\text{break}} \) had shifted below and above the IR observing band rapidly. These results suggest that while the transient jet may well arise from the ejection of the corona, which may in turn quench the compact jet, the quenching of the compact jet does not strictly require the launching of the transient jet.

Alternatively, as discussed in Section 5.2.1, it may be that the compact jet emission switched off as the jet acceleration region disconnected from the system. If that was occurring, we might speculate that the transient jet ejection may be the now disconnected dissipation region propagating away from the system on the dynamical timescales of the flow. In such a scenario, for cases where the \( v_{\text{break}} \) was observed to evolve to lower and then back to higher frequencies (the acceleration regions moving away from and then back towards the BH; e.g. Gandhi et al. 2011; van der Horst et al. 2013), the acceleration region may not have completely disconnected from the flow, and variations in the flow of material into the jet base re-ignite the jet. As a source moves back out of a typical soft state (lasting a few weeks to months) where the jet emission was not detected, the particle acceleration region may have moved sufficiently far away from the system that it may recover in a different way, resulting in the gradual relaunching of the compact jet (e.g. Kalenici et al. 2013; Russell et al. 2014b). However, this scenario may be difficult to reconcile with multiple jet ejections that may be observed from BH XRBs (e.g. Mirabel & Rodríguez 1994; Fender et al. 1999; Tetarenko et al. 2017a; Miller-Jones et al. 2019). However, speculatively, multiple ejections could result from rapid relaunching and switching off of the compact jet, which could produce short IR flaring events that have been observed. High-resolution very long baseline interferometry tracking ejecta as they are launched or detailed radio monitoring (preferably sensitive to the low surface brightness temperatures of the expanding jet knots) tracking the ejecta as they propagate out (Russell et al. 2019; Bright et al. 2020) will be crucial to understanding whether these events are connected.

5.4 Connection to the transient jet

Close in time to the onset of the compact jet quenching, MAXI J1535−571 launched a transient jet (Russell et al. 2019), which produced bright optically thin radio flares a few days after our September 17 radio observations (Chauhan et al. 2019; Russell et al. 2019). Due to optical depth effects, the timing of the radio flares is not indicative of the flow of material down the jet (e.g. Fender, Homan & Belloni 2009; Miller-Jones et al. 2012). However, spatially tracking the jet knot as it propagated outwards from the system and extrapolating its motion back in time allowed the launching time to be constrained to between September 12 and 17 (where the extent is shown as the vertical dashed lines in Fig. 4). As such, the timing of the rapid compact jet quenching falls within the range determined for the launching of the transient jet indicating a possible connection between the two events.

Vadawale et al. (2003) suggested that the transient jet may arise from the ejection of the corona (see also discussions in Rodriguez, Corbel & Tomsick 2003). Naively, in this scenario the transient jet...
hard transition showed the radio spectrum gradually had evolved from steep to flat/inverted over ~1 week (see Parikh et al. 2019 for full details). While \( \nu_{\text{break}} \) was not constrained, this slow change to the radio spectrum indicated a more gradual evolution for the relaunching.

The only other system where the time evolution of \( \nu_{\text{break}} \) has been measured as it moved through different observing bands was during the hard-state decay of the 2011 outburst of the BH XRB MAXI J1836−194. Multiwavelength monitoring of that source indicated that \( \nu_{\text{break}} \) evolved from \( \sim 10^{11} \) to \( 10^{14} \) Hz (3 orders of magnitude) over a period of ~6 weeks as the source hardened during the outburst decay (Russell et al. 2013b, 2014b).

The contrast between the time-scales of the compact jet quenching and relaunching in this outburst is suggestive of a different mechanism driving these two processes. Such a difference may result in the observed difference in the radio/X-ray coupling during the hard-state rise and decay phases of an outburst (Islam & Zdziarski 2018; Koljonen & Russell 2019). We highlight that with reasonable constraints from only two sources, one quenching and the other relaunching, this observed behaviour may not represent the BH XRB population. In particular, the outburst of MAXI J1836−194 did not enter the soft state and the compact jet did not quench (Russell et al. 2015). Therefore, the slow evolution of \( \nu_{\text{break}} \) may have been related to jet recovery, as opposed to the complete relaunching of the compact jet. However, while we did not have good multiwavelength constraints, the slow ~1-week evolution of the radio spectrum during soft-state rebrightenings from MAXI J1535−571 (after the major outburst) does suggest similar behaviour (Parikh et al. 2019). During these rebrightenings, MAXI J1535−571 did enter the soft state and the radio jet was not detected. As the radio faded and the source returned to a hard state, the radio emission brightened, and slowly evolved from a steep to inverted radio spectrum over a ~1-week time-scale.

A slow evolution of \( \nu_{\text{break}} \) was also implied by dense radio monitoring during the soft-to-hard state transition of the 2011 outburst from GX 339−4, where the radio spectrum showed a smooth and gradual evolution (\( \alpha \approx -0.6 \) to +0.3) over an ~2-week period, with the IR peaking at least a few days later (Corbel et al. 2013b). This more gradual evolution is also supported by the \( \sim 2\)-week IR rise time following the soft → hard state transitions in 4U 1543−47 and XTE J1550−564 (see discussions in Kalenica et al. 2013). In contradiction, recent monitoring of the BH XRB 4U 1543−47 showed a sudden increase in IR emission during a short (~5 d) return back to the SIMS from a soft state.13 Such flaring may indicate that the compact jet emission had switched back on quickly and the jet spectral break shifted rapidly back up to the IR band. However, these campaigns generally lacked comprehensive and simultaneous multiwavelength support. As such, further observations that include simultaneous (or as close to simultaneous as possible) radio, mm, and IR observations of the jet as it is switching off and re-igniting (preferably after deep quenching in a soft state) are necessary to understand how jets are launched and quenched by the accretion flow, and how/whether these two processes differ.

6 CONCLUSIONS

With almost daily multifrequency monitoring of MAXI J1535−571 over its HIMS → SIMS transition, we detected the onset of rapid compact jet quenching, as the higher energy jet emission faded in less than 1 d. The jet quenching occurred a few days before the transition to the SIMS. Over this time, the jet spectral break decreased in frequency by ~3 orders of magnitude, from the IR band into the radio band. While we are unable to identify any direct causal changes in the X-ray variability, the X-ray photon index and X-ray spectrum gradually softened leading up to this event, before a more rapid change in the days afterwards. Therefore, it is possible that the increase in the soft X-ray photons and decrease in the hard X-ray emission may be connected to the observed changes in the jet. We find that the rapid jet quenching began at a similar time to the launching of a transient jet ejection, possibly connecting the two events.

From a time and frequency analysis of our radio observations, we show that on 2017 September 17, \( \nu_{\text{break}} \) was within the radio band and decreased by ~1.8 GHz over ~15 min. We argue that the time evolution of \( \nu_{\text{break}} \) was two to three orders of magnitude faster than expected from synchrotron cooling, but is similar to dynamical timescales of material flowing down the jet. Therefore, our results suggest that the onset of compact jet quenching in MAXI J1535−571 was not driven by details of local particle acceleration; instead, it appears as if internal jet properties changed dramatically and the particle acceleration region suddenly moved away from the BH with the jet flow.

Our results suggest that the mechanism resulting in the quenching and relaunching of compact jets from accreting BHs may arise from different processes. However, a more rapid jet re-ignition may be possible, but this scenario has not yet been inferred. This work highlights the need for high-cadence radio, mm, IR, and X-ray monitoring of these objects during both the outburst rise and decay to understand how jets are launched and quenched from accretion flows, and whether that process is universal between different sources or at different times within the same source.
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Data from Swift are publicly available from HEASARC (https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/). Raw ATCA data are provided at the Australia Telescope Online Archive (https://atoa.atnf.csiro.au/query.jsp). Raw ALMA data are available online at the ALMA Science Archive (https://almascience.eso.org/asax/). All calibrated flux densities used in this work are provided in Tables A1 and B1.
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Table A1. Radio, sub-mm, IR, and optical data of the 2017 outburst of MAXI J1535−571.

Table B1. Two-minute time-interval radio data from MAXI J1535−571 on 2017 September 17 (as shown in Fig. 2).

APPENDIX A: QUASI-SIMULTANEOUS DATA

All quasi-simultaneous radio, sub-mm, IR, and optical data used in this work are provided in Table A1.

Table A1. Sample of radio, sub-mm, IR, and optical data of the 2017 outburst of MAXI J1535−571. All radio data are from Russell et al. (2019), sub-mm data are new to this work, and the dereddened IR/optical data are from Baglio et al. (2018). The epoch relates to the 2017 date of the radio data (and is the same as Table 1). For precise date, use MJD. Errors on the ATCA radio data are dominated by the estimated systematic uncertainties of 4 per cent (see Section 2.1). To account for variability, errors on the ALMA sub-mm data are larger than the expected systematic uncertainties of 5 per cent (see Section 2.2). Complete tables are available at MNRAS online.

APPENDIX B: INTRA-OBSERVATIONAL RADIO VARIABILITY FOR 2017 SEPTEMBER 17

Radio intra-observational variability of MAXI J1535−571 from our ATCA observation on 2017 September 17 are proovided in Table B1 (Section 4.2).

APPENDIX C: RADIUS AND MAGNETIC FIELD OF THE FIRST ACCELERATION REGION

Derivation of the magnetic field and radius of the first acceleration region as outlined by Chaty et al. (2011). From Rybicki & Lightman (1979) and Longair (2011), for a synchrotron-emitting source with a power-law distribution of electrons with an energy spectrum $N(E)\, dE = k \, E^{-p} \, dE$, where $p$ is the spectral index of the particle energies, the magnetic field of the first acceleration region, $B_f$, is related to the frequency and flux density of the jet spectral break ($\nu_{\rm break}$ and $S_{\nu_{\rm break}}$, respectively), such that

$$B_f = \frac{(2\pi)^{1/2}\, H \, \nu_{\rm Y}^2}{T^3} \, \left(\frac{D \xi}{4(2p+1)}\right)^{1/2(2p+1)} \, s_{\nu_{\rm b}}^{-1/2(2p+1)} \, \sin \alpha^{-(2p+5)/(2p+3)} \, \nu_{\rm b},$$

where

$$A = \frac{\sqrt{3}^{1/3} \, e^3}{8\pi^2 e^2 m_e (p+1)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{m_e^3 a^4}{3e}\right)^{-(p-1)/2} \, \Gamma_f \left(\frac{p}{4} + \frac{19}{12}\right) \, \Gamma_t,$$

$$Y = \frac{\gamma_{\nu_{\rm max}}^{(2-p)} - \gamma_{\nu_{\rm min}}^{(2-p)}}{2 - p},$$

and

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{3} e c \, \mu_0}{32\pi^2 e^2 m_e} \left(\frac{3e}{2\pi m_e^2 c^4}\right)^{p/2} \, \Gamma_t \left(\frac{3p + 22}{12}\right) \, \Gamma_f,$$

$$\times \Gamma_t \left(\frac{3p + 2}{12}\right).$$

Here, $\mu_0$ is the permeability of free space, $c$ is the speed of light, if we assume that the synchrotron emitting accelerating region is a
homogeneous cylinder of radius $R_F$ and height $H_F$, factor $h$ relates the two such that $H_F = hR_F$, where we assume $h = 1$, and $D$ is the distance to the source. $\xi = 1$ assumes that the energy of the non-thermal electrons equals the magnetic energy density, and $a$ is the pitch angle of the electrons where we average over an isotropic distribution of pitch angles. $e$ and $m_e$ are the charge and mass of an electron, respectively. $B$ is the magnetic field strength, $\epsilon_0$ is the permittivity of free space, $\Gamma_1$ is the gamma function, and finally, $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ are the minimum and maximum Lorentz factors of the electrons, respectively, such that $\gamma_{\text{max}} \gg \gamma_{\text{min}} = 1$.

The cross-sectional area of the first acceleration region, $A_F$, is described as

$$
A_F = 2\mu_0 c^2 \left( \frac{2\mu_0^2 m_e^2 A_h}{(p+6)} \gamma^{(p+5)/(2p+13)} \right) \frac{T}{(p+6)/(2p+13)} \left( \frac{\xi Y}{\nu} \right)^{-1/2} \sin^2 \frac{a^2}{(2p+13)} D^{2p+12} \left( \frac{2p+13}{2p+13} \right)^{1/(p+6)} \left( \frac{\nu_b}{\nu} \right)^{-1/(2p+13)},
$$
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