UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Conversion of CO₂ by non- thermal inductively-coupled plasma catalysis

Devid, E.; Ronda-Lloret, M.; Huang, Q.; Rothenberg, G.; Shiju, N.R.; Kleyn, A.

DOI

[10.1063/1674-0068/cjcp2004040](https://doi.org/10.1063/1674-0068/cjcp2004040)

Publication date

2020

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Chinese Journal of Chemical Physics

License

Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Devid, E., Ronda-Lloret, M., Huang, Q., Rothenberg, G., Shiju, N. R., & Kleyn, A. (2020). Conversion of CO₂ by non- thermal inductively-coupled plasma catalysis. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Physics*, 33(2), 243-251. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1674-0068/cjcp2004040>

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Conversion of CO₂ by non- thermal inductively-coupled plasma catalysis

Cite as: Chin. J. Chem. Phys. **33**, 243 (2020); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1674-0068/cjcp2004040>
Submitted: 30 March 2020 . Accepted: 18 April 2020 . Published Online: 15 May 2020

Edwin Devid, Maria Ronda-Lloret, Qiang Huang, Gadi Rothenberg, N. Raveendran Shiju, and Aart Kleyn

COLLECTIONS

Paper published as part of the special topic on [Special Issue of "The International Conference on Molecular Energy Transfer in Complex System \(2019\)"](#)

[View Online](#)

[Export Citation](#)

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[Carrier recombination of organic-inorganic 3D halide perovskite single crystals](#)

Chinese Journal of Chemical Physics **33**, 252 (2020); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1674-0068/cjcp1911197>

[Application of laser dispersion method in apparatus combining H atom Rydberg tagging time-of-flight technique with vacuum ultraviolet free electron laser](#)

Chinese Journal of Chemical Physics **33**, 139 (2020); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1674-0068/cjcp2001008>

[Free energy level correction by Monte Carlo resampling with weighted histogram analysis method](#)

Chinese Journal of Chemical Physics **33**, 183 (2020); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1674-0068/cjcp2001001>

Chinese Physical Society

中国物理学会

ARTICLE

Conversion of CO₂ by non-Thermal Inductively-Coupled Plasma Catalysis[†]

Edwin Devid^{a*}, Maria Ronda-Lloret^b, Qiang Huang^{a,c}, Gadi Rothenberg^b, N. Raveendran Shiju^b, Aart Kleyn^{a*}

a. Center of Interface Dynamics for Sustainability, Institute of Materials, China Academy of Engineering Physics, Chengdu 610200, China

b. Van't Hoff Institute for Molecular Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Amsterdam, P.O. Box 94157, 1090 GD Amsterdam, The Netherlands

c. School of Optoelectronic Engineering, Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Chongqing 400065, China

(Dated: Received on March 30, 2020; Accepted on April 18, 2020)

CO₂ decomposition is a very strongly endothermic reaction where very high temperatures are required to thermally dissociate CO₂. Radio frequency inductively-coupled plasma enables to selectively activate and dissociate CO₂ at room temperature. Tuning the flow rate and the frequency of the radio frequency inductively-coupled plasma gives high yields of CO under mild conditions. Finally the discovery of a plasma catalytic effect has been demonstrated for CO₂ dissociation that shows a significant increase of the CO yield by metallic meshes. The metallic meshes become catalysts under exposure to plasma to activate the recombination reaction of atomic O to yield O₂, thereby reducing the reaction to convert CO back to CO₂. Inductively-coupled hybrid plasma catalysis allows access to study and to utilize high CO₂ conversion in a non-thermal plasma regime. This advance offers opportunities to investigate the possibility to use radio frequency inductively-coupled plasma to store superfluous renewable electricity into high-valuable CO in time where the price of renewable electricity is plunging.

Key words: CO₂, Radio frequency inductively-coupled plasma, Plasma reactor, Conversion, Metal mesh, Quadrupole mass spectrometry, X-ray photo-electron spectroscopy, X-Ray diffraction, Scanning electron microscopy

I. INTRODUCTION

Can catalysis solve our CO₂ problem? This interesting question was discussed in the magazine *Chemistry world* of July 2019. Recent publications about CO₂ splitting through thermal (catalytic) reactors support our findings that up to now it is still very difficult to reduce CO₂, due to its high thermodynamic stability [1–3]. Very high temperatures >2000 K are required to thermally split CO₂ into CO+1/2O₂ and obtain a CO₂ conversion of 1.5% [4] (reaction (1)).

Even with the presence of a suitable catalyst, a temperature of >1000 K is required to obtain reasonable decomposition rates for CO₂ splitting [1]. In order to

counter the effects of greenhouse gases on our environment [5–7], sustainable solutions are needed to safeguard both our planet biodiversity and the urgent global requirement of both energy and raw materials in the current 21st century [4, 8–10]. Now we have arrived at a point where we need to stop emitting CO₂ and other greenhouse gases and research challenging alternative ways in order to replace our traditional methods of producing chemicals and energy materials from fossil fuels.

A promising alternative is the use of plasma technology [4, 11–15] where greenhouse gases and simple hydrocarbons can be converted into high-value chemicals and solar fuels (*i.e.* solar fuels refer to producing fuel from energy that has been derived from sustainable sources like sun, wind, *etc.*). Research in this scientific field has revealed great potential and progress into using plasma technology to enable endothermic chemical reactions at low reactor temperatures, to improve the properties of catalysts and to synthesize renewable energy materials from greenhouse gases [4, 13, 14]. So far different types of plasma technologies [16–26] with or without catalysts have been tested on their performance compared to the traditionally thermal chemical processes. Especially a lot of work has been done on di-

[†]Part of the special topic on “The International Conference on Molecular Energy Transfer in Complex Systems (2019)”.

*Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: ejdevid@outlook.com, a.w.kleijn@contact.uva.nl

electric barrier discharge (DBD) generating plasma to dissociate CO₂. While DBD offers a few advantages in simple construction and application, the presence of catalyst and/or, addition of carrier gas (*i.e.* Ar) and tuning carefully the process conditions (*i.e.* flow rate, particle size packing materials, *etc.*) are required to reach conversions and energy efficiencies in the range of 30%–45% and 5%–10% respectively [4, 27, 28].

The research on plasma exposed materials and plasma driven chemical processes is still novel and unexplored. Especially plasma driven processes by radio frequency inductively-coupled plasma (RF-ICP) are currently unexplored and exhibit a lot of potential [4].

The role of catalysis for CO₂ conversion by using RF-ICP requires further study. This can team up with studies into the reactions on catalytic surfaces that are actively studied theoretically. These theoretical studies also aim to make a connection to CO₂ conversion by electrochemical ways, see for instance [29, 30]. A very different catalytic approach is to use the Boudouard reaction to enhance the CO yield [31]. Both enhanced theory and the Boudouard reaction have not yet been applied to RF-ICP conversion.

To improve the yield of CO during CO₂ splitting the role of atomic O and O₂ is important due to their involvement with the back reaction of CO toward CO₂. Atomic O that is produced by reaction (1) is expected to bounce/reflect by the quartz reactor tube. Because the silicon oxide surface is highly saturated by bound O atoms with a strong electron negativity. It is therefore probable that at the quartz surface no recombination of atomic O atoms or dissociation of O₂ takes place.

Based on the CO₂ splitting reaction (see reaction (1)) the flow of CO can be twice as much versus the O₂ flow. This fact is observed during CO₂ splitting experiments where the pressure in the plasma reactor increases by applying more input power. In many cases we have observed that the CO/O₂ ratio increases to a factor of 3. This change can possibly be elaborated via the reaction kinetic of CO₂ splitting. O₂ is generated by the reaction [32]:

Reaction (2) with the reaction rate of $10^{-7} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$ is faster than reaction (3) with the reaction rate of $10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$, this gives atomic O the possibility to initiate the reverse reaction $\text{CO} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2$.

The dissociation reaction of O₂ [32] will also occur in the RF-ICP:

The recombination of atomic O and dissociation of O₂ are presumably nearly equally fast, this enables the possibility that reaction (2) can produce faster CO directly

followed by recombination of atomic O to O₂. This will prevent that atomic O is available to initiate the back reaction.

So with the presence of third body (*i.e.* like a metal mesh) reaction (2) has preference. The binding energy of O to metal oxide or hydrocarbons contaminations on the system walls is expected to be higher than that to silicon oxide. This in turn enables an increase of CO/O₂ ratio beyond 2 due to adsorption of atomic O atoms to the system walls. It should be noted that there are long bellows between the exit of the plasma reactor and entrance to the QMS.

Any amount of C formed during the CO₂ splitting will presumably stick to the reactor wall and will not participate in the reaction of $\text{C} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2$. RF-ICP allows to activate CO₂ through stepwise vibrational excitation where CO₂ will be split into $\text{CO} + 1/2\text{O}_2$ at ambient temperatures. So far no record has been mentioned to perform CO₂ splitting with a catalyst in RF plasma. only Spencer and Gallimore [33] has performed CO₂ splitting in a microwave plasma reactor with a catalyst. In their case the addition of a catalyst decreased the CO yield. Previously work from Spencer *et al.* [32, 34] showed that CO₂ splitting via 13.56 MHz RF power source can reach CO₂ conversions of 90% at 15 sccm CO₂ and 1000 W (*i.e.* $>1000 \text{ eV/mol}$). Due to the low feed rate and very high power usage the energy efficiency will in turn be not higher than 3%.

Scientists in surface science have done extensive research on the chemical and physical properties of CO₂ and its interaction with various types of metals and metal oxides for both fundamental and applicational purposes (*i.e.* the use of Zn, Cu, *etc.* for catalytic reaction) [35–39]. This work will investigate multiple experimental conditions in order to discover unknown effects and features about CO₂ splitting. The knowledge and findings obtained from these CO₂ splitting experiments allow us to answer the question on how CO₂ splitting proceeds under mild conditions (*i.e.* low pressures and powers) and how these experimental parameters will affect the conversion of CO₂. The main question we want to solve in this work is: is there a catalytic effect when combining a third body (metallic mesh) and RF-ICP plasma during CO₂ splitting?

II. EXPERIMENTS

All experiments were carried out in a designated RF-ICP reactor constructed in-house (see FIG. 1). The plasma reactor consists of a quartz tube, with a diameter of 40 mm and length of 600 mm. It is supported by two stainless steel flanges and sealed by O-rings. The reactor tube is surrounded by a water-cooled copper coil. To establish efficient coupling of RF energy into the plasma, a matching box is kept between the RF power supply and the copper coil. A detailed technical description of the setup is published elsewhere [34, 40, 41].

In this work two types of RF power supplies

FIG. 1 Schematic diagram of experimental setup to do CO₂ splitting in non-thermal RF plasma.

(13.56 MHz, 2 kW and 27.12 MHz, 4 kW) have been used to test the influence of RF frequency on CO₂ splitting by RF plasma. The 13.56 MHz RF power was provided by a water cooled RF power generator with RF output of 2000 W from Rishige Electronics Technology. The 27.12 MHz RF power was provided by a water cooled RF power generator with RF output of 4 kW, (~700 V) from advance energy. The maximum power used was 350 W and the reflected power was kept between 1–4 W (dependent on applied input power) by the matching box.

The gases used in the reaction were directly obtained from gas cylinders and mixed before going into the reactor. Each gas cylinder was equipped with calibrated mass flow controllers (MFC, Sevenstar D07-19B) to set the flow. The plasma ignited inside the reactor tube, after supplying RF power. Prior to feeding the reaction gases, the reactor was evacuated to 1 Pa by a rotary pump with the nominal pumping speed around 18 L/s. The composition of the gaseous products from the plasma reactor was determined by quadrupole mass spectrometry (QMS). QMS allows us to observe in real time the species formed in the plasma at low pressures in a way similar to Ref.[42]. In this way the state of the plasma was checked continuously.

A catalyst holder is a quartz tube that can keep any metal meshes perpendicular positioned versus the plasma beam. The space between the metal meshes inside the catalyst holder is called a catalyst bed (see FIG. S1 in supplementary materials). The RF-ICP can be opened so that the catalyst can be inserted inside the reactor.

The chemical composition of the catalyst was determined by X-ray photo-electron spectroscopy (XPS). The binding energies were referred to the C1s peak at 284.8 eV to compensate for surface charging effects.

X-Ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a MiniFlex II diffractometer using Cu K α radiation. The X-ray tube was operated at 30 kV and 15 mA. Measurements were recorded at an angle (2θ) range of 10°–90° with a turning speed of 2.5°/min.

Thermal CO₂ splitting reactions were performed under atmospheric pressure in a six-flow parallel reactor system. This system is a modernized version of the classic six flow system described by Pérez-Ramírez *et al.* It consists of six individual fixed-bed quartz reactors located in a furnace [43, 44]. Here, a flow of 10 mL/min of CO₂ diluted in Ar (80% Ar, 20% CO₂) was passed through the reactor with 0.3 g of Cu mesh at 900 °C for 2 h. The thermal oxidation of a Cu mesh was performed in a tubular furnace, using a flow of 50 mL/min of compressed air at 400 °C for 1 h.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were recorded with a FEI Verios 460. Elemental analysis was performed with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) in a Oxford Xmax 80 mm² Silicon Drift Detector.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

RF-ICP driven CO₂ splitting enables CO₂ dissociation through vibrational activation (*i.e.* “selective excitation”) of one degree of freedom [40]. The vibrationally excited CO₂ will further interact with each other or collide with the plasma electrons, leading to a successive “vibrational pumping” up along the vibrational levels. This co-called ladder climbing effect will result that the vibrationally excited CO₂ molecules reach the energies of dissociation at low gas temperatures.

To study the CO₂ splitting process we have used the following expressions. The specific energy input (SEI) is described in Eq.(1) and represents a key factor to determine the conversion and energy efficiency during CO₂ splitting in RF-ICP. The SEI together with the CO₂ conversion, χ gives a factor called the energy efficiency, η (see Eq.(2)) that shows how efficient the CO₂ splitting in a “cold” non thermal plasma goes compared to the standard reaction enthalpy.

$$\text{SEI} = \frac{\text{Power} \times (6.24 \times 10^{21}) \times 24.5 \times 60}{\text{Flowrate} \times (6.022 \times 10^{23})} \quad (1)$$

$$\eta = \frac{\chi_{\text{total}} \Delta H_{298}^{\circ}}{\text{SEI}} \quad (2)$$

Here SEI is in unit of eV/molecule, power is in kW, flowrate is in L/min.

A. The effect of the RF frequency, flow and air plasma pretreatment on CO₂ splitting

The performance of CO₂ splitting by RF-ICP can be tuned through different parameters. FIG. S2 in supplementary materials shows the dependence of the CO₂ splitting process on the RF frequency. The black line shows that generating RF plasma with 13.56 MHz

power generator lets the conversion increase with power to 36% (300 W). When doubling the frequency with a 27.12 MHz power generator, a plasma is generated that gives higher conversions (*i.e.* 46% at 300 W) as a function of the power. Generating RF-ICP at higher frequencies (>100 MHz) opens the possibility to reach very high CO_2 conversion (toward 90% projected on applying >600 MHz of RF power) under non-thermal plasma conditions. By increasing the CO_2 conversion in RF-ICP, thereby the energy efficiency advantageously will be further increased while not subjecting the current CO_2 splitting process to dominate vibrational-translational (V - T) relaxation, which has a detrimental effect on the energy efficiency in thermal plasmas [11]. This makes CO_2 splitting on an industrial scale through RF-ICP viable [4]. In fact, such efficiencies are not quite reached at about 2.45 GHz, using microwave excitation [34].

The conversion of CO_2 into CO can be further increased with 5%–8% by performing a pretreatment step prior to the start of CO_2 splitting by RF-ICP (see FIG. S3 in supplementary materials). This step involves letting air plasma flow inside the empty straight quartz reactor tube. 200 sccm air is ignited into plasma and kept at 200 W during the pretreatment period of 45 min till 60 min. The effect of this pretreatment is first that the quartz reactor tube will be cleaned from any visible or invisible carbon layer on the quartz material. This will improve the vacuum conduction inside the quartz plasma reactor tube. The pressure in the RF-ICP reactor will be lower and this improves the CO_2 splitting process.

Furthermore we have experienced that the CO_2 flow rate has a large influence on the conversion. Very high yields of CO will be obtained under mild conditions by tuning the specific energy input (SEI) (see FIG. S4 in supplementary materials).

B. Plasma catalysis of CO_2 splitting through metal meshes

Here we studied the effects of several metals and metal oxides to investigate their possible plasma catalytic effects by RF-ICP. In order to insert a metal into our RF-ICP driven reactor we have developed two concepts to optimize the interaction of a metal surface with the CO_2 plasma. The first concept that is tested to study the influence of metal surface and metal oxides is done through use of a catalyst holder (see FIG. S1 in supplementary materials).

FIG. 2 shows the performance of CO_2 splitting by a catalyst holder equipped with brass meshes in the RF-ICP reactor. FIG. 2(a) shows that the conversion of CO_2 in the presence of a catalyst holder containing brass meshes significantly increases. Here the absolute CO_2 conversion increases with 10% at 300 W. Furthermore the energy efficiency increases especially at lower input powers. After CO_2 splitting, the plasma

FIG. 2 (a) The CO_2 conversion and energy efficiency as a function of the input power and SEI. Black line is reference conversion of an empty RF-ICP reactor. Red line is the conversion obtained via the catalyst holder equipped with brass meshes. (b) CO_2 flowing through the RF-ICP reactor while the input power is off (0 W). (c) CO_2 ignited in plasma and percolating through the brass mesh inside the catalyst holder (input power is 90 W with a pressure of 95.5 Pa, on all images the feed gas flows from left to right). Reaction condition: 200 sccm CO_2 .

exposed brass meshes have a darker blue coloured surface. Fresh metal meshes before plasma exposure have a red/orange, goldlike or silver/metallic surface colour at respectively copper, brass and stainless steel coloured meshes. This indicates a change to the metal oxide surface layer on the brass meshes. The mass of the brass meshes used before and after CO_2 splitting show no significant change.

There are three possible ways for CO_2 to dissociate into CO: (i) Through direct electronic excitation CO_2 can be split into CO and O, but this requires impacts by highly energized electrons (>11 eV). (ii) The vibrational energy level is step wise increased until the CO_2 molecule splits into CO and O. (iii) The CO_2 molecule gains an increase of vibrational energy, but has not reached the energies that allow the CO_2 molecule to spontaneously dissociate. Instead the partially vibrationally excited CO_2 molecule comes in contact with a metal oxide surface where it will stick. Since sticking of the partially excited CO_2 molecule possess fluctuations in binding energy upon contact with the metal oxide. It seems logical that the bonds of vibrationally excited CO_2 will change and dump first the CO part from the metal oxide surface followed later by the release of the atomic

O from the metal oxide surface when hit by another atomic O.

The brass metal meshes in the catalyst holder appear to stimulate the recombination of atomic O into O₂. The atomic O generated from reaction (1) here contacts with the oxidized metal mesh. The atomic O binds to the oxidized surface of the metal mesh. Eventually the oxidation of the metal mesh surface takes place, but it is finite because the oxide thickness on metal surfaces get saturated in time [45, 46]. The oxidized metal mesh (*i.e.* change of surface colour) exhibits a change to the metal oxide surface thereby weakening the O atom bond with the metal oxide. This will result that from the plasma exposed metal surface O₂ can escape, thereby reducing further the back reaction of CO into CO₂ assuming that reaction (2) is faster than reaction (4).

The presence of the brass metal mesh inside a RF-ICP driven CO₂ splitting process demonstrates for the first time a catalytic effect. In addition we have performed at the University of Amsterdam CO₂ splitting in a thermal catalytic reactor containing a similar piece of brass metal mesh. Here, at a maximum of 900 °C the thermal catalytic reactor showed no conversion of CO₂ into CO as mentioned earlier [1, 3, 4]. From these findings we conclude that the fact that a catalyst works in a plasma catalytic reactor does not automatically works in a thermal catalytic reactor that the plasma-catalytic reaction is driven and *vice versa*. This also indicates that the catalyst in the plasma case is driven by the enhancement of the recombination reaction $O + O \rightarrow O_2$, which cannot run in the thermal reactor, because there is no significant O-atom concentration. Catalytic dissociation of CO₂ on the Cu catalyst would not run in a thermal reactor as it is unable to excite the CO₂ vibrationally. We should note that in the plasma reactor the CO₂ may be vibrationally excited and certain materials might become more catalytically active.

The second concept that is used to test the properties of metal surfaces on the CO₂ splitting process is done through use of a metal mesh capsule (see FIG. 3) in order to let the CO₂ plasma interact and percolate through the metal meshes.

FIG. 3(a) shows that, besides a catalyst holder equipped with brass meshes, a stainless steel mesh capsule also gives a significant increase in the absolute CO₂ conversion. Both conceptual approaches (*i.e.* metal meshes) give similar performances on CO₂ conversion and energy efficiency. In the experimentation with one stainless steel mesh capsule an additional second vacuum pump was used to increase the pump speed and slightly lower the starting pressure (*i.e.* 5–7 Pa) before CO₂ splitting. From earlier research (*i.e.* Ref.[40]) we learned that lowering the pressure in a RF-ICP reactor can be beneficial to further increase the CO yield during CO₂ splitting. FIG. 3(a) shows that the presence of a metal mesh under higher pumping speed in the RF-ICP has a significant effect on the conversion of CO₂. The additional second vacuum pump connected

FIG. 3 (a) The CO₂ conversion and energy efficiency as a function of the input power. Black line is reference conversion of an empty RF-ICP reactor. Red line is the conversion obtained via one stainless steel mesh capsule. The grey line is for comparison the CO₂ splitting performance of using a catalyst holder containing brass meshes (see also FIG. 2). (b) A image of a fresh stainless steel mesh capsule (left side) and a image of a CO₂ plasma exposed stainless steel mesh capsule (right side) after going stepwise from 0–350 W in 106 min. The blue arrows shows the direction of the CO₂ plasma flow over and through the mesh capsule. Reaction conditions: 200 sccm CO₂. For the experiment with using one stainless steel mesh capsule an additional second vacuum pump (located at the side toward the QMS) is used to lower a bit the starting pressure (with 5–7 Pa) before the plasma driven CO₂ splitting is started.

in parallel to the plasma reactor allows to increase the pumping speed with a factor of >2 inside the RF-ICP reactor. Under these conditions the converted CO₂ by a metal mesh will be exited faster out of the RF-ICP reactor, thereby minimizing further the back reaction toward CO₂. Without increased pumping speed inside the RF-ICP reactor, the CO₂ flow will interact less with the metal mesh surface, thereby making the metal mesh capsule become transparent inside the RF-ICP reactor.

Stainless steel mesh capsules show here also a catalytic effect in a RF-ICP plasma reactor. This confirms our previous interpretation, using the catalyst holder equipped with brass meshes, that the metal meshes increases the recombination reaction of atomic O into O₂, thereby reducing the back reaction of CO into CO₂. FIG. 3(b) shows a picture of the stainless steel mesh capsule before and after the CO₂ plasma exposure. After plasma exposure the clear visible effect is observed that the metal oxide layer is changed (*i.e.* thickness of metal oxide layer has increased) when the CO₂ plasma

FIG. 4 The conversion as a function of the specific energy input plotted from data originating from CO₂ splitting in an empty plasma reactor (black cubes) versus the data originating from CO₂ splitting with a metal mesh inside the plasma reactor (red stars). The curved black and red lines are guides for the eyes.

has percolated through and has cruised over the metal mesh capsule. The CO₂ plasma only exposed the areas that were in direct contact with the plasma beam during the CO₂ splitting process. The sides and the bottom of the plasma exposed stainless steel mesh capsule were unaffected (see also FIG. 3(b)). The majority of CO₂ splitting takes place at the core of the plasma beam.

Based on the findings above we have performed an experiment to test if multiple metal mesh capsules in an RF-ICP reactor will give more increased CO₂ conversions. Here the effect on the CO₂ splitting performance is tested when the entire RF-ICP plasma reactor (except the centre part) is filled with both brass and stainless steel mesh capsules (see FIG. S5 in supplementary materials). The centre part of the RF-ICP reactor (where the RF coil is located) does not contain metal mesh, because these capsules will interfere and prevent the ignition into CO₂ plasma. The result of FIG. S5(a) tell us that too much mesh capsules inside the RF-ICP reactor take up too much space to let the CO₂ gas be ignited into CO₂ plasma. The CO₂ splitting via multiple metal mesh capsules shows that the conversion only drops with 3%–5%. Still even with multiple metal mesh capsules there is still a catalytic effect that enables a higher conversion compared to the results of the empty RF-ICP reactor. FIG. S5(b) shows how the CO₂ plasma interacts with multiple mesh capsules inside the RF-ICP reactor. At most locations in the RF-ICP reactor, the CO₂ plasma percolates through and cruises over some mesh capsule. Only at the entrance side of the RF-ICP reactor there is some difficulty to keep the CO₂ gas ignited as plasma. After plasma exposure the surface colour of both stainless steel and brass mesh capsules have changed. A similar effect has also been observed with Cu based mesh capsules.

FIG. 4. shows a clear distinction in how the conversion

FIG. 5 (a) SEM image of a Cu mesh not exposed to CO₂ plasma. (b–d) SEM images of a Cu mesh exposed to CO₂ plasma. The zoomed-in SEM images shows an area affected by 200 sccm CO₂ plasma.

CO₂ trend line increases upon use of a metal mesh during CO₂ splitting inside an RF-ICP reactor compared to the CO₂ splitting process via an empty reactor.

C. SEM analysis of metal meshes before and after plasma driven CO₂ splitting

FIG. 5 (a) and (b) shows clearly how surface modification has occurred on the CO₂ plasma exposed Cu mesh. FIG. 5(b) shows the zoomed-in SEM image that the plasma exposed surface areas have become rough. The roughed Cu oxide area contains sharp angled shaped crystal structures.

CO₂ plasma generated by RF-ICP allows metals (brass, stainless steel, copper) meshes to become catalysts, thereby demonstrating the catalytic effect that it stimulates the recombination reaction of atomic O into O₂. This is an important discovery, because it means that non-thermal plasma is not only affecting the properties of CO₂ through vibrational activation. It means that RF driven plasma can change the properties of simple, cheap bulk metals and turn them into a new class/type of catalyst with specific catalytic properties that is not correlated with amount of metal meshes used during the CO₂ splitting process.

D. XPS analysis of metal meshes before and after plasma driven CO₂ splitting

FIG. 6 shows in the O 1s spectrum that the plasma exposed metal meshes show a shift toward 530 eV, indicating that the presence of metal oxides has increased. The XPS spectra taken of the sample of plasma exposed brass mesh shows similar appearance to the sample of fresh red Cu₂O, indicating that the plasma exposed Cu mesh presumably contains mostly CuO.

The difference in mass of the plasma exposed metal mesh versus the fresh metal mesh is about

FIG. 6 XPS spectra of oxygen about fresh metal meshes versus plasma exposed metal meshes.

0.002–0.003 g.

FIG. S6 in supplementary materials shows the XPS spectra of carbon about fresh metal meshes versus plasma exposed ones. The plasma exposed metal meshes show a lower intensity of carbon compared to the fresh metal meshes. Possibly carbonates on the metal meshes are removed by the CO₂ plasma. The decrease of the amount of carbon also shows that in the CO₂ dissociation no carbon is formed, which is deposited on metals in the reactor. FIG. S7 in supplementary materials shows Cu 2p XPS spectra of copper. Especially for a Cu mesh exposed by plasma there is a change in the type of Cu oxide. The fresh Cu mesh shows peaks of mixed CuO and Cu₂O. When these Cu meshes are exposed to CO₂ plasma, the mix of both CuO and Cu₂O shifts more toward binding energies comparable to CuO. The dark surface colour of the plasma exposed Cu meshes confirm the surface colour of fresh CuO.

XPS also indicates that the amount of carbon (*i.e.* carbonate) deposited on the surface has decreased due to the exposure with CO₂ plasma. The O₂ peak in the XPS spectra shows that the presence of O₂ slightly decreases and shift toward the binding energies of metal oxides below 531 eV. Focused Cu 2p XPS spectra reveals that the fresh metal meshes contain a mix of Cu₂O (932.5 eV), CuO (933.6 eV), CuCO₃ (935 eV) and Cu(OH)₂ (935.1 eV). When the metal meshes are exposed to CO₂ plasma a change and shift of Cu oxide peaks is observed toward the presence of mostly Cu₂O and/or CuO with some Cu visible.

E. XRD analysis of metal mesh exposed to thermal oxidation, thermal CO₂ exposure and plasma driven CO₂ splitting

XRD analysis of a Cu mesh exposed to CO₂ plasma (see FIG. 7) confirms that the change in surface colour is related to the oxidation of the metal meshes in plasma. From the results, we can conclude that CO₂ splitting according to reaction (1) does not take place in thermal

FIG. 7 XRD analyses of a fresh Cu mesh, Cu mesh exposed to 200 sccm CO₂ plasma (stepwise increase of power from 0–350 W), thermally oxidized Cu mesh and a Cu mesh exposed to thermal heated CO₂.

catalytic reactor at temperatures of 900 °C and a partial CO₂ pressure of >2000 Pa. Via RF-ICP reactor, the conversion of CO₂ (reaction (1)), can be tuned by the feed flow, RF frequency, pressure, specific energy and input power. The yield of CO can be significantly increased through the use of a metal mesh inside the RF-ICP reactor.

The CO₂ splitting driven by RF-ICP is here carried out through the presence and interaction of plasma. Plasma enables the dissociation of CO₂ through the presence of excited molecules. Theoretical analysis has indicated that vibrationally excited CO₂ will allow increased dissociation on metal surfaces *i.e.* like Ni [47, 48]. CO₂ splitting through thermal catalytic processes has never resulted in CO₂ conversion by dissociation [37, 47–49]. Cu acts only as catalyst when methanol synthesis [15] is carried out under thermal-catalytic conditions. We observe significant dissociation of CO₂ in the RF-ICP reactor, with or without catalyst. This must be due to plasma action. We observe a strong dependence between conversion and energy efficiency on plasma conditions, see FIG. S4, S2 and S3 (supplementary materials). However, plotting both quantities as a function of the SEI more or less linear scaling is observed. This means that the conversion scales with the amount of energy are introduced into the plasma per molecule flowing through the plasma channel.

A linear scaling with incident power or reactor pressure is not observed. An increase in the conversion with frequency has not been observed before. An increase in conversion with increasing frequency is seen when comparing RF and microwave heating. Microwave heating is observed to be higher under similar conditions [32–34]. The presence of a metal mesh raises the CO yield about 10% (*i.e.* absolute yield of CO). The relative increase of CO yield is around 20%. Several reasons can describe this effect. The specifications of the plasma can be altered through the interaction with a metallic material. But observation of the plasma shows no change of the plasma beam intensity, colour or flow

behaviour. This means that on the surface of the metal mesh a reaction occurs that initiates higher CO₂ conversions.

At a first sight the metal mesh appears to behave as an oxygen scavenger. From literature, it is well known that the recombination reaction of $\text{CO} + \text{O} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2$ is detrimental for the CO₂ conversion. Here, the reactive O-atoms from the CO₂ splitting could be absorbed onto the metal mesh. This will increase the CO₂ conversion and the back reaction toward CO₂ will be reduced. As a consequence, the mass of the metal mesh should increase, but this is not happening here. XPS analysis of these plasma exposed metal meshes tells us that both carbon and oxygen are removed. In addition, SEM images of the plasma exposed metal meshes reveal that the surface of the metal mesh has undergone changes to the surface. These surface changes appear the same as thermal oxidation of Cu [45, 46]. These findings tell us that no oxygen will be taken up on these metal meshes due to these surface restructuring.

A second explanation to describe the CO yield increasing effect of these metal meshes in RF-ICP is that on the surface the catalytic dissociation of CO₂ takes place. CO₂ can dissociate through interaction with vibrationally and translationally excited molecules on the metal surface [47, 48]. The origin of this interaction would take place within the potential energy surfaces for CO₂-metal interactions. Here, the metal will become oxidized while the potential energy surface between the CO₂ and the metal will possess only a small barrier adjacent to the vibrational coordinate which in turn can accomplish the dissociation of CO₂ [47]. The O-atoms of the CO₂ will attach to the metal surface and thereby discard the remaining CO molecule. These interactions are possible based on theoretical and experimental studies via clean metal surfaces.

A similar process is the direct adsorption of free O-atoms at the surface of the mesh. This process will also cause an increase of the oxygen atom coverage on the surface. While in-diffusion of O-atoms is here limited [45], the adsorption of O-atoms will eventually give recombinative desorption. As a result O₂ molecules will be ejected from the metal mesh. The reaction can be both a Langmuir-Hinshelwood type or an Eley-Rideal reaction. Recently it was demonstrated that N-atoms created in a plasma are very efficient in removing O-atoms from Ru surface [50]. While the molecules will be dissociated by the plasma, the reaction rate of dissociation of O₂ (*i.e.* reaction (4)) is less than the dissociation of CO₂ (*i.e.* reaction (2)) under the presence of a third body. This will enable to keep obstructing the back reaction to CO₂. This tells us that the metal mesh behaves as a catalyst that focus on favouring the molecular recombination of atomic oxygen. As a result the coverage of oxygen on the surface of a metal mesh remains low and that corresponds with our XPS, XRD and SEM analyses where an oxide can be grown on a metal surface despite losing oxygen atoms inside the

metal. It is clear that not all plasma based species will be in contact with the metal mesh catalyst, because of limitations of the mean free path (*i.e.* <0.1 mm). This means that diffusion is restricted aside the flow direction. Optimization in the flow and the design of the catalytic metal mesh will be required to increase further the CO yield.

Finally, in another study it was mentioned that bringing a metal mesh in contact with plasma would lead to sputtering effects where a metal film is deposited onto the quartz walls of the reactor [18]. From our experiences with metal meshes in RF-ICP this seems improbable. With RF-ICP we observe a very sharp commencement of CO formation, while through a sputtering process a certain induction time is wanted to form up and complete a catalytic film in the reactor.

IV. CONCLUSION

CO₂ splitting (*i.e.* dissociation of CO₂ into CO) is activated by RF-ICP. The yield of CO in an empty RF-ICP reactor can be significantly increased through multiple ways. By doubling the RF power frequency from 13.56 MHz to 27.12 MHz, an increase of CO yield is obtained of at least 10%. Through tuning the CO₂ flow in an empty RF-ICP reactor, very high CO yield can be obtained (near 85% at 50 sccm CO₂). The increase in CO yield is not linearly related with the decrease in energy efficiency. While the energy efficiency of RF-ICP can be further improved, present plunges of renewable electricity cost favor the use of plasma conversion in general. Especially the easy switching of RF-ICP makes it suitable to store abundant electricity spikes quickly into CO as high-valuable chemical energy compound.

The most important way to increase the CO yield is through the discovery of catalytically driven recombination of atomic O's into O₂ by metal meshes in a RF-ICP reactor. This opens the way to new CO₂ splitting processes where the back reaction of CO to CO₂ is further reduced by means of a bulk material that gains catalytic properties through RF-ICP.

Supplementary materials: An image of the used catalyst holder is given. The effects of the RF frequency on CO₂ splitting, effects of plasma pretreatment on the reactor wall before CO₂ splitting, effect of tuning the CO₂ flow during on CO₂ splitting, effect of multiple mesh capsules during CO₂ splitting are available. XPS spectra of the meshes used before and after plasma driven CO₂ splitting are also shown.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Maria Ronda-Lloret is acknowledged for her support in analysing the metal mesh samples by SEM and XRD. Di-yu Zhang, Dong-ping Wang and Qiang Huang are accredited for their discussions and support on CO₂ splitting experiments. Chuan-hui Liang is acknowl-

edged for his support in analysing the metal mesh samples by XPS. Gadi Rothenberg and N. Raveendran Shiju are accredited for their scientific discussions on CO₂ splitting and catalytic processes. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.51561135013 and No.21603202). We thank the Netherlands Scientific Organisation (NWO) for the grant “Developing novel catalytic materials for converting CO₂, methane and ethane to high-value chemicals in a hybrid plasma-catalytic reactor” (China.15.119).

- [1] J. J. Zhang, V. Haribal, and F. X. Li, *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1701184 (2017).
- [2] M. Tou, R. Michalsky, and A. Steinfeld, *Joule* **1**, 19 (2017).
- [3] Q. Q. Jiang, Z. P. Chen, J. H. Tong, M. Yang, Z. X. Jiang, and C. Li, *ACS Catal.* **6**, 1172 (2016).
- [4] R. Snoeckx and A. Bogaerts, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **46**, 5805 (2017).
- [5] J. D. Shakun, P. U. Clark, F. He, S. A. Marcott, A. C. Mix, Z. Y. Liu, B. Otto-Bliesner, A. Schmittner, and E. Bard, *Nature* **484**, 49 (2012).
- [6] T. L. Frolicher, E. M. Fischer, and N. Gruber, *Nature* **560**, 360 (2018).
- [7] J. R. Malcolm, C. R. Liu, R. P. Neilson, L. Hansen, and L. Hannah, *Conserv. Biol.* **20**, 538 (2006).
- [8] I. A. Grant Wilson and P. Styring, *Front. Energy Res.* **5**, 19 (2017).
- [9] R. J. Detz, J. N. H. Reek, and B. C. C. van der Zwaan, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **11**, 1653 (2018).
- [10] Y. Y. Birdja, E. Pérez-Gallent, M. C. Figueiredo, A. J. Göttle, F. Calle-Vallejo, and M. T. M. Koper, *Nat. Energy* **4**, 732 (2019).
- [11] A. Bogaerts and E. C. Neyts, *ACS Energy Lett.* **3**, 1013 (2018).
- [12] A. Bogaerts, T. Kozak, K. van Laer, and R. Snoeckx, *Faraday Discuss.* **183**, 217 (2015).
- [13] X. M. Tao, M. G. Bai, X. Li, H. L. Long, S. Y. Shang, Y. X. Yin, and X. Y. Dai, *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* **37**, 113 (2011).
- [14] W. C. Chung and M. B. Chang, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **62**, 13 (2016).
- [15] L. Wang, Y. H. Yi, C. F. Wu, H. C. Guo, and X. Tu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **56**, 13679 (2017).
- [16] W. Bongers, H. Bouwmeester, B. Wolf, F. Peeters, S. Welzel, D. van den Bekerom, N. den Harder, A. Goede, M. Graswinckel, P. W. Groen, J. Kopecki, M. Leins, G. van Rooij, A. Schulz, M. Walker, and R. van de Sanden, *Plasma Processes Polym.* **14**, 1600126 (2017).
- [17] G. J. Van Rooij, D. C. M. van den Bekerom, N. den Harder, T. Minea, G. Berden, W. A. Bongers, R. Engeln, M. F. Graswinckel, E. Zoethout, and M. C. M. van de Sanden, *Faraday Discuss.* **183**, 233 (2015).
- [18] J. Shah, W. Z. Wang, A. Bogaerts, and M. L. Carreon, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* **1**, 4824 (2018).
- [19] H. Uyama, T. Nakamura, S. Tanaka, and O. Matsumoto, *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.* **13**, 117 (1993).
- [20] S. Tanaka, H. Uyama, and O. Matsumoto, *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.* **14**, 491 (1994).
- [21] J. Shah, T. Wu, J. Lucero, M. A. Carreon, and M. L. Carreon, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **7**, 377 (2019).
- [22] P. Peng, C. Schiappacasse, N. Zhou, M. Addy, Y. L. Cheng, Y. N. Zhang, K. Ding, Y. P. Wang, P. Chen, and R. Ruan, *ChemSusChem* **12**, 3702 (2019).
- [23] H. Patel, R. K. Sharma, V. Kyriakou, A. Pandiyan, S. Welzel, M. C. M. van de Sanden, and M. N. Tsampas, *ACS Energy Lett.* **4**, 2091 (2019).
- [24] M. L. Carreon, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **52**, 483001 (2019).
- [25] M. Iwamoto, M. Akiyama, K. Aihara, and T. Deguchi, *ACS Catal.* **7**, 6924 (2017).
- [26] K. Aihara, M. Akiyama, T. Deguchi, M. Tanaka, R. Hagiwara, and M. Iwamoto, *Chem. Commun.* **52**, 13560 (2016).
- [27] B. Ashford and X. Tu, *Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem.* **3**, 45 (2017).
- [28] T. Butterworth, R. Elder, and R. Allen, *Chem. Eng. J.* **293**, 55 (2016).
- [29] E. C. Neyts, K. (Ken) Ostrikov, M. K. Sunkara, and A. Bogaerts, *Chem. Rev.* **115**, 13408 (2015).
- [30] A. Jafarzadeh, K. M. Bal, A. Bogaerts, and E. C. Neyts, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **124**, 6747 (2020).
- [31] Z. Li, T. Yang, S. Yuan, Y. Yin, E. J. Devid, Q. Huang, D. Auerbach, and A. W. Kley, *J. Energy Chem.* **45**, 128 (2020).
- [32] L. F. Spencer and A. D. Gallimore, *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.* **31**, 79 (2011).
- [33] L. F. Spencer and A. D. Gallimore, *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* **22**, 015019 (2013).
- [34] Q. Huang, D. Y. Zhang, D. P. Wang, K. Z. Liu, and A. W. Kley, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **50**, 294001 (2017).
- [35] S. Poulston, P. M. Parlett, P. Stone, and M. Bowker, *Surf. Interface Anal.* **24**, 811 (1996).
- [36] S. Funk, B. Hokkanen, J. Wang, U. Burghaus, G. Bozzolo, and J. E. Garcs, *Surf. Sci.* **600**, 583 (2006).
- [37] U. Burghaus, *Catal. Today* **148**, 212 (2009).
- [38] U. Burghaus, *Prog. Surf. Sci.* **89**, 161 (2014).
- [39] Y. X. Yao, P. Shushkov, T. F. Miller III, and K. P. Giapis, *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2294 (2019).
- [40] D. Y. Zhang, Q. Huang, E. J. Devid, E. Schuler, N. R. Shiju, G. Rothenberg, G. van Rooij, R. L. Yang, K. Z. Liu, and A. W. Kley, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **122**, 19338 (2018).
- [41] R. L. Yang, D. Y. Zhang, K. W. Zhu, H. L. Zhou, X. Q. Ye, A. W. Kley, and Y. Q. Hu, and Q. Huang, *Acta Phys. Chim. Sin.* **35**, 292 (2019).
- [42] S. V. T. Nguyen, J. E. Foster, and A. D. Gallimore, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **80**, 083503 (2009).
- [43] J. Pérez-Ramírez, R. J. Berger, G. Mul, F. Kapteijn, and J. A. Moulijn, *Catal. Today* **60**, 93 (2000).
- [44] N. Madaan, R. Haufe, N. R. Shiju, and G. Rothenberg, *Top. Catal.* **57**, 1400 (2014).
- [45] J. C. Yang, B. Kolasa, J. M. Gibson, and M. Yeadon, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **73**, 2841 (1998).
- [46] C. Gattinoni and A. Michaelides, *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **70**, 424 (2015).
- [47] B. Jiang and H. Guo, *J. Chem. Phys.* **144**, 091101 (2016).
- [48] X. Y. Zhou, B. Kolb, X. Luo, H. Guo, and B. Jiang, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **121**, 5594 (2017).
- [49] C. L. Kao, A. Carlsson, and R. J. Madix, *Surf. Sci.* **497**, 356 (2002).
- [50] T. Zaharia, A. W. Kley, and M. A. Gleeson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 053201 (2014).